

## ON INTEGER SEQUENCES GENERATED BY LINEAR MAPS

ARTŪRAS DUBICKAS

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24,  
Vilnius LT-03225, Lithuania  
E-mail: arturas.dubickas@mif.vu.lt

(Received 29 April 2008; accepted 9 September 2008)

**Abstract.** Let  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers given by the formula  $x_n = \lfloor \beta x_{n-1} + \gamma \rfloor$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , where  $\beta > 1$  and  $\gamma$  are real numbers and  $x_0$  is a positive integer. We describe the conditions on integers  $b_d, \dots, b_0$ , not all zero, and on a real number  $\beta > 1$  under which the sequence of integers  $w_n = b_d x_{n+d} + \dots + b_0 x_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is bounded by a constant independent of  $n$ . The conditions under which this sequence can be ultimately periodic are also described. Finally, we prove a lower bound on the complexity function of the sequence  $qx_{n+1} - px_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $x_0$  is a positive integer,  $p > q > 1$  are coprime integers and  $x_n = \lceil px_{n-1}/q \rceil$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . A similar speculative result concerning the complexity of the sequence of alternatives ( $F: x \mapsto x/2$  or  $S: x \mapsto (3x+1)/2$ ) in the  $3x+1$  problem is also given.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B50, 11B83, 11R06, 68R15.

**1. Introduction.** For a given real number  $y$ , let  $\{y\}$ ,  $\lfloor y \rfloor$  and  $\lceil y \rceil$  be the fractional part, the integral part and the ceiling function of  $y$ , respectively. For any real numbers  $y$  and  $\beta > 1$ , one can study the sequence of so-called  $\beta$ -transformations, given by  $y_0 = y$  and  $y_n = \{\beta y_{n-1}\}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . This sequence was first investigated by Rényi [18] and Parry [17]. In particular, the sequence  $y_0 = 1$ ,  $y_n = \{\beta y_{n-1}\}$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  is called the *Rényi development of unity*.

In fact,  $y \in [0, 1)$  can be expressed as

$$y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(y) \beta^{-k},$$

where  $\varepsilon_k(y) = \lfloor \beta y_{k-1} \rfloor \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$ . This expression is called the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $y$ . In general, if  $y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k \beta^{-k}$  with some  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \dots \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$  then this is not necessarily the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $y$  (see [12, 17]). Clearly, the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $y$  is ultimately periodic if and only if the sequence  $y_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is ultimately periodic. Schmidt [20] showed that if the  $\beta$ -expansion of every number  $y \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$  is ultimately periodic then  $\beta > 1$  must be either a Pisot number or a Salem number. Recall that  $\beta > 1$  is a *Pisot number* (resp. *Salem number*) if it is an algebraic integer whose conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (if any) all lie in the open unit disc  $|z| < 1$  (resp. closed unit disc  $|z| \leq 1$  with at least one conjugate lying on the circle  $|z| = 1$ ). Finite  $\beta$ -expansions have been studied in [9] and [11]; those results are also related to Pisot numbers.

In this paper, in contrast to the fractional  $\beta$ -transformations, we shall study a kind of integral  $\beta$ -transformations. Let  $x_0$  be a positive integer, and let  $\beta > 1$  and  $\gamma$  be two

real numbers such that  $(\beta - 1)x_0 \geq 1 - \gamma$ . Consider an increasing sequence of positive integers  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots$  generated by the map

$$T_{\beta,\gamma} : x \mapsto \lfloor \beta x + \gamma \rfloor,$$

namely,

$$x_n = \lfloor \beta x_{n-1} + \gamma \rfloor = T_{\beta,\gamma}^n(x_0)$$

for each  $n \geq 1$ . Indeed,  $x_n = \lfloor \beta x_{n-1} + \gamma \rfloor > \beta x_{n-1} + \gamma - 1 \geq x_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ , because  $(\beta - 1)x_{n-1} \geq (\beta - 1)x_0 \geq 1 - \gamma$ . For this sequence  $x_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $b_d, \dots, b_1, b_0$  be some integers, not all zero. Then the sequence*

$$w_n = b_d x_{n+d} + \dots + b_1 x_{n+1} + b_0 x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

*is bounded by an absolute constant  $B = B(b_0, \dots, b_d, \beta, \gamma, x_0)$  independent of  $n$  if and only if  $\beta > 1$  is an algebraic number and the polynomial  $b_d X^d + \dots + b_1 X + b_0$  is divisible by the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .*

