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#### Abstract

We prove that any invariant strong Kähler structure with torsion (SKT structure) on a flag manifold $M=G / K$ of a semi-simple compact Lie group $G$ is Kähler. As an application we describe invariant generalized Kähler structures on $M$.
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## 1. Introduction

A Hermitian manifold $(M, g, J)$ admits a unique connection $\nabla^{\mathrm{B}}$ (called the Bismut connection) which preserves the metric $g$ and the complex structure $J$ and has a skewsymmetric torsion tensor $c:=g\left(\cdot, T^{\mathrm{B}}(\cdot, \cdot)\right)$, where $T^{\mathrm{B}}$ is the torsion of $\nabla^{\mathrm{B}}$. The 3-form $c$ can be expressed in terms of the Kähler form $\omega=g \circ J$ by

$$
c=J \mathrm{~d} \omega:=\mathrm{d} \omega(J \cdot, J \cdot, J \cdot)
$$

The manifold $(M, g, J)$ is said to be strong Kähler with torsion (SKT) if the torsion 3form $c$ is closed or, equivalently, if $\partial \bar{\partial} \omega=0$. SKT manifolds are a natural generalization of Kähler manifolds, and many results from Kähler geometry can be generalized to SKT geometry (see, for example, $[\mathbf{3 - 7}]$ ).

SKT geometry is also closely related to generalized Kähler geometry, which was recently introduced by Hitchin [12] and previously appeared in physics as the geometry of the target space of $N=(2,2)$ supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models (see, for example, $[\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 3}]$ ).

A generalized Kähler structure on a manifold $M$ is a pair $\left(\mathcal{J}_{1}, \mathcal{J}_{2}\right)$ of commuting generalized complex structures such that the symmetric bilinear form $-\left(\mathcal{J}_{1} \circ \mathcal{J}_{2} \cdot, \cdot\right)$ is positive definite, where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the standard scalar product of neutral signature of the generalized tangent bundle $\mathbb{T} M=T M \oplus T^{*} M$. (For the definition and basic facts about
generalized complex structures, see, for example, $[\mathbf{9}]$ ). It was shown by Gualtieri $[\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}]$ that a generalized Kähler structure on a manifold can be described in classical terms as a bi-Hermitian structure $\left(g, J_{+}, J_{-}, b\right)$ in the sense of [8], i.e. a pair $\left(g, J_{+}\right),\left(g, J_{-}\right)$of SKT structures with common metric $g$ and a 2 -form $b$ (called the $b$-field in the physics literature) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} b=J_{+} \mathrm{d} \omega_{+}=-J_{-} \mathrm{d} \omega_{-} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{ \pm}=g \circ J_{ \pm}$are Kähler forms.
Let $G$ be a semi-simple compact Lie group and let $M=G / K$ be a flag manifold, i.e. an adjoint orbit of $G$. In this paper we describe invariant SKT structures and invariant generalized Kähler structures on $M$, as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Any invariant SKT structure $(g, J)$ on a flag manifold $M=G / K$ is Kähler, i.e. the Kähler form $\omega=g \circ J$ is closed.

The description of invariant Kähler structures on flag manifolds is well known (see, for example, $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]$ and $\S 2$ ).

Corollary 1.2. Let $\left(g, J_{+}, J_{-}, b\right)$ be an invariant bi-Hermitian structure in the sense of [8] on a flag manifold $M=G / K$ (which defines a generalized Kähler structure $\left(\mathcal{J}_{1}, \mathcal{J}_{2}\right)$ via Gualtieri's correspondence). Then $g$ is an invariant Kähler metric, $J_{+}, J_{-}$are two parallel invariant complex structures and $b$ is any closed invariant 2-form. If the group $G$ is simple, then $J_{+}=J_{-}$or $J_{+}=-J_{-}$.

The note is organized as follows. In $\S 2$ we fix our conventions and we recall the basic facts on the geometry of flag manifolds and, in particular, the description of invariant Hermitian and Kähler structures $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]$. With these preliminaries, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 will be proved in $\S 3$.

## 2. Preliminary material

### 2.1. Basic facts about flag manifolds

A flag manifold of a semi-simple compact Lie group $G$ is an adjoint orbit $M=\operatorname{Ad}_{G}\left(h_{0}\right) \simeq$ $G / K$ of an element $h_{0}$ of the Lie algebra of $G$. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ the complex Lie algebras associated with the groups $G$ and $K$ respectively, and we fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{k}$. We denote by $R$ and $R_{0}$ the root systems of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ and we set $R^{\prime}:=R \backslash R_{0}$. We write the root space decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ as

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{m}=\left(\mathfrak{h}+\sum_{\alpha \in R_{0}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right)+\sum_{\alpha \in R^{\prime}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}
$$

and we identify the vector space $\mathfrak{m}=\sum_{\alpha \in R^{\prime}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ with the complexification of the tangent space $T_{h_{0}} M$.

