J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 52 (1992), 175-184

# LOCAL UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS OF THE CHARACTERISTIC CAUCHY PROBLEM

## **GERSON PETRONILHO**

(Received 16 May 1990; revised 13 September 1990)

Communicated by E. N. Dancer

#### Abstract

Local uniqueness of solutions of the characteristic Cauchy problem is shown for operators which are perturbations of operators which already have such a uniqueness.

1991 Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.): 35 L 15, 35 A 07. Keywords and phrases: Local uniqueness, characteristic, concatenations, Carleman inequality.

# 1. Introduction

This work is related to discrete phenomena in the local uniqueness of solutions of the characteristic Cauchy problem for operators with double characteristics at a point of the initial curve.

On this type of phenomena F. Treves [5] furnished an example, that is, the Cauchy problem for the equation

$$(\partial_t + t\partial_x)(\partial_t - t\partial_x)u - c\partial_x u = 0$$

with data given in x = 0, and proved that for the problem in the upper halfplane x > 0 there is uniqueness of solutions, if and only if  $c \neq 0, 2, 4, ...$ 

A. P. Bergamasco and H. S. Ribeiro [1] and A. Menikoff [3], extended this study for operators of the form

$$(\partial_t + at^k \partial_x)(\partial_t - bt^k \partial_x) - ct^{k-1} \partial_x$$

The author was partially supported by CNPq (Brazil).

© 1992 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/92 \$A2.00 + 0.00

where k is an odd natural number. In [1], a = b < 0 and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , while in [3], a, b > 0 and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We extend for operators of the form

$$P(c_1, c_2) = (\partial_t + a_1 t^k \partial_x + a_2 t^k)(\partial_t - b_1 t^k \partial_x - b_2 t^k)$$
$$- c_1 t^{k-1} \partial_x - c_2 t^{k-1}$$

where k is an odd natural number,  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a_1, b_1 > 0$ , and  $a_2, b_2 \le 0$ .

In Section 2 we prove, under suitable assumptions, the local uniqueness, in the class  $C^3$ , of solutions of the characteristic Cauchy problem for the operators  $P(c_1, c_2)$ . The essential point in the proof is getting an appropriate Carleman inequality.

In Section 3, by using the results of Section 2 and a variation of concatenations in O. R. B. Oliveira [4], we prove that there is an integer *m* depending only on  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1)$  such that the local uniqueness of solutions in the class  $C^m$  holds in the characteristic Cauchy problem for the operators  $P(c_1, c_2)$ if  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1) \neq j(k+1)\delta_1$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1) \neq j(k+1)\delta_1 + \delta_1$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  and  $\delta_1 = a_1 + b_1$ .

If  $a_2 = b_2 = c_2 = 0$  then  $P(c_1, c_2)$  is the operator studied in [3].

We show also that the uniqueness for the operators  $P(c_1, c_2)$  holds (when  $a_1, b_1 < 0$  and  $a_2, b_2 \ge 0$ ) if  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1) \ne -\delta_1[k + j(k + 1)]$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1) \ne -\delta_1(j + 1)(k + 1)$ . This result contains part of [1, Theorem 3.1].

We observe also that the local uniqueness of solutions in the class of distributions holds for these operators (see [1] and B. Birkeland and J. Persson [2]).

### 2. A Carleman inequality and uniqueness

In this section, we prove a Carleman inequality which is needed in order to prove local uniqueness of solutions of the characteristic Cauchy problem for operators of the form

(2.1) 
$$P = P(c_1, c_2) = XY - c_1 t^{k-1} \partial_x - c_2 t^{k-1}$$

where

$$X = \partial_t + a_1 t^k \partial_x + a_2 t^k,$$
  

$$Y = \partial_t - b_1 t^k \partial_x - b_2 t^k,$$
  

$$a_1, b_1 > 0, a_2, b_2 \le 0,$$

 $c_1, c_2$  are complex numbers, k is an odd natural number and  $(t, x) \in [-T, T] \times \mathbb{R}, T > 0$ .