For the sequence  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots$ , where  $x_n = \lfloor \beta x_{n-1} + \gamma \rfloor$  with an algebraic number  $\beta > 1$ , we prove the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\beta > 1$  be an algebraic number with minimal polynomial  $b_d X^d + \dots + b_1 X + b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . If the sequence*

$$w_n = b_d x_{n+d} + \dots + b_1 x_{n+1} + b_0 x_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

*is ultimately periodic, then  $\beta$  must be either a Pisot number or a Salem number.*

Note that the conclusion of Theorem 2 is the same as that of Schmidt [20] and as that of the author [5], where  $x_n$  was defined as  $x_n = \lfloor \xi \beta^n \rfloor$  with  $\xi \neq 0$ . We remark that the same statements as those of Theorems 1 and 2 hold if we replace the map  $T_{\beta,\gamma} : x \mapsto \lfloor \beta x + \gamma \rfloor$  by the map

$$U_{\beta,\gamma} : x \mapsto \lceil \beta x + \gamma \rceil,$$

where  $(\beta - 1)x_0 + \gamma > 0$ . (See the proofs of these two theorems in Sections 2 and 3.) The condition  $(\beta - 1)x_0 + \gamma > 0$  implies that the sequence  $x_n = \lceil \beta x_{n-1} + \gamma \rceil = U_{\beta,\gamma}^n(x_0)$  is strictly increasing, i.e.  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots$ . This sequence with  $\gamma = 0$  was considered by Odlyzko and Wilf [16]. They proved that if  $\beta \geq 2$  or  $\beta = 2 - 1/q$  with some integer  $q \geq 2$  then  $x_n = \lfloor c(\beta)\beta^n \rfloor$  for each  $n \geq 0$  and some constant  $c(\beta)$ .

Clearly, if  $\beta > 1$  is a rational integer then  $w_n = x_{n+1} - \beta x_n = 0$ , so the sequence considered in Theorem 2 is purely periodic. In Section 6 we shall consider the sequence  $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n = \lceil \beta x_{n-1} \rceil$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , with a quadratic Pisot number  $\beta$ . We will show that in this case the sequence  $w_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , considered in Theorem 2 is also purely periodic.

Finally, let  $\beta$  be a rational number which is not an integer, i.e.  $\beta = p/q$ , where  $p > q > 1$  are some coprime integers. Consider the map

$$U_{p/q} : x \mapsto \lceil px/q \rceil.$$

The sequence of iterations

$$x_n = \lceil px_{n-1}/q \rceil = U_{p/q}^n(x_0), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $x_0$  is a positive integer, is strictly increasing  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots$ . We have

$$w_n = qx_{n+1} - px_n = q\lceil px_n/q \rceil - px_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\},$$

so the sequence  $w_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is bounded. Since  $\beta = p/q$  is neither a Pisot number nor a Salem number, by Theorem 2 (see also the remark above concerning its application to  $U_{\beta,\gamma}$ ), this sequence is not ultimately periodic. In case  $p < q^2$ , we can prove much more than that.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $w = w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots$  be a sequence given by  $w_n = qx_{n+1} - px_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $p > q > 1$  are two coprime integers,  $x_0$  is a positive integer and  $x_n = \lceil px_{n-1}/q \rceil$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(w, n)/n \geq \log q/\log(p/q)$ .*

Here,  $P(w, n)$  is the *complexity function* (or *block-complexity function*) of the sequence  $w = w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots$ , which, for every positive integer  $n$ , is defined as the number of distinct vectors  $(w_j, w_{j+1}, \dots, w_{j+n-1})$  of length  $n$ , where  $j$  runs through all non-negative integers  $0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly, the function  $P(w, n)$  is non-decreasing in  $n$ . It is bounded from above by an absolute constant independent of  $n$  if and only if the sequence  $w$  is ultimately periodic; otherwise,  $P(w, n) \geq n + 1$  for each positive integer  $n$  (see [14] or [15]). The sequences  $w$  for which equality  $P(w, n) = n + 1$  holds for each positive integer  $n$  are called *Sturmian sequences* (see [3, 4, 14]). They have the lowest possible complexity among all sequences which are not ultimately periodic.

