

Correction to ‘Equivariant spectral decomposition for flows with a \mathbb{Z} -action’

LEE MOSHER

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102, USA

(Received 5 April 1990)

The statement and proof of the \mathbb{Z} -spectral decomposition theorem for a pseudo-Anosov flow ϕ on a 3-manifold M are in error (see [1]). There are counter-examples which show that the theorem as stated is false. We remark that the results of § 9 of [1], concerning analogues of the \mathbb{Z} -spectral decomposition theorem for basic sets of Axiom A flows, are unaffected by this error.

To correct the statement of the theorem, we shall define a ‘dynamic blowup’ of a singular periodic orbit of ϕ . There are several possible ways to dynamically blow up a singular orbit, and we shall show how to parameterize them below. Given $\alpha \in H^1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ as in the statement of the theorem, it will only be necessary to blow up those singular orbits γ such that $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = 0$, we refer to such a γ as an α -null singular orbit. After the first sentence of the theorem [1, p. 334], insert the following:

There is a way to dynamically blow up each α -null singular orbit of ϕ , such that if ϕ^* is the resulting flow, then the following hold:

For the remainder of the theorem, replace the symbol ϕ with the symbol ϕ^* .

The introduction to [1] also mis-states the main result of [2], concerning the existence of a surface transverse to ϕ and Poincaré dual to α , such a surface exists only after blowing up α -null singular orbits. Also, these methods are not sufficient to settle Oertel’s conjecture, although partial results can still be obtained (see [2]).

First we define dynamic blowups in the context of pseudo-Anosov maps. Let s be a singular fixed point of a pseudo-Anosov map $f: S \rightarrow S$, and consider first the case where f does not rotate the separatrices. To obtain a dynamic blowup of s , replace s by a finite set of pseudo-Anosov fixed points which are connected in a tree pattern by invariant paths. Here is a more precise description. Let D be a coordinate disc centered on s . List the stable and unstable separatrices in circular order as $\{\ell_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$, where $N \geq 3$. Let $p_n = \ell_n \cap \partial D$. Choose an embedded tree $T = T, \subset D$, such that T intersects ∂D transversely in the set $\{p_n\}$, and every interior vertex of T is of even valence ≥ 4 . Let ℓ_n^* be the edge of T incident on p_n , and let $T^\circ = \text{cl}(T - \bigcup \{\ell_n^*\})$. With these conditions on T , the map f can be replaced by a map f^* which is semi-conjugate to f , by a semi-conjugacy $\rho: S \rightarrow S$ which collapses T° to the point s , so that f^* has a prong singularity at each interior vertex of T , f^* leaves T° invariant, and each edge E of T° is an invariant path for f^* , with f^* acting as a translation on $\text{int}(E)$. We say that f^* is obtained by *dynamically blowing up* s . The set $\{\ell_n\}$ is partitioned in such a way that ℓ_n and ℓ_m are in the same partition

element if and only if $\ell_n^* \cap \ell_m^* \neq \emptyset$, the blowup is determined up to isotopy by the partition. Not all partitions occur, it is a simple matter to describe which partitions are allowable. Notice that the tree T is a directed graph, i.e. each edge E is naturally oriented according to the direction that points on E are moved under f^* . For each interior vertex v of T , the edges incident on v point alternately toward and away from v , going around v in circular order.

When f rotates the separatrices at s through a fraction K/N of a complete rotation, a dynamic blowup is similarly defined with the additional proviso that T is invariant under a K/N rotation of D .

If γ is a singular periodic orbit of a pseudo-Anosov flow ϕ , a dynamic blowup of γ is defined as follows. Choose a local cross-section near γ , having a pseudo-Anosov singular fixed point s , and choose a dynamic blowup of s by picking a tree T as above. This can be suspended, to obtain a dynamic blowup of γ . The result is determined up to conjugacy by a partition of the set whose elements are the stable and unstable manifolds of γ . The effect is to introduce several annuli, each of which is invariant under the blown up flow ϕ^* , one annulus for each orbit of edges of T° under the rotation action.

