

Cardiology in the Young

cambridge.org/cty

Letter to the Editor

Cite this article: Ahmad A, Chiejina MBA, and Bangi S (2021) Factors affecting COVID-19 outcomes in patients with congenital heart disease. *Cardiology in the Young* **31**: 329–330. doi: 10.1017/S1047951120004825

Received: 23 November 2020 Accepted: 13 December 2020

First published online: 17 December 2020

Key words:

Coronavirus; congenital heart disease; COVID-19; outcomes

Author for correspondence:

S. Bangi, BSc, School of Medicine, Imperial College London, Sir Alexander Fleming Building, SW7 2AZ London, UK. Tel: +447 554 545 255. E-mail: sfb16@ic.ac.uk

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Factors affecting COVID-19 outcomes in patients with congenital heart disease

Aisha Ahmad, Marcellina B. A. Chiejina and Shifa Bangi

Imperial College London, Faculty of Medicine, School of Medicine, London, UK

Haiduc et al attempt to summarise the current literature surrounding the effect of congenital heart disease (CHD) on COVID-19 outcomes.¹ We propose further consideration of the following factors: age, type and severity of CHD, and comorbidities.

This review directly compares studies and case reports of patients with ages ranging from 3 months to 76 years. Discrepancy in ages is important to consider since COVID-19 is known to affect infants less than 1 year of age more severely. This may be due to low T-cell activation, reduced expression of ACE2 in the lungs and other developmental differences. Older patients are likely to have developed more comorbidities which may be the reason for the presentation of worse COVID-19 symptoms, rather than being due to their CHD alone. Literature also shows racial disparities in the severity of COVID-19 which may confound the effect of CHD on outcomes.

Contrary to this review, there is evidence that individual defects such as single ventricular defects are not sufficient cause alone for worse COVID-19 outcomes. Lewis et al reported that out of 53 CHD patients, there appeared to be no correlation between the complexity of the CHD and the subsequent infection-related cardiac decompensation displayed by the patient. This may explain why patients with similar CHDs experience different disease trajectories. Therefore, using only the CHD status is not a sufficient indicator for poor outcomes and markers of symptom progression such as raised cardiac troponins upon admission may prove more useful. This is particularly relevant since CHD patients with infection-induced myocardial injury are at a higher risk of complications. Treatment protocols used to manage infections should also be considered amongst the studies included in the review. For example, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs use in early infection is thought to be controversial due to reports of causing more complications when administered for respiratory tract infections.

From the studies included in the review, 24% of the patients had comorbidities with the most frequent being genetic conditions, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and chronic kidney disease. Massin et al demonstrates that a significant proportion of children with CHD have associated non-cardiac comorbidities including genetic conditions, with the most common being trisomy 18.7 These comorbidities make it difficult to assess the direct effect of CHDs on outcomes. Similarly, Agarwal et al show that adults with CHD are twice as likely to have non-cardiac comorbidities compared to their non-CHD counterparts. Additionally, for patients below the age of 40, the more severe the CHD, the higher the risk of developing non-cardiac comorbidities.

In conclusion, in order to determine causality or a significant correlation between COVID-19 prognosis and CHD, this review needs to stratify CHD according to type of defect and severity, whilst controlling for confounders such as age and comorbidities.

Acknowledgements. None.

Financial support. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of interest. None.

Ethical standards. Not applicable.

References

- 1. Haiduc AA, Ogunjimi M, Shammus R, et al. COVID-19 and congenital heart disease: an insight of pathophysiology and associated risks. Cardiol Young 2020; firstview: 1–8.
- Singh T, Heston SM, Langel SN, et al. Lessons from COVID-19 in children: key hypotheses to guide preventative and therapeutic strategies. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 71: 2006–2013.
- Best JH, Mohan SV, Kong AM, et al. Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics among 3471 US patients hospitalized with COVID-19 and pulmonary involvement: a retrospective study. Adv Ther 2020; 37: 4981–4995.
- 4. Lewis MJ, Anderson BR, Fremed M, et al. Impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on patients with congenital heart disease across the lifespan: the experience of an academic congenital heart disease center in New York City. J Am Heart Assoc 2020; 9: e017580.

A. Ahmad et al.

- Malviya A, Rakesh Y. COVID-19 pandemic and paediatric population with special reference to congenital heart disease. Indian Heart J 2020; 72: 141–144.
- Robb CT, Goepp M, Rossi AG, Yao C. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, prostaglandins, and COVID-19. Br J Pharmacol 2020; 177: 4899–4920.
- Massin MM, Astadicko I, Dessy H. Noncardiac comorbidities of congenital heart disease in children. Acta Paediatr 2007; 96: 753–755.
- Agarwal A, Thombley R, Broberg CS, et al. Age and lesion-related comorbidity burden among US adults with congenital heart disease: a population-based study. J Am Heart Assoc 2019; 8: e013450.