Let $E_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ be root vectors of a Weyl basis. Thus,

$$
\left\langle E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}\right\rangle=1 \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in R
$$

(where $\langle X, Y\rangle:=\operatorname{tr}\left(\operatorname{ad}_{X} \circ \operatorname{ad}_{Y}\right)$ denotes the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{-\alpha,-\beta}=-N_{\alpha \beta} \quad \text { for all } \alpha, \beta \in R \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\alpha \beta}$ are the structure constants defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}\right]=N_{\alpha \beta} E_{\alpha+\beta} \quad \text { for all } \alpha, \beta \in R \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lie algebra of $G$ is the fixed point set $\mathfrak{g}^{\tau}$ of the compact anti-involution $\tau$, which preserves the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and sends $E_{\alpha}$ to $-E_{-\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in R$. It is given by

$$
\mathfrak{g}^{\tau}=\mathrm{i} \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}+\sum_{\alpha \in R} \operatorname{span}\left\{E_{\alpha}-E_{-\alpha}, \mathrm{i}\left(E_{\alpha}+E_{-\alpha}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}=\operatorname{span}\left\{H_{\alpha}:=\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}\right], \alpha \in R\right\}$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{h}$. Note that

$$
\beta\left(H_{\alpha}\right)=\beta\left(\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}\right]\right)=\langle\beta, \alpha\rangle
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ also denotes the scalar product on $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$ induced by the Killing form.

### 2.2. Invariant complex structures on $M=G / K$

We fix a system $\Pi_{0}$ of simple roots of $R_{0}$ and we extend it to a system $\Pi=\Pi_{0} \cup \Pi^{\prime}$ of simple roots of $R$. We denote by $R_{0}^{+}, R^{+}=R_{0}^{+} \cup R_{+}^{\prime}$ the corresponding systems of positive roots. A decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}^{+}+\mathfrak{m}^{-}=\sum_{\alpha \in R_{+}^{\prime}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha \in R_{+}^{\prime}} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}
$$

defines an $\mathrm{Ad}_{K}$-invariant complex structure $J$ on $T_{h_{0}} M=\mathfrak{m}^{\tau}$, such that

$$
\left.J\right|_{\mathfrak{m}^{ \pm}}= \pm \mathrm{iId}
$$

We extend it to an invariant complex structure on $M$, also denoted by $J$. We will refer to $R_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ as the set of positive roots and the set of simple roots of $J$, respectively. It is known that any invariant complex structure on $M$ can be obtained by this construction [ $\mathbf{2}$, 14].

### 2.3. T-roots and isotropy decomposition

Let $\mathfrak{z}=$ it $\subset \mathfrak{h}$ be the centre of the stability subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}^{\tau}$. The restriction of the roots from $R^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ to the subspace $\mathfrak{t}$ are called $T$-roots. Denote by

$$
\kappa: R^{\prime} \rightarrow R_{T},\left.\quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha\right|_{\mathfrak{t}}
$$

the natural projection onto the set $R_{T}$ of $T$-roots. Note that $\left.\alpha\right|_{\mathfrak{t}}=0$ for any $\alpha \in R_{0}$. Any $T$-root $\xi$ defines an $\operatorname{Ad}_{K}$-invariant subspace

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{\xi}:=\sum_{\alpha \in R^{\prime}, \kappa(\alpha)=\xi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}
$$

of the complexified tangent space $\mathfrak{m}$ and

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\sum_{\xi \in R_{T}} \mathfrak{m}_{\xi}
$$

is a direct sum decomposition into non-equivalent irreducible $\operatorname{Ad}_{K}$-submodules.

### 2.4. Invariant metrics and Hermitian structures

We denote by $\omega_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}^{*}$ the 1-forms dual to $E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in R$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\alpha}\left(E_{\beta}\right)=\delta_{\alpha \beta},\left.\quad \omega_{\alpha}\right|_{\mathfrak{h}}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any invariant Riemannian metric on $M$ is defined by an $\operatorname{Ad}_{K}$-invariant Euclidean metric $g$ on $\mathfrak{m}^{\tau}$, whose complex linear extension has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^{\prime}} g_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} \vee \omega_{-\alpha} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{\alpha} \vee \omega_{-\alpha}=\omega_{\alpha} \otimes \omega_{-\alpha}+\omega_{-\alpha} \otimes \omega_{\alpha}$ is the symmetric product and $g_{\xi}, \xi \in R_{T}$, is a system of positive constants associated with the $T$-roots, $g_{\xi}=g_{-\xi}$ for any $\xi \in R_{T}$ and $g_{\alpha}:=g_{\kappa(\alpha)}$. Note that the restriction of $g$ to $\mathfrak{m}_{\xi}$ is proportional to the restriction of the Killing form, with coefficient of proportionality $-g_{\xi}$.