The mathematical error in the proof of the theorem first occurs in § 3. If ζ is a quasi-orbit, the intersection number $\langle \alpha, \zeta \rangle$ is assumed to take values in $\mathbb{Z}_\geq \cap \{+\infty\}$. This assumption is unjustified. $\langle \alpha, \zeta \rangle$ takes values in $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$. Counter-examples show that negative values can occur, in which case the theorem fails. The error recurs in § 4, in which the terms of the generalized splice equation are assumed to take values in $\mathbb{Z}_\geq \cup \{+\infty\}$, rather than $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$. The error is manifested in the following incorrect statement, from the proof of Lemma 4.2: 'Note that each directed loop of Γ'_A corresponds uniquely to a symbolic quasi-loop \underline{m} of Γ_A such that $0 \leq U_\alpha(\underline{m}) < +\infty$ '. The only restriction is $U_\alpha(\underline{m}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. The proof of Proposition 7.1 contains another manifestation of the error. One effect of the error is that the invariant sets $L(\alpha)$, $R(\alpha)$, and $L^q(\alpha)$ as defined in the paper are inutile. Theorem 3.8 is incorrect with these definitions. Also, the auxiliary graph Γ'_A used in Lemma 4.2 is inutile. We shall construct new auxiliary graphs Γ_A^1 and Γ_A^2 below, to take over various tasks previously performed by Γ'_A .

The following corrections in the proof are needed. First of all, recall that § 1 reduces to the case when ϕ is the suspension flow of a pseudo-Anosov map f which fixes all singularities and does not rotate the separatrices. This reduction no longer seems necessary or appropriate, so we shall henceforth abandon it, and deal directly with a general pseudo-Anosov map f .

For notational convenience, we shall drop the subscript A from the notation Γ_A , Γ_A^1 and Γ_A^2 , denoting these as Γ , Γ^1 , and Γ^2 .

The contents of § 4 starting with Lemma 4.2 should be replaced with the following discussion, whose aim is to show how to choose the blowups needed to define ϕ^* , and to give the correct versions of $R(\alpha)$, $L(\alpha)$ and $L^q(\alpha)$.

Let γ be an N -pronged α -null singular orbit. Choose a point $s = s_\gamma \in \gamma \cap S$. Let $\{m_n \in \mathcal{M} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$ be the list of Markov rectangles containing the point s , listed in circular order around s . Choose a $2N$ -pronged star Σ_γ , and glue the endpoints

$\{v_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$ of Σ_γ in a 1-1 manner to the vertices $\{m_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$ of the digraph Γ . Doing this for each α -null singular orbit γ , we obtain a graph Γ^1 , having Γ as a subgraph. Although Γ is a directed graph, Γ^1 is not, since no orientations are assigned to the edges of each star Σ_γ . A closed, oriented edge loop L in Γ^1 is *semi-directed* if it passes over each directed edge of Γ in the positive sense. Each semi-directed loop L of Γ^1 determines in a natural manner a symbolic quasi-loop of Γ , and thus a periodic quasi-orbit denoted $O(L)$. The generalized splice equation holds for semi-directed loops, and from this it easily follows that U_α extends to a cohomology class on Γ^1 , denoted U_α^1 . The non-negative cocycle u_α constructed in proposition 3.2 can then be extended to a cocycle u_α^1 on Γ^1 representing U_α^1 .

