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#### Abstract

In the present paper we completely describe the isomorphisms between projectıve elementary groups $\mathrm{PSL}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{PSL}_{m}(n \geq 2, m \geq 2)$ over division rings It was found that such groups can be isomorphic only if $n=m$, the division rings are isomorphic or anti-1somorphic, except for the following groups


$$
\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{7}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{PSL}\left(3, F_{2}\right), \quad \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{4}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{5}\right)
$$

> The idea is based on a deepenıng of the classical Hua's approach This problem has been solved independently by H Ren, Z Wan and X Wu using a different way

In the present paper we completely determine the isomorphisms between projective elementary groups $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(m, S)(n \geq 2, m \geq 2)$ over division rings. It was found that such groups can be isomorphic only if $n=m$; the division rings $R$ and $S$ are isomorphic or anti-isomorphic, except for the following groups:

$$
\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{7}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{PSL}\left(3, F_{2}\right), \quad \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{4}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{5}\right) .
$$

The first step in the description of the isomorphisms between projective elementary groups was taken by Schreier and van der Waerden [1]. They determined the isomorphisms between $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(m, S)(n, m \geq 3)$ over fields $R$ and $S$. Their paper was the beginning of the construction of the theory of homomorphisms of algebraic and classical groups over rings. The most complete survey of the modern state of this theory may be found in [2]. Dieudonné [6], Rickart, Hua [5] described the isomorphisms between $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(m, S)(n, m \geq 3)$ over division rings $R$ and $S$, developing the involution method idea which goes back to Mackey. The case when one of the $m, n$ is equal to 2 , needs additional considerations. The most difficult cases of small $m$ and $n$ have been studied by Hua and Wan [4]. For $m=n=2$ Hua [5] determined the isomorphisms between $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(m, S)$ over fields $R$ and $S$.

Almost all of the results of the description of the isomorphisms between projective elementary groups over division rings that have become classical may be found in Dieudonné's book [3]. There (3, Chapter IV) it is pointed out that the problem of the description of automorphisms of $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$ is unsolved in the case when $(-1)$ does not belong to the commutator subgroup of $R^{*}$ and $R$ is a division ring of zero characteristic. It should be pointed out that Ren [7] has solved Dieudonné's problem under the condition that there exist elements in $R$, the squares of which are equal to 2 and 3 , correspondingly.
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The problem of the isomorphisms between $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(m, S)$ over division rings $R$ and $S$ in the case of $m \geq 3, n \geq 3$ follows from O'Meara-Sosnowski theorem, the new proof of which is proposed by the author in [8]; for the rest of the cases the description follows from Theorems 1 and 2. In this case Hahn's [13] deep work should be noted. Theorems 1 and 2 are a partial solution of the problem of the construction of the isomorphism theory for two-dimensional linear groups which are rich in transvections. This problem has been formulated by Merzlyakov in [9]. They are also tightly connected with the description of linear group isomorphisms over integral domains (see [14]).

The complete solution of the description problem for the isomorphisms between projective groups over division rings was reported in [11]. Independently, using quite different methods, H. Ren, Z. Wan and X. Wu have solved the description problem for automorphisms between linear groups over division rings in [12]. More precisely, for a division ring $R$ they have determined automorphisms of $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ and reported a of complete solution of the problem for isomorphisms between $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(m, S)$ over division rings. Their basic idea is an imbedding of the division ring into a ring of matrices such that images of transvections would be triangulable, first over some extension and then over the basic division ring.

The author's idea is based on a deepening of the classical approach of Hua. In the two-dimensional case the author succeeded in calculating the first and the second commutator subgroups of the centralizer of an arbitrary set of scalar matrices. It was found that almost always they can be represented as linear groups. This allowed passing to isomorphisms between linear groups over fields and then proving that almost always at least one transvection under isomorphism maps into a unipotent element.

An isomorphism between $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(m, S)$ is called standard if $n=m$ and, up to conjugation, it is the result of an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism between $R$ and $S$.

Let $R^{*}$ be the group of unit: of $R ; R_{n}$ be the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over $R$; $\mathrm{GL}(n, R)=R_{n}^{*} ; \mathrm{SL}(n, R)=\operatorname{gr}\left(t_{i j}(x)=E+x e_{i j} \mid e_{i j}-\right.$ standard matrix unit $)=$ ker det, where det: $\mathrm{GL}(n, R) \rightarrow R^{*} /\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]$ is the Dieudonné determinant.

An element $\sigma \in \operatorname{GL}(n, R)$ is called unipotent if there exists a number $k$ such that $(\sigma-E)^{k}=0$. If $k=2$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\sigma-E)=1$ then $\sigma$ is called a transvection. Let $G$ be a group and $\zeta G$ its centre. $G / \zeta G$ is called a projective group and is denoted by PG or $\bar{G}$. If $g$ is an arbitrary element of $G$, then projective image of $g$ is denoted by $\bar{g}$. Let $\bar{A}=\{a \zeta G \mid a \in A\}$ and $C_{G}(A)=\{g \in G \mid g a=a g$ for all $a \in A\}$.

