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#### Abstract

Questions concerning the convergence of Padé and best rational approximations are considered from a categorical point of view in the complete metric space of entire functions. The set of functions for which a subsequence of the $m$ th row of the Pade table converges uniformly on compact subsets of the complex plane is shown to be residual. The speed of convergence of best uniform rational approximations and Padé approximations on the unit disc is compared. It is shown that, in a categorical sense, it is expected that subsequences of these approximants will converge at the same rate.

Likewise, it is expected that the poles of certain sequences of best uniform rational approximations wil be dense in the entire plane.


1. Introduction. We examine questions concerning rational approximations to entire functions from the point of view of how they usually behave. The notion of "usually" we adopt is the categorical notion in the complete metric space of entire functions.

We show, for example, that Baker's conjecture concerning uniform convergence of a subsequence of the $m$ th row of the Padé table is "usually" correct. We then show that "usually" there is a subsequence of the $m$ th row of the Pade table that is asymptotically as efficient as a best rational approximation of corresponding degree on the unit disc. This analysis also reveals that, in some sense, we expect approximations of degree ( $n, m$ ) (either best or Padé) to behave better than approximations of degree $(n+k, m-k)$.

In [5] Gončar raises the question: does there exist an entire function $f$ so that the poles of the best rational approximations to $f$ are dense in the entire plane? Once again in a categorical sense we show, perhaps surprisingly, that the answer to the above question is "usually" yes.

[^0]2. Preliminaries. Let $D_{n}=\{z| | z \mid<n\}$ and let $d_{n}$ denote the supremum norm on $\bar{D}_{n}$ that is
$$
d_{n}(f, g)=\sup _{|z| \leq n}|f(z)-g(z)|:=\|f-g\|_{\bar{D}_{n}} .
$$

Let $d(f, g)$ be defined by

$$
d(f, g)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \frac{d_{n}(f, g)}{1+d_{n}(f, g)}
$$

Let $A$ denote the class of entire functions equipped with the above metric. Then $A$ is a complete metric space where convergence is equivalent to uniform convergence on compact subsets. We adopt the familiar categorical vocabulary. $A$ set $B$ is "nowhere dense" if the interior of $B$ closure is empty. $A$ set $B$ is "category 1 " if $B$ is a countable union of nowhere dense sets. A set $B$ is "residual" if it is the complement of a category 1 set.

Let $\pi_{n}$ denote the polynomials of degree at most $n$. A rational function is of type $(n, m)$ if it has numerator of degree at most $n$ and denominator of degree at most $m$. The ( $n, m$ ) Padé approximant to $f$ is the unique $(n, m)$ rational function $p_{n} / q_{m}$ that satisfies

$$
q_{m}(z) f(z)-p_{n}(z)=s u^{z}+\text { higher order terms }
$$

where $s \neq 0, u$ is chosen to be as large as possible and where $q_{m} \not \equiv 0$. (See [3] or [4].)

We will call $p_{n} / q_{m}$ regular if $u=n+m+1, q_{m}$ and $p_{n}$ have exact degree $m$ and $n$ respectively, and $q_{m}(0) \neq 0$. (This is not a standard usage.) If the ( $n, m$ ) Padé approximant is regular for all $n$ and $m$ then we call the Padé table normal.

If $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} z^{n}$ then $f$ has normal Padé table if for all $m$ and $n$

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
C_{m} & C_{m-1} & \cdots & C_{m-n+1} \\
\cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \\
C_{m+n-1} & C_{m+n-2} & \cdots & C_{m}
\end{array}\right| \neq 0
$$

where $C_{i}=0$ if $i<0$ (see [3] or [4]). The following lemma will be needed.
Lemma 1. Fix $N$. The set of $f \in A$ with regular ( $n, m$ ) Padé approximants for all $n+m \leq N$ is open and dense in $A$.

The Lemma is immediate from the above normality criterion and the fact that the regularity of the $(n, m)$ Padé approximant to $f$ depends only on the first $n+m+1$ coefficients of $f$. From this it is clear that the collection of $f$ with normal Padé tables is residual in $A$.

