- Audit and reward compliance with UV light utilization, environmental cleaning Reduce shared patient care equipment, replace with disposable items
- Provide products for patient hand hygiene
- · Implement marketing campaign to tie elements together
- Control
- Audit compliance with testing and isolation policies
- · Laboratory rejection of formed stools
- Audit cleaning processes with adenosine triphosphate (ATP) monitor
- Track ultraviolet light usage

Collaborate with the antibiotic stewardship committee to audit and adjust prescribing practices as needed. **Results:** HO CDI cases decreased by 48% from 2017 to 2018. The NHSN SIR decreased below 1.0. **Conclusions:** The CDIFFerently initiative was successful as a bundled approach to CDI reduction. Incorporating program elements aimed at addressing diagnostic stewardship, antimicrobial stewardship, environmental contamination, transmission prevention and ongoing education, and tying them together with a successful marketing campaign, allowed staff to connect individual actions with a "big picture" approach to HAI reduction.

Funding: None Disclosures: None Doi:10.1017/ice.2020.678

## **Presentation Type:**

# Poster Presentation

# Cerebrospinal Fluid Shunt-Associated Surgical Site Infection With Three- Versus Twelve-Month Follow-Up in Canadian Hospitals

Kelly Baekyung Choi, Public Health Agency of Canada; John Conly, Foothills Medical Centre; Blanda Chow, Infection Prevention & Control, Alberta Health Services; Joanne Embree; Bonita Lee; Marie-Astrid Lefebvre, Montreal Childrens' Hospital, McGill University Health Centre; Robyn Mitchell, Public Health Agency of Canada; Linda Pelude, Public Health Agency of Canada; Allyson Shephard, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Joanne Langley, Dalhousie University; CNISP PHAC, Public Health Agency of Canada; Jeannette Comeau, Dalhousie University

Background: Surgical site infection (SSI) after cerebrospinal fluids (CSF) shunt surgery is thought to be acquired intraoperatively. Biomaterial-associated infection can present up to 1 year after surgery, but many national systems have shortened follow-up to 90 days. We compared 3- versus 12-month follow-up periods to determine the nature of case ascertainment in the 2 periods. Methods: Participants of any age with placement of an internal CSF shunt or revision surgical manipulation of an existing internal shunt identified in the Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program (CNISP) participating hospitals between 2006 and 2018 were eligible. We excluded patients with external shunting devices or culture-positive CSF at the time of surgery. Patients were followed for 12 months after surgery for the primary outcome of a CSF infection with a positive CSF culture by review of laboratory and health records. Patients were categorized as adult (aged  $\geq$ 18 years) or pediatric (aged <18 years). The infection rate was expressed as the number of CSF shunt-associated infections divided by the number of shunt surgeries per 100 procedures. Results: In total, 325 patients (53% female) met inclusion criteria





Fig. 1.

in 14 hospitals from 7 provinces were identified. Overall, 46.1% of surgeries were shunt revisions and 90.3% of shunts were ventriculoperitoneal. For pediatric patients, the median age was 0.7 years (IQR, 0.2-7.0). For adult patients, the median age was 47.9 years (IQR, 29.6-64.6). The SSI rates per 100 procedures were 3.69 for adults and 3.65 for pediatrics. The overall SSI rates per 100 procedures at 3 and 12 months were 2.74 (n = 265) and 3.48 (n = 323), respectively. By 3 months (90 days), 82% of infection cases were identified (Fig. 1). The median time from procedure to SSI detection was 30 days (IQR, 10-65). No difference was found in the microbiology of the shunt infections at 3- and 12-month followups. The most common pathogens were coagulase-negative Staphylococcus (43.6 %), followed by S. aureus (24.8 %) and Propionibacterium spp (6.5 %). No differences in age distribution, gender, surgery type (new or revision), shunt type, or infecting organisms were observed when 3- and 12-month periods were compared. Conclusions: CSF-SSI surveillance for 3 versus 12 months would capture 82.0% (95% CI, 77.5-86.0) of cases, with no significant differences in the patient characteristics, surgery types, or pathogens. A 3-month follow-up can reduce resources and allow for more timely reporting of infection rates.

#### Funding: None Disclosures: None

Doi:10.1017/ice.2020.679

# **Presentation Type:**

Poster Presentation

## Challenges and Facilitators to Effective Infection Prevention in Home Health Care: Results From Qualitative Interviews of Home Health Care Services

Monika Pogorzelska-Maziarz, Thomas Jefferson University; Jingjing Shang, Columbia University School of Nursing; Ashley Chastain, Columbia University School of Nursing; Sabrina L. Mangal, Columbia University School of Nursing; Patricia Stone, Columbia School of Nursing

**Background:** As the population of older Americans with chronic conditions continues to grow, the role of home health care (HHC) services in improving care transitions between acute care and independent living has become a national priority. Infection prevention and control (IPC) is often a focus of quality improvement initiatives