The commutator subgroup of a group $G$ is denoted by $[G, G]$ or $G^{\prime}, G^{\prime \prime}=\left[G^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right]$; $[a, b]=a b a^{-1} b^{-1}, b^{a}=a b a^{-1}$. The equation $a=u s v$ means that the element $a$ is represented by Bruhat decomposition, where $u$ is the higher unimodular, $v$ is the higher triangular and $s$ is monomial matrices. $F_{q^{-}}$is a finite field with $q$ elements.

It is easy to see that for the elements $a, b, c$ of a group $G$, it follows from commutativity of $\bar{a}$ with $\bar{b}$ and $\bar{c}$ that $a$ commutes with $[b, c]$. Hence, $C_{\bar{G}}^{\prime}(\bar{A}) \subset \overline{C_{G}(A)}$.

The elements of order two are called involutions.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a group with finite centre. If $\bar{G}$ includes an infinite number of pairwise commutative involutions then $G$ also includes an infinite number of pairwise commutative involutions.

Proof. Let $\bar{a}$ be any involution from $\bar{G}$. Then there exists an infinite set of involutions $\bar{b}$ in the group $\bar{G}$ such that $[a, b]=\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is some fixed element from the centre of the group $G$. It is easy to see that the equalities $\left[a, b_{1}\right]=\alpha=\left[a, b_{2}\right]$ imply $a b_{1} a^{-1}=b_{1} \alpha$ and $a b_{2}^{-1} a^{-1}=b_{2}^{-1} \alpha^{-1}$. So the element $a$ commutes with $b_{1} b_{2}^{-1}$. It is obvious that there is an infinite number of elements of the form $b_{1} b_{2}^{-1}$. Applying similar arguments to the elements of this set, we have that there exists an infinite number of pairwise commutative elements in $G$, the images of which in $\bar{G}$ are involutions.

Since the centre of the group $G$ is finite, there exists an infinite number of pairwise commutative elements $c$ in $G$ such that $c^{2}=\beta$, where $\beta$ is some fixed element from the centre of the group $G$. It follows from the equalities $c_{1}^{2}=\beta=c_{2}^{2}$ that $c_{1} c_{2}^{-1}$ is an involution. Since there exists an infinite number of elements of the form $c_{1} c_{2}^{-1}$, these elements form an infinite set of pairwise commutative involutions in the group $G$.

Lemma 2. Let $R$ be a field. Then for arbitrary $\alpha, \delta \in R, \alpha \neq 0, C_{\mathrm{GL}(2, R)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \delta\end{array}\right)$ is an abelian group.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{GL}(n, R)=\operatorname{GL}(n, V)$, where $n=\operatorname{dim} V \geq 2$. Let $a$ be an element from $R_{n}$ such that $V$ as $R[a]$-module is cyclic. Then the diagram

$$
R[a] \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R[a]}(V, V)=C_{R_{n}}(a)
$$

implies that $C_{R_{n}}(a)$ is a commutative ring and, therefore, its group of units is abelian.
We'll need the Hua formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
([a, b]-[a, b-1]) b=1-[a, b-1] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula implies, in particular, that an element of $R$ which commutes with each element from $\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]$ belongs to the centre of $R$. Moreover, if $R^{*}$ is nilpotent, then $R$ is a field. From (1) we have that if $\left\{\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right],\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]\right]$ belongs to the centre of $R$, then $R$ is a field. Indeed, let $a \in\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right], a \neq 1$, and $b \in R^{*}$. Then

$$
\left[a, b^{a}\right]=[a, b]^{a}=\gamma_{1}[a, b]
$$

and

$$
\left[a-1, b^{a}\right]=[a-1, b]^{a}=\gamma_{2}[a-1, b],
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are elements from the centre of $R$. From these equalities we have that $\left(\gamma_{1}^{-1}-\gamma_{2}^{-1}\right) a^{b}=1-\gamma_{2}^{-1}$.

If $\gamma_{1} \neq \gamma_{2}$ then $a^{b}$ belongs to the centre of $R$ and, therefore, $[a, b]$ commutes with $a$. It is easy to show that this statement remains valid under the condition that $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=1$. Applying formula (1) again, $a$ belongs to the centre of $R$. So, we have proved that $R$ is a field.

