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#### Abstract

In an earlier paper, for 'large' (but otherwise unspecified) subsets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, Sárközy showed the solvability of the equations $a+b=c d$ with $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}, d \in \mathcal{D}$. This equation has been studied recently by many other authors. In this paper, we study the solvability of systems of equations of this type using additive character sums.


2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C35, 05C38, 05C55.

1. Introduction. In [8], Sárközy proved that if $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ are 'large' subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, more precisely, $|\mathcal{A}\|\mathcal{B}\| \mathcal{C} \| \mathcal{D}| \gg p^{3}$, then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=c d \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be solved with $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}$. Gyarmati and Sárközy [4] generalized the results on the solvability of equation (1.1) to finite fields. They also study the solvability of other (higher degree) algebraic equations with solutions restricted to 'large' subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ denotes the finite field of $q$ elements. Using bounds of multiplicative character sums, Shparlinski [9] extended the class of sets which satisfy this property. Furthermore, Garaev [3] considered equation (1.1) over some special sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ to obtain new results on the sum-product problem in finite fields. The author gave another proof of Garaev's results using graph theory methods in [11].

In this paper, we will use additive character sums to study the systems of sumproduct equations in finite fields. More precisely, we consider the following systems:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}+a_{1}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{1}=\lambda_{1}, \quad a_{0}+a_{2}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{2}=\lambda_{2}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}+a_{1}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{1}=\lambda_{1}, \quad a_{0}+a_{2}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{2}=\lambda_{2}, \quad a_{1}+a_{2}-\mathfrak{b}_{1} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{2}=\lambda_{3}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{i} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, i=0,1,2, d \geq 1$. Our first result states that the system (1.2) of two sum-product equations in large restricted subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is always solvable.

THEOREM 1.1. Given three subsets $\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$. Suppose that

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|,\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}\right| \gg q^{d+2}
$$

then for any $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, the system (1.2) has

$$
(1+o(1)) \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}\right|}{q^{2}}
$$

solutions.
Theorem 1.1 can even be generalized to the system of $k$ equations and $k+1$ variables without any costs.

Theorem 1.2. Given $k+1$ subsets $\mathcal{A}_{i} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, i=0, \ldots, k$. Suppose that

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right| \gg q^{d+2}
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, and

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{2} \prod_{i \in I}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right| \gg q^{(d+2)|I|}
$$

for all $I \subset\{1, \ldots, k\},|I| \geq 2$. Consider the system $\mathcal{L}$ of $k$ equations

$$
a_{0}+a_{i}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{i}=\lambda_{i}, \quad\left(a_{i}, \mathfrak{b}_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k
$$

Then, for any $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, the above system has

$$
(1+o(1)) q^{-k} \prod_{i=0}^{k}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|
$$

solutions.
The system (1.3) of three sum-product equations in large restricted subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, however, is not always solvable. We will instead show that the system is solvable for a positive proportion of all triples $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$ in the smallest case, $d=1$. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Given three subsets $\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Suppose that

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|,\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|,\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}\right| \gg q^{3 / 2}
$$

then the system (1.3) is solvable for $\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}\right|}}{q^{2}}\right) q^{3}$ triples $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$.
It is conceivable that we can chop off the term $\Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}\right|}}{q^{2}}\right)$ in the above theorem, or even better, the system is solvable for $(1-o(1)) q^{3}$ triples $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$. We show that it is indeed the case when the ambient space $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$ has dimension $d+1 \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.4. Given three subsets $\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$. Suppose that

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{1},\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|\right| \mathcal{A}_{2}\left|,\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\right| \mathcal{A}_{2} \mid \gg q^{(d+2) / 2}
$$

then the system (1.3) is solvable for $(1-o(1)) q^{3}$ triples $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$. Furthermore, if $d \geq 3$ and

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{1},\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|\right| \mathcal{A}_{2}\left|,\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\right| \mathcal{A}_{2} \mid \gg q^{(d+3) / 2}
$$

then the system (1.3) is solvable for all triples $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$.
Interested readers can also find some interesting related problems in $[\mathbf{1 , 2 , 5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}$, $10,12,13,14,15]$.
2. Sum-product equation - Revisited. For any $\left(a_{0}, \mathbb{b}_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$ and a subset $V \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$, denote $N^{\lambda}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right)$ be the set of all pairs $(a, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$ such that

$$
a_{0}+a-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}=\lambda,
$$

and let $N_{V}^{\lambda}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right)=N^{\lambda}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right) \cap V$. The following key estimate says that the cardinalities of $N_{V}^{\lambda}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)$ 's are close to $|V| / q$ when $|V|$ is large.