Note that in case  $p < q^2$  the constant  $\log q/\log(p/q)$  is greater than 1. So, by Theorem 3,  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(w, n)/n > 1$ . In particular, this implies that the sequence  $w$  considered in Theorem 3 is not Sturmian. If  $p < q^{3/2}$  then  $\log q/\log(p/q) > 2$ , so the sequence  $w$  cannot belong to the class of *Arnoux–Rauzy sequences* which have complexity  $2n + 1$ . Since  $w_n \pmod q = -px_n \pmod q$  and  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , the complexity  $P(w, n)$  of  $w$  is equal to the complexity  $P(\mathcal{X}, n)$  of the sequence  $\mathcal{X} = x_n \pmod q, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

For  $p/q = 3/2$ , the map  $U_{3/2}$  is given by

$$U_{3/2}(x) = \begin{cases} 3x/2, & \text{if } x \text{ is even,} \\ (3x + 1)/2, & \text{if } x \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This map was studied in [8, p. 127]. It is related to the distribution of the fractional parts  $\{\xi(3/2)^n\}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The sequence given by  $x_0 = 1$  and  $x_n = \lceil 3x_{n-1}/2 \rceil = U_{3/2}^n(x_0)$  for  $n \geq 1$  is exactly the sequence A061419 of [21]. See also [2], where similar sequences are used for expansions of integers in rational non-integer base. A corresponding  $w_n = 2x_{n+1} - 3x_n = 2U_{3/2}(x_n) - 3x_n$  is equal to 0 if  $x_n$  is even, and to 1 if  $x_n$  is odd. So  $w_n = x_n \pmod 2$ . Theorem 3 implies the following:

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $0 < x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots$  be a sequence of integers given by  $x_n = \lceil 3x_{n-1}/2 \rceil, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Set  $X_n = x_n \pmod 2 \in \{0, 1\}$  for  $n \geq 0$ , and let  $\mathcal{X} = X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$ . Then  $P(\mathcal{X}, n) > 1.70951129n$  for each sufficiently large  $n$ .*

This corollary is the first result which claims something more than just non-periodicity of the sequence of iterations given by the map  $U_{3/2}$ . The famous unsolved

$3x + 1$  problem asserts that the sequence of iterations given by a very similar map

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} x/2, & \text{if } x \text{ is even,} \\ (3x + 1)/2, & \text{if } x \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

which starts at a positive integer must end up with the cycle  $2 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 1 \mapsto \dots$ . Let us write the letter  $F$  for the first alternative  $x \mapsto x/2$  and the letter  $S$  for the second alternative  $x \mapsto (3x + 1)/2$ . Starting from 15, we have

$$15 \mapsto 23 \mapsto 35 \mapsto 53 \mapsto 80 \mapsto 40 \mapsto 20 \mapsto 10 \mapsto 5 \mapsto 8 \mapsto 4 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 1 \dots$$

A corresponding sequence of letters is  $SSSSFFFFSFFFSFS \dots = S^4F^4SF^2(FS)^\infty$ . Of course, the sequence of  $F, S$  is the following sequence of 0, 1

$$x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots \pmod{2},$$

where  $F$  corresponds to 0 and  $S$  corresponds to 1. Assume that the  $3x + 1$ -conjecture is false. Then there is either a non-trivial cycle or the sequence  $x_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , is unbounded. In the latter case (sometimes this is called the case of divergent trajectories), we shall prove the following speculative result:

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$  be a sequence of positive integers given by  $x_n = U(x_{n-1}), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Assume that  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Set  $X_n = x_n \pmod{2} \in \{0, 1\}$  for  $n \geq 0$ , and let  $\mathcal{X} = X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$ . Then  $P(\mathcal{X}, n) > 1.70951129n$  for each sufficiently large  $n$ .*

For  $\mathcal{X}$  given in Corollary 4, we conjecture that  $P(\mathcal{X}, n) = 2^n$  for every positive integer  $n$ . More generally, we conjecture that  $P(w, n) = q^n$  for every sequence  $w$  considered in Theorem 3. (See also [16], where an even stronger statement is conjectured in case  $q = p - 1$ .) It seems very likely that this conjecture is as difficult as a corresponding conjecture claiming that the complexity function  $P(\alpha, n)$  of the expansion of an algebraic irrational number  $\alpha$  in base  $q \geq 2$ , i.e.

$$\alpha = [\alpha] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(\alpha)q^{-k},$$

$g_k(\alpha) \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$ , defined as the complexity of the sequence  $g_k(\alpha), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , is equal to  $q^n$ . So far the equality  $P(\alpha, n) = q^n$  is out of reach. By a result of Adamczewski and Bugeaud [1], we know that  $P(\alpha, n)/n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each algebraic irrational number  $\alpha$ . One among earlier results [7] implies that  $P(\alpha, n) - n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Analogously, in our problem, Theorem 3 implies that  $P(w, n) - n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in case  $p < q^2$ .