As a corollary, we obtain that all the elements of $R$ which commute with all the el ements of $\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]^{\prime}$ form a subfield $F$ Clearly for each inner automorphism $\phi$ of $R^{*}$ we have $\phi(F)=F$ Using (1) for a non-zero element $a \in F$ and for arbitrary $b \in R^{*}, b \neq 1$, we obtain that $a b=b a$ This means that $F$ is the centre of $R$

Another important corollary from the formula (1) is that the commutativity of $C_{\text {PSL } 2 R)}^{\prime} \overline{t_{12}(1)}$ implies that $R$ is a field Indeed, let $a_{1}, a_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ be arbitrary elements of $\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]$ Then $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$ commutes with elements

$$
\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right]
$$

$\left[x_{1} x_{2} E, t_{12}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right]=t_{12}\left(\left(\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right]-1\right)\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]\right)$, and consequently with $\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ Since $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$ commutes with $\left[y_{1} E, t_{12}\left(y_{2}\right)\right]=t_{12}\left(\left(\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]-1\right) y_{2}\right),\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$ com mutes with an arbitrary element $y_{2}$ and, therefore, belongs to the centre of $R$ Hence, [ $\left.R^{*}, R^{*}\right]^{\prime}$ belongs to the centre of $R$ and, thus, $R$ is a field

LEmmA 3 Let $R$ and $S$ be division rings, and $\Lambda \operatorname{PSL}(n, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, S)$ be an iso morphism which maps, at least, one transvection into a transvection

Then $n=2$ and $\Lambda$ $\iota$ a standard isomorphısm
Proof It is known that a milpotent group is unitriangulable if its lower central series is generated by unıpotent elements of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, S)$ Since $\Lambda \operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}(n, R)=1$ for $n \geq 3$, it follows that $n=2 \mathrm{Up}$ to an inner automorphism we can assume that $\Lambda \overline{t_{12}(1)}=\overline{t_{12}(1)}$

Let $\Lambda \bar{a}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)}$ and $a=u s v$ be the Bruhat decomposition of matrix $a$ A suitable selection of basis gives $u=E$ Let $v t_{12}(1) v^{1}=t_{12}(m)$ where $m$ is an element of $R$ Then

$$
\Lambda \overline{t_{12}(m)}{ }^{s}=\Lambda s v \overline{t_{12}(1)},{ }^{1} s^{1}={\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)} \overline{t_{12}(1)} \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)}{ }^{1}=\overline{t_{21}(-1)}}_{\bar{t}}
$$

Since matrices $t_{12}(1)$ and $t_{21}(-1)$ do not commute with one another it follows that $s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\Lambda \overline{t_{21}(m)}=\overline{t_{21}(-1)}$

From the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{12}(x) t_{21}\left(-x^{\mathrm{l}}\right) t_{12}(x)=t_{21}\left(-x^{\mathrm{l}}\right) t_{12}(x) t_{21}\left(-x^{\mathrm{l}}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x=1$ we obtann $m=-1$ Let $\Lambda \overline{t_{12}(x)}=\overline{\alpha(x) t_{12}(\delta(x))}$, where $\alpha(x)$ and $\delta(x)$ are elements of $S$ which depend on $x$ It is easy to show that for arbitrary elements $x$ and , from $R, \overline{\alpha(x+y)}=\overline{\alpha(x) \alpha(y)}$ and $\overline{\alpha(0)}=\overline{\alpha(1)}=\overline{1}$ From the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{12}(x)^{\operatorname{diag}\left(x x^{1}\right)}=t_{12}\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get that $\overline{\alpha\left(x^{2}\right)}=\overline{1}$ and (2) implies $\delta\left(x^{2}\right) \delta\left(x^{2}\right)=-1$ for all nonzero elements $x \in R$ As a corollary we obtann $\alpha(x) \delta\left(x^{2}\right)=\delta\left(x^{2}\right) \alpha(x), \overline{\alpha(2 x)}=\alpha \overline{\left((x+1)^{2}-x^{2}-1\right)}=1$ and $\Lambda \overline{\operatorname{drag}\left(x^{2}, x^{2}\right)}=\overline{\operatorname{drag}\left(\delta\left(x^{2}\right), \delta\left(x^{2}\right)\right.}$

When char $R \neq 2$, applying the formula

$$
t_{12}(4 x)^{\operatorname{drag}(1 / 2,2)}=t_{12}(x)
$$

we find that $\overline{\alpha(x)}=\overline{\alpha(4 x)}=\overline{1}$ for all $x \in R$. In the case when char $R=2$, the last statement follows from the formula

$$
\left[t_{12}(x), \operatorname{diag}\left(x^{2} ; x^{-2}\right)\right]^{\operatorname{diag}\left((1+x)^{2},(1+x)^{2}\right)}=t_{12}(x)
$$

Thus, we have proved that $\overline{\Lambda t_{12}(x)}=\overline{t_{12}(\delta x)}$ for all $x \in R$. It is easy to show that the mapping $\delta: x \rightarrow \delta(x)$ which is additive (it is because there may be only one transvection in a coset) and $\delta(1)=1, \delta\left(x^{-1}\right)=\delta(x)^{-1}$ for all nonzero elements $x$ from $R$. By the Hua theorem, $\delta$ is an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism between the division rings $R$ and $S$. Hence, the isomorphism $\Lambda$ is standard.