Lemma 2.1. For every subset $V$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$ then

$$
\sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}}\left(\left|N_{V}^{\lambda}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right)\right|-\frac{|V|}{q}\right)^{2}<q^{d}|V| .
$$

Proof For any set $X$, let $X(\cdot)$ denote the characteristic function of $X$. Let $\chi$ be any non-trivial additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|N_{V}^{\lambda}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right)\right| & =\sum_{(a, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, a_{0}+a-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}-\lambda=0} V(a, \mathfrak{b}) \\
& =\sum_{(a, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{1}{q} \chi\left(s\left(a_{0}+a-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}-\lambda\right)\right) V(a, \mathfrak{b}) \\
& =\frac{|V|}{q}+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{(a, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \chi\left(s\left(a_{0}+a-\mathbb{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}-\lambda\right)\right) V(a, \mathfrak{b}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}}\left(\left|N_{V}^{\lambda}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right)\right|-\frac{|V|}{q}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}}\left(\sum_{(a, \mathrm{~b}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \chi\left(s\left(a_{0}+a-\mathbb{b}_{0} \cdot \mathrm{~b}-\lambda\right)\right) V(a, \mathrm{~b})\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{\substack{s, s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, a_{0}, a, a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
\mathbb{b}_{0}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathrm{b}}, b^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}}} \chi\left(\left(s-s^{\prime}\right)\left(a_{0}-\lambda\right)\right) \chi\left(s a-s^{\prime} a^{\prime}\right) \chi\left(\mathbb { B } _ { 0 } \cdot \left(s^{\prime} \mathbb{b}^{\prime}\right.\right. \\
& -s \mathfrak{b})) V(a, \mathfrak{b}) V\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \\
& =q^{d-1} \sum_{a, a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, s=s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \chi\left(s\left(a-a^{\prime}\right)\right) V(a, \mathfrak{b}) V\left(a^{\prime}, \mathfrak{b}\right) \\
& =q^{d-1}\left(R_{1}+R_{2}\right) \text {, } \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{1}$ is taken over $a=a^{\prime}$ and $R_{2}$ is taken over $a \neq a^{\prime}$ (the fourth line follows from the orthogonality in $a_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{b}_{0}$ and we consider the third line as a sum over $a_{0}$ then $\mathrm{b}_{0}$
implies that all summands vanish unless $s=s^{\prime}$ and $\left.\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1} & =\sum_{a=a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, s=s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \chi\left(s\left(a-a^{\prime}\right)\right) V(a, \mathfrak{b}) V\left(a^{\prime}, \mathfrak{b}\right) \\
& =(q-1) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}} V(a, \mathfrak{b})^{2}=(q-1)|V|, \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{2} & =\sum_{a \neq a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, s=s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \chi\left(s\left(a-a^{\prime}\right)\right) V(a, \mathfrak{b}) V\left(a^{\prime}, \mathfrak{b}\right) \\
& =\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, s \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, t \neq 0,1, a^{\prime}=t a} \chi(s a(1-t)) V(a, \mathfrak{b}) V(t a, \mathfrak{b}) \\
& =-\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}, t \neq 0,1} V(a, \mathfrak{b}) V(t a, \mathfrak{b}) \\
& \geqslant-(q-2)|V| . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The lemma follows immediately from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3).
The following result (a generalization of Theorem 1 in [4]) is an easy corollary of Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 2.1. For any two subsets $V, U \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$, let $N^{\lambda}(U, V)$ be the set of pairs $\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right) \in V,\left(a_{1}, \mathfrak{b}_{1}\right) \in U$ such that $a_{0}+a_{1}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{1}=\lambda$. Then, we have

