Basic committee and management skills for senior trainees in psychiatry

DEAR SIR

The May issue of the *Bulletin* contained a notice of a British Postgraduate Medical Federation workshop on basic committee and management skills for senior trainees in psychiatry. Your brief announcement of the workshop did not do justice to it.

Although my undergraduate training in community medicine at Aberdeen and my postgraduate training in psychiatry at Edinburgh probably provided me with a better understanding of health service administration than many of my contemporaries, that knowledge needed extending and updating, particularly in view of the administrative changes which are currently taking place. I had not previously been trained in communication and management skills, and the workshop, in this respect, filled a crucial gap in my training as a psychiatrist.

The workshop had been carefully planned, it was skilfully administered, there was only one occasion when I nearly fell asleep, almost every component was very useful, no one sneaked out of sessions or left before the end, and it almost finished on time. These tributes are a reflection of the tutors' own command of the very skills they were teaching.

Although psychiatry is regarded as a 'cinderella specialty', our fairy godmothers have to be sought out and persuaded of our wish to go to the ball and so the skills taught by this workshop have a relevance outside clinical practice which is becoming more and more important. Indeed, they may soon be regarded as 'survival skills'.

I recommend this course to other senior trainees in psychiatry and suggest that it would also be of value to junior trainees and—dare I say it—to some consultant psychiatrists.

As far as I know, with the exception of the course run by the Manchester Business School about which honorary senior registrars are not automatically notified, this is the only course of its kind. Such training ought to be more widely available and more actively encouraged by the College. If I was a nurse I would have been on my first management course at least ten years ago and I would have attended at least two more advanced courses already.

KEITH J. B. RIX

University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL.

[See also 'A Firmer Grounding' by Peter Hill and Helena Waters, July Bulletin, p. 123—Eds.]

Patients with morbid grief or with obsessivecompulsive rituals

DEAR SIR

Readers may be interested to know that our MRC team is currently trying to replicate our recent findings concerning the value of guided mourning in the management of morbid grief. We are also involved in further investigation of clomipramine and exposure treatment of obsessive-compulsive ritualizers (*British Journal of Psychiatry*, (1980), 136, 1-25). Patients referred with morbid grief or compulsive rituals can be assessed by us within two weeks, and if suitable can then be taken on immediately for treatment.

S. D. COHEN W. MONTEIRO I. M. MARKS

99 Denmark Hill Maudsley Hospital London, SE5 8AF

Reviews

Can Social Work Survive? by Colin Brewer and June Lait. Maurice Temple Smith. 1980. Pp. 235. f9.95.

The term 'social work' is liable to provoke an emotional response among psychiatrists. A variety of factors enter into this. It is a young profession and displays some of the features of a troubled adolescent. Uncertainty of identity, intense soul-searching, idealistic striving and utopian desires, defensive withdrawal and radical political views are all discernible. Whereas the medical profession can (with some justification) be perceived as the older generation, with conservative and authoritarian attitudes, sceptical and often irritated by the views expressed by the youngster.

Something of the exasperation engendered by this 'generation gap' is conveyed by the tone of this devil's advocate of a book. The authors point inter alia to the lack of a clear theoretical framework in social work, the absence of a sufficiently critical approach by social workers to their activities and effectiveness, the opaque jargon often employed, their preference for case-work (not as yet of proven value) rather than helping with practical matters, and indifferent record keeping. But criticism on each of these points can, it is fair to say, be levelled at psychiatry also.

The authors regret the establishment of bureaucratically top-heavy, expensive and somewhat isolated social services departments, the diffusion of responsibility in social work hierarchies and the diminished client involvement apparently inevitable on promotion. As regards mental illness, they feel that there is a strong case for abolishing the independent functioning of social work departments and bringing them back within the psychiatric services.

The book should be read by all interested and involved parties, for it is a comprehensive and readable review which raises important questions requiring answers. What is specific and distinctive about the skills exercised by social workers that others do not have? In what settings is social work intervention of clear and demonstrable value? More fundamentally (and despite many attempts to clarify this in the past) there remains the question of what the precise role of social workers should be, and following on from this, what should their training consist of.