For each α -null singular orbit γ , we now specify how γ is to be blown up. Choose a zero-dimensional-cochain f_γ on Σ_γ whose coboundary is $u_\alpha^1|_{\Sigma_\gamma}$. Note that f_γ is well-defined on the endpoints $\{v_n\}$ of Σ_γ , up to an additive constant. Let $\{\ell_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}/2N\}$ be the list of separatrices at $s = s_\gamma$, as above, rotated by ϕ through K/N of a complete rotation. Choose the notation so that ℓ_n is stable when n is even and unstable when n is odd. Choose a small coordinate disc $D = D_\gamma \subset S$ centered on s , such that the sector of D between ℓ_n and ℓ_{n+1} is contained in the Markov rectangle m_n . Let $y_n = \partial D \cap \ell_n$. Choose a point x_n contained in the interior of the arc $[y_n, y_{n+1}]$ of ∂D . Let $F_\gamma: \{x_n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $F_\gamma(x_n) = f_\gamma(v_n)$. Since $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = 0$, it is easy to check that f_γ is rotationally invariant, under a K/N rotation on $\{v_n\}$. Thus, F_γ can be extended to a K/N rotationally invariant real-valued continuous function on ∂D , still denoted F_γ , such that on the arc $[y_n, y_{n+1}]$, if n is even then F_γ is increasing, and if n is odd then F_γ is decreasing. Thus, at the point y_n , F_γ has a local minimum on ∂D if n is even and a local maximum if n is odd. Collapse ∂D to a K/N rotationally invariant tree $T_\gamma \subset D$, with endpoint set $\{y_n\}$, in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) two points on ∂D are identified only if they have the same F_γ value,
- (ii) for each n , the shorter of the two intervals $[x_{n-1}, y_n]$, $[y_n, x_n]$ is identified with a sub-interval of the other.

The tree T_γ can be used in the definition of a dynamic blowup of γ . Note that by (i), F_γ induces a function on T_γ , still denoted F_γ . The orientation on each edge of T_γ agrees with the direction of the gradient of F_γ .

Applying the construction in the previous paragraph to each α -null singular orbit γ , we have defined the blown up flow $\phi^\#$. The suspension of T_γ° is a union of invariant annuli of $\phi^\#$, denoted $\text{Susp}(T_\gamma^\circ)$. The semi-conjugacy $\rho: M \rightarrow M$ from $\phi^\#$ to ϕ collapses all invariant annuli, and takes each quasi-orbit $\zeta^\#$ of $\phi^\#$ to a quasi-orbit $\zeta = \rho(\zeta^\#)$ of ϕ , preserving $\langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle$. We must prove that $\langle \alpha, \zeta^\# \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$ for each quasi-orbit $\zeta^\#$ of $\phi^\#$. To do this, we must study some properties of $\phi^\#$.

We introduce a new auxiliary graph Γ^2 , which will be a directed graph. Consider an α -null singular orbit γ . Adopting the notation above, let $\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_n \in T_\gamma$ be the images of x_n, y_n under the collapsing $\partial D_\gamma \rightarrow T_\gamma$. Of the two points \bar{x}_{n-1}, \bar{x}_n , let z_n be the one closest to \bar{y}_n . Let \bar{T}_γ be the smallest sub-tree of T_γ containing each \bar{x}_n , or equivalently the smallest sub-tree containing each z_n . Notice that $T_\gamma^\circ \subset \bar{T}_\gamma$. Glue \bar{T}_γ to Γ by identifying \bar{x}_n with the vertex m_n of Γ , this may result in identification of

vertices of Γ . Doing this for each α -null orbit γ , the result is a directed graph denoted Γ^2 . By construction, the 1-cocycle u_α on Γ and the 1-cocycle δF_γ on \bar{T}_γ combine to yield a non-negative 1-cocycle u_α^2 on Γ_α^2 , and u_α^2 is positive on each directed edge of \bar{T}_γ .

Each directed loop L in Γ^2 determines a periodic quasi-orbit $O(L)$ of $\phi^\#$ such that $u_\alpha^2(L) = \langle \alpha, O(L) \rangle$, as follows. Each portion of L restricted to Γ determines an orbit of $\phi^\#$ which is not contained in any invariant annulus, each portion of L restricted to \bar{T}_γ determines a sequence of orbits in $\text{Susp}(T_\gamma^\circ)$. These orbits piece together to give $O(L)$.

