

## ON THE ZEROES OF PROFILES

W. D. WALLIS

(Received 23 May 1988; revised 29 July 1988)

Communicated by Louis Caccetta

### Abstract

The  $k$ -profile of an Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  is a function defined on the integers  $0, 1, \dots, n$ . If  $k$  is even,  $k$ -profiles have been used in investigations of Hadamard equivalence. In this paper it is shown that the  $k$ -profile of an Hadamard matrix of order  $n$  ( $k$  even) has non-zero terms only in every eighth position. If  $k$  is divisible by 4, the non-zero positions are those congruent to  $n$  (modulo 8).

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*) (1985 Revision): 05 B 20.

### 1. Introduction

A general discussion of Hadamard matrices can be found in various places, such as [2], [4], [5]. We defined the *profile*, or *4-profile*, in [1]. Given an Hadamard matrix  $H = (h_{ij})$ , define

$$P_{ijkl} = \left| \sum_{\alpha} h_{i\alpha} h_{j\alpha} h_{k\alpha} h_{l\alpha} \right|.$$

and define  $\pi(t)$  to equal the number of 4-sets  $\{i, j, k, l\}$  such that  $P_{ijkl} = t$ . The function  $\pi$  is the 4-profile of  $H$ . More generally one can define 6-profiles, 8-profiles, and  $k$ -profiles for any larger even integer  $k$ . (The case of odd  $k$ , and the case  $k = 2$ , are not interesting.) These profiles have been used in studying Hadamard equivalence (see [1], [3]).

It is easy to show (see [1]) that every  $P_{ijkl}$  is congruent to  $n$  modulo 8; so, for a 4-profile of an  $n \times n$  Hadamard matrix,  $\pi(t) = 0$  unless  $t \equiv n \pmod{8}$ . Empirical evidence suggests a similar result for  $k$ -profiles in general (only one in eight of the integers give a non-zero value of the function) but clearly the proof in [1] does not generalize to  $k$  greater than 4; it depends on solving a set of equations, and the number of variables grows more quickly than the number of equations when  $k$  increases. Moreover the behavior of the profile function is not easy to predict: the 6-profile of an Hadamard matrix of order 20 has its non-zero entries at  $t = 0, 8$  and  $16$  (not  $t \equiv n$ ), but the 6-profiles of Hadamard matrices of order 24 have zero entries for  $t = 0, 8, 16$  and  $24$  ( $t \equiv n$ ).

Our aim in this paper is to clarify this aspect of the behavior of profiles.

## 2. Binomial coefficients

We need some well-known preliminary results on binomial coefficients. Proofs are included for completeness; various alternative proofs are known.

**LEMMA 1.** *For any positive integer  $t$ ,*

$$\binom{4t}{0} + \binom{4t}{4} + \binom{4t}{8} + \cdots + \binom{4t}{4t}$$

*is even.*

**PROOF.** Since  $\binom{4t}{0} = \binom{4t}{4t}$ ,  $\binom{4t}{4} = \binom{4t}{4t-4}$ , and so on, we can pair off the terms in the sum with equals. When  $t$  is odd, this process exhausts the terms, so the sum is even. When  $t$  is even,  $\binom{4t}{2t}$  is left unpaired; but

$$\binom{4t}{2t} = \binom{4t-1}{2t-1} + \binom{4t-1}{2t} = \binom{4t-1}{2t-1} + \binom{4t-1}{2t-1}$$

which is even.

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $t$  is any positive integer, then*

$$1 + \binom{4t+2}{4} + \binom{4t+2}{8} + \cdots + \binom{4t+2}{4t}$$

*is even.*

**PROOF.** Consider

$$S = \binom{4t+2}{0} + \binom{4t+2}{2} + \binom{4t+2}{4} + \cdots + \binom{4t+2}{4t+2}.$$

Using the rule

$$\binom{4t+2}{i} = \binom{4t+1}{i} + \binom{4t+1}{i-1}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} S = 1 + & \left[ \binom{4t+1}{1} + \binom{4t+1}{2} \right] + \left[ \binom{4t+1}{3} + \binom{4t+1}{4} \right] \\ & + \cdots + \binom{4t+1}{4t+1} = 2^{4t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is divisible by 4. Now

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \binom{4t+2}{0} + \binom{4t+2}{4} + \cdots + \binom{4t+2}{4t} \\ & + \binom{4t+2}{4t+2} + \binom{4t+2}{4t-2} + \cdots + \binom{4t+2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

which equals twice the required sum.

