

# ELEMENTARY FACTORIZATION IN $\pi$ -REGULAR RINGS

ARTHUR STEGER\*

**1. Introduction.** This paper extends the results of A. L. Foster (1) on elementary factorization in Boolean-like rings to commutative  $\pi$ -regular rings. After proving some preliminary lemmas we proceed to the partition of the set of non-units of a  $\pi$ -regular ring into irreducible and composite elements. Finally, we prove a number of theorems concerning factorization rings, weakly unique factorization rings, principal ideal rings, etc. The principal result is that a  $\pi$ -regular ring is a weakly unique factorization ring if and only if it is a principal ideal ring.

In this paper, ring will always mean a commutative ring with identity. Following van der Waerden (5), we say that two elements  $b, c$  of a ring  $R$  are associates provided there exists a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $b = uc$ . In this event, we write  $b \sim c$ .

**2. Preliminary lemmas.** In each of the lemmas of this section,  $R$  denotes a ring.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $b, e \in R$  with  $e^2 = e$ . If  $bR = eR$ , then  $b \sim e$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $e$  is idempotent,  $b = be$  and  $b = e(1 - e + b)$ . Let  $e = bx$ . It is easily verified that  $(1 - e + b)(1 - e + ex) = 1$ . Hence,  $b \sim e$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $b \in R$  and let  $n$  be a natural number. Then the following are equivalent:*

- I.  $b^n = b^n x b^n$  for some  $x \in R$ .
- II.  $b \sim e + \beta$  for some  $e, \beta \in R$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $\beta^n = 0$ .
- III.  $b^n \sim f$  for some idempotent  $f \in R$ .

*Proof.* I  $\Rightarrow$  II. Let  $e = xb^n$ . Then  $e = e^2 = b e x b^{n-1}$  and  $e \in beR$ . Thus,  $eR = beR$  and, by Lemma 2.1,  $e \sim be$ . Now let  $be = ue$  where  $u$  is a unit in  $R$ , and let  $\gamma = b(1 - e)$ . Then  $\gamma^n = 0$  and  $b = be + \gamma$ . Hence,

$$b = ue + \gamma = u(e + u^{-1}\gamma).$$

Therefore,  $b \sim e + \beta$  where  $\beta = u^{-1}\gamma$  and  $\beta^n = 0$ .

II  $\Rightarrow$  III.  $(e + \beta)^n = e(1 + \delta)$  where  $\delta = n\beta + \dots + n\beta^{n-1}$ . Hence,  $\delta$  is nilpotent and  $1 + \delta$  is a unit. Therefore,  $b^n \sim (e + \beta)^n \sim e$ .

---

Received November 30, 1964. Research supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant GP-1816.

III  $\Rightarrow$  I. Let  $f = vb^n$  with  $v$  a unit in  $R$ . Therefore,  $(vb^n)^2 = vb^n$  and  $b^n = b^n vb^n$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $b, e \in R$  with  $e^2 = e$ . If  $b^n \sim e$ , then, for every  $m \geq n$ ,  $b^m \sim e$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be a natural number such that  $kn \geq m$ . Hence,

$$eR = b^{kn}R \subseteq b^mR \subseteq b^nR = eR.$$

Therefore,  $b^mR = eR$  and  $b^m \sim e$ .

It follows, from the proof of Lemma 2.2 and the fact that associated idempotents are equal, that if  $R$  is a ring and  $b \in R$ , then there is at most one idempotent  $e \in R$  such that, for some nilpotent  $\beta \in R$ ,  $b \sim e + \beta$ . Further, by Lemma 2.3,  $e$  is the unique idempotent associated with all sufficiently high powers of  $b$ .

**3. Irreducible and composite elements.** N. H. McCoy (3) defined a ring  $S$  to be  $\pi$ -regular provided that for every  $b \in S$  there is an  $x \in S$  and a natural number  $n$  such that  $b^n = b^nxb^n$ . Lemma 2.2 provides two alternative characterizations of  $\pi$ -regular rings. Included among  $\pi$ -regular rings are regular rings with Boolean rings and  $p$ -rings as special cases; rings with descending chain condition on ideals (in particular, finite rings); and Boolean-like rings. The following facts are well known and easily verified. (1) The homomorphic image (in particular, a direct summand) of a  $\pi$ -regular ring is  $\pi$ -regular. (2) A finite direct sum of  $\pi$ -regular rings is  $\pi$ -regular. This need not be the case for infinite direct sums. (3) A ring is both an integral domain and  $\pi$ -regular if and only if it is a field. (4) In a  $\pi$ -regular ring any prime ideal (other than the entire ring) is a maximal ideal.