Conversely, we must show that for each periodic quasi-orbit $\zeta^\#$, either $\langle \alpha, \zeta^\# \rangle = +\infty$, or there is a directed loop L in Γ^2 with $\zeta^\# = O(L)$, for then it will follow that $\langle \alpha, \zeta^\# \rangle = u_\alpha^2(L) \geq 0$. We shall give the argument in the case where ϕ does not permute separatrices, the other case is left to the reader. Assume $\langle \alpha, \zeta^\# \rangle < +\infty$. Let $\zeta^\# = (\zeta_k^\#)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/J}$, and consider $\zeta_k^\#$ not contained in any invariant annulus. $\rho(\zeta_k^\#)$ approaches some α -null singular orbit γ in positive time. Consider the coordinate disc $D = D_\gamma$ around $s = s_\gamma \in \gamma \cap S$. The point set $\rho(\zeta_k^\#) \cap D$ accumulates on s along some stable separatrix ℓ_n . In constructing a symbolic path L_k in Γ for the orbit $\zeta_k^\#$, as L_k approaches $+\infty$ there are two possibilities. L_k will cycle infinitely around a loop in Γ representing γ , and this loop will pass through either the symbol m_{n-1} or the symbol m_n , since these are the two Markov rectangles incident on s and ℓ_n . In the tree \bar{T}_γ , at least one of the two points \bar{x}_{n-1}, \bar{x}_n is identified with z_n . Choose L_k to cycle through m_{n-1} if $\bar{x}_{n-1} = z_n$, and to cycle through m_n if $\bar{x}_n = z_n$. In either case, under the identification map $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^2$, L_k should then be truncated at z_n . A similar construction is made for the negative direction of L_k . Do this for each $\zeta_k^\#$ not contained in an invariant annulus of ζ . Each remaining portion of $\zeta^\#$ is contained in $\text{Susp}(T_\gamma^\circ)$ for some α -null orbit γ , and consists of a sequence of orbits $\zeta_{k+1}^\#, \dots, \zeta_{k'-1}^\#$, yielding a directed path $E_{k+1}, \dots, E_{k'-1}$ in T_γ° . Note that L_k ends at some vertex $z_n \in \bar{T}_\gamma$, and $L_{k'}$ starts at some other vertex $z_n \in \bar{T}_\gamma$. Condition (ii) in the construction of T_γ guarantees that the edge-path \mathcal{E} from z_n to z_n in \bar{T}_γ intersects T_γ° in the directed path $E_{k+1}, \dots, E_{k'-1}$. Thus, \mathcal{E} is directed. Now concatenate \mathcal{E} between L_k and $L_{k'}$. Doing this for each appropriate portion of $\zeta^\#$ results in the desired directed loop in Γ^2 representing $\zeta^\#$.

Now we say how to define the sets $R(\alpha)$, $L(\alpha)$, and $L^q(\alpha)$, which are invariant sets of $\phi^\#$. $R(\alpha)$ is defined as the chain kernel of $\phi^\#$ with respect to α , i.e. the set of all points x such that for all ε, T , there exists an ε, T cycle X through x such that $\langle \alpha, X \rangle = 0$. $L(\alpha)$ is defined as the closure of all periodic orbits γ of $\phi^\#$ such that $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = 0$. $L^q(\alpha)$ is defined as the closure of all quasi-periodic orbits ζ of $\phi^\#$ such that $\langle \alpha, \zeta \rangle = 0$. Observe that $L(\alpha)$ and $L^q(\alpha)$ do not intersect the interior of any invariant annulus of $\phi^\#$. This is a consequence of the fact that u_α^2 is positive on each directed edge of \bar{T}_γ , for each α -null singular orbit γ .

The statement of Proposition 3.7 is true with the new definition of $L(\alpha)$. An analogue of Proposition 4.3 holds, characterizing the subgraph of Γ^2 which is the union of all simple loops L for which $u^2(L) = 0$. Proposition 4.7 is proven exactly as before.