### 3. Symmetric functions

Suppose the  $m$  variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  each satisfy  $x_i^2 = 1$ . Write  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ , and define  $\sigma_j$  to be the  $j$ th symmetric function on  $X$ :

$$\sigma_j = \sum_Y \prod_{x \in Y} x,$$

where  $Y$  ranges through the distinct  $j$ -subsets of  $X$ . In particular  $\sigma_0 = 1$ . Then  $\sigma_j$  is the sum of  $n_j = \binom{m}{j}$  terms.

**LEMMA 3.**  $\sigma_1 \sigma_j = (j+1)\sigma_{j+1} + (m-j+1)\sigma_{j-1}$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $\sigma_j$  contains  $\binom{m}{j}$  terms, so does  $x_i \sigma_j$ ; of these  $\binom{m-1}{j}$  contain no repeated terms and  $\binom{m-1}{j-1}$  contain an  $x_i^2$ . So  $x_i \sigma_j$  contains  $\binom{m-1}{j}$  terms of length  $j+1$  and  $\binom{m-1}{j-1}$  terms of length  $j-1$ . Consequently  $\sigma_1 \sigma_j$  contains  $m \binom{m-1}{j}$  terms of length  $j+1$  and  $m \binom{m-1}{j-1}$  terms of length  $j-1$ . By symmetry it is clear that  $\sigma_1 \sigma_j = A\sigma_{j+1} + B\sigma_{j-1}$  for some integers  $A$  and  $B$ ; therefore  $An_{j+1} = m \binom{m-1}{j}$ ,  $Bn_{j-1} = m \binom{m-1}{j-1}$ , and it follows that  $A = j+1$ ,  $B = m-j+1$ , giving the lemma.

**COROLLARY.** If  $x_0$  is another variable satisfying  $x_0^2 = 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_0 + x_1)(x_0 + x_2) \cdots (x_0 + x_m)(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_m) \\ & = m(\sigma_0 + x_0 \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + x_0 \sigma_3 + \cdots + x_0^m \sigma_m). \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** The left-hand side equals  $(x_0^m + x_0^{m-1}\sigma_1 + x_0^{m-2}\sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_m)\sigma_1$ . The result now follows from repeated application of Lemma 3.

### 4. Profiles

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $H$  is an Hadamard matrix of side  $n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , and suppose  $k$  is even. Then the generalized inner product of  $k$  rows,*

$$P_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = \sum_{j=1}^n h_{i_1 j} h_{i_2 j} \dots h_{i_k j},$$

*is congruent to  $n$  modulo 8 when 4 divides  $k$ , and is congruent to 0 modulo 8 when  $k$  is congruent to 2 modulo 4.*

**PROOF.** We write  $X_j = \{h_{i_2 j}, h_{i_3 j}, \dots, h_{i_k j}\}$ , and denote the  $i$ th symmetric function on  $X_j$  by  $\sigma_{ij}$ .

Assume  $k \geq 4$ ; consider

$$Q_{jk} = (h_{i_1 j} + h_{i_2 j})(h_{i_1 j} + h_{i_3 j}) \dots (h_{i_1 j} + h_{i_k j})(h_{i_2 j} + h_{i_3 j} + \dots + h_{i_k j}).$$

As  $k \geq 4$ ,  $Q_{jk}$  is divisible by 8. On the other hand, by the Corollary to Lemma 3,

$$Q_{jk} = (k - 1)(\sigma_{0j} + h_{i_1 j}\sigma_{1j} + \sigma_{2j} + h_{i_1 j}\sigma_{3j} + \dots + h_{i_1 j}\sigma_{k-1,j}).$$