The sequence considered in Corollary 4 is related to the so-called Josephus problem (see, e.g., [13, 16, 19]). There are  $N$  places arranged around a circle and numbered clockwise  $1, 2, \dots, N$ . Each of  $N$  people takes one of the places. Then the  $p$ th is executed. If some place is just vacated, then the  $p$ th one of the remaining survivors clockwise will be executed next and so on, until just one remains. Which is the initial place  $J_p(N)$  of the last survivor? The answer is given in terms of one of the above sequences. Given integer  $p \geq 2$ , consider the sequence  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$  defined by  $x_0 = 1$  and  $x_n = \lceil px_{n-1}/(p - 1) \rceil$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then

$$J_p(N) = pN + 1 - x_k,$$

where  $k$  is the least integer such that  $x_k > (p - 1)N$  (see, e.g., Section 3.3 in [10] or [16]).

Note that  $w_n = (p - 1)x_{n+1} - px_n$  modulo  $p - 1$  is equal to  $x_n \pmod{p - 1}$ . Put  $X_n = x_n \pmod{p - 1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 2\}$ . The constant

$$K(p) = 1 + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X_k \left(\frac{p - 1}{p}\right)^k$$

appears in [16], where the exact formula for  $J_3(n)$  was obtained. In particular,  $K(2) = 1$ ,  $K(3) = 1.6222705028 \dots$ . Theorem 3 implies that, for every integer  $p \geq 3$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the complexity function  $P(\mathcal{X}, n)$  of the sequence  $\mathcal{X} = X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$  is at least  $(1/(\log p/\log(p - 1) - 1) - \varepsilon)n$  for each sufficiently large  $n$ .

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Write  $x_{n+m} = \lfloor \beta x_{n+m-1} + \gamma \rfloor = \beta x_{n+m-1} + \tau_{n+m-1}$  for each  $n \geq 0$  and each  $m \geq 1$ , where  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots \in (\gamma - 1, \gamma]$ . Then

$$x_{n+m} = \beta^m x_n + \beta^{m-1} \tau_n + \beta^{m-2} \tau_{n+1} + \dots + \tau_{n+m-1}.$$

Applying this formula to  $m = 1, 2, \dots, d$  and putting corresponding values into

$$w_n = b_d x_{n+d} + \dots + b_1 x_{n+1} + b_0 x_n,$$

we find that

$$w_n = (b_d \beta^d + \dots + b_1 \beta + b_0)x_n + \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \tau_{n+j} \sum_{i=0}^{d-j-1} b_{i+j+1} \beta^i.$$

Since  $|\sum_{i=0}^{d-j-1} b_{i+j+1} \beta^i| \leq \beta^{d-1}(|b_d| + \dots + |b_1|)$  and  $|\tau_{n+j}| < |\gamma| + 1$ , the modulus of the double sum is bounded from above by  $B_0 = d\beta^{d-1}(|b_d| + \dots + |b_1|)(|\gamma| + 1)$ . Hence the sequence  $w_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is bounded by a constant  $B$  independent of  $n$  if and only if the term  $(b_d \beta^d + \dots + b_1 \beta + b_0)x_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is bounded. However,  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , because  $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots$  is strictly increasing. Evidently, if there is a constant  $B_1$  independent of  $n$  such that  $|(b_d \beta^d + \dots + b_1 \beta + b_0)x_n| \leq B_1$  for each  $n \geq 0$  then  $b_d \beta^d + \dots + b_1 \beta + b_0 = 0$ . Hence  $b_d X^d + \dots + b_1 X + b_0$  is divisible by the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

On the other hand, if  $b_d X^d + \dots + b_1 X + b_0$  is divisible by the minimal polynomial of an algebraic number  $\beta$  then  $b_d \beta^d + \dots + b_1 \beta + b_0 = 0$ . Thus  $|w_n| = |\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \tau_{n+j} \sum_{i=0}^{d-j-1} b_{i+j+1} \beta^i|$  is bounded from above by the constant  $B_0 = d\beta^{d-1}(|b_d| + \dots + |b_1|)(|\gamma| + 1)$ .