The pseudo-Anosov shadowing theory presented in § 5 needs the following changes. After proving Lemma 5.1 *Visitors enter and leave through corridors*, an addendum to the lemma needs to be proven for the invariant tree T_s constructed by blowing up a singular fixed point s of a pseudo-Anosov map. The addendum says that if ε is small enough in terms of the diameter of T_s , then in an appropriately constructed neighbourhood $N(T_s)$, an ε -chain which visits $N(T_s)$ enters through the stable corridor corresponding to some endpoint v_0 of T_s , and leaves through the unstable corridor corresponding to some endpoint v_1 of T_s , and there is a directed path in T_s leading from v_0 to v_1 . Using this addendum, a version of Lemma 5.3, general pseudo-Anosov shadowing, should be proven for $f^\#$, stating that arbitrary chains of $f^\#$ are shadowed by quasi-orbits, and stating the appropriate version of uniqueness. The remainder of § 5 is unchanged, and in particular we have recovered the proof of Theorem 3.8, that $R(\alpha) = L^q(\alpha)$.

To adapt the construction given in § 6 of an isolating block N for $R(\alpha)$, as before one starts with a pseudo-Markov partition \mathcal{M}^p for f such that for each $P \in \mathcal{M}^p$, and for each α -null periodic orbit γ of ϕ , $\gamma \cap P \subset \text{int}(P)$. In particular, if γ is an n -pronged singular orbit and $\gamma \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then P is a $2n$ -gon. In § 6, we produced a certain subset $\mathcal{M}^p(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{M}^p$, which was a pseudo-Markov partition for the invariant set $R(\alpha) \cap S$. In the present context we must follow a more involved procedure in order to obtain a pseudo-Markov partition $\mathcal{M}^p(\alpha)$ for $R(\alpha) \cap S$. Consider a $2n$ -gon $P \in \mathcal{M}^p$, $n \geq 3$, with n -pronged singular point $x \in P$. P decomposes into $2n$ quadrants, each bounded by one stable and one unstable separatrix. For each quadrant $Q \subset P$, consider $\hat{Q} = \text{cl}(\rho^{-1}(\text{int}(Q)))$. Observe that if \hat{Q} intersects the interior of an invariant path of $f^\#$, then $\text{int}(\hat{Q})$ is disjoint from $R(\alpha)$, this follows from the fact that $R(\alpha)$ is disjoint from the interior of each invariant annulus of $\phi^\#$, together with a simple splicing argument. Thus, for each k -pronged periodic point s of $f^\#$ in $\rho^{-1}(P)$ obtained from the blowup of x , there is a Markov $2k$ -gon $P_s \subset \rho^{-1}(P)$ containing s , such that if $s \neq s'$ then $P_s \cap P_{s'} = \emptyset$, and $\cup_s \{P_s\} \supset R(\alpha) \cap \rho^{-1}(P)$. Hence we obtain a pseudo-Markov partition $\mathcal{M}^p(\alpha)$ for $R(\alpha) \cap S$, as follows. For each $2n$ -gon $P \in \mathcal{M}^p$ with $n \geq 3$, and for each singularity s of $f^\#$ in $\rho^{-1}(P)$, P_s is an element of $\mathcal{M}^p(\alpha)$. And for each rectangular $P \in \mathcal{M}^p$ such that $R(\alpha) \cap \rho^{-1}(P) \neq \emptyset$, $\rho^{-1}(P)$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}^p(\alpha)$. The isolating block N for $R(\alpha)$ can now be constructed from $\mathcal{M}^p(\alpha)$ exactly as in § 6.

In § 7, the shadowing proof of Proposition 7.1 goes through as stated, with $\phi^\#$ in place of ϕ .

The proof of property (E) in § 8 is as before, except that in the final paragraph of the proof, the graph Γ^2 and the class $U^2 \in H^1(\Gamma^2, \mathbb{Z})$ are used, in place of Γ^p and $U^p \in H^1(\Gamma^p, \mathbb{Z})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Mosher. Equivalent spectral decomposition for flows with a \mathbb{Z} -action. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **9** (1989), 329–378.
- [2] L. Mosher. Surfaces and branched surfaces transverse to pseudo-Anosov flows on 3-manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.* **31** (1990).