ON POLYNOMIALS WITH REAL ZEROS

Manzoor Ahmad

(received October 31, 1967)

Let

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = c \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{j})$$

be a polynomial of degree n with real and non-negative zeros $x_1 \le x_2 \le \dots \le x_n$. The zeros x_i will be said to have extent 1 if

$$\max x = x = 1$$

$$1 \le j \le n$$

Let $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \cdots \leq \xi_{n-1}$ be the zeros of the derived polynomial p'(x). The zeros $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}$ are real and non-negative, and moreover their extent can be at most equal to the extent of the zeros x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent of the zeros x_1 , x_2, \ldots, x_n . The two can indeed be equal. For if the extent x_{n-1} is the largest zero of p'(x) is less than 1 only if 1 is not a multiple zero of p(x). So let us suppose that p(x) has a simple zero at x = 1. Consequently x_{n-1} is the largest zero of p(x) in 0 < x < 1 and it follows by Rolle's theorem that p'(x) has a zero in the interval $(x_{n-1}, 1)$. Thus the extent ξ_{n-1} of the zeros of p'(x) is greater than x_{n-1} and it remains to see how small it can be.

Since ξ_{n-1} satisfies $x_{n-1} < \xi_{n-1} < x_n = 1$ and

$$0 = \frac{p'(\xi_{n-1})}{p(\xi_{n-1})} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\xi_{n-1} - x_j)^{-1}$$

we see easily that if $x_{n-1} > 0$ by decreasing x_{n-1} we might decrease ξ_{n-1} . Thus the minimum extent is attained if

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 11, no. 2, 1968

237

$$x_1 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 0, x_n = 1.$$

THEOREM 1. Let p(x) be a polynomial of degree n with real non-negative zeros. If the extent of the zeros of p(x) is 1 then the extent of the zeros of p'(x) is at least (n-1)/n. The result is sharp.

The problem which we have just considered is closely connected with a question raised by A. Meir and A. Sharma [1] (see also [2], [3]) which is as follows.

Let $0 \le x_1 \le \dots \le x_n \le 1$ be the zeros of a polynomial p(x) of degree n, let $x_n \cdot x_1$ be the span of p(x). How small can the span of p'(x) be if $x_1 = 0$ and $x_n = 1$? From the above calculations it is clear that there is a zero of p'(x) in $\left[\frac{(n-1)}{n}, 1\right]$ and another one in $\left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right]$. Thus the span of the zeros of p'(x) cannot be smaller than $\frac{n-2}{n}$. This bound can be easily improved. The example

$$p(x) = x(x - \frac{1}{2})^{n-2}$$

shows that the span of the zeros of p'(x) can be as small as $\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n}}$ which is approximately $1-\frac{1}{n}$ if n is large. It has been conjectured by Meir and Sharma that the polynomial $x(x-\frac{1}{2})^{n-2}$ (x-1) is indeed extremal, i.e. if the span of the zeros of p(x) is 1 then the span of the zeros of p'(x) cannot be smaller than $\sqrt{1-\frac{2}{n}}$.

From our earlier argument it is clear that the extremal polynomial for this problem cannot have a multiple zero at any of the points 0 or 1. So let p(x) = x(x-1) q(x) where $q(0) \neq 0$, $q(1) \neq 0$. Now let ξ_{n-1} be the largest zero of p'(x) and ξ_1 the smallest. We suppose that n_1 and n_2 denote respectively the number of zeros of q(x) in $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and in $(0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Since $n_1 + n_2 = n-2$ one of the two numbers n_1 , n_2 is $\leq (n-2)/2$. We may suppose that $n_1 \leq (n-2)/2$. For otherwise we can consider p(1-x) instead of p(x).

Note that $\underset{n-1}{\xi}$ cannot be smaller than the larger of the two roots of the equation

$$\frac{n_2^{+1}}{x} + \frac{2n_1^{-1}}{2x-1} = \frac{1}{1-x},$$

238

i.e.

$$\xi_{n-1} \ge \frac{3n - n_1 - 2 + \sqrt{(n+n_1+2)^2 - 8n}}{4n}$$

On the other hand ξ_1 is at most equal to the smaller of the two roots of the equation

$$\frac{1}{x} = \frac{2n}{1-2x} + \frac{n}{1-x}^{+1}$$

i.e.

$$\xi_{1} \leq \frac{2n - n_{1} - \sqrt{4n^{2} - 4nn_{1} + n_{1}^{2} - 8n}}{4n}$$

Hence

(1)
$$\xi_{n-1} - \xi_{1} \ge \frac{n - 2 + \sqrt{4n^{2} - 4nn_{1} + n_{1}^{2} - 8n} + \sqrt{(n+n_{1} + 2)^{2} - 8n}}{4n}$$

The quantity on right hand side of (1) increases as n_1 increases from 0 to $\frac{(n-2)}{2}$ and then starts decreasing. Thus

$$\xi_{n-1} - \xi_1 > \frac{1}{2} \{ 1 - \frac{2}{n} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{n}} \}$$

We have therefore proved the following

THEOREM 2. If the span of a polynomial p(x) of degree n is 1 then the span of p'(x) cannot be smaller than

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\{1 - \frac{2}{n} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{n}}\right\}$$
.

The lower bound given by Theorem 2 can be further improved.

I am thankful to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Prof.}}$ Q.I. Rahman for his encouragement and help.

REFERENCES

 A. Meir and A. Sharma, Span of derivatives of polynomials. Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967) 527-531.

- A. Meir and A. Sharma, Span of linear combinations of derivatives of polynomials. Duke Math. J. 37 (1967) 123-130.
- 3. R.M. Robinson, On the span of derivatives of polynomials. Amer. Math. Monthly 71 (1967) 507-508.

University of Montreal