Lemma 4. Let $R$ and $S$ be division rings of equal characteristic $p>0$. Let $\Lambda: \operatorname{PSL}(n, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, S)$ be an arbitrary isomorphism. Then $n=2$ and $\Lambda$ is a standard isomorphism.

Proof. It is easy to see that for elements $a, b$ of an arbitrary linear group over a division ring of characteristic $p>0$, the equalities $\bar{a}^{p}=\bar{E}$ and $\bar{a}[\bar{a}, \bar{b}]=[\bar{a}, \bar{b}] \bar{a}$ imply $a[a, b]=[a, b] a$ and

$$
1=\left[a^{p}, b\right]=[a, b]^{a^{p}}\left[a^{p-1}, b\right]=[a, b]\left[a^{p-1}, b\right]=[a, b]^{p} .
$$

Let $\delta_{I J}$ be the Kronecker symbol. Applying formula $\left[t_{l j}(x), t_{, k}(y)\right]=t_{l k}\left(\delta_{J s} x y\right)$ for $n \geq 3$ and (3) for $n=2$, provided that $|R|>3$ or $|S|>3$, we get that $\Lambda$ maps transvections into projective images of elements of order $p$, and, therefore, into transvections. It can be verified directly that when $n=2, R=F_{3}, S=F_{3}$ or $n=2, R=F_{2}, S=F_{2}$, the element $\Lambda \overline{t_{12}(1)}$ is a transvection.

An application of Lemma 3 completes the proof of Lemma 4.
Lemma 5. Let $R$ be a division ring and $S$ be a field. Let $\Lambda: \operatorname{PSL}(n, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(m, S)$ be an arbitrary isomorphism. Then $R$ is a field and the isomorphism $\Lambda$ is standard except for the groups $\operatorname{PSL}\left(3, F_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{7}\right), \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{4}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{5}\right)$.

Proof. Let $R$ be a noncommutative division ring. Let us prove that the isomorphism $\Lambda$ is impossible. Consider the solvable subgroup in $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ generated by elements $t_{12}(y)$, where $y$ is an arbitrary element of $R$, and by matrices $\operatorname{diag}(x ; x)$ and $\operatorname{diag}\left(x ; x^{-1}\right)$, where $x$ is a fixed noncentral element from the commutator subgroup of $R^{*}$. According to Lie-Colchin-Maltsev theorem this subgroup contains a subgroup $N$ of finite index such that $\Lambda[\bar{N}, \bar{N}]$ consists of unipotent elements and the identity. Since $R$ is infinite, there exist elements $y \neq 0, y_{1}, y_{2}$ in $R$ such that matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & y \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & y_{1} \\
0 & x
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & y_{2} \\
0 & x^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

belong to $N$. It is easy to show that if

$$
\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & y_{1} \\
0 & x
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & y \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right]=E \text {, then }\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & y_{2} \\
0 & x^{-1}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & y \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right] \neq E .
$$

Thus, we have proved that the isomorphism $\Lambda$ maps a transvection into a unipotent element. From Lemma 8 [8] it follows for $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$ and from Lemma 3 for other cases that $\Lambda$ maps transvections into transvections. Hence, $R$ is isomorphic or antiisomorphic to $S$; that is impossible. Hence, $R$ is a field.

If $R$ is an infinite field, then from similar considerations as in the case when $R$ is a division ring we have that $\Lambda$ is a standard isomorphism. Let $R$ and $S$ be finite fields. If $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$ then it is proved in [8] that $\Lambda$ is a standard isomorphism. Then, without loss of generality, we may consider that $m=2$ and $\Lambda \overline{t_{1}(1)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \delta\end{array}\right)}$, where $\alpha, \delta \in S$. According to Lemma 2,

$$
C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2, S)} \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha \\
1 & \delta
\end{array}\right)}
$$

is commutative. Then $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(n, R)}^{\prime} \overline{t_{1 n}(1)}$ is also commutative. Then $n=3$ and $R=F_{2}$ or $n=2$. If $n=3$ and $R=F_{2}$, then $S=F_{7}$ and the mapping $\Lambda: \operatorname{PSL}\left(3, F_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{7}\right):$

$$
\Lambda \overline{\Lambda t(1)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-3 & 2 \\
2 & 3
\end{array}\right)} ; \quad \Lambda \overline{\Lambda t_{23}(1)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-2 & 3 \\
3 & 2
\end{array}\right)} ; \quad \Lambda \overline{t_{31}(1)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 3 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right)}
$$

induces a nonstandard isomorphism. Let $n=2$. It is easy to show that $|\operatorname{PSL}(2, R)|=$ $\left(|R|^{2}-1\right)|R| / 2$, if char $R \neq 2$ and $|\operatorname{PSL}(2, R)|=\left(|R|^{2}-1\right)|R|$, if char $R=2$.