#### The characteristic Cauchy problem

Since

$$\begin{split} P(c_1, c_2) &= \partial_t^2 - a_1 b_1 t^{2k} \partial_x^2 + (a_1 - b_1) t^k \partial_t \partial_x - (c_1 + b_1 k) t^{k-1} \partial_x \\ &- (a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) t^{2k} \partial_x - (c_2 + b_2 k) t^{k-1} - a_2 b_2 t^{2k} \\ &+ (a_2 - b_2) t^k \partial_t \,, \end{split}$$

if

$$f(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (a_2 - b_2) s^k \, ds$$

and

$$u(t, x) = \exp[f(t)] \cdot v(t, x)$$

we obtain

(2.2) 
$$P(c_1, c_2)u = \exp[f(t)] \cdot Q(c_1, c_2)v$$

where

$$(2.3) Q = Q(c_1, c_2) = \partial_t^2 - a_1 b_1 t^{2k} \partial_x^2 + (a_1 - b_1) t^k \partial_t \partial_x - (c_1 + b_1 k) t^{k-1} \partial_x - \frac{1}{2} (a_1 + b_1) (a_2 + b_2) t^{2k} \partial_x - \left[ c_2 + \frac{k}{2} (a_2 + b_2) \right] t^{k-1} - \frac{1}{4} (a_2 + b_2)^2 t^{2k}.$$

The purpose of introducing the integrating factor  $\exp[f(t)]$  was to obtain a new operator, Q, in which the term  $(a_2 - b_2)t^k \partial_t$  is not present.

By using the formula (2.2), we can see that the local uniqueness of solutions of the characteristic Cauchy problem holds for P if and only if it holds for Q.

**LEMMA** 2.1. Let  $Q(c_1, c_2)$  be given by (2.3). If  $\text{Re}[c_1 + (k/2)(a_1 + b_1)] \le 0$ ,  $\text{Re}[c_2 + (k/2)(a_2 + b_2)] \ge 0$  and  $Q_{\tau}$  is the operator

$$Q_{\tau} = \exp(\tau x) \cdot Q \cdot \exp(-\tau x)$$

then the following inequalities hold for all  $v \in C_c^3(\mathbb{O}; \mathbb{R})$  and for  $\tau \ge 1$ , where  $\mathbb{O} \subset \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |t| \le T\}$  is a nonempty bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

I-1: 
$$\operatorname{Re} < Q_{\tau} v, \ (\partial_x - \tau) v \ge a_1 b_1 \iint_{O} t^{2k} |v|^2 \, dt \, dx$$

where  $\langle , \rangle$  is the usual inner product of  $\mathbb{L}^{2}(\mathbb{O}; \mathbb{R})$ .

$$\mathbf{I}-2: \qquad \iint_{\mathbf{0}} a_1 b_1 t^{2k} \exp(2\tau x) |v|^2 dt dx \leq \iint_{\mathbf{0}} \exp(2\tau x) |Qv \cdot \partial_x v| dt dx.$$

**PROOF.** Since

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\tau}v &= \partial_{t}^{2}v - a_{1}b_{1}t^{2k}(\partial_{x} - \tau)^{2} + (a_{1} - b_{1})t^{k}\partial_{t}(\partial_{x} - \tau) - (c_{1} + b_{1}k)t^{k-1}(\partial_{x} - \tau) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(a_{1} + b_{1})(a_{2} + b_{2})t^{2k}(\partial_{x} - \tau) - \left[c_{2} + \frac{k}{2}(a_{2} + b_{2})\right]t^{k-1} \\ &- \frac{1}{4}(a_{2} + b_{2})^{2}t^{2k} \end{aligned}$$