For the remainder of this paper,  $S$  will always denote a  $\pi$ -regular ring. In addition, the following conventions will be observed. Letters followed by an asterisk,  $b^*, c^*, d^*, \dots$ , will denote idempotents; Greek letters,  $\beta, \gamma, \delta, \dots$ , will denote nilpotents; and, lastly, if  $b \in S$ , the unique idempotent associated with all sufficiently high powers of  $b$  will be denoted by  $b^*$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $b, c \in S$ . Then  $(bc)^* = b^*c^*$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, for some  $n$ ,  $b^n \sim b^*$  and  $c^n \sim c^*$ . Hence  $(bc)^n \sim b^*c^*$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $u \in S$ . Then  $u$  is a unit if and only if  $u^* = 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $u^* = 1$ , then  $u^n \sim 1$  for some  $n$  and  $u$  is a unit. Conversely, if  $u$  is a unit, then  $u \sim 1$ ,  $u^* \sim 1$ , and  $u^* = 1$ .

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $b, c \in S$ . If  $b \sim c$ , then  $b^* = c^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $b = uc$  with  $u$  a unit. Then  $b^* = u^*c^* = c^*$ .

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $b^*, \beta \in S$ . Then  $b^* + \beta \sim b^*$  if and only if  $b^*\beta = \beta$ .*

*Proof.* If  $b^*\beta = \beta$ , then  $b^* + \beta = b^* + b^*\beta = b^*(1 + \beta)$ . Conversely, if  $b^* + \beta \sim b^*$ , let  $b^* + \beta = ub^*$ . Multiplying by  $1 - b^*$ , we obtain

$$(1 - b^*)\beta = 0.$$

Thus,  $b^*\beta = \beta$ .

**DEFINITION 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $b \in R$ , and  $b$  not be a unit. Then,  $b$  is irreducible provided its only divisors are associates and units;  $b$  is composite provided there are elements  $s, t \in R$  such that  $s \sim b, t \sim b$ , and  $b = st$ .*

It is clear that a non-unit cannot be both irreducible and composite. It may, however, be neither. For example, in  $Z \dot{+} Z$ ,  $Z =$  integers, the non-unit  $(1, 0)$  is neither irreducible nor composite. The definitions above are equivalent to the classical ones for integral domains where the cancellation law provides a trivial proof that a non-zero non-unit is either irreducible or composite. The next theorem will characterize the irreducible and composite elements of a  $\pi$ -regular ring. It is then an immediate consequence that in a  $\pi$ -regular ring every non-unit (including zero) is either irreducible or composite. In the partition of the non-units of a  $\pi$ -regular ring into irreducible and composite elements we may, by Lemmas 2.2 and 3.2, restrict our attention to elements of the form  $b^* + \beta$  with  $b^* \neq 1$ . Recall, finally, that if  $R$  is a ring and  $J$  is the set of idempotents in  $R$ , then  $\langle J, \cap, ' \rangle$  is a Boolean algebra where  $a \cap b = ab$  and  $a' = 1 - a$  (2). An element of  $J$  is said to be a co-atom (= prime) if its only divisors in  $J$  are itself and 1.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $b^* + \beta \in S$  with  $b^* \neq 1$ . Then (1)  $b^* + \beta$  is irreducible if  $b^*$  is a co-atom, and either  $b^*\eta = \eta$  for every nilpotent  $\eta \in S$  or  $(1 - b^*)\beta$  cannot be expressed as the product of two nilpotents; and (2)  $b^* + \beta$  is composite if either  $b^*$  is not a co-atom, or  $b^*\eta \neq \eta$  for some nilpotent  $\eta \in S$  and  $(1 - b^*)\beta$  can be expressed as the product of two nilpotents.*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $b^*\eta = \eta$  for every nilpotent  $\eta \in S$ . Let  $c^* + \gamma$  be a non-unit divisor of  $b^* + \beta$ . Since  $b^*$  is a co-atom, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,  $c^* = b^*$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $c^* + \gamma \sim b^* \sim b^* + \beta$ . On the other hand, assume that  $(1 - b^*)\beta$  cannot be expressed as the product of two nilpotents, and let