Now

$$\sum_{j=1}^n Q_{jk} = (k - 1) \left( n + \sum h_{i_1 j}\sigma_{ij} + \sum \sigma_{2j} + \dots + \sum h_{i_1 j}\sigma_{k-1,j} \right).$$

We now reduce modulo 8. Since each  $Q_{jk}$  is zero (mod 8), the sum is zero. Since  $n$  is the order of an Hadamard matrix and  $n \geq 4$ ,  $n$  is divisible by 4, so  $n \equiv -n \pmod{8}$ . Finally  $k - 1$  is odd. So

$$(1) \quad \sum h_{i_1 j}\sigma_{1j} + \sum \sigma_{2j} + \dots + \sum h_{i_1 j}\sigma_{k-1,j} \equiv n \pmod{8}.$$

We now write  $f(k)$  for the residue class of  $P_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} \pmod{8}$ , and define  $f(0) \equiv n$ . We prove by induction that  $f(k)$  is well defined (in other words, the residue class depends only on  $k$ ), and that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{2|\alpha} \binom{k}{\alpha} f(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

When  $k = 0$  the result is obvious. In general, if  $2t < k$ ,  $\sum h_{i,j}\sigma_{2t-1,j} + \sum \sigma_{2t,j}$  is the sum of  $n_{2t-1} + n_{2t}$  terms, each of which equals an inner product of  $2t$  rows, so by the hypothesis that  $f(2t)$  is well defined the sum is congruent to  $(n_{2t-1} + n_{2t})f(2t)$ ; and

$$n_{2t-1} + n_{2t} = \binom{k-1}{2t-1} + \binom{k-1}{2t} = \binom{k}{2t}.$$

Moreover  $P_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = \sum h_{i,j}\sigma_{k-1,j}$ . So (2) yields

$$\binom{k}{2} f(2) + \binom{k}{4} f(4) + \dots + f(k) \equiv n \pmod{8},$$

which becomes (1) when we observe that  $\binom{k}{0}f(0) \equiv n \equiv -n \pmod{8}$ .

We now show, by induction again, that  $f(k) \equiv n$  when 4 divides  $k$  and is congruent to 0 otherwise. Suppose this is true of  $f(\alpha)$  for all values of  $\alpha$  less than  $k$ . Then

$$-f(k) \equiv \sum \binom{k}{\alpha} f(\alpha) \equiv \left[ \sum_1 \binom{k}{\alpha} \right] \cdot n + \left[ \sum_2 \binom{k}{\alpha} \right] \cdot 0$$

where  $\sum_1$  is over  $\alpha$  divisible by 4 and  $\sum_2$  over  $\alpha$  congruent to 2 (mod 4),  $0 \leq \alpha < k$ .

If 4 divides  $k$  then by Lemma 1,  $\sum_1 \binom{k}{\alpha}$  is even, so  $\sum_1 \binom{k}{\alpha}$  is odd and the expression is congruent to  $n$  modulo 8. If 4 does not divide  $k$  then  $\sum_1 \binom{k}{\alpha}$  is even by Lemma 2 and the expression is congruent to zero. The other bracketed term is zero. Since  $-n \equiv n \pmod{8}$ , the negative sign is irrelevant.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  then the  $k$ -profile of an Hadamard matrix has non-zero terms only in positions congruent to  $n \pmod{8}$ . If  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  then the  $k$ -profile of an Hadamard matrix has non-zero terms only in positions congruent to 0 (mod 8).*

### References

[1] J. Cooper, J. Milas and W. D. Wallis, 'Hadamard equivalence,' *Combinatorial Mathematics*, 126-135 (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1978).  
 [2] M. Hall, Jr., *Combinatorial theory* (2nd ed., John Wiley, New York, 1986).

- [3] C. Lin and W. D. Wallis, *Profiles of Hadamard matrices of order 24*, Congr. Numer. **66** (1988), 93–102.
- [4] W. D. Wallis, *Combinatorial designs* (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988).
- [5] W. D. Wallis, A. P. Street and J. S. Wallis, *Combinatorics: Room squares, sum-free sets, Hadamard matrices* (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1972).

Department of Mathematics  
Southern Illinois University  
Carbondale, Illinois 62901-4408  
U.S.A