If char $R \neq 2$ and char $S \neq 2$ then $|R|=|S|$ and char $R=\operatorname{char} S=p>0$. Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that $|R|=2^{t}$ and $|S|=p^{s}$, where $p=$ char $S>$ 2. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(|R|^{2}-1\right)|R|=\left(|S|^{2}-1\right)|S| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|S|$ does not divide $|R|$ and $|R|+1=(|R|-1)=2,|S| \leq|R|+1$. On the other hand, the equality (4) is possible only if $|R| \leq|S|-1$. Hence, $|S|=|R|+1=5$. In this case there exists a nonstandard isomorphism $\Lambda: \operatorname{PSL}(2, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, S)$, where $|R|=5$ and $S=\left\{0,1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}=1\right\}$, which may be determined on generators of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, R)$ in the following way:

$$
\Lambda \overline{t_{12}(1)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & \alpha
\end{array}\right)} ; \quad \Lambda \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha \\
\alpha^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)} .
$$

LEmmA 6. Let R be a division ring and $A=\{a E\} \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, R)$. Let $R_{1}=\{r \mid a r=r a$ for all $a \in A\}$ and $\bar{H}=C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2, R)}^{\prime}(\bar{A})$.

Then the following results hold:

| $\left\|R_{1}\right\|$ | $\bar{H}$ | $[\bar{H}, \bar{H}]$ | $\|\bar{H}\|$ | $\|[\bar{H}, \bar{H}]\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $>3$ |  | $\overline{\operatorname{SL}\left(2, R_{1}\right)}$ |  | $\geq 60$ |
| $3 ;-1 \notin\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]$ |  | $\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$ | $\bar{E}$ | 4 |
| $-1 \in\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]$ | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$ | $\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$ | 12 | 4 |
|  | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$ | $\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$ | 12 | 4 |
|  | $\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{2}\right)$ | $\bar{E}$ | 3 | 1 |
|  | $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{2}\right)$ | $\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{2}\right)$ | 6 | 3 |

Proof. The inclusions $\overline{\mathrm{SL}\left(2, R_{1}\right)} \subset C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2, R)}(\bar{A})$ and

$$
\overline{\mathrm{SL}^{\prime}\left(2, R_{1}\right)} \subset \bar{H} \subset \overline{\mathrm{GL}\left(2, R_{1}\right)}
$$

are obvious. It should be noted that $\mathrm{GL}\left(2, R_{1}\right)$ normalizes $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2, R)}(\bar{A})$ and, consequently, $\bar{H}$ as well. If $x^{2} \neq 1$, then the following formula holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[t_{12}\left(x\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-1}\right), \operatorname{diag}\left(x ; x^{-1}\right)\right]=t_{12}(x) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in the case when $\left|R_{1}\right|>3$ the following inclusion is valid:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{SL}\left(2, R_{1}\right)}=\overline{\mathrm{SL}^{\prime}\left(2, R_{1}\right)} \subset \bar{H} .
$$

Since $\mathrm{GL}^{\prime}\left(2, R_{1}\right)=\operatorname{SL}\left(2, R_{1}\right),[\bar{H}, \bar{H}]=\overline{\mathrm{SL}\left(2, R_{1}\right)}$. Let $R_{1}=F_{3}$. Then $\zeta R=R_{1}=F_{3}$.
Let $-1 \notin\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]$. If $H$ contains a transvection then $\bar{H}=\overline{\operatorname{SL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)}=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$ and

$$
[\bar{H}, \bar{H}]=\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)=\left\{\bar{E}, \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)} \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)}, \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)}\right\} .
$$

Therefore, we'll consider the case when $\bar{H}$ does not contain any transvection.
$\bar{H}$ contains $\overline{\mathrm{SL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)}=\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$. Obviously,

$$
\left|\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, F_{3}\right) / \operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)\right|=6 \text { and } \operatorname{PGL}\left(2, F_{3}\right) / \operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)
$$

are generated by $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$. It is clear that these elements and their products do not belong to $\bar{H}$. Therefore, $\bar{H}=\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$ and $[\bar{H}, \bar{H}]=\bar{E}$.

Let $-1 \in\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]$ Then $\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)=\overline{\operatorname{GL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)} \subset C_{\operatorname{PSL}(2 R)}(\bar{A})$ and

$$
\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)=\operatorname{PGL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right) \subset \bar{H} \subset \operatorname{PGL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)
$$

Two cases are possible $\bar{H}=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$ or $\bar{H}=\operatorname{PGL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$ In the first case $[\bar{H}, \bar{H}]=$ $\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$, and in the second $[\bar{H}, \bar{H}]=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{3}\right)$

Finally, let $R_{1}=F_{2}$ Since $\zeta R=R_{1}=F_{2}$ then

$$
\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{2}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{SL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{2}\right)} \subset \bar{H} \subset \overline{\operatorname{GL}\left(2, F_{2}\right)}=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{2}\right)
$$