We are allowing the functions in $A$ and the corresponding approximants to have complex coefficients. It is worth pointing out that all the results go through verbatim if we restrict our attention to functions and approximants with real coefficients.
3. On Baker's conjecture. Baker has conjectured that if $f$ is entire then there exists a subsequence of the $m$ th row of the Pade table that converges to $f$ uniformly on compact subsets. He proved this for $m=2$ [1]. The $m=0$ case is the Taylor series case. The $m=1$ case has been proved by Beardon. If $f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} Z^{n}$ then the denominator of the ( $n, 1$ ) Padé approximant is given by $q_{n, 1}=C_{n} / C_{n+1}-Z$. In particular, if $\left|C_{n+1}\right|>\left|C_{n}\right|$ then $q_{n, 1}$ has a pole in $D_{1}$. It is apparent that given any sequence of distinct integers $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ tending to $\infty$ it is possible to construct an entire $f$ so that the ( $n_{i}, 1$ ) Padé approximants tend to $f$ uniformly on $D_{1}$ but no subsequence of the first row that doesn't eventually become a subsequence of the above sequence converges in $D_{1}$. Thus, not only is passing to a subsequence essential in Baker's conjecture but this subsequence may be arbitrarily sparse.

Our first result shows that Baker's conjecture is "usually" true.
Theorem 1. Let $\left(n_{i}, m_{i}\right)$ be a sequence of positive integer pairs where $n_{i}$ and $n_{i}-m_{i}$ tend to infinity. The entire functions $f$ for which there exists a subsequence $\left(n_{i}^{\prime}, m_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\left(n_{i}, m_{i}\right)$ so that the ( $n_{i}^{\prime}, m_{i}^{\prime}$ ) Pade approximants converge to $f$ (in the $d$ metric on A) are residual in A.

Proof. Let $B$ be the set of entire functions which satisfy the conclusion of the above theorem.

For each $k$ and $\gamma$ let
$B_{k, \gamma}=\left\{f \in A \mid\right.$ the $\left(n_{k}, m_{k}\right)$ Padé approximant to $f$ has no poles in $\overline{D_{\gamma}}$ and for all $n \leq n_{k}$ and $m \leq m_{k}$ the ( $n, m$ ) Padé approximant to $f$ is regular\}.

A "small" perturbation of the coefficients of an $f$ possessing a regular ( $n, m$ ) Padé approximant with no poles in $\overline{D_{\gamma}}$ results in a $g$ whose ( $n, m$ ) Padé approximant has the same properties. This observation and Lemma 1 guarantee that $B_{k, \gamma}$ is open.

A sequence of Padé approximant to an $f \in A$ will converge uniformly on $D_{\lambda}$ if none of the approximant have any poles in some larger disc of radius $\gamma_{\lambda}$. (See [2]). We note that

$$
B \supset \bigcap_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{h=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=h}^{\infty} B_{k, \gamma}=B^{*}
$$

and that, for each $m, \bigcup_{k=h}^{\infty} B_{k, \gamma}=B_{h, \gamma}^{*}$ is open. We can finish the result by Baire's Theorem if we show that $B_{h, \gamma}^{*}$ is dense in A. Note that elements of $B^{*}$ have a subsequence of Pade approximants with poles tending to infinity.

Padé showed (see [6]) that as $n+m \rightarrow \infty$ the poles of the $(n, m)$ Padé approximants to $e^{z}$ tend to infinity. From this it follows that if $n+m \rightarrow \infty$ and $n-m \rightarrow \infty$ then the poles of the $(n, m)$ Padé approximants to $e^{z}+p(z), p$ a polynomial, also tend to infinity. A perturbation argument on the coefficients of $a e^{z}+p(z)$ allows us to construct a dense collection of functions in each of the sets $B_{h, \gamma}^{*}$ (the Padé table of $e^{z}$ is normal).
4. Rates of convergence. We wish to show that ( $n, m$ ) Padé approximants and ( $n, m$ ) best approximants converge similarly on the unit disc. Let