Any such metric $g$ is Hermitian with respect to any invariant complex structure $J$ and the corresponding Kähler form is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=-\mathrm{i} \sum_{\alpha \in R_{+}^{\prime}} g_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{-\alpha} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{+}^{\prime}$ is the set of positive roots of $J$ and in our conventions $\omega_{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{-\alpha}=\omega_{\alpha} \otimes \omega_{-\alpha}-$ $\omega_{-\alpha} \otimes \omega_{\alpha}$.

### 2.5. Invariant Kähler structures

Any invariant symplectic form $\omega$ on $M$ compatible with an invariant complex structure $J$ as above (i.e. such that $g:=-\omega \circ J$ is positive definite) is associated with a 1-form $\sigma \in \mathfrak{t}^{*}$ such that $\left\langle\sigma, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle>0$ for any $\alpha_{i} \in \Pi^{\prime}$ (the set of simple roots of $J$ ). As a form on $\mathfrak{m}$, it is given by

$$
\omega=\omega_{\sigma}:=-\mathrm{i} \sum_{\alpha \in R_{+}^{\prime}}\langle\sigma, \alpha\rangle \omega_{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{-\alpha}
$$

The associated Kähler metric $g$ has the coefficients $g_{\alpha}=g_{\kappa(\alpha)}=\langle\sigma, \alpha\rangle$, which, obviously, satisfy the following linearity property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha+\beta}=g_{\alpha}+g_{\beta} \quad \text { for all } \alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in R_{+}^{\prime} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\Pi^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right\}$ and

$$
R^{\prime} \ni \alpha \equiv k_{1} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+k_{m} \alpha_{m}\left(\bmod R_{0}\right)
$$

then

$$
g_{\alpha}=k_{1} g_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+k_{m} g_{\alpha_{m}}
$$

To summarize, we obtain the following.
Proposition 2.1 (Alekseevsky and Perelomov [2]). An invariant Hermitian structure $(g, J)$ on $M$ is Kähler if and only if the coefficients $g_{\alpha}$ associated with $g$ by (2.4) satisfy the linearity property: if $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in R_{+}^{\prime}$, then $g_{\alpha+\beta}=g_{\alpha}+g_{\beta}$. Here $R_{+}^{\prime}$ is the set of positive roots of $J$.

### 2.6. The formula for the exterior derivative

An invariant $k$-form on $M=G / K$ can be considered as an $\mathrm{Ad}_{K}$-invariant $k$-form $\omega$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $i_{\mathfrak{k}}(\omega)=0$. We recall the standard Koszul formula for the exterior differential d $\omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{d} \omega)\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)=\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j} \omega\left(\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right], X_{1}, \ldots, \hat{X}_{i}, \ldots, \hat{X}_{j}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X_{i} \in \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. In (2.7) the hat means that the term is omitted.

## 3. Proof of our main results

We now prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. We preserve the notation from the previous sections. Let $(g, J)$ be an invariant Hermitian structure on a flag manifold $M=G / K$. Let $g_{\alpha}=g_{k(\alpha)}$ the positive numbers associated with $g$ and $R_{+}^{\prime}, \Pi^{\prime}$ the set of positive (respectively, simple) roots of $J$, as before. Let $\omega=g \circ J$ be the Kähler form. To prove the theorem, we have to check that if the form $J \mathrm{~d} \omega$ is closed, then the $g_{\alpha}$ satisfy the linearity property (2.6). We define the $\operatorname{sign} \varepsilon_{\alpha}$ of a root $\alpha \in R^{\prime}=R_{+}^{\prime} \cup\left(-R_{+}^{\prime}\right)$ by $\varepsilon_{\alpha}= \pm 1$ if $\alpha \in \pm R_{+}^{\prime}$. Note that $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$ depends only on $\kappa(\alpha)$. Now we calculate $\mathrm{d} \omega$ and $J \mathrm{~d} \omega$ on basic vectors, as follows.