**3. Proof of Theorem 2.** Below, we shall use the following lemma (which is a special case of Lemma 1 in [6]):

LEMMA 6. Let  $a_d X^d + \dots + a_1 X + a_0 = a_d(X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be an irreducible polynomial,  $a_d > 0$ , and let  $z_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  be a sequence of integers satisfying  $a_d z_{n+d} + \dots + a_1 z_{n+1} + a_0 z_n = 0$  for each  $n \geq n_0$ . Then  $\alpha = \alpha_1$  is an algebraic integer, namely  $a_d = 1$ , and there is a polynomial  $Q(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$  such that

$$z_n = Q(\alpha_1)\alpha_1^n + \dots + Q(\alpha_d)\alpha_d^n$$

for each  $n \geq n_0$ .

Suppose that there is a positive integer  $t$  such that  $w_n = w_{n+t}$  for each  $n \geq n_0$ . Then

$$b_d(x_{n+d+t} - x_{n+d}) + \dots + b_1(x_{n+1+t} - x_{n+1}) + b_0(x_{n+t} - x_n) = 0$$

for each  $n \geq n_0$ . Here, each difference  $x_{n+j+t} - x_{n+j}$ , where  $j = 0, 1, \dots, d$ , is a positive integer. Hence, by Lemma 6, there exists a polynomial  $G(X)$  with rational coefficients such that

$$x_{n+t} - x_n = G(\beta_1)\beta_1^n + \dots + G(\beta_d)\beta_d^n$$

for each  $n \geq n_0$ , where  $\beta_1 = \beta, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_d$  are the conjugates of  $\beta > 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\beta$  must be an algebraic integer, i.e.  $b_d = 1$ . If  $d = 1$ , namely,  $\beta > 1$  is a rational number, then  $\beta$  must be a positive integer greater than 1. So it is a Pisot number.

Suppose that  $d \geq 2$ . Using the inequality

$$|x_{n+1} - \beta x_n| = |\lfloor \beta x_n + \gamma \rfloor - \beta x_n| = |\gamma - \{\beta x_n + \gamma\}| < |\gamma| + 1,$$

which holds for each  $n \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^d G(\beta_j)\beta_j^{n+1} - \beta_1 \sum_{j=1}^d G(\beta_j)\beta_j^n \right| = |x_{n+1+t} - x_{n+1} - \beta(x_{n+t} - x_n)| < 2(|\gamma| + 1).$$

So the modulus of

$$\delta_n = \sum_{j=1}^d G(\beta_j)\beta_j^{n+1} - \beta_1 \sum_{j=1}^d G(\beta_j)\beta_j^n = \sum_{j=2}^d (\beta_j - \beta_1)G(\beta_j)\beta_j^n$$

is smaller than  $2(|\gamma| + 1)$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ .

Taking  $d - 1$  consecutive equations for  $\delta_n, \dots, \delta_{n+d-2}$ , where  $n \geq n_0$  and

$$\delta_{n+i} = \sum_{j=2}^d (\beta_j - \beta_1)\beta_j^i G(\beta_j)\beta_j^n, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, d - 2,$$

we see that the vector  $(\beta_2^n, \dots, \beta_d^n)$  is a solution of a non-homogeneous linear system. By Cramer’s rule, this linear system has a unique solution, because the corresponding matrix  $A = ((\beta_j - \beta_1)G(\beta_j)\beta_j^i)_{0 \leq i \leq d-2, 2 \leq j \leq d}$  is non-singular. Indeed, its determinant is equal to the Vandermonde determinant  $\prod_{2 \leq k < j \leq d} (\beta_j - \beta_k)$  multiplied by the factor  $\prod_{j=2}^d (\beta_j - \beta_1)G(\beta_j)$ . Here  $(\beta_j - \beta_1)G(\beta_j) \neq 0$  for  $j = 2, \dots, d$ , because  $G(\beta_j) \neq 0$  for each  $j$ . Hence the matrix  $A$  is non-singular.

Now, using the fact that  $|\delta_n|, \dots, |\delta_{n+d-2}| < 2(|\gamma| + 1)$ , by Cramer’s rule, we deduce that each  $|\beta_j^n|$ , where  $j = 2, \dots, d$  and  $n \geq n_0$ , is bounded from above by a constant  $C$  independent of  $n$ . The inequality  $|\beta_j^n| \leq C$ , where  $n = n_0, n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots$ , shows that  $|\beta_j| \leq 1$ . Thus  $|\beta_j| \leq 1$  for every  $j = 2, \dots, d$ . Since  $\beta = \beta_1 > 1$  is an algebraic integer, we conclude that  $\beta$  must be either a Pisot number or a Salem number.

