

TWO NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR MÖBIUS SUBGROUPS TO BE g -DISCONTINUOUS

ZHENG-WU LONG AND XIAN-TAO WANG

In this paper, two necessary and sufficient conditions for *Möbius subgroups* to be g -discontinuous are obtained. These are generalisations of Lehner's and Larcher's corresponding results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{M} be the *Möbius group* consisting of all sense-preserving *Möbius transformations* acting on the extended complex plane $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, that is, $\mathcal{M} = \{g : g(z) = (az + b)/(cz + d), \forall z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}, ad - bc = 1\} / \{\pm I\}$, where I denotes the 2×2 identity matrix.

A subgroup G of \mathcal{M} is called discrete if and only if no infinite sequence consisting of distinct elements of G converges to the identity id . G is said to be normal in a domain D provided that every infinite sequence of G contains a subsequence of distinct elements converging uniformly on compact subsets of D to a limit function (the function can be ∞) which is univalent or a constant since every element of \mathcal{M} is univalent, see [5] for example.

It is well known that every element g of \mathcal{M} acting on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ has a natural extension acting on $\overline{\mathbb{H}^3}$, which is called the Poincaré extension of g and denoted by \tilde{g} . For a subgroup G of \mathcal{M} , we let $\tilde{G} = \{\tilde{g} : g \in G\}$. We call G elementary if \tilde{G} has a finite \tilde{G} -orbit in $\overline{\mathbb{H}^3}$ (that is, there exists some $x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}^3}$ such that the set $\tilde{G}(x) = \{\tilde{g}(x) : g \in G\}$ is finite); otherwise we say G non-elementary.

A nontrivial element $g \in \mathcal{M}$ is called loxodromic if g has two fixed points in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and \tilde{g} has no fixed point in \mathbb{H}^3 ; parabolic if g has only one fixed point in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$; elliptic if \tilde{g} has some (in fact, infinitely many) fixed points in \mathbb{H}^3 . Obviously, in the case of g being parabolic, \tilde{g} has no fixed point in \mathbb{H}^3 . By [1], we know

Received 25th May, 2004

This research was partly supported by NSFs of China (No 10271043) and Zhejiang Province (No M103087). This work for this paper was completed when the second author was an academic visitor in Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science. He would like to thank Professor Guizhen Cui for his invitation and Professor Yuefei Wang for his assistance. He would also like to thank the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science for its hospitality.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/04 \$A2.00+0.00.

LEMMA 1. *If G is non-elementary, then G contains infinitely many loxodromic elements, no two of which have a common fixed point.*

Let $G \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a nontrivial elementary subgroup. We say that G is of elliptic type if each nontrivial element of G is elliptic; parabolic (or loxodromic) type if G contains a parabolic (or loxodromic) element and all its non-elliptic nontrivial elements have a common fixed point set.

LEMMA 2. *Let G be elementary. Then G is of mixed type if and only if G contains a parabolic element and a loxodromic element with a fixed point in common; or equivalently if and only if G contains two loxodromic elements with only one fixed point in common.*

A point z_0 in the extended complex plane $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a limit point with respect to a subgroup G of \mathcal{M} if $\tilde{g}_n(x) \rightarrow z_0$ for some sequence $\{g_n\}$ of distinct elements of G and some fixed point $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$. The set of all limit points of G is denoted by $\Lambda(G)$, that is,

$$\Lambda(G) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \cap \text{cl}(\tilde{G}(x)),$$

where “cl” means “closure” and $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$. Since elements of G preserve the hyperbolic metric of \mathbb{H}^3 , this definition is independent of the choice of x . Obviously if G contains a loxodromic element, then its fixed point set is contained in $\Lambda(G)$. By Lemmas 1 and 2 and [6], we know

LEMMA 3.

- (1) $\Lambda(G)$ is G -invariant and closed;
- (2) $\Lambda(G)$ is a perfect set if G is a non-elementary group or an elementary group of mixed type.

Let $\Omega'(G) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} - \Lambda(G)$.

COROLLARY 1. $\Omega'(G)$ is G -invariant and open.