Hence, $\bar{H}=\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{2}\right)$ and $[\bar{H}, \bar{H}]=\bar{E}$ or $\bar{H}=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{2}\right)$ and $[\bar{H}, \bar{H}]=\operatorname{PSL}^{\prime}\left(2, F_{2}\right)$
It is obvious that char $R_{1}=$ char $R$ Therefore, if $\Lambda$ is an arbitrary isomorphism between $\operatorname{PSL}(2, R)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(2, S)$ such that $\overline{x E}=\overline{y E}$, where $x, y$ are some elements from $R$ and $S$ and $R=\{r \in R \mid x r=r x\}, S_{1}=\{s \in S \mid s y=y s\}$, then elther the 1 somorphism $\Lambda$ induces an 1 somorphism between $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, R_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, S_{1}\right)$, or char $R=\operatorname{char} R_{1}=$ char $S_{1}=\operatorname{char} S=p>0$, where $p=2$ or $p=3$

Lemma 7 Let $R$ and $S$ be noncommutative division rings Then there is no isomor phism $\Lambda \operatorname{PSL}(n, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, S)$, for which the follow ing equality holds

$$
\Lambda t_{\ln }(1)=a \bar{E}
$$

where a is some non-central element of $S$
Proof Let us suppose that such an isomorphism exists Let $S=\{s \in S \mid s a=a s\}$ Since $S$ includes the non-central element $a$, it includes more than three elements, and $\mathrm{SL}\left(2, S_{1}\right)=\mathrm{SL}^{\prime}\left(2, S_{1}\right)=\mathrm{GL}^{\prime}\left(2, S_{1}\right)$

It is easy to see that for arbitrary elements $x$ from $\left[R^{*}, R^{*}\right]$ and $r \in R$, the element $\left[x \bar{E}, \overline{t_{1 n}(r)}\right]=\overline{t_{1 n}\left(x r x x^{1}-r\right)}$ belongs to $C_{\mathrm{PLL}(n R)}^{\prime} \overline{t_{1 n}(1)}$

Let $\bar{N}$ be a group consisting of all elements $\overline{t_{1 n}(1)}$ which belong to the group $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(n R)}^{\prime} \overline{t_{1 n}(1)}$ Then $\Lambda \bar{N}$ is a commutative normal subgroup of $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2 S)} a \bar{E}$ Obviously

$$
\overline{\mathrm{SL}\left(2, S_{1}\right)} \subset C_{\mathrm{PLL}(2 S)}^{\prime} a \bar{E} \subset \overline{\mathrm{GL}\left(2, S_{1}\right)}
$$

So $\Lambda \bar{N}$ belongs to the centre of the group $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2 S)}^{\prime} a \bar{E}$ It follows that the group $\bar{N}$ belongs to the centre of $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(n R)}^{\prime} \overline{t_{1 n}(1)}$ Hence all the elements commute with the elements of the group $\left(R^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and as a consequence, belong to the centre of $R$ This, however, contradicts the non-commutativity of the division ring $R$ Really, if $x r_{0} x^{1}-r_{0}=\alpha \neq 0$ for some $r_{0} \in R$, then for an arbitrary element $r \in R$, the following equalities hold $x r x{ }^{1}=r+\beta$, $x r_{0} r x{ }^{1}=r_{0} r+\gamma$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are elements from the centre of $R$ Since $r_{0} \beta+\alpha r+\alpha \beta=\gamma$, then $R$ is generated by the elements of the centre and by $r_{0}$ which proves commutativity of $R$

## Theorem 1 Let $R$ and $S$ be division rings and

$$
\Lambda \operatorname{PSL}(2, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, S)
$$

be an arbitrary isomorphism. Then $\Lambda$ is standard, except for the groups $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{4}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{5}\right)$.

Proof. Taking into account Lemmas 4 and 5, we may assume that division rings $R$ and $S$ are noncommutative and do not have the same characteristic $p>0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
\Lambda \overline{t_{12}(1)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha \\
1 & \delta
\end{array}\right)} \text { and } \Lambda \overline{s \bar{v}}=\overline{t_{12}(1)}
$$

Let $v t_{12}(1) v^{-1}=t_{12}(x)$. Then $\Lambda \overline{s t_{12}(x)} s^{-1}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha+\delta-1 \\ 1 & \delta-1\end{array}\right)}$.
If char $R=2$, then char $S \neq 2, \delta=0$, and $\alpha$ is an element from the centre of $S$. If $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)}$ commutes with $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha-1 \\ 1 & -1\end{array}\right)}$, then $\alpha=1 / 2$ and $s=E$. Since $\left[\overline{t_{12}(1)}, \bar{v}\right]$ is a transvection and

$$
\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \alpha \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), t_{12}(1)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

then, without loss of generality, we may assume that the element $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)}$ does not commute with $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha-1 \\ 1 & -1\end{array}\right)}$ and $s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