$$b^* + \beta = (c^* + \gamma)(d^* + \delta)$$

where  $c^* + \gamma$  and  $d^* + \delta$  are non-units. Multiplying by  $1 - b^*$ , we see that

$$(1 - b^*)\beta = [(1 - b^*)\gamma][(1 - b^*)\delta],$$

a contradiction. Hence,  $b^* + \beta$  is irreducible.

(2) Suppose  $b^*$  is not a co-atom. Then there is  $c^*$  such that  $c^* \neq 1, c^* \neq b^*$ , and  $b^* = b^*c^*$ . One verifies that

$$b^* + \beta = [c^* + (1 - c^* + b^*)\beta][(1 - c^* + b^*) + (c^* - b^*)\beta]$$

and that  $1 - c^* + b^*$  is idempotent. Further,  $1 - c^* + b^* \neq b^*$  since  $c^* \neq 1$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $b^* + \beta$  is reducible.

Finally, assume that  $b^*\eta \neq \eta$  for some  $\eta \in S$  and that  $(1 - b^*)\beta$  can be expressed as the product of two nilpotents. There are two cases:  $b^*\beta = \beta$  and  $b^*\beta \neq \beta$ . If  $b^*\beta = \beta$ , let  $\gamma = (1 - b^*)\eta$ . Then  $\gamma \neq 0$  and  $b^*\gamma = 0$ . Let  $n =$  the least natural number such that  $\gamma^n = 0$ . Since  $\gamma \neq 0$ ,  $n > 1$ . Clearly,

$$b^* = (b^* + \gamma)(b^* + \gamma^{n-1}).$$

Assume that  $b^* \sim b^* + \gamma$  and let  $ub^* = b^* + \gamma$ . Multiplying by  $1 - b^*$ , we see that  $\gamma = 0$ , a contradiction. Similarly,  $b^* \sim b^* + \gamma^{n-1}$ . Thus,  $b^*$  is reducible. The assumption that  $b^*\beta = \beta$  implies that  $b^* + \beta \sim b^*$ . Thus,  $b^* + \beta$  is reducible.

If  $b^*\beta \neq \beta$ , let  $(1 - b^*)\beta = \rho\sigma$ . One verifies that

$$b^* + \beta = [b^* + (1 - b^*)\rho][b^* + b^*\beta + (1 - b^*)\sigma].$$

Assume that  $b^* + \beta \sim b^* + (1 - b^*)\rho$  and let  $b^* + (1 - b^*)\rho = u(b^* + \beta)$ . Multiplying by  $1 - b^*$ , we see that

$$(1 - b^*)\rho = u(1 - b^*)\beta = u(1 - b^*)\rho\sigma.$$

Hence,

$$[(1 - b^*)\rho][1 - u\sigma] = 0.$$

Since  $1 - u\sigma$  is a unit,  $(1 - b^*)\rho = 0$ . Therefore  $(1 - b^*)\beta = 0$  and  $b^*\beta = \beta$ , a contradiction. Similarly,

$$b^* + \beta \sim b^* + b^*\beta + (1 - b^*)\sigma.$$

Thus,  $b^* + \beta$  is reducible.

#### 4. Factorization in $\pi$ -regular rings.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $b, c \in S$  with  $bS = cS$ . Then  $b \sim c$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $b = rc$  and  $c = sb$ . Then, for every natural number  $n$ ,  $b = r^n s^n b$  and  $c = r^n s^{n+1} b$ . Therefore,  $b \sim r^* s^* b$ ,  $c \sim r^* s^* b$ , and  $b \sim c$ .