$$
\delta_{n, m}(f)=\left\|f-p_{n} / q_{m}\right\|_{\bar{D}_{1}}
$$

where $p_{n} / q_{m}$ is the $(n, m)$ Padé approximant to $f$. Let

$$
r_{n, m}(f)=\inf _{p_{n} \in \pi_{n}, q_{m} \in \pi_{m}}\left\|f-p_{n} / q_{m}\right\|_{\bar{D}_{1}}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $m$ be fixed. Let $S$ be the subset of $A$ such that, for each $f \in S$ there exists an increasing sequence of integers $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ with
(a) $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_{n, m}(f)}{r_{n, m}(f)}=1$
(b) $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_{n_{i}+k, m-k}(f)}{n_{i}^{k} \delta_{n_{i}, m}(f)}=\frac{(m-k)!}{m!} \quad k=0, \ldots, m$,
(c) $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{n_{i}+k, m-k}(f)}{n_{i}^{k} \delta_{n_{i}, m}(f)}=\frac{(m-k)!}{m!} \quad k=0, \ldots, m$.

Then $S$ is residual in $A$.
Proof. Let $T_{N, \varepsilon}$ be the set of $f \in A$ for which there exists $i \geq N$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-\varepsilon<\frac{\delta_{n_{i}, m}(f)}{r_{n_{i}, m}(f)}<1+\varepsilon \\
& 1-\varepsilon<\frac{\delta_{n_{i}+k, m-k}(f)}{\delta_{n_{i}, m}(f)} \frac{m!}{n_{i}^{k}(m-k)!}<1+\varepsilon \quad k=0, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
1-\varepsilon<\frac{r_{n_{i}+k, m-k}(f)}{r_{n_{i}, m}(f)} \frac{m!}{n_{i}^{k}(m-k)!}<1+\varepsilon \quad k=0, \ldots, m
$$

with the additional requirement that the $(i, j)$ Pade approximants involved in satisfying the inequalities be regular for $i=0,1, \ldots, n_{i}+m$ and $j=0,1, \ldots, m$. This regularity ensures that the mapping

$$
f \rightarrow \delta_{i, j}(f)
$$

is continuous at each $f$ in $T_{N, \varepsilon}$ for the appropriate values of $i$ and $j$. The
mapping

$$
f \rightarrow r_{i, j}(f)
$$

is also continuous. Thus, we can verify that $T_{N, \varepsilon}$ is open.
We can finish, more or less as in Theorem 1, if we can show that $T_{N, \varepsilon}$ is dense. That is, we take a sequence $\varepsilon_{j}$ tending to zero and note that

$$
\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{j, \varepsilon_{j}} \subset S .
$$

To show that $T_{j, \varepsilon}$ is dense we observe that if we can show that $e^{z}$ satisfies (a), (b), and (c) of the statement of the Theorem then for any polynomial $p$ we can find an arbitrarily small perturbation of the coefficients of $a e^{z}+p(z)$ so that this perturbed function is in $T_{j, \varepsilon_{i}}$.

That $e^{z}$ satisfies the desired conditions follows from the facts that

$$
\delta_{n, m}\left(e^{z}\right)=\frac{n!m!}{(m+n)!(m+n+1)!}(1+o(1)) \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

and

$$
r_{n, m}\left(e^{z}\right)=\frac{n!m!}{(m+n)!(m+n+1)!}(1+o(1)) \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Both of these results may be found in Saff [7].
The preceding theorem seems to say that for most entire functions there exists a subsequence of indices for which Padé and best approximants behave the same and for which the closer to the main diagonal the indices become the better the approximation becomes.