CrossMark

at HHC agencies. In this study, we investigated barriers and facilitators of effective IPC in HHC. Methods: In 2018, we conducted indepth, telephone interviews with 41 staff from 13 agencies across the United States including administrators, IPC and quality improvement personnel, registered nurses and HHC aides. Interview transcripts were coded in NVivo v 12 software (QSR International), and themes were identified using content analysis. Results: We identified 4 themes: (1) IPC as a priority, (2) uniqueness of home health care, (3) importance of education, and (4) keys to success and innovation. When discussing the top priorities in the agency, participants described IPC as a big part of patient safety and as playing a major role in reducing rates of rehospitalization. Protection of patients and staff was described as a major motivator for compliance with IPC policies and procedures, and agencies placed specific focus on improving hand hygiene, bag technique, and disinfection of equipment. Almost all participants described the uniqueness of providing health care in a patient's home, which was often talked about as an unpredictable environment due to lack of cleanliness, presence of pets and/or pests, and family dynamics. Furthermore, the intermittent nature of HHC was described as affecting effective implementation of IPC procedures. Education was seen as a tool to improve and overcome patient, caregiver, and families' lack of compliance with IPC procedures. However, to be effective educators and role models, participants stated that they themselves needed to be properly educated on IPC policies and procedures. Several keys to success and innovation were discussed including (1) agency reputation as a key driver of quality; (2) agency focus on quality and patient satisfaction; (3) using agency infection data to improve the quality of patient care; (4) utilizing all available resources within and outside of the agency, and (5) a coordinated approach to patient care with direct, multimodal communication among all clinical disciplines. Conclusions: This qualitative work identified barriers to effective infection prevention and control in HHC and important facilitators that HHC agencies can use to improve implementation of policies and procedures to improve patient care.

Funding: None Disclosures: None Doi:10.1017/ice.2020.680

#### **Presentation Type:**

Poster Presentation Challenges in Identification of *Candida auris* in Hospital Laboratories: Comparison Between HIC and LMIC

Sharmila Sengupta, National Center for Infectious Diseases, Singapore; Kalisvar Marimuthu, Tan Tock Seng Hospital; Andrew Stewardson, Monash University; Stephan Harbarth, Geneva University Hospitals; Amanda Durante, University of Connecticut School of Medicine; Sanjeev Singh, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences

**Background:** *Candida auris* is an emerging nosocomial fungal pathogen causing invasive illness and outbreaks worldwide. A major issue regarding *C. auris* is that it can be misidentified unless appropriate technology is used. We conducted a survey of available methods for identification of *C. auris* in 21 hospital laboratories in India regarding their protocols for prevention of *C. auris* infection. **Methods:** The survey was an adaptation of a similar survey conducted for the Connecticut Laboratory Response Network in 2017. We mailed the survey to 30 microbiologists and ID physicians, and 21 of them from 12 states responded. All respondents were from private acute-care and teaching hospitals. The responses were

| Table 1. | Comparison | of | Results | of | US | and | India | Study | ļ |
|----------|------------|----|---------|----|----|-----|-------|-------|---|
|----------|------------|----|---------|----|----|-----|-------|-------|---|

| Candida auris<br>Identification                              | Acute-Care Hospitals in<br>Connecticut (N = 21),<br>No. (%) | Acute-Care Hospitals in<br>India (N = 21), No. (%) |
|--|---|--|
| In-house   | 17 (81)   | 19 (90.5)  |
| Automated systems  | 21 (100)  | 19 (90.5)  |
| Speciation from<br>sterile sites like<br>blood               | 16 (76.2)   | 18 (85.7)  |
| Speciation from<br>other sites -<br>Respiratory<br>- Urinary | 9 (42.9)<br>11 (52.4)                                       | 9 (42.9)<br>13 (61.9)                              |
| MALDI-TOF  | 5 (23.8)  | 1 (4.8)  |
| PCR  | 0   | 1 (4.8)  |
| Antifungal<br>susceptibility<br>testing                      | 2 (9.5)   | 19 (90.5)  |

analyzed and compared to the Connecticut study. Results: Of 21 hospitals, 19 (90.5%) can identify C. auris in house. Also, 18 (85.7%) have identified C. auris in the past 18 months. Species level identification was done only for blood cultures in all hospitals. Only 5 (26%) laboratories speciated Candida spp isolated from other sites such as respiratory and urinary specimens. Automated systems were used like Vitek 2 in 16 (84.2%), Phoenix BD in 2(10.5%) and Microscan in 1(5.26%) laboratory. MALDI-TOF MS and PCR for identification were used in 2 laboratories. Antifungal susceptibility testing is done in-house in 19 (90.5%) laboratories. Only 10 (52.6%) responding hospitals from India had infection prevention protocols for C. auris, and 9 (47.4%) of them isolated patients. The major challenges for infection prevention with C. auris are absence of screening in high-risk patients (66.7%), misidentification by automated systems (84.2%), and inability to speciate from nonsterile sites underestimates the prevalence (100%). Conclusions: There is an urgent need to enhance the capacity of hospital laboratories to detect C. auris early, and to implement infection prevention measures. In both studies early detection is the key and as suggested by the US authors, challenges can be overcome through collaboration between hospitals and referral laboratories when resources are limited. This optimizes laboratory capacity and prevents global spread through colonized patients. The limitation of this study is that data from public hospitals are unknown and larger studies are needed. Funding: None

Disclosures: None

Doi:10.1017/ice.2020.681

### **Presentation Type:**

Poster Presentation

# Changes in Regional Hospital-Identified *Clostridioides difficile* Infection, 2015–2018

Raymund Dantes, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Emory University; Jonathan Edwards, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Qunna Li, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

**Background:** Regional changes in United States *C. difficile* infection (CDI) are not well understood but important for targeting prevention strategies. **Methods:** Community-onset (CO) CDI was