A (MODEST) GENERALIZATION OF THE THEOREMS OF WILSON AND FERMAT

ΒY

W. O. J. MOSER

ABSTRACT. We show that $(1/n^2) \sum_{d|n} a^d (\varphi(n/d))^2 (n/d)^d d!$ is an integer. Special cases include the theorems of Wilson and Fermat.

The classical congruence of Wilson states that

(1)
$$(p-1)! + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, p \text{ a prime},$$

while Fermat's congruence states that

(2)
$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}, \quad p \text{ a prime.}$$

Traditionally these congruences are proved separately (and similarly), but L. Moser [2] observed that the same sort of proof yields, at once, the congruence

(3)
$$a^{p}(p-1)! \equiv a(p-1) \pmod{p}, p \text{ a prime.}$$

Taking a = 1 in (3) gives (1), and then (1) and (3) give (2).

In this note we prove that for integers $a \ge 1$ and $n \ge 2$

(4)
$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{d|n} a^d \left(\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)\right)^2 \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^d d! \text{ is an integer.}$$

Here $\varphi(n)$, the Euler phi function, denotes the number of integers in $\{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ relatively prime to *n*, and we will be using $d \mid n$ to denote "*d* divides *n*" and (*m*, *n*) to denote the greatest common divisor of *m* and *n*. When n = p, a prime, (4) reads

$$\frac{1}{p^2} \left\{ a(p-1)^2 p + a^p p! \right\}$$
 is an integer,

from which (3) follows, so (4) is indeed a (modest) generalization of (3).

First we will prove (4) in the case a = 1.

Consider the set S_n of n! permutations (linear arrangements)

$$\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n), \ \alpha_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \ \alpha_i \neq \alpha_i \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

Received by the editors January 9, 1989.

AMS (1980) Subject Classification: 11A07, 05A15.

[©] Canadian Mathematical Society 1989.

Let T denote the operation

$$T(\underline{\alpha}) = T(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) = (\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_n, \alpha_1),$$

and R the operation

$$R(\underline{\alpha}) = R(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = (\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2 + 1, \dots, \alpha_n + 1), \quad n+1 = 1.$$

It is easy to see that *T* and *R* commute i.e., $T(R(\underline{\alpha})) = R(T(\underline{\alpha}))$ for all $\underline{\alpha} \in S_n$, that *T* and *R* each generate a cyclic group of order *n*, and that *T* and *R* together generate a group *G* of order *n*² (the direct product of the two cyclic groups) whose elements are $T^{-m}R^k(1 \le m, k \le n)$. This group acts on S_n , and partitions the set S_n into equivalence classes, where $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\beta}$ in S_n are equivalent if, for some *m* and *k*, $T^{-m}R^k(\underline{\alpha}) = \underline{\beta}$ or $R^k(\underline{\alpha}) = T^m(\beta)$.

Let f(n) denote the number of these equivalence classes. J. E. Steggall [3] gave a method for computing f(n) which involved setting up and solving a system of equations, but he failed to obtain the very simple expression

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{d|n} \left(\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)\right)^2 \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^d d!.$$

We will obtain this formula by applying Burnside's Lemma (see [2]), which states that

(5)
$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{1 \le m, k \le n} \mathcal{N}(m, k), \text{ where } \mathcal{N}(m, k) = \#\{\underline{\alpha} \in S_n \mid R^k(\underline{\alpha}) = T^m(\underline{\alpha})\}.$$

Note that:

(6)
$$R^{ik}(\underline{\alpha}) = (R^k)^i(\underline{\alpha}) = (R^k)^i(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n),$$

$$\beta_s = \alpha_s + ik; \text{ (the entries } \alpha_s + ik \text{ are, of course, reduced} (mod n) \text{ to be in the set } \{1, 2, \dots, n\});$$

(7)
$$T^{jm}(\underline{\alpha}) = (T^m)^j(\underline{\alpha}) = (T^m)^j(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n),$$

$$\beta_s = \alpha_{s+jm}; \text{ (the subscripts } s+jm \text{ are, of course, reduced} (mod n) \text{ to be in the set } \{1, 2, \dots, n\});$$

(8) the period of
$$R^k$$
 in \mathcal{G} is $\frac{n}{(n,k)}$;