integrations by parts show that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\langle Q_{\tau}v\,,\,(\partial_{x}-\tau)v\rangle &= \tau \iint_{\mathbf{0}} |\partial_{t}v|^{2} \,dt \,dx + a_{1}b_{1}\tau \iint_{\mathbf{0}} t^{2k}(|\partial_{x}v|^{2} + \tau^{2}|v|^{2}) \,dt \,dx \\ &- \operatorname{Re}\left[c_{1} + \frac{k}{2}(a_{1} + b_{1})\right] \iint_{\mathbf{0}} t^{k-1}(|\partial_{x}v|^{2} + \tau^{2}|v|^{2}) \,dt \,dx \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(a_{1} + b_{1})(a_{2} + b_{2}) \iint_{\mathbf{0}} t^{2k}(|\partial_{x}v|^{2} + \tau^{2}|v|^{2}) \,dt \,dx \\ &+ \operatorname{Re}\left[c_{2} + \frac{k}{2}(a_{2} + b_{2})\right] \tau \iint_{\mathbf{0}} t^{k-1}|v|^{2} \,dt \,dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}(a_{2} + b_{2})^{2} \tau \iint_{\mathbf{0}} t^{2k}|v|^{2} \,dt \,dx \\ &\geq a_{1}b_{1} \iint_{\mathbf{0}} t^{2k}|v|^{2} \,dt \,dx \,.\end{aligned}$$

The proof of I - 1 is complete.

We prove I - 2, as follows:

$$\begin{split} \iint_{\mathbf{0}} a_1 b_1 t^{2k} \exp(2\tau x) |v|^2 \, dt \, dx &\leq \operatorname{Re} \langle Q_{\tau} [\exp(\tau x) v], \, (\partial_x - \tau) [\exp(\tau x) v] \rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \langle \exp(\tau x) Q v \,, \, \exp(\tau x) \partial_x v \rangle \\ &\leq \iint_{\mathbf{0}} \exp(2\tau x) |Q v \cdot \partial_x v| \, dt \, dx \,. \end{split}$$

By using inequality I-2 and following the proof of [6, Theorem 2.3], we can prove

THEOREM 2.1. Let Q be given by (2.3) and assume that

 $\operatorname{Re}[c_1 + (k/2)(a_1 + b_1)] \le 0$ 

and

$$\operatorname{Re}[c_2 + (k/2)(a_2 + b_2)] \ge 0.$$

Let  $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{O}$  be a closed subset such that

 $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F} \cap \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{O} : x \ge 0\}$ 

is compact. Then there is an open neighborhood  $\mathbb{U}$  of  $\mathbb{K}$  such that any function  $u \in C^3(\mathbb{O}; \mathbb{R})$  satisfying

$$Qu = 0$$
 in  $\mathbb{O}$ ; supp  $u \subset \mathbb{F}$ 

must vanish in U.

# 3. Concatenation and uniqueness

Theorem 2.1 guarantees local uniqueness for the operator  $Q(c_1, c_2)$  and therefore for  $P(c_1, c_2)$  if  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1 + (k/2)\delta_1) \leq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(c_2 + (k/2)\delta_2) \geq 0$ , where  $\delta_1 = a_1 + b_1$  and  $\delta_2 = a_2 + b_2$ , that is, if  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1)$  is small and  $\operatorname{Re}(c_2)$  is large.

To prove uniqueness when  $\text{Re}(c_1)$  is large and any  $c_2$ , we shall use the method of concatenations.

Note that we have

(3.1) 
$$[X, Y] = -kt^{k-1}(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)$$

(3.2) 
$$[t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2), YX] = -(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)(X+Y)$$

(3.3) 
$$[t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y, t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2)]$$
$$= (k-1)t^{k-1}(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)(c_1\partial_x + c_2)$$

Since  $Y = X - t^k (\delta_1 \partial_x + \delta_2)$ , we have

(3.4) 
$$Y^2 = XY - t^k (\delta_1 \partial_x + \delta_2) Y.$$

We shall try to find operators

$$T = t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y + (A_1\partial_x + B_1), \quad S = t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y + (A_2\partial_x + B_2)$$