## Lemma 3.1.

(i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \omega\left(E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}, E_{\gamma}\right)=0 \quad \text { if } \alpha+\beta+\gamma \neq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \omega\left(E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}, E_{-(\alpha+\beta)}\right)=-\mathrm{i} N_{\alpha \beta}\left(\varepsilon_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}+\varepsilon_{\beta} g_{\beta}-\varepsilon_{\alpha+\beta} g_{\alpha+\beta}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(J \mathrm{~d} \omega)\left(E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}, E_{-(\alpha+\beta)}\right)=N_{\alpha \beta}\left(\varepsilon_{\beta} \varepsilon_{\alpha+\beta} g_{\alpha}+\varepsilon_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha+\beta} g_{\beta}-\varepsilon_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\beta} g_{\alpha+\beta}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Relation (3.1) follows from (2.5) and (2.7). Relation (3.2) follows from (2.5), (2.7) and the following property of $N_{\alpha \beta}$ [11, Chapter 5]): if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R$ are such that $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha \beta}=N_{\beta \gamma}=N_{\gamma \alpha} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (3.3) follows from (3.2) and $J E_{\alpha}=\mathrm{i} \varepsilon_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in R^{\prime}$.
Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $(g, J)$ is an SKT structure, i.e. $d(J \mathrm{~d} \omega)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha \beta}^{2}\left(g_{\alpha+\beta}-g_{\alpha}-g_{\beta}\right)+\varepsilon_{\alpha-\beta} N_{\alpha,-\beta}^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{\alpha-\beta} g_{\alpha-\beta}-g_{\alpha}+g_{\beta}\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in R_{+}^{\prime}$, where we assume that $\varepsilon_{\alpha-\beta}=0$ if $\alpha-\beta \notin R^{\prime}$.

Proof. By a direct computation, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} d(J \mathrm{~d} \omega)\left(E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}, E_{-\alpha}, E_{-\beta}\right) \\
& \quad=N_{\alpha \beta}^{2}\left(g_{\alpha+\beta}-g_{\alpha}-g_{\beta}\right)+\varepsilon_{\alpha-\beta} N_{\alpha,-\beta}^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{\alpha-\beta} g_{\alpha-\beta}-g_{\alpha}+g_{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This relation implies our claim.
For any root

$$
R_{+}^{\prime} \ni \alpha \equiv k_{1} \alpha_{1}+\cdots+k_{m} \alpha_{m}\left(\bmod R_{0}^{+}\right), \quad \alpha_{i} \in \Pi^{\prime}
$$

we define the length of $\alpha$ as $\ell(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}$. Note that $\ell(\alpha)$ depends only on the projection $\kappa(\alpha)$ of $\alpha$ onto $\mathfrak{t}^{*}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.1 we have to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha+\beta}=g_{\alpha}+g_{\beta} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in R_{+}^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha+\beta \in R_{+}^{\prime}$. We use induction on the length of $\gamma=\alpha+\beta \in$ $R_{+}^{\prime}$. Suppose first that $\gamma=\alpha+\beta \in R_{+}^{\prime}$ has length 2 . Then $\alpha-\beta \notin R^{\prime}$; hence, $\varepsilon_{\alpha-\beta}=0$. Identity (3.5) implies (3.6).

Suppose now that (3.6) holds for all $\gamma=\alpha+\beta \in R_{+}^{\prime}$ with $l(\gamma) \leqslant k$. Let $\gamma \in R_{+}^{\prime}$ with $\ell(\gamma)=k+1$ and suppose that $\gamma=\alpha+\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in R_{+}^{\prime}$. We have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\gamma}=g_{\alpha}+g_{\beta} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha-\beta \notin R^{\prime}$, our previous argument shows that (3.7) holds. Suppose now that $\alpha-\beta \in R^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha-\beta \in R_{+}^{\prime}$. Then $\alpha=(\alpha-\beta)+\beta$ is a decomposition of the root $\alpha$ into a sum of two roots from $R_{+}^{\prime}$. Since $\alpha$ has length less than or equal to $k$, our inductive assumption implies that $g_{\alpha}=g_{\alpha-\beta}+g_{\beta}$. Thus, the second term of the identity (3.5) vanishes and we obtain (3.7). This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $\left(g, J_{+}, J_{-}, b\right)$ be a $G$-invariant bi-Hermitian structure in the sense of [8] on a flag manifold $M=G / K$. Then, by Theorem $1.1,\left(g, J_{ \pm}\right)$are two Kähler structures and hence the $b$-field $b$ is closed. The complex structures $J_{ \pm}$are parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. If the group $G$ is simple, the Kähler metric $g$ is irreducible. The endomorphism $A=J_{1} \circ J_{2}$ is symmetric with respect to $g$ and parallel. An easy argument which uses the irreducibility of $g$ shows that $J_{1}=J_{2}$ or $J_{1}=-J_{2}$. This concludes the proof of Corollary 1.2.
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