If $\operatorname{char} R \neq 2$ and char $S \neq 2$, then, by Lemma $7, \delta \neq 0$. Hence the elements $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \delta\end{array}\right)}$ and $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha+\delta-1 \\ 1 & \delta-1\end{array}\right)}$ are non-commutative and $s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

If $\alpha \delta \neq \delta \alpha$ and $\Lambda \overline{u_{1} s_{1} v_{1}}=\alpha \bar{E}$, then, since the element $\left[t_{12}(1), u_{1} s_{1} v_{1}\right]$ is conjugate to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & *\end{array}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha \\
1 & \delta
\end{array}\right), \alpha E\right)=t_{12} \alpha\left(\alpha^{-1} \delta-\delta \alpha^{-1}\right) \alpha^{-1}
$$

we may assume that $\alpha \delta=\delta \alpha$, considering the isomorphism $\Lambda^{-1}$ instead of the isomorphism $\Lambda$.

Commutativity of $\overline{\alpha E}$ with the elements $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \delta\end{array}\right)}$ and $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha+\delta-1 \\ 1 & \delta-1\end{array}\right)}$ implies $\Lambda \beta \bar{E}=\alpha \bar{E}$, where $\beta$ is some element of division ring $R$ which commutes with $\alpha$.

Let $R_{1}=\{r \in R \mid r \beta=\beta r\}$ and $S_{1}=\{s \in S \mid s \alpha=\alpha s\}$. If $\alpha$ is a non-central element of $R$, then, by Lemma $6, \Lambda \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, R_{1}\right)=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, S_{1}\right)$ and the element $\alpha$ belongs to the centre $S_{1}$.
Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that in all the cases $\overline{\Lambda \overline{t_{12}(1)}}=$ $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \delta\end{array}\right)}$ and $\Lambda \overline{t_{21}(x)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha+\delta-1 \\ 1 & \delta-1\end{array}\right)}$, where $\alpha$ is an element of the centre of $S$.

It is easy to see that the group $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2, R)}^{\prime} \overline{t_{12}(1)}$ is non-commutative. Hence $\bar{H}=$ $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2, \delta)} \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \delta\end{array}\right)}$ is also non-commutative.

Let $\bar{h}$ be an arbitrary element of $H$ and $h=\left(\begin{array}{ll}h_{1} & h_{2} \\ h_{3} & h_{4}\end{array}\right)$. Let $T$ denote a division ring generated by the elements $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4}$ for all the elements $\bar{h} \in \bar{H}$. Obviously, all the elements of the division ring $T$ commute with $\alpha$ and $\delta$. By Lemma $2, T$ is noncommutative.

Let $\gamma$ be an arbitrary element from the group $\left[T^{*}, T^{*}\right]$. It follows from commutativity of $\gamma \bar{E}$ with the elements $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \delta\end{array}\right)}$ and $\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1+\delta-1 \\ 1 & \delta-1\end{array}\right)}$ that $\Lambda x(\gamma) \bar{E}=\gamma \bar{E}$, where $x(\gamma)$ is an element of $R$ which depends on $\gamma$ and commutes with $x$.

Let $R_{2}=\left\{r \in R \mid r x(\gamma)=x(\gamma) r\right.$ for all $\left.\gamma \in\left[T^{*}, T^{*}\right]\right\}$ and $S_{2}=\left\{s \in S \mid s \gamma=\gamma_{s}\right.$ for all $\left.\gamma \in\left[T^{*}, T^{*}\right]\right\}$. Since char $R_{2} \neq$ char $S_{2}$, it follows from Lemma 6 that $\left|R_{2}\right|>3$, $\left|S_{2}\right|>3$, and the isomorphism $\Lambda$ induces an isomorphism of groups $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, R_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, S_{2}\right)$. The intersection of the division rings $T \cap S_{2}$ belongs to the centre of $T$; by Lemma 2, the groups

$$
C_{\mathrm{PSL}\left(2, S_{2}\right)}^{\prime} \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha \\
1 & \delta
\end{array}\right)} \text { and } C_{\mathrm{PSL}\left(2, R_{2}\right)}^{\prime} \overline{t_{12}(1)}
$$

are commutative and $R_{2}$ is a field.
According to Lemma 5 either $\Lambda$ maps transvections into transvections or $\Lambda$ induces a non-standard isomorphism between $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{4}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{5}\right)$. In the first case, by Lemma $3 \Lambda$ is standard. In the second case, since the centres of $R$ and $S$ belong to $R_{2}$ and $S_{2}$, by Lemma 1 the groups $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$ and $\operatorname{SL}(2, S)$ include infinite sets of pairwise commutative involutions. That is impossible in a linear group over a division ring with characteristic not equal to 2 .