Recall that a non-unit  $p$  of a ring  $R$  is said to be a prime provided  $pR$  is a prime ideal in  $R$ . For a  $\pi$ -regular ring, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that a composite cannot be a prime; and since in a  $\pi$ -regular ring a non-unit is either irreducible or composite it further follows that a prime is necessarily irreducible. The important special case in which, conversely, each irreducible element is necessarily a prime will be discussed later in this section.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $p$  be a prime in  $S$  and let  $p^m \mid p^n f$  where  $m > n$  and  $p \nmid f$ . Then  $p^m \sim p^n$ .*

*Proof.* Since each prime ideal in  $S$  is maximal, for some  $x, y \in S$ ,  $px + fy = 1$ . Therefore,  $p^{n+1}x + p^n f = p^n$ . Since  $n + 1 \leq m$ ,  $p^{n+1} \mid p^n f$ . Hence  $p^{n+1} \mid p^n$  and  $p^{n+1} \sim p^n$ . The result follows by induction.

DEFINITION 4.1. A ring  $R$  is said to be a factorization ring if each non-unit of  $R$  can be expressed as the product of irreducible elements.

THEOREM 4.1. If  $S$  satisfies the ascending chain condition, then  $S$  is a factorization ring.

We omit the proof, which can be patterned after the proof of the corresponding theorem for integral domains. The only properties of a  $\pi$ -regular ring that are needed are: (1) a non-unit is either irreducible or composite and (2) two elements generating the same principal ideal are associates.

THEOREM 4.2. If  $S$  is a factorization ring, then  $S$  has only a finite number of idempotents.

*Proof.* Let  $0 = pq \dots r$  where  $p, q, \dots, r$  are irreducible. Then

$$0 = p^*q^* \dots r^*$$

where  $p^*, q^*, \dots, r^*$  are co-atoms. The conclusion follows from a well-known theorem of Boolean algebra.

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 4.2 is false. Let  $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots]$  where  $K$  is a field. Let

$$A = (x_1^2, x_1 - x_2^2, \dots, x_n - x_{n+1}^2, \dots).$$

Then  $R/A$  is a  $\pi$ -regular ring with 0 and 1 as its only idempotents but  $R/A$  is not a factorization ring.

In all that follows, exponents are assumed to be non-negative integers.

DEFINITION 4.2. Let  $R$  be a ring,  $b \in R$ , and suppose that

$$b \sim p_1^{i_1}p_2^{i_2} \dots p_n^{i_n}$$

with each  $p_i$  irreducible and  $p_i \sim p_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . Then  $p_1^{i_1}p_2^{i_2} \dots p_n^{i_n}$  is said to be an irredundant factorization of  $b$  if

$$j_1 \leq i_1, j_2 \leq i_2, \dots, j_n \leq i_n, \text{ and } \sum_1^n j_k < \sum_1^n i_k$$

implies  $b \sim p_1^{j_1}p_2^{j_2} \dots p_n^{j_n}$ .

DEFINITION 4.3.  $R$  is a weakly unique factorization ring provided that  $R$  is a factorization ring and that if

$$p_1^{i_1}p_2^{i_2} \dots p_n^{i_n} \text{ and } p_1^{j_1}p_2^{j_2} \dots p_n^{j_n}$$

are irredundant factorizations of some  $b \in R$ , then  $i_1 = j_1, i_2 = j_2, \dots, i_n = j_n$ .

We note, as is customary, that an irreducible factor with zero exponent may be added or discarded as is convenient.

THEOREM 4.3. Let  $S$  be a factorization ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- I. Each irreducible element of  $S$  is a prime.
- II.  $S$  has weakly unique factorization.
- III. For every  $b^* \in S$ , if  $b^* + \beta$  and  $b^* + \gamma$  are irreducible, then

$$b^* + \beta \sim b^* + \gamma.$$

*Proof.* I  $\Rightarrow$  II. Let  $b \in S$  and let

$$p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2} \dots p_n^{i_n} \quad \text{and} \quad p_1^{j_1} p_2^{j_2} \dots p_n^{j_n}$$

be two irredundant factorizations of  $b$ . Suppose  $i_1 > j_1$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $p_1^{i_1} \sim p_1^{j_1}$ . Hence,  $b \sim p_1^{j_1} p_2^{i_2} \dots p_n^{i_n}$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $i_1 \leq j_1$  and similarly,  $j_1 \leq i_1$ . In the same way  $i_s = j_s$  for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

II  $\Rightarrow$  III. Let  $b^* + \beta$  and  $b^* + \gamma$  be irreducible. For some natural number  $n$ ,  $b^* \sim (b^* + \beta)^n \sim (b^* + \gamma)^n$ . By assumption II,  $b^* + \beta \sim b^* + \gamma$ .