However, any "canonical behaviour" will generate a theorem like Theorem 2. That is, if we can find a dense set in $A$ so that conditions like the initial conditions of the proof of Theorem 2 are satisfied then we will get a similar type of result. With this in mind consider

$$
f(z)=\sum C_{k} z^{\gamma_{k}}
$$

where the $C_{n}$ are chosen so that

$$
\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}\left|C_{k+1}\right|<\frac{1}{n}\left|C_{n}\right| .
$$

Let $p$ be a polynomial of fixed degree $h$ and suppose that

$$
h+2 v<\gamma_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad v>\gamma_{n-1}>h .
$$

Suppose that $p_{v} / q_{v}$ is a best $(v, v)$ approximant to $f+p$ on $\bar{D}_{1}$. By comparing $p_{v} / q_{v}$ to $f-\sum_{0}^{n-1} C_{k} z^{\gamma_{k}}$ we can deduce (via Rouché's Theorem) that

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{n} \leq\left\|f-\frac{p_{v}}{q_{v}}\right\|_{\bar{d}_{1}} \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{n} .
$$

Also,

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{n} \leq\left\|f-s_{v}\right\|_{\bar{D}_{1}} \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) C_{n} .
$$

where $s_{v}$ is a best polynomial approximation of degree $v$. (See [2].)
In particular, if we chose the $\gamma_{n}$ sufficiently gapped we can get the following result by considering $f+p$ as $p$ varies:

Proposition 1. Let $T$ be the subset of $A$ such that, for each $f \in T$ there exists $a$ subsequence $\left\{n_{j}\right\}$ with

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{n_{i} n_{i}}(f)}{r_{n_{i}, 0}(f)}=1 .
$$

Then $T$ is residual in $A$.
5. The location of poles. We begin by constructing an entire function whose best approximants of degree ( $n, n$ ) have infinitely many prescribed poles. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n}\left(T_{27^{2 n+1}}(x)+q_{2 n+1}(x)+\frac{\delta_{n}}{x^{2}+a_{n} x+b_{n}}\right) \\
& +C_{n}\left(T_{27^{2 n}}(x)+q_{2 n}(x)-\frac{\delta_{n}}{x^{2}+a_{n} x+b_{n}}\right) \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(C_{n} r_{2 n+1}(x)+C_{n} r_{2 n}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T_{m}$ is the $m$ th Cebycev polynomial and where the $q_{n}$ and $\delta_{n} \neq 0$ are chosen so that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(q_{n}\right) \leq 4.27^{n}
$$

where $\left\|r_{n}\right\|_{[-1,1]}=1$ and $r_{n}=T_{27^{n}}$ at all points in $[-1,1]$ where $\left|T_{27^{n}}\right|=1$. (This amounts to a Hermite interpolation problem where we must, of course, assume that $x^{2}+a_{n} x+b_{n}$ has no root in $[-1,1]$.) We choose the $C_{n}>0$ to ensure that $f$ is analytic. It is easily verified that

$$
f(x)-\left(C_{n} r_{2 n}+\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} C_{h}\left(r_{2 h+1}+r_{2 h}\right)\right)
$$

oscillates between its maximum and minimum modulus at least $27^{2 n+1}$ times on $[-1,1]$ and that

$$
\left(C_{n} r_{2 n}+\sum_{h=0}^{n-1} C_{h}\left(r_{2 h+1}+r_{2 h}\right)\right) \text { is of the form } \frac{p(x)}{x^{2}+a_{n} x+b_{n}}
$$

where $p(x)$ is a polynomial of degree less than $5 \cdot 27^{2 n}$. It follows that this is the best approximation (either real or complex coefficiented) to $f$ on $[-1,1]$ of type
$\left(5 \cdot 27^{2 n}, j\right)$ where $j=2, \ldots, 5 \cdot 27^{2 n}$. Using functions of the form $f(x)+p(x)$ where $f$ is of the above type and where $p$ is a polynomial allows us to establish the following:

Theorem 3. Fix $m \geq 2$. Let $T(f)$ denote the set of all poles of a sequence of best $(n, m)$ rational approximants to $f$ on $[-1,1]$. Then the collection of $f$ for which $T(f)$ is dense in the complex plane is residual in $A$.

The proof is very similar to that of Theorems 1 and 2 and is therefore omitted.
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