**4. Proof of Theorem 3.** Since  $w_n = qx_{n+1} - px_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$  for each  $n \geq 0$ , expressing  $x_{n+m}$  as  $px_{n+m-1}/q + w_{n+m-1}/q$  and so on, we obtain

$$x_{n+m} = (p/q)^m x_n + q^{-1}((p/q)^{m-1}w_n + (p/q)^{m-2}w_{n+1} + \dots + w_{n+m-1}).$$

Suppose that the limit  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(w, n)/n$  is strictly smaller than  $\log q / \log(p/q)$ . Then there is an infinite sequence of positive integers  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots$  such that  $P(w, m_k) \leq m_k(\log q / \log(p/q) - \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $k \geq 1$ .

Set  $m = m_k$  for some fixed  $k \geq 1$  which is so large that

$$\varepsilon m_k \log(p/q) > \log(x_0 + q - 1).$$

Consider the vectors  $(w_n, w_{n+1}, \dots, w_{n+m-1})$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor m(\log q / \log(p/q) - \varepsilon) \rfloor$ . There are more than  $m(\log q / \log(p/q) - \varepsilon) \geq P(w, m)$  of such vectors, so at least two of them must be equal, say  $(w_s, \dots, w_{s+m-1}) = (w_n, \dots, w_{n+m-1})$ , where  $0 \leq s < n \leq \lfloor m(\log q / \log(p/q) - \varepsilon) \rfloor$ . Subtracting

$$x_{s+m} = (p/q)^m x_s + q^{-1}((p/q)^{m-1}w_s + (p/q)^{m-2}w_{s+1} + \dots + w_{s+m-1})$$

from  $x_{n+m}$ , we deduce that

$$x_{n+m} - x_{s+m} = (p/q)^m(x_n - x_s).$$

Hence  $q^m$  divides  $x_n - x_s$ . Since  $x_n > x_s > 0$ , this implies that  $q^m$  must be smaller than  $x_n$ . But

$$x_n = (p/q)^n x_0 + q^{-1}((p/q)^{n-1}w_0 + (p/q)^{n-2}w_1 + \dots + w_{n-1}),$$

so, using  $w_j \leq q - 1$ , we deduce that

$$q^m < x_n \leq (p/q)^n x_0 + ((p/q)^n - 1)(q - 1)/(p - q) < (p/q)^n(x_0 + q - 1).$$

By taking the logarithms of both sides and using

$$n \leq \lfloor m(\log q / \log(p/q) - \varepsilon) \rfloor \leq m(\log q / \log(p/q) - \varepsilon),$$

we obtain

$$m \log q < \log x_n < n \log(p/q) + \log(x_0 + q - 1) \leq m \log q - \varepsilon m \log(p/q) + \log(x_0 + q - 1).$$

It follows that  $\varepsilon m_k \log(p/q) = \varepsilon m \log(p/q) < \log(x_0 + q - 1)$ , contrary to our assumption on  $m_k$ .

**5. Proof of Theorem 5.** Note that  $x_{n+1} = (u_n x_n + v_n)/2$ , where  $(u_n, v_n) = (1, 0)$  if  $X_n = x_n \pmod{2} = 0$  and  $(u_n, v_n) = (3, 1)$  if  $X_n = x_n \pmod{2} = 1$ . Let  $n \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 1$  be two integers. Expressing  $x_{n+m}$  by  $x_{n+m-1}$  and so on up to  $x_n$ , we obtain

$$x_{n+m} = \frac{u_{n+m-1} \dots u_n x_n}{2^m} + \frac{u_{n+m-1} \dots u_{n+1} v_n}{2^m} + \frac{u_{n+m-1} \dots u_{n+2} v_{n+1}}{2^{m-1}} + \dots + \frac{v_{n+m-1}}{2}.$$

Suppose that the limit  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\mathcal{X}, n)/n$  is strictly smaller than  $\log 2 / \log(3/2)$ . Then there is an infinite sequence of positive integers  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots$  such that  $P(\mathcal{X}, m_k) \leq m_k(\log 2 / \log(3/2) - \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $k \geq 1$ .