We say that G is g -discontinuous if $\Omega'(G) \neq \emptyset$ and G acts g -discontinuously in a domain D provided $D \subset \Omega'(G)$.

PROPOSITION 1. *If G is elementary and not of mixed type, then G must be g -discontinuous.*

It follows from [1] that

LEMMA 4. *If G is a discrete elementary subgroup of \mathcal{M} , then G is one of the following three types.*

- (1) G is finite (that is, elliptic type);
- (2) G conjugates to a group whose elements are the form

$$z \mapsto \omega^k z + n\lambda + m\mu,$$

where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/q)$, $\lambda (\neq 0)$, μ are complex numbers and $\text{Im}(\mu/\lambda) \neq 0$ when $\mu \neq 0$, and all k, m, n, q are integers, $0 \leq k \leq q$ and $q \leq 6, q \neq 5$ (that is, parabolic type);

(3) G conjugates to a group whose elements are of the form

$$z \mapsto \omega^k \alpha^n z$$

or

$$z \mapsto \omega^k \alpha^n / z,$$

where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/q)$, α is a complex number, and k, n are integers, q is a positive integer (that is, loxodromic type).

See [1] for more details about subgroups of \mathcal{M} .

Let $G \subset \mathcal{M}$. We say that G is discontinuous at $z_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ if there exists a neighbourhood N of z_0 such that

$$g(N) \cap N \neq \emptyset$$

for at most finitely many $g \in G$. z_0 also is called a discontinuous point of G . The set of all discontinuous points of G is denoted by $\Omega(G)$. If $\Omega(G) \neq \emptyset$ then we say that G is discontinuous. Obviously, if G is discontinuous then G is g -discontinuous.

COROLLARY 2. *Let G be g -discontinuous. Then G is discontinuous if and only if it is discrete.*

It is well-known that the discontinuity of subgroups of \mathcal{M} plays a very important role in the theory of Kleinian groups, see [1, 3, 4] et cetera. Hence the problem of under what conditions a subgroup G of \mathcal{M} is discontinuous becomes important and interesting. Many authors have discussed this problem. Among them, Lehner ([3]) proved

THEOREM A. *A necessary and sufficient condition for G to be discontinuous at a point z_0 is that*

- (1) G is discrete,
- (2) G is a normal family in some disk D containing z_0 .

In ([2]) Larcher proved the following theorem.

THEOREM B. *Let G be a discrete subgroup of \mathcal{M} . Then G is discontinuous if and only if there exists an open set D on the extended complex plane and a complex point z_0 (finite or infinite) such that no element of G assumes z_0 on D .*

In this paper, we consider this problem further. We shall prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a non-elementary subgroup of \mathcal{M} , and let D be a domain of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Then G acts g -discontinuously in D if and only if G is normal in D .*

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a non-elementary subgroup of \mathcal{M} . Then G is g -discontinuous if and only if there exist a domain D of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and an complex number z_0 (finite or infinite) such that no element of G assumes z_0 in D .*

REMARK 1. Corollary 2 shows that Theorems 1 and 2 are generalisations of Theorems A and B in the case of G being non-elementary.

REMARK 2. If G is an elementary subgroup of \mathcal{M} , then we can easily know that G is discontinuous if and only if G is discrete.

REMARK 3. Let G be elementary. If G is discrete (or discontinuous), then by Lemma 4, we know that it is normal in any domain $D \subset \Omega(G)$, and also we can find D and z_0 such that no element of G takes z_0 in D . The following examples imply that the converse of the above statements are not true. This shows that the hypothesis “ G being discrete” in Theorems A and B cannot be removed when G is elementary.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $G = \langle g \rangle$, $D = \mathbb{C}$ and $z_0 = \infty$, where $g(z) = z \exp(2\pi i \sqrt{2})$. G is normal in D since every infinite sequence in G contains a convergent subsequence. No element takes ∞ in D since for $h \in G$, $h(z) = \infty$ if and only if $z = \infty$. But G is not discontinuous since G is not discrete.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $G = \{g : g(z) = az + b; 0 \neq a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}$, $D = \mathbb{C}$ and $z_0 = \infty$.

2. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

At first, we introduce a lemma (see [6]) which will be useful in the following proofs.

LEMMA 5. Let G be a non-elementary subgroup of \mathcal{M} , and let D_1 and D_2 be two disjoint open sets both meeting $\Lambda(G)$. Then there exists a loxodromic element g in G with one fixed point in D_1 and the other in D_2 .

Now we come to prove our main results.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: First we prove the sufficiency. If G does not act g -discontinuously in D , that is $D \cap \Lambda(G) \neq \emptyset$, then by Lemmas 3 and 5, there exists some loxodromic element $g \in G$ with fixed points $\alpha, \beta \in D$ since G is non-elementary. Without loss of generality, we may assume that α is the attractive fixed point of g .

There exists f such that $g^{nk} \rightarrow f$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ local uniformly in D since $\{g^n\}$ is normal in D , where f is univalent or a constant. Let $z_1, z_2 \in D \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $z_1 \neq z_2$, we know $g^{nk}(z_1) \rightarrow f(z_1)$, $g^{nk}(z_2) \rightarrow f(z_2)$. Hence $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = \alpha$. It follows that f is a constant and $f = \alpha$. But $g^{nk}(\beta) \rightarrow \beta \neq \alpha$. This is the desired contradiction.

For the necessity, we consider the set $E = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G(D)$.

We claim that E contains at least two points. Suppose not, we divide our discussions into two separate cases: E is empty or E contains only one point.

If E is empty, that is, $G(D) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, then $\Lambda(G) = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction since G is non-elementary.

If E contains exactly one point, we may assume that $E = \{\alpha\}$. Then we can conclude that every element of G must fix the point α and so G is elementary. Otherwise, if $g \in G$ and $z_1 = g(\alpha) \neq \alpha$, then there must exist $f \in G$ and $z_2 \in D$ such that $f(z_2) = z_1$. We have $g^{-1}f(z_2) = \alpha$.

The normality of G in D follows from our claim and the following easy fact:

If $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus G(D)$ contains at least two points, then G is normal in D . \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: First we prove the sufficiency. For the contrary, we suppose that G does not act g -discontinuously in D . Then, by similar reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can find a loxodromic element $g \in G$ with fixed points $\alpha, \beta \in D$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that α is its attractive fixed point. That means $f^n(z) \rightarrow \alpha \forall z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\beta\}$. Obviously, $\alpha \neq z_0$ and $\beta \neq z_0$. Hence $g^n(z_0) \rightarrow \alpha \in D$. Then $g^{n_0}(z_0) \in D$ for large enough n_0 . This implies that $g^{-n_0}(g^{n_0}(z_0)) = z_0 \in D$. This is a contradiction.

For the proof of the necessity we assume that the group G has the property that for every open set $O \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ the set $G(O) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Since G is g -discontinuous, let $z_0 \in \Omega'(G)$. By Corollary 1, we know there exists an open neighbourhood N of z_0 such that $N \subset \Omega'(G)$. Then $G(N) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. By Corollary 1, we know $\Omega'(G) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. This is the desired contradiction since G is non-elementary. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A.F. Beardon, *The geometry of discrete groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **91** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1983).
- [2] H. Larcher, 'A necessary and sufficient condition for a discrete group of linear fractional transformations to be discontinuous', *Duke Math. J.* **30** (1963), 433–436.
- [3] J. Lehner, *Discontinuous groups and automorphic functions*, Mathematical Surveys **VIII** (American Mathematical Society, Providence R.I., 1964).
- [4] B. Maskit, *Kleinian groups* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1988).
- [5] J. L. Schiff, *Normal families* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993).
- [6] P. Tukia, 'Differentiability and rigidity of Möbius groups', *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985), 557–578.

Institute of Mathematics
Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing 100080
Peoples Republic of China
e-mail: zwlong@amss.ac.cn

Department of Mathematics
Hunan Normal University
Changsha, Hunan 410081
Peoples Republic of China
e-mail: xtwang@hunnu.edu.cn