

# Continuously many quasi-isometry classes of residually finite groups

Hip Kuen Chong<sup>1</sup> and Daniel T. Wise

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 0B9, Canada Corresponding author: Hip Kuen Chong; Email: chonghk1997@gmail.com

Received: 26 April 2022; Revised: 29 March 2023; Accepted: 4 May 2023; First published online: 19 June 2023

Keywords: Residually finite; small-cancellation groups; quasi-isometry

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary - 20E26; Secondary - 20F06

## Abstract

We study a family of finitely generated residually finite small-cancellation groups. These groups are quotients of  $F_2$  depending on a subset S of positive integers. Varying S yields continuously many groups up to quasi-isometry.

# 1. Introduction

Grigorchuk exhibited continuously many quasi-isometry classes of residually finite three-generator groups by producing continuously many growth types [4, Thm 7.2]. *Continuously many* means having the cardinality of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Here, we describe another family of such groups by building upon Bowditch's method for distinguishing quasi-isometry classes [2] and use consequences of the theory of special cube complexes to obtain residual finiteness [1].

Consider the rank-2 free group  $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ . Let  $w_n = [a, b^{2^{2^n}}][a^2, b^{2^{2^n}}] \cdots [a^{100}, b^{2^{2^n}}]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Each subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is associated to the following group:

$$G(S) = \langle a, b | w_n : n \in S \rangle$$

In Section 3, we show that G(S) is residually finite when  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{>100}$ . We also observe that G(S) and G(S') are not quasi-isometric when  $S \Delta S'$  is infinite.

In fact, our proof of residual finiteness for G(S) works in precisely the same way to prove the residual finiteness for the original examples of Bowditch having torsion. But it appears to fail for Bowditch's torsion-free examples. We refer to Remark 3.3.

We also produced an uncountable family of pairwise non-isomorphic residually finite groups in [3], and perhaps an appropriate subfamily also yields continuously many quasi-isometry classes.

Our simple approach arranges for certain infinitely presented small-cancellation groups to be residually finitely presented small-cancellation groups. This approach is likely to permit the construction of other interesting families of finitely generated groups.

# 2. Review of Bowditch's result

We first recall some small-cancellation background. See [5, Ch.V].

**Definition 2.1.** For a presentation, a piece p is a word appearing in more than one way among the relators. Note that for a relator  $r = q^n$ , subwords that differ by a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -action are regarded as appearing in the same way. A presentation is  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  if  $|p| < \frac{1}{6}|r|$  for any piece p in a relator r.

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Glasgow Mathematical Journal Trust. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A major subword v of a relator r is a subword of a cyclic permutation of  $r^{\pm}$  with  $|v| > \frac{|r|}{2}$ . A word u is majority-reduced if u does not contain a major subword of a relator. We will use the following well-known property for  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  groups [5, Ch.V Thm 4.5].

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots | r_1, r_2, \dots \rangle$  be a  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  presentation. A non-empty cyclically reduced majority-reduced word in the generators must represent a nontrivial element in the group.

We now recall definitions leading to the main theorem of [2]. Let  $\mathbb{N}^+ = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \ge 1\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}_{>k} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > k\}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

**Definition 2.3.** *Two subsets*  $L, L' \subseteq \mathbb{N}^+$  *are* related *if for some*  $k \ge 1$ *:* 

1. for any  $m \in L$  with m > k, there is  $m' \in L'$  with  $m' \in \left[\frac{m}{k}, km\right]$ ; and 2. for any  $m' \in L'$  with m' > k, there is  $m \in L$  with  $m \in \left[\frac{m'}{k}, km'\right]$ .

We write  $L \sim L'$  if L and L' are related, and write  $L \not\sim L'$  otherwise.

**Remark 2.4.** This is a simplified but equivalent form of Bowditch's definition [2, Def. before Lem 3] who used  $m > (k + 1)^2$  and  $m' > (k + 1)^2$ . The equivalence is easy by proving relatedness via  $(k + 1)^2$  on one direction, and the other direction is clear since  $(k + 1)^2 > k$ .

**Remark 2.5.** As pointed out by the referee, it is equivalent to say  $L, L' \subseteq \mathbb{N}^+$  are related if there is  $k \ge 1$  such that the sets  $M = L \cap \mathbb{N}_{>k}$  and  $M' = L' \cap \mathbb{N}_{>k}$  satisfy that  $|\log M, \log M'| \le k$ . Here,  $|Z, Z'| = \inf\{|z - z'| : z \in Z, z' \in Z'\}$  denotes the Hausdorff distance between sets Z and Z'. This observation could clarify the proofs below, especially Lemma 3.4, for some readers.