Fix any  $m \in \{m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots\}$  satisfying

$$m \log(3/2) > \varepsilon^{-1} \log(x_0 + 1).$$

Consider the vectors  $(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{n+m-1})$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor m(\log 2 / \log(3/2) - \varepsilon) \rfloor$ . There are more than  $m(\log 2 / \log(3/2) - \varepsilon) \geq P(\mathcal{X}, m)$  of such vectors. Hence, at least two of them must be equal, for instance  $(X_s, \dots, X_{s+m-1}) = (X_n, \dots, X_{n+m-1})$ , where  $0 \leq s < n \leq \lfloor m(\log 2 / \log(3/2) - \varepsilon) \rfloor$ . Subtracting

$$x_{s+m} = \frac{u_{s+m-1} \cdots u_s x_s}{2^m} + \frac{u_{s+m-1} \cdots u_{s+1} v_s}{2^m} + \frac{u_{s+m-1} \cdots u_{s+2} v_{s+1}}{2^{m-1}} + \dots + \frac{v_{s+m-1}}{2}$$

from a corresponding expression for  $x_{n+m}$  and using  $u_{n+j} = u_{s+j}$ ,  $v_{n+j} = v_{s+j}$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ , we derive that

$$x_{n+m} - x_{s+m} = \frac{u_{n+m-1} \cdots u_n}{2^m} (x_n - x_s).$$

Recall that  $u_k \in \{1, 3\}$ , so  $\gcd(u_{n+m-1} \cdots u_n, 2^m) = 1$ . Hence  $2^m$  divides  $|x_n - x_s|$ . We claim that  $x_n \neq x_s$ . Indeed, if  $x_n = x_s$  then the sequence  $x_s, x_{s+1}, x_{s+2}, \dots$  is an infinite repetition of the string  $x_s, \dots, x_{n-1}$ . So the sequence  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$  is bounded, contrary to the condition of the theorem. From

$$x_n = \frac{u_{n-1} \cdots u_0 x_0}{2^n} + \frac{u_{n-1} \cdots u_1 v_0}{2^n} + \frac{u_{n-1} \cdots u_2 v_1}{2^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{v_{n-1}}{2},$$

using  $u_k \in \{1, 3\}$ ,  $v_k \in \{0, 1\}$ , we derive that  $x_n < (3/2)^n(x_0 + 1)$ . Similarly,  $x_s < (3/2)^s(x_0 + 1)$ . Hence,

$$2^m \leq |x_n - x_s| < (3/2)^n(x_0 + 1),$$

because  $n > s$ .

By taking the logarithms and using

$$n \leq \lfloor m(\log 2 / \log(3/2) - \varepsilon) \rfloor \leq m(\log 2 / \log(3/2) - \varepsilon),$$

we obtain

$$m \log 2 < n \log(3/2) + \log(x_0 + 1) \leq m \log 2 - \varepsilon m \log(3/2) + \log(x_0 + 1).$$

Consequently,  $m \log(3/2) < \varepsilon^{-1} \log(x_0 + 1)$ , contrary to our assumption on  $m$ .

Therefore,  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\mathcal{X}, n)/n \geq \log 2 / \log(3/2)$ , giving  $P(\mathcal{X}, n) > 1.70951129n$  for each sufficiently large  $n$ .

**6. Examples.** Let us take  $\beta = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ . Consider the map  $x \mapsto \lceil \beta x \rceil$  and a sequence of iterations  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $x_n = \lceil \beta x_{n-1} \rceil$  associated to it. Clearly, the golden mean  $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  is a Pisot number, because its conjugate  $\theta = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$  lies in  $(-1, 0)$ . We claim that

$$x_n = F_{n+2} - 1 \text{ for each } n \geq 0.$$



Here  $F_n$  is the  $n$ th Fibonacci number, given by  $F_0 = F_1 = 1$ ,  $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$ .

We will show first that

$$x_{n+2} = x_{n+1} + x_n + 1$$

for each  $n \geq 0$ . Indeed, writing  $x_{n+1} = \beta x_n + \tau_n$  and  $x_{n+2} = \beta x_{n+1} + \tau_{n+1}$ , where  $\tau_n, \tau_{n+1} \in (0, 1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} - x_n &= \beta x_{n+1} + \tau_{n+1} - x_{n+1} - x_n \\ &= (\beta^2 - \beta - 1)x_n + (\beta - 1)\tau_n + \tau_{n+1} = (\beta - 1)\tau_n + \tau_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} - x_n \in (0, \beta)$  is an integer, it is equal to 1. Hence  $x_{n+2} = x_{n+1} + x_n + 1$ , as claimed. In particular, we see that, for the sequence  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$ , a corresponding sequence  $w_n = x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} - x_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , considered in Theorem 2 is purely periodic.