The authors make certain constructive suggestions. They commend the setting-up of a commission of inquiry, and this has, since the publication of their book, now taken place. The composition of this committee, though, (with social workers among the members) may not be to their liking. Another proposal, to which no exception can be taken, is for further evaluative research. The authors quote the work of Dr Brian Cooper and his colleagues on the positive value of social work intervention in a general practice setting and recommend that social work is likely to be of the greatest benefit when exercised in a multidisciplinary context. The view is also put forward that greater emphasis should be placed by social workers on behavioural rather that psychodynamic approaches in client care. They recommend the setting-up of case review systems to determine the varieties of cases referred and their outcome, and also that social workers be attached to agencies with clearly defined functions.

By their challenging and sceptical approach, the authors make a valuable contribution to the contemporary debate on social work.

ALAN KERR

St Nicholas Hospital Newcastle upon Tyne, NE3 3XT

[See also 'A Very Damning Account' by David McGee on page 162.]

Reports of Amnesty International Missions to Spain, October 1979; Colombia, January 1980; and of Argentinian Victims, June 1980. Amnesty International.

In 1974 ten Danish doctors established themselves as a group against torture. Membership of this group has now grown to 300 and laid the foundations for similar groups in 23 other countries involving some 4000 doctors. The original purpose of the work was to help prove that somebody had been subjected to torture, but the medical interest has now gone on to the treatment of the ill-health created by the torture. It is of note that a prominent set of post-torture problems is psychiatric: depression, fear, nightmares, memory disturbances, poor concentration, and irritability have all been reported.

The Reports on three countries studied recently all make equally horrifying reading. The sadistic ingenuity of man is

breathtaking in its diversity; modern governments seem to have given up racks and thumbscrews but instead use such things as mock executions, holding heads under filthy water, sleep deprivation, electric shocks to the body, including the lips and genitals, suffocation with plastic bags, hanging upside down by the knees from a bar, deafening noises, trussing individuals in excrutiatingly uncomfortable positions for long periods, keeping the victim standing for many hours without shoes while others jump on the exposed feet, beatings of all kinds, including with rubber truncheons; the list is almost endless.

The report from Spain raises the question of how feasible it is for a democratic government to return to civilized methods of interrogation and imprisonment when the police forces have for so long been recruited and trained by a brutal regime. The Colombian report briefly discusses ethical issues facing the medical profession, and quotes the Declaration of Tokyo, 1975, by the World Medical Association stating that 'The doctor shall not countenance, condone, or participate in the practice of torture or other forms of cruel, inhuman or degrading procedures.' The report indicates that in spite of this apparently unifying Declaration the profession in Colombia shows a wide spectrum of attitude, from those who are prepared to be arrested and tortured themselves for giving succour to victims to those who actually participate in, or fail to report, inhuman and cruel treatment. It always surprises me when others express surprise at this diversity within the profession, which is, after all, simply drawn from the ranks of humanity. The importance of the ethical declarations are that standards are set and international pressures can be exerted against uncivilized behaviour. The report on the Argentinian torture victims is the one which enumerates most clearly the psychiatric sequelae of such stress; it declares that the psychiatric symptoms are the most important and the most difficult to treat after a prisoner has been released and should have priority.

Clearly, such findings offer the psychiatric profession a challenge. We need to understand the nature of the psychological sequelae more clearly and we should interest ourselves in devising effective treatments. We should also consider whether we should not take a more active role in the whole field of victimology. The number of victims of severe political torture who come to Britain is low, however it may be that various forms of more ordinary criminal torture, such as being trapped and beaten up, or trapped and threatened with death, or raped, are likely to be more frequent and perhaps give rise to similar symptoms to those described by the Amnesty doctors. It occurs to me that if I am right about the larger number of victims suffering in this way it might be useful to mount a small British study, and I would be interested to hear from any members of the College who are treating or have treated such cases.

JOHN GUNN

Institute of Psychiatry
London SE5 8AF