**Lemma 2.6.** The relation  $\sim$  in Definition 2.3 is an equivalence relation on subsets of  $\mathbb{N}^+$ .

*Proof.* The relation  $\sim$  is reflexive via k = 1. The relation  $\sim$  is symmetric by definition. Hence, it suffices to show  $\sim$  is transitive.

Let  $S, S', S'' \subseteq \mathbb{N}^+$ . Suppose  $S \sim S'$  via k and  $S' \sim S''$  via k'. We claim that  $S \sim S''$  via kk'. Let  $m \in S$  with m > kk'. There is  $m' \in S'$  with  $m' \in \left[\frac{m}{k}, km\right]$  by  $S \sim S'$  via k, hence m' > k'. Then there is  $m'' \in S''$  with  $m'' \in \left[\frac{m'}{k'}, k'm'\right]$  by  $S' \sim S''$  via k'. Thus,  $m'' \in \left[\frac{m}{kk'}, kk'm\right]$ . Similarly, there is  $m \in \left[\frac{m''}{kk'}, kk'm''\right]$  for any  $m'' \in S''$  with m'' > kk'.

**Example 2.7.** All finite sets are related. All uniform nets are related.  $\{2^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sim \{3^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

For sets *S*, *S'*, their symmetric difference is  $S\Delta S' = (S - S') \cup (S' - S)$ .

**Example 2.8.** If  $S, S' \subseteq \mathbb{N}^+$  with infinite  $S \Delta S'$ , then  $\{2^{2^n}\}_{n \in S} \not\sim \{2^{2^m}\}_{m \in S'}$  [2, Lem 4].

With the notion of  $\sim$ , the following is a simplified version of the main theorem in [2].

**Theorem 2.9.** Let G and G' be the finitely generated  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  groups presented below. If G is quasiisometric to G', then  $\{|w_i|\}_{i\in I} \sim \{|w'_j|\}_{j\in J}$ :

$$G = \langle A \mid w_i : i \in I \rangle, \qquad G' = \langle A \mid w'_i : j \in J \rangle.$$

#### 3. Proving the family of groups have desired properties

# 3.1. Small cancellation

**Proposition 3.1.** For any infinite subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{>100}$ , the associated group G(S) is  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$ . Furthermore,  $G_k(S) = \left\langle a, b \mid b^{2^{2^k}}, w_n : n \in S, n < k \right\rangle$  is  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* For the first statement, it suffices to show that  $w_n$  and  $w_m$  have small overlap for n > m > 100. The longest piece between  $w_n$  and  $w_m$  is  $b^{-2^{2^m}} a^{100} b^{2^{2^m}}$ . Thus,  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  holds since:

$$\left| b^{-2^{2^m}} a^{100} b^{2^{2^m}} \right| = 100 + 2 \cdot 2^{2^m} < \frac{1}{6} \left( 10100 + 200 \cdot 2^{2^m} \right) = \frac{1}{6} |w_m| < \frac{1}{6} |w_n|$$

For the second statement, we additionally show that  $w_n$  and  $b^{2^{2^k}}$  satisfy the  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  condition for 100 < n < k. Their longest piece is  $b^{2^{2^n}}$ , which is shorter than  $\frac{1}{6}$  of the lengths of  $w_n$  and  $b^{2^{2^k}}$ .

# 3.2. Residual finiteness

Observe that  $G_k(S) = G(S) / \langle \langle b^{2^{2^k}} \rangle$  since  $w_m \in \langle \langle b^{2^{2^k}} \rangle$  for  $m \ge k$ . Indeed,  $w_n = \left[a, b^{2^{2^n}}\right] \left[a^2, b^{2^{2^n}}\right] \cdots \left[a^{100}, b^{2^{2^n}}\right]$  is trivialised when  $b^{2^{2^n}}$  becomes trivial.

**Proposition 3.2.** For any infinite subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{>100}$ , the associated group G(S) is residually finite.

*Proof.* Since  $G_k(S)$  is a finitely presented  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  group, the hyperbolic group  $G_k(S)$  is cocompactly cubulated by [6]. Thus,  $G_k(S)$  is residually finite by [1].