Next, using  $x_0 = 1 = F_2 - 1$  and  $x_1 = \lceil \beta \rceil = 2 = F_3 - 1$ , by induction on  $n$ , we find that

$$x_{n+2} = x_{n+1} + x_n + 1 = F_{n+3} - 1 + F_{n+2} - 1 + 1 = F_{n+3} + F_{n+2} - 1 = F_{n+4} - 1,$$

so the formula  $x_n = F_{n+2} - 1$  holds for each  $n \geq 0$ .

More generally, let  $\beta$  be a quadratic Pisot number with minimal polynomial  $X^2 - aX + b$ . Consider the sequence which starts with an arbitrary positive integer  $x_0$  and is given by the formula  $x_n = \lceil \beta x_{n-1} \rceil$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\beta'$  be the conjugate of  $\beta$ , i.e.  $X^2 - aX + b = (X - \beta)(X - \beta')$ . Writing  $x_{n+1} = \beta x_n + \tau_n$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &= x_{n+2} - ax_{n+1} + bx_n = (\beta - a)x_{n+1} + \tau_{n+1} + bx_n \\ &= ((\beta - a)\beta + b)x_n + \tau_{n+1} + (\beta - a)\tau_n = \tau_{n+1} + (\beta - a)\tau_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < \tau_n, \tau_{n+1} < 1$  and  $\beta - a = -b/\beta = -\beta'$ , where  $-1 < \beta' < 1$ , we see that  $w_n \in (0, 1 - \beta')$  if  $\beta'$  is negative and  $w_n \in (-\beta', 1)$  if  $\beta'$  is positive. It follows that, for each  $n \geq 0$ , we have  $w_n = 1$  if  $\beta' < 0$  and  $w_n = 0$  if  $\beta' > 0$ . In both cases, the sequence  $w_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , is purely periodic.

## REFERENCES

1. B. Adamczewski and Y. Bugeaud, On the complexity of algebraic numbers I. Expansions in integer bases, *Ann. Math.* **165** (2007), 547–565.
2. S. Akiyama, C. Frougny and J. Sakarovitch, Powers of rationals modulo 1 and rational base number systems, *Isr. J. Math.* **168** (2008), 53–91.
3. J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *Automatic sequences. Theory, applications, generalizations* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003).
4. J. Berstel and J. Karhumäki, Combinatorics on words – a tutorial, in *Current trends in theoretical computer science. The challenge of the new century, Vol. 2: Formal models and semantics* (Paun, G. Rozenberg, G. and Salomaa, A., Editors), (World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2004), 415–475.
5. A. Dubickas, Arithmetical properties of powers of algebraic numbers, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **38** (2006), 70–80.
6. A. Dubickas, Arithmetical properties of linear recurrent sequences, *J. Number Theory* **122** (2007), 142–150.

7. S. Ferenczi and C. Mauduit, Transcendence of numbers with a low complexity expansion, *J. Number Theory* **67** (1997), 146–161.
8. L. Flatto, J. C. Lagarias and A. D. Pollington, On the range of fractional parts  $\{\xi(p/q)^n\}$ , *Acta Arith.* **70** (1995), 125–147.
9. C. Frougny and B. Solomyak, Finite  $\beta$ -expansions, *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **12** (1994), 713–723.
10. R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics* (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1989).
11. L. S. Guimond, Z. Masáková, and E. Pelantová, Arithmetics of beta-expansions, *Acta Arith.* **112** (2004), 23–40.
12. S. Ito and Y. Takahashi, Markov subshifts and the realization of  $\beta$ -expansions, *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **26** (1974), 33–55.
13. F. Jakobczyk, On the generalized Josephus problem, *Glasgow Math. J.* **14** (1973), 168–173.
14. M. Lothaire, *Algebraic combinatorics on words, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications*, Vol. 90 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002).
15. M. Morse and G. A. Hedlund, Symbolic dynamics II: Sturmian sequences, *Am. J. Math.* **62** (1940), 1–42.
16. A. Odlyzko and H. Wilf, Functional iteration and the Josephus problem, *Glasgow Math. J.* **33** (1991), 235–240.
17. W. Parry, On the  $\beta$ -expansions of real numbers, *Acta Math. Sci. Hung.* **11** (1960), 401–416.
18. A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, *Acta Math. Sci. Hung.* **8** (1957), 477–493.
19. W. J. Robinson, The Josephus problem, *Math. Gaz.* **44** (1960), 47–52.
20. K. Schmidt, On periodic expansions of Pisot numbers and Salem numbers, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **12** (1980), 269–278.
21. N. J. A. Sloane, *The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences*, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences>.