so that

(3.5) 
$$TP(c_1, c_2) = P(c_1', c_2')S.$$

By using (3.1)–(3.4) we have

$$\begin{split} TP(c_1, c_2) &= [t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y + (A_1\partial_x + B_1)](XY - c_1t^{k-1}\partial_x - c_2t^{k-1}) \\ &= t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)YXY - t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Yt^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ &+ (A_1\partial_x + B_1)XY - (A_1\partial_x + B_1)t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ &= -(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)XY - (\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y^2 + YXt(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y \\ &- [t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y, t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2)] \\ &- t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2)t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y \\ &+ (A_1\partial_x + B_1)XY - (A_1\partial_x + B_1)t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ &= [-2(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) + (A_1\partial_x + B_1)]XY + (\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)t^k(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y \\ &+ (XY - [X, Y])t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y \\ &- (k-1)t^{k-1}(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ &- t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2)t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y - (A_1\partial_x + B_1)t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ &= XYt(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) + (A_1\partial_x + B_1)]XY \\ &+ t^{k-1}(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y \\ &- (k-1)t^{k-1}(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y - (A_1\partial_x + B_1)t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ &= XYt(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y \\ &- (k-1)t^{k-1}(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y - (A_1\partial_x + B_1)t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ &= \{XY - t^{k-1}[(c_1\partial_x + c_2) - (k-1)(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)]\} \\ &\circ [t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y - 2(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) + (A_1\partial_x + B_1)] \\ &+ t^{k-1}[(c_1\partial_x + c_2) - (k-1)(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)] \\ &- [-2(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) + (A_1\partial_x + B_1)] \\ &- (k-1)t^{k-1}(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)(c_1\partial_x + c_2) - (A_1\partial_x B_1)t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $A_1 = 2\delta_1 - c_1$  and  $B_1 = 2\delta_2 - c_2$ , we obtain (3.5), that is,

$$\begin{split} & [t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y + 2(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) - (c_1\partial_x + c_2)][XY - t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2)] \\ & = \{XY - t^{k-1}[(c_1\partial_x + c_2) - (k+1)(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)]\} \\ & \circ [t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y - (c_1\partial_x + c_2)]. \end{split}$$

Now, we shall try to find operators Q and R so that

(3.6) 
$$QS + RP(c_1, c_2) = (c_1\partial_x + c_2)[(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) - (c_1\partial_x + c_2)].$$

. .

To obtain (3.6) write

$$\begin{split} XS &= X[t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y - (c_1\partial_x + c_2)] = Xt(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y - X(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ &= (\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y + t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)XY - (c_1\partial_x + c_2)X \,. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} XS - t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)P(c_1, c_2) \\ &= XS - t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)XY + t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)t^{k-1}(c_1\partial_x + c_2) \\ &= (\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y - (c_1\partial_x + c_2)X + t^k(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)(c_1\partial_x + c_2). \end{split}$$

Since  $X - Y = t^k (\delta_1 \partial_x + \delta_2)$  we have

$$XS - t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)P(c_1, c_2) = [(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) - (c_1\partial_x + c_2)]Y$$

and therefore

$$\begin{split} & [t(\delta_1\partial_x+\delta_2)X+(c_1\partial_x+c_2)-(\delta_1\partial_x+\delta_2)]S-t^2(\delta_1\partial_x+\delta_2)^2P(c_1,c_2)\\ & = (c_1\partial_x+c_2)[(\delta_1\partial_x+\delta_2)-(c_1\partial_x+c_2)]. \end{split}$$

Then (3.6) holds with

$$Q = t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)X + (c_1\partial_x + c_2) - (\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)$$

and

$$R = -t^2 (\delta_1 \partial_x + \delta_2)^2.$$

The computations above yield the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. For j, l = 0, 1, 2, ..., let

$$\begin{split} c_{1j} &= c_1 - j(k+1)\delta_1, \qquad c_{2l} = c_2 - l(k+1)\delta_2 \\ T_{j,l} &= t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y + 2(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) - (c_{1j}\partial_x + c_{2l}) \\ S_{j,l} &= t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)Y - (c_{1j}\partial_x + c_{2l}) \\ P(c_{1j}, c_{21}) &= XY - t^{k-1}(c_{1j}\partial_x + c_{2l}) \\ Q_{j,l} &= t(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2)X + (c_{1j}\partial_x + c_{2l}) - (\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) \,. \end{split}$$

Then

(3.7) 
$$T_{j,l}P(c_{1j}, c_{2l}) = P(c_{1,j+1}, c_{2,l+1})S_{j,l}$$

and we can find operators  $Q_{i,l}$  such that

(3.8) 
$$Q_{j,l}S_{j,l} + RP(c_{1j}, c_{2l}) = (c_{1j}\partial_x + c_{2l})[(\delta_1\partial_x + \delta_2) - (c_{1j}\partial_x + c_{2l})].$$