(9) the period of
$$T^m$$
 in \mathcal{G} is $\frac{n}{(n,m)}$

[June

THEOREMS OF WILSON AND FERMAT

(10) if
$$R^k(\underline{\alpha}) = T^m(\underline{\alpha})$$
 for some $\underline{\alpha} \in S_n$ then $(n, k) = (n, m)$

The last assertion can be seen as follows. The first entry of $R^{kn/(n,k)}(\underline{\alpha})$ is α_1 (this follows from (6) and (8)), while the first entry of $T^{mn/(n,k)}(\underline{\alpha})$ is $\alpha_{1+mn/(n,k)}$ (this follows from (7)). Thus, if $R^k(\underline{\alpha}) = T^m(\underline{\alpha})$ then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_{1+mn/(n,k)}$, implying mn/(n,k)is a multiple of *n*, so that $(n,k) \mid m$. Since $(n,k) \mid n$ it follows that $(n,k) \mid (n,m)$. Similarly $(n,m) \mid (n,k)$.

Using (10), (5) becomes

(11)
$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\substack{(m,n) = (k,n) \\ 1 \le m, k \le n}} \mathcal{N}(m,k) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n}} \sum_{\substack{(m,n) = d \\ (k,n) = d}} \mathcal{N}(m,k).$$

Now for given d, m and k with $d \mid n$ and (m,n) = (k,n) = d let us determine $\mathcal{N}(m,k)$. Suppose that $\underline{\alpha} \in S_n$ and

(12)
$$R^{k}(\underline{\alpha}) = T^{m}(\underline{\alpha}).$$

Then

$$T^{im}(\underline{\alpha}) = R^{ik}(\underline{\alpha}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{d}$$

and hence

$$\alpha_{s+im} = \alpha_s + ik, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{d}; \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

Thus the entries $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d$ determine all other entries in $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$,

 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d$ are pairwise incongruent (mod k)

(because R^k has period n/d), and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d)$ must be a permutation of $(\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_d)$, where

$$\beta_{1} \in \left\{ 1, 1+k, 1+2k, \dots, 1+\left(\frac{n}{d}-1\right)k \right\}$$
$$\beta_{2} \in \left\{ 2, 2+k, 2+2k, \dots, 2+\left(\frac{n}{d}-1\right)k \right\}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\beta_{d} \in \left\{ d, d+k, d+2k, \dots, d+\left(\frac{n}{d}-1\right)k \right\}$$

Since there are n/d choices for each β_i , and each permutation of $(\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_d)$ leads to d! permutations $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d)$ there are $(n/d)^d d!$ permutations $\underline{\alpha}$ satisfying (12):

$$\mathcal{N}(m,k) = \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^d d!$$
 if $(m,n) = (k,n) = d$ and $d \mid n$.

255

1990]

Now we have

(13)
$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{d|n} \sum_{\substack{(m,n)=d\\(k,n)=d}} \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^d d!$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{d|n} \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^d d! \sum_{\substack{(k,n)=d\\(m,n)=d}} \sum_{\substack{(m,n)=d\\(m,n)=d}} 1$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{d|n} \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^d d! \left(\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)\right)^2.$$

Of course f(n) is an integer so we have (4) when a = 1.

When $a \ge 2$, (4) is obtained by applying Burnside's Lemma to the set $S_n \times C_n$, where

$$C_n = \{(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \mid c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

and the group acting on $S_n \times C_n$ is generated by the two operations

$$T: (\underline{\alpha}, \underline{c}) \to (T(\underline{\alpha}), T(\underline{c})),$$
 where $T(\underline{c}) = T(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) = (c_2, \dots, c_n, c_1),$

 $R:(\underline{\alpha},\underline{c}) \longrightarrow (R(\underline{\alpha}),\underline{c}).$

REFERENCES

1. C. L. Liu, Introduction to Combinatorial Mathemamatics, McGraw Hill, New York, 1968.

2. L. Moser, On the theorems of Wilson and Fermat, Scripta Mathematica, 22 (1956), 288.

3. J. E. Steggall, On the number of patterns which can be derived from certain elements. Messenger of Mathematics, **32** (1907), 56–61.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics McGill University 905 Sherbrooke St. W. Montreal, Que. Canada H3A2K6

256