$$
\left|N^{\lambda}(V, U)-\frac{|V||U|}{q}\right|<\sqrt{q^{d}|V||U|} .
$$

Proof By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\sum_{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in U}\left(\left|N_{V}^{\lambda}\left(a_{1}, \mathfrak{b}_{1}\right)\right|-\frac{|V|}{q}\right)^{2} \leqslant \sum_{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}}\left(\left|N_{V}^{\lambda}\left(a_{1}, \mathfrak{b}_{1}\right)\right|-\frac{|V|}{q}\right)^{2}<q^{d}|V|
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|N^{\lambda}(V, U)-\frac{|V||U|}{q}\right| & \leqslant \sum_{\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in U}| | N_{V}^{\lambda}\left(a_{1}, \mathfrak{b}_{1}\right)\left|-\frac{|V|}{q}\right| \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{|U|} \sqrt{\sum_{\left(a_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right) \in U}\left(\left\lvert\, N_{V}^{\lambda}\left(a_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)-\frac{|V|}{q}\right.\right)^{2}} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{q^{d}|V||U|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The system of $k$ equations, $k+1$ variables. We will prove Theorem 1.2 in this section (Theorem 1.1 is just a special case of this result). The proof proceeds by
induction. The base step $k=1$ is Theorem 2.1 above. Assuming that the theorem holds for all systems of $l$ equations and $l+1$ variables with $l<k$, from Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}}\left(\left|N_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)\right|-\frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|}{q}\right)^{2} \leqslant \sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}}\left(\left|N_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)\right|-\frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|}{q}\right)^{2} \leqslant q^{d}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right| . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $k \geqslant 2$, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i, j}^{2}\right) \geqslant\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i, j}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{k . j}^{2}\right) \geqslant\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} a_{i, j}\right)^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(N_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)-\frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|}{q}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(N_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)-\frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|}{q}\right)^{2} \leqslant q^{d k} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{I \subset\{1, \ldots, k\}}\left((-1)^{k-|I|} \sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}} \prod_{j \neq I} \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\right|}{q} \prod_{i \in I} N_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)\right)\right| \leqslant \sqrt{q^{k d} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right| .} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $I \subset\{1, \ldots, k\}$ with $0<|I|<k$, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}} \prod_{i \in I} N_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)=(1+o(1)) q^{-|I|}\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right| \prod_{i \in I}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right| . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (3.3) and (3.4) together, we have

$$
\left|\sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} N_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right)-(1+o(1)) q^{-k} \prod_{i=0}^{k}\right| \mathcal{A}_{i}| | \leqslant \sqrt{q^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right| .}
$$

Since $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right| \gg q^{(d+2) k}$, the left-hand side is dominated by $(1+$ $o(1)) q^{-k} \prod_{i=0}^{k}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|$. This implies that

$$
\sum_{\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} N_{\mathcal{A}_{i}}^{\lambda_{i}}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right)=(1+o(1)) q^{-k} \prod_{i=0}^{k}\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}\right|,
$$

completing the proof of the theorem.

## 4. The system of three equations, three variables.

4.1. The case $d=1$ (proof of Theorem 1.3). Let $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{*}=\mathcal{A}_{i} \cap \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, i=0,1,2$, then

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{i}^{*}\right| \gg q^{3 / 2}
$$

for $i \in\{0,1,2\}$. For any $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{i}^{*}, i=0,1,2: a_{0}+a_{1}-b_{0} b_{1}=\lambda_{1}, a_{0}+a_{2}-b_{0} b_{2}=\lambda_{2}\right\}\right| \\
& \quad=(1+o(1)) \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{*}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}\right|}{q^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the pigeon-hole principle, there exists $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{i}^{*}, i=1,2: a_{0}+a_{1}-b_{0} b_{1}=\lambda_{1}, a_{0}+a_{2}-b_{0} b_{2}=\lambda_{2}\right\}\right| \\
& \quad=(1+o(1)) \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{*}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}\right|}{q^{2}} \gg q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta=\sqrt{\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{*}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}\right|} / q^{2} \gg q^{-1 / 2}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{i}^{*}: a_{0}+a_{i}-b_{0} b_{i}=\lambda_{i}\right\}, i=1,2$, then $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \gg \delta^{2} q^{2}$. We assume that $\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right|$, then $\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant \delta q$. It suffices to show that there are at least $c \delta q$ values of $\lambda$ such that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}+a_{2}-b_{1} b_{2}=\lambda, \quad\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is solvable. For a fix $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}$, we want to solve the following system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}+a-b_{0} b=\lambda_{2}, \\
& a_{1}+a-b_{1} b=\lambda,
\end{aligned}
$$