Consider the statement,  $\mathbf{A}_{j,l}^m$ : Every  $u \in C^m(\mathbb{O})$  which satisfies  $P(c_{1j}, c_{2l})u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{O}$  with  $\sup u \subset \mathbb{F}$  must vanish in  $\Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is an open neighborhood of  $\mathbb{K}$ . (Here,  $\mathbb{O}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}$ and K are as in Theorem 2.1 and  $m \ge 3$ ).

Gerson Petronilho

LEMMA 3.2. If  $c_{1j} \neq 0$  and  $c_{1j} \neq \delta_1$  then  $\mathbb{A}_{j+1,l+1}^m$  implies  $\mathbb{A}_{j,l}^{m+2}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $u \in C^{m+2}(\mathbb{O})$  so that  $P(c_{1j}, c_{2l}) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{O}$  and  $\text{supp} u \subset \mathbb{F}$ . Since

$$T_{j,l}P(c_{1j}, c_{2l}) = P(c_{1,j+1}, c_{2,l+1})S_{j,l}(\text{see} (3.7))$$

we have

$$P(c_{1,j+1}, c_{2,l+1})(S_{j,l}u) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{O}$$

Since  $S_{j,l}$  is a second order linear operator we have  $S_{j,l} u \in C^m(\mathbb{O})$  and therefore by hypothesis

$$S_{i} u = 0$$
 in  $\Omega$ .

By (3.8) there are operators  $Q_{i,l}$  and R such that

$$Q_{j,l}S_{j,l}u + RP(c_{1j}, c_{2l})u = (c_{1j}\partial_x + c_{2l})[(\delta_1 - c_{1j})\partial_x + (\delta_2 - c_{2l})]u$$

and therefore

$$(c_{1j}\partial_x + c_{2l})[(\delta_1 - c_{1j})\partial_x + (\delta_2 - c_{2l})]u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Since  $c_{1j} \neq 0$  we have local uniqueness of solutions of the noncharacteristic Cauchy problem for the operator  $c_{1j}\partial_x + c_{2l}$  and therefore

$$[(\delta_1 - c_{1l})\partial_x + (\delta_2 - c_{2l})]u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{W},$$

where W is an open neighborhood of K.

Now, since by the hypothesis  $c_{1j} \neq \delta_1$ , the uniqueness for the operator  $(\delta_1 - c_{1j})\partial_x + (\delta_2 - c_{2l})$  implies u = 0 in U, where U is an open neighborhood of K.

**THEOREM 3.1.** Suppose that  $c_1 \neq j(k+1)\delta_1$  and  $c_1 \neq j(k+1)\delta_1 + \delta_1$ , j = 0, 1, 2, ... and  $c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbb{O}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{K}$  be as in Theorem 2.1. Then there is an integer *m* depending only on  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1)$  and an open neighborhood  $\mathbb{U}$  of  $\mathbb{K}$  such that every  $u \in C^m(\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{R})$  with support in  $\mathbb{F}$  which satisfies

$$P(c_1, c_2)u = 0$$
 in  $\mathbb{O}$ 

must vanish in U.

**PROOF.** Let  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $c'_1 = c_1 + (k/2)\delta_1, c'_2 = c_2 + (k/2)\delta_2$ .

If  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1') \leq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(c_2') \geq 0$  then Theorem 2.1 guarantees for the operator  $Q(c_1, c_2)$ , and therefore for  $P(c_1, c_2)$ , the uniqueness result stated in Theorem 3.1 with m = 3.

If  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1') \leq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(c_2') < 0$ , let  $l_0$  be the smallest natural number such that  $\operatorname{Re}[c_{2l} + (k/2)\delta_2] \geq 0$  where  $c_{2l_0} = c_2 - l_0(k+1)\delta_2$ . Thus,

182

 $\operatorname{Re}[c_{1l_0} + (k/2)\delta_1] < 0$  where  $c_{1l_0} = c_1 - l_0(k+1)\delta_1$  and therefore as in the preceding case,  $A_{l_0,l_0}^3$  holds.