We have proved that the isomorphism $\Lambda$ is standard.
Theorem 2. Let $R$ and $S$ be division rings and $\Lambda: \operatorname{PSL}(n, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, S)$ be an arbitrary isomorphism. If $n>2$, then the isomorphism $\Lambda$ is impossible, except for the groups $\operatorname{PSL}\left(3, F_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{7}\right)$.

Proof. Let $n>2$. By the Lemmas 4 and 5 we may assume that the division rings $R$ and $S$ are non-commutative and do not have the same characteristic $p>0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\Lambda \overline{t_{1 n}(1)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \delta\end{array}\right)}$ and $\Lambda \overline{s v}=\overline{t_{12}(1)}$.

Let $v t_{1_{n}(1)} v^{-1}=t_{1 n}(x)$. Then $\Lambda \overline{s t_{1 n}(x)} s^{-1}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha+\delta-1 \\ 1 & \delta-1\end{array}\right)}$.
First consider the case: char $S \neq 2$ or $\delta \neq 1$. Then the group

$$
C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2, S)}^{\prime}\left\{\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha \\
1 & \delta
\end{array}\right)}, \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \alpha+\delta-1 \\
1 & \delta-1
\end{array}\right)}\right\}
$$

consists of the projective images of diagonal matrices with equal diagonal elements.

Accordıng to Lemma 7 we may assume that the group

$$
C_{\mathrm{PSL}(n R)}^{\prime}\left\{\overline{t_{1 n}(1)}, s \overline{t_{1 n}(x)} s^{1}\right\}
$$

includes no transvection Hence $n=3$ and $s t_{13}(x) s^{1}=t_{31}(x)$
Thus, up to the conjugacy we may assume that

$$
\Lambda \overline{t_{23}(1)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha \\
1 & \delta
\end{array}\right)} \text { and } \Lambda \overline{t_{32}(x)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \alpha+\delta-1 \\
1 & \delta-1
\end{array}\right)}
$$

Then $\Lambda \overline{\operatorname{drag}(t, 1,1)}=\gamma(t) \bar{E}$ for all the elements $t \in\left(R^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime}$
Let $S_{1}=\left\{s \in S \mid s \gamma(t)=\gamma(t) s\right.$ for all $\left.t \in\left(R^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ The group $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(3 R)}^{\prime \prime}\{\overline{\operatorname{drag}(t, 1,1)}$ for all $\left.t \in\left(R^{*}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\}=\operatorname{drag}(1, \operatorname{SL}(2, R))$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{SL}(2, R) \operatorname{SL}(2, R)$ is an infinite group, by Lemma 6, $C_{\mathrm{PSL}(2 S)}^{\prime \prime}\{\gamma(t) \bar{E}\}=\overline{\mathrm{SL}\left(2, S_{1}\right)}$ Thus, the isomorphism $\Lambda$ induces an isomorphism of the groups $\operatorname{PSL}(2, R)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, S_{1}\right)$ which is, by Theorem 1, standard Hence, the isomorphism $\Lambda$ preserves transvections and thus is impossible

Consider the last case Since

$$
\Lambda \overline{\Lambda t_{1 n}(-1)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\delta \alpha^{1} & 1 \\
\alpha^{1} & 0
\end{array}\right)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \alpha \\
0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right)}{ }^{\prime} \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha \\
1 & -\delta \alpha^{1}
\end{array}\right)} \overline{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \delta \\
0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right)},
$$

it is enough to consider the case char $S=2, \delta=1, \alpha=1$ Then char $R=3$ and $s t_{1 n}(x) s^{1}=t_{\ln }(-1)$ Hence, $x=-1$ and $v t_{\ln }(1) v^{1}=t_{\ln }(-1)$

Let $z$ be an arbitrary non-zero element of the centre of $R$ and $\overline{\Lambda \overline{t_{\ln }(z)}}=\bar{\sigma}$ Then $s v t_{\ln }(z) v^{1} s^{1}=t_{1 n}(-z)$ Hence

$$
\left.\overline{t_{12}(1)} \bar{\sigma} \bar{t}_{12}(-1)=\bar{\sigma}^{1}, \quad \bar{\sigma}^{3}=\bar{E}, \quad \overline{\bar{\sigma}} \begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)} \bar{\sigma}
$$

It follows from these equalities that $z$ is equal to 1 or -1 Hence, the centre of the division ring $R$ coincides with $F_{3}$

Since there is an infinite number of commutative involutions in the group $\operatorname{PSL}(2, S)$, their number in the group $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)$ is also infinite Because the centre of $R$ is finite, by Lemma 1, the group $\operatorname{SL}(n, R)$ includes an infinite number of parrwise commutative involutions, that is impossible

As a result, we have proved that the groups $\operatorname{PSL}(n, R)(n>2)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(2, S)$ over divısion rings $R$ and $S$ are non-1somorphic, except for the groups $\operatorname{PSL}\left(3, F_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, F_{7}\right)$
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