under the constraint $a_{0}+a_{1}-b_{0} b_{1}=\lambda_{1}$. It follows that $\left(b_{1}-b_{0}\right) b=\lambda_{2}-\lambda+a_{1}-$ $a_{0}$. Thus, the system has at most one solution unless $b_{1}-b_{0}=\lambda_{2}-\lambda+a_{1}-a_{0}=0$. Suppose that $b_{1}=b_{0}$ and $\lambda=\lambda_{2}+a_{1}-a_{0}$, then from the constraint $a_{0}+a_{1}-b_{0} b_{1}=$ $\lambda_{1}$, we have $a_{1}=b_{0}^{2}+\lambda_{1}-a_{0}$ and $\lambda=\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}+b_{0}^{2}-2 a_{0}$. We consider two cases. Since $\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \leq q,\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \geq \delta^{2} q \gg 1$. Thus, we can choose $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \neq$ ( $b_{0}^{2}+\lambda_{1}-a_{0}, b_{0}$ ). Equation (4.1) now has at most one solution for each $\lambda$. So, there exists at least $\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geq \delta q$ values of $\lambda$ such that equation (4.1) is solvable. This complete the proof of the theorem.
4.2. The case $d \geq 2$ (proof of Theorem 1.4). Let $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{*}=\mathcal{A}_{i} \backslash(0 ; 0, \ldots, 0)$. For any $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{\left(a_{i}, \mathfrak{b}_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{i}^{*}, i=0,1,2: a_{0} a_{1}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{1}=\lambda_{1}, a_{0} a_{2}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{2}=\lambda_{2}\right\}\right| \\
& \quad=(1+o(1)) \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{*}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}\right|}{q^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the pigeon-hole principle, there exists $\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{\left(\left(a_{1}, \mathfrak{b}_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, \mathfrak{b}_{2}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1}^{*} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}: a_{0} a_{1}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{1}=\lambda_{1}, a_{0} a_{2}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{2}=\lambda_{2}\right\}\right| \\
& \quad=(1+o(1)) \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{*}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}\right|}{q^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $(a, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d} \backslash(0 ; 0, \ldots, 0)$, set $\Pi_{\lambda}(a, \mathfrak{b})=\left\{(u, \vee) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}: a u-\mathbb{b}\right.$. $v=\lambda\}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}=\Pi_{\lambda_{1}}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{A}_{1}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}=\Pi_{\lambda_{2}}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}$, then

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right|=(1+o(1)) \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{*}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}\right|}{q^{2}} \gg q^{d+1} .
$$

The first part of Theorem 1.4 follows immediately from the following lemma:
Lemma 4.1. For any $(a, \mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d} \backslash(0 ; 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, suppose that $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \Pi_{\lambda_{1}}(a, \mathfrak{b}), \mathcal{F} \subseteq \Pi_{\lambda_{2}}(a, \mathfrak{b})$. If $d \geqslant 2$ and $|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}| \gg q^{d+1}$, then

$$
\left|\Pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}):=\left\{e_{0} f_{0}-\mathbb{e}_{1} \cdot \mathbb{F}_{1}:\left(e_{0}, \mathbb{e}_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{E},\left(f_{0}, \mathbb{F}_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{F}\right\}\right| \geqslant(1-o(1)) q .
$$

Proof The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.8 in [7]. Define the incidence function

$$
v_{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})=\left\{\left(\left(e_{0}, \mathbb{e}_{1}\right),\left(f_{0}, \mathbb{F}_{1}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}: e_{0} f_{0}-\mathbb{e}_{1} \cdot \mathbb{F}_{1}=\lambda\right\}
$$