Each  $g \in G(S) - \{1\}$  is represented by a cyclically reduced word v with minimal length. Then v is majority-reduced since otherwise, v contains a major subword of a relator, which can reduce the length of v. Moreover, v does not contain a majority subword of  $b^{2^{2^{|v|}}}$  since  $|v| < \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{2^{|v|}} = \frac{1}{2} |b^{2^{2^{|v|}}}|$ . Hence,  $v \neq 1_{G_{|v|}}$  by Proposition 2.2 since v is majority-reduced in  $G_{|v|}$ . Thus, G(S) is residually residually finite and hence residually finite.

**Remark 3.3.** Bowditch's original examples were  $B(S) = \langle a, b | (a^{2^{2^n}} b^{2^{2^n}})^7 : n \in S \subseteq \mathbb{N} \rangle$ . As in Proposition 3.2, B(S) is residually finite since it is residually finitely presented  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  using the quotients to  $B/\langle a^{2^{2^n}}, b^{2^{2^n}} \rangle$  for  $n \ge 3$ . However, the analogous argument fails for Bowditch's torsion-free examples  $B'(S) = \langle a, b | a(a^{2^{2^n}} b^{2^{2^n}})^{12} : n \in S \subseteq \mathbb{N} \rangle$ .

#### 3.3. Pairwise non-quasi-isometric

We first prove a lemma about the relation  $\sim$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**  $S \sim nS \sim (S+n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^+$ .

Proof. First,  $S \sim nS$  via n. Indeed, for any  $s \in S$ ,  $ns \in \left[\frac{s}{n}, ns\right]$ ; for any  $ns \in nS$ ,  $s \in \left[\frac{ns}{n}, n \cdot ns\right]$ . Moreover,  $S \sim (S+n)$  via n+1. For any  $s \in S$ ,  $s+n \leq (n+1)s$ , so  $s+n \in \left[\frac{s}{n+1}, (n+1)s\right]$ . On the other hand, for  $s+n \in S+n$ ,  $(n+1)s \geq s+n$  implies  $s \geq \frac{s+n}{n+1}$ . Hence,  $s \in \left[\frac{s+n}{n+1}, (n+1)(s+n)\right]$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $S, S' \subseteq \mathbb{N}^+$  have infinite  $S \Delta S'$ , then  $\{|w_n|\}_{n \in S} \not\sim \{|w_m|\}_{m \in S'}$ .

*Proof.*  $\{|w_n| : n \in S\} = \{10100 + 200 \cdot 2^{2^n} : n \in S\} = 10100 + 200 \cdot \{2^{2^n} : n \in S\}$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $\{|w_n| : n \in S\} \sim \{2^{2^n} : n \in S\}$ . Similarly,  $\{|w_m| : m \in S'\} \sim \{2^{2^m} : m \in S'\}$ . By Example 2.8,  $\{2^{2^n} : n \in S\} \not\sim \{2^{2^m} : m \in S'\}$ , so  $\{|w_n|\}_{n \in S} \not\sim \{|w_m|\}_{m \in S'}$  by Lemma 2.6.

**Corollary 3.6.** If  $S, S' \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{>100}$  have infinite  $S \Delta S'$ , then G(S) and G(S') are not quasi-isometric.

*Proof.*  $\{|w_n|\}_{n\in S} \not\sim \{|w_m|\}_{m\in S'}$ , hence G(S) and G(S') are not quasi-isometric by Theorem 2.9.

For  $A, B \subseteq N$ , declare  $A \sim_{\Delta} B$  if  $|A \Delta B| < \infty$ . As noted by Bowditch, each  $\sim_{\Delta}$  equivalence class is countable. Hence, there are continuously many  $\sim_{\Delta}$  equivalence classes. Our construction thus produces continuously many pairwise non-quasi-isometric groups G(S), which are  $C'\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  and residually finite.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the referee for helpful comments. Research is supported by NSERC.

## References

- I. Agol, The virtual Haken conjecture, *Doc. Math.* 18 (2013), 1045–1087. With an appendix by Agol, Daniel Groves, and Jason Manning.
- [2] B. H. Bowditch, Continuously many quasi-isometry classes of 2-generator groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 73(2) (1998), 232–236.
- [3] H. K. Chong and D. T. Wise, An uncountable family of finitely generated residually finite groups, *J. Group Theory.* **25**(2) (2022), 207–216.
- [4] R. I. Grigorchuk, Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 48(5) (1984), 939–985.
- [5] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp. *Combinatorial group theory*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, Reprint of the 1977 edition,
- [6] D. T. Wise, Cubulating small cancellation groups, Geom. Funct. Anal. 14(1) (2004), 150–214.