We apply Lemma 3.2  $l_0$  times and conclude that  $\mathbb{A}_{0,0}^{3+2l_0}$  holds; in this case  $m = 3 + 2l_0$ .

If  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1') > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(c_2') \le 0$ , let  $j_0$  and  $l_0$  be the smallest natural numbers such that  $\operatorname{Re}[c_{1j_0} + (k/2)\delta_1] \le 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}[c_{2l_0} + (k/2)\delta_2] \ge 0$ .

If  $j_0 < l_0$  we have  $\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{c}_{1l_0} + (k/2)\delta_1] \le 0$  and therefore as in the first case,  $A_{l_0, l_0}^3$  holds. We apply Lemma 3.2,  $l_0$  times and conclude that  $A_{0,0}^{3+2l_0}$  holds; in this case  $m = 3 + 2l_0$ .

If  $j_0 > l_0$  we have  $\operatorname{Re}[c_{2j_0} + (k/2)\delta_2] \ge 0$  and as in the preceding case,  $A_{0,0}^{3+2j_0}$  holds; in this case  $m = 3 + 2j_0$ .

If  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1') > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(c_2') > 0$ , let  $j_0$  be the smallest natural number such that  $\operatorname{Re}[c_{1j_0} + (k/2)\delta_1] \leq 0$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{Re}[c_{2j_0} + (k/2)\delta_2] > 0$  and therefore as in the preceding case,  $A_{0,0}^{3+2j_0}$  holds; in this case  $m = 3 + 2j_0$ . The proof is complete.

**REMARK 3.1.** Now consider the operator  $P(c_1, c_2)$  with  $a_1, b_1 < 0$  and  $a_2, b_2 \ge 0$ . We can write

$$\begin{split} P(c_1, c_2) &= (\partial_t + a_1 t^k \partial_x + a_2 t^k) (\partial_t - b_1 t^k \partial_x - b_2 t^k) - c_1 t^{k-1} \partial_x - c_2 t^{k-1} \\ &= [\partial_t + (-b_1) t^k \partial_x + (-b_2) t^k] [\partial_t - (-a_1) t^k \partial_x - (-a_2) t^k] \\ &- (c_1 + k \delta_1) t^{k-1} \partial_x - (c_2 + k \delta_2) t^{k-1} \,. \end{split}$$

If  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1) \neq -\delta_1[k+j(k+1)]$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(c_1) \neq -\delta_1(j+1)(k+1)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , then Theorem 3.1 ensures local uniqueness for the operator  $P(c_1, c_2)$ .

In the case that  $a_2 = b_2 = c_2 = 0$  and  $b_1 = a_1$  we obtain part of [1, Theorem 3.1].

**REMARK** 3.2. As the operator  $P(c_1, c_2)$  belongs to the class of operators considered in [1, Theorem 4.1], this ensures its uniqueness in the class of distributions.

#### References

- A. P. Bergamasco and H. S. Ribeiro, 'Uniqueness in a doubly characteristic Cauchy problem', *Pacific J. Math.* 136 (1989), 229-240.
- [2] B. Birkeland and J. Persson, 'The local Cauchy problem in R<sup>2</sup> at a point where two characteristic curves have a common tangent', J. Differential Equations 30 (1978), 64-88.

- [3] A. Menikoff, 'Uniqueness of the Cauchy problem for a class of partial differential equations with double characteristics', *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 25 (1976), 1–21.
- [4] O. R. B. de Oliveira, 'Estudo de uma Classe de Operadores Diferenciais Parciais Lineares que se degeneram sobre uma Reta e têm Característica Múltipla fora dela' Ms. C., Instituto de Matemática e Estatística da Universidade de São Paulo, 1989.
- [5] F. Treves, 'Discrete phenomena in uniqueness in the Cauchy problem', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 46 (1974), 229-233.
- [6] F. Treves, Linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients: existence, approximation and regularity of solutions, (Math. and its Applications Vol. 6, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1966).

Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar) Departamento de Matemática Caixa Postal 676 13560 - São Carlos - SP - Brazil