The Fourier transform of a complex-valued function $f$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$ with respect to a nontrivial additive character $\chi$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(k)=q^{-d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}} \chi(-x \cdot k) f(x), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Fourier inversion formula takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}} \chi(x \cdot k) \hat{f}(k) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality applied to the sum in the variable $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} v_{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})^{2} \leqslant|\mathcal{E}| \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}} \sum_{\substack{a_{0}+a_{1}-b_{0} \cdot b_{1}=\lambda \\
a_{0}+a_{1}^{\prime}-b_{0} \cdot b_{1}^{\prime}=\lambda}} \mathcal{E}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, b_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =|\mathcal{E}| \sum_{\left(a_{1}-a_{1}^{\prime}\right)-b_{0} \cdot\left(b_{1}-b_{1}^{\prime}\right)=0} \mathcal{E}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{b}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =|\mathcal{E}| q^{-1} \sum_{\substack{s, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{1}^{\prime} \in \in \mathbb{F}_{g} \\
\mathfrak{b}_{0}, b_{1}, \mathfrak{b}_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{g}}} \chi\left(s\left(a_{1}-a_{1}^{\prime}-\mathfrak{b}_{0} \cdot\left(\mathfrak{b}_{1}-\mathfrak{b}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathcal{E}\left(a_{0}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(a_{1}, \mathfrak{b}_{1}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{b}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& =q^{-1}|\mathcal{E}|^{2}|\mathcal{F}|^{2}+q^{-1}|\mathcal{E}| q^{2 d+2} \sum_{s \neq 0, a_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, b_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}} \mathcal{E}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)\left|\hat{\mathcal{F}}\left(s\left(1, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)\right)\right|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line follows from (4.2). By changing variables $a_{0} \rightarrow a_{1}, s \rightarrow a_{0}$ and $s b_{0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} v_{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})^{2} & \leqslant q^{-1}|\mathcal{E}|^{2}|\mathcal{F}|^{2}+q^{2 d+1}|\mathcal{E}| \sum_{a_{0} \neq 0, a_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, \mathrm{~b}_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}} \mathcal{E}\left(a_{1}, a_{0}^{-1} \mathfrak{b}_{0}\right)\left|\hat{\mathcal{F}}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant q^{-1}|\mathcal{E}|^{2}|\mathcal{F}|^{2}+q^{2 d+1}|\mathcal{E}| \sum_{a_{0} \neq 0, \mathrm{~b}_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}}\left|\hat{\mathcal{F}}\left(a_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant q^{-1}|\mathcal{E}|^{2}|\mathcal{F}|^{2}+q^{2 d+1}|\mathcal{E}| q^{-(d+1)} \sum_{\left(a_{0}^{*}, b_{0}^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(a_{0}^{*}, \mathfrak{b}_{0}^{*}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =q^{-1}|\mathcal{E}|^{2}|\mathcal{F}|^{2}+q^{d}|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second line follows from the fact that for each $a_{0}^{-1} \mathbb{b}_{0}$, there exists at most one $a_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $\left(a_{1}, a_{0}^{-1} \mathbb{B}_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{E} \subseteq \Pi_{\lambda_{1}}(a, \mathbb{b})$. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality again, we have

$$
|\mathcal{E}|^{2}|\mathcal{F}|^{2}=\left(\sum_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})\right)^{2} \leqslant|\Pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})| \sum_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})^{2}
$$

This implies that

$$
|\Pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})| \geqslant \frac{q}{1+\frac{q^{d}}{|\mathcal{E} \||\mathcal{F}|}} .
$$

This follows that if $|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}| \gg q^{d}$, then $|\Pi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})|=q(1-o(1))$, completing the proof of the lemma.

If $d \geq 3$ and

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{1},\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right|\right| \mathcal{A}_{2}\left|,\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|\right| \mathcal{A}_{2} \mid \gg q^{(d+3) / 2}
$$

then

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right|=(1+o(1)) \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}^{*}\right|\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}^{*}\right|}{q^{2}} \gg q^{d+1}
$$

From Theorem 2.2, for any $\lambda_{3} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, there are $\left(a_{1}, \mathbb{b}_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\left(a_{2}, \mathbb{b}_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $a_{1} a_{2}-\mathfrak{b}_{1} \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{2}=\lambda_{3}$. Therefore, the system (1.3) is solvable for all triples $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{3}$. This complete the proof of the theorem.
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