III  $\Rightarrow$  I. Let  $p$  be irreducible and let  $p \mid bc$ . Also, let  $b = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$  and  $c = q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$  be factorizations of  $b$  and  $c$  into the product of irreducible elements. Then,  $p^* \mid p_1^* p_2^* \dots p_m^* q_1^* q_2^* \dots q_n^*$  with  $p^*, p_i^*, q_j^*$  necessarily co-atoms. Therefore  $p^* = p_s^*$  for some  $s$  or  $p^* = q_t^*$  for some  $t$ . Say  $p^* = p_1^*$ . By hypothesis,  $p \sim p_1$ . Hence  $p \mid b$  and  $p$  is a prime.

**THEOREM 4.4.** *If  $S$  is a weakly unique factorization ring, then each pair of elements in  $S$  has a greatest common divisor (necessarily unique to within associates by Lemma 4.1).*

*Proof.* Let  $b, c \in S$ . If either  $b$  or  $c$  is a unit, then it is clear that  $b$  and  $c$  have a greatest common divisor. Therefore, assume that  $b$  and  $c$  are non-units and let  $p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2} \dots p_n^{i_n}$  and  $p_1^{j_1} p_2^{j_2} \dots p_n^{j_n}$  be irredundant factorizations of  $b$  and  $c$  respectively. Let  $m_s = \min \{i_s, j_s\}$  for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Clearly, if  $d = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \dots p_n^{m_n}$ , then  $d$  is a common divisor of  $b$  and  $c$ . Now let  $f$  be a common divisor of  $b$  and  $c$  and let  $q$  be an irreducible divisor of  $f$ . By Theorem 4.3,  $q \sim p_t$  for some  $t$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq n$ . Therefore, we may let  $p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_n^{k_n}$  be an irredundant factorization of  $f$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $k_1 > i_1$  implies  $p_1^{k_1} \sim p_1^{i_1}$  and thus,  $f \sim p_1^{i_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_n^{k_n}$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $k_1 \leq i_1$  and, similarly,  $k_1 \leq j_1$ . Thus,  $k_1 \leq m_1$  and, in the same way,  $k_s \leq m_s$  for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus  $f \mid d$  and  $d$  is a greatest common divisor for  $b$  and  $c$ .

Unlike the situation with integral domains, the converse of Theorem 4.4 is false as the following example shows. Let  $R = K[x, y]$  where  $K$  is a field and let  $A = (x^2, xy, y^2)$ . Then  $R/A$  is a  $\pi$ -regular factorization ring in which each pair of elements has a greatest common divisor but  $R/A$  does not have weakly unique factorization.

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Let  $S$  be a weakly unique factorization ring and let  $S = S_1 \dot{+} S_2$ . Then  $S_1$  is a  $\pi$ -regular weakly unique factorization ring.*

*Proof.* That  $S_1$  is  $\pi$ -regular is clear. Let  $b \in S_1$  with  $b$  a non-unit. Since  $S$  is a factorization ring, let  $p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$  be a factorization of  $b$  into irreducible elements in  $S$ . If  $h$  is the natural homomorphism of  $S$  onto  $S_1$ , then

$$b = bh = (p_1 h)(p_2 h) \dots (p_n h)$$

where  $p_i h$  is either a unit in  $S_1$  or an irreducible element in  $S_1$  for

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Hence,  $S_1$  is a factorization ring. Now let  $q_1 \in S_1$  with  $q_1$  irreducible in  $S_1$  and let  $e_2$  be the identity in  $S_2$ . Then  $q_1 + e_2$  is irreducible in  $S$  and, by Theorem 4.3,  $(q_1 + e_2)S$  is a prime ideal in  $S$ . Since  $(q_1 + e_2)S \supseteq S_2$  (= kernel  $h$ ) and  $(q_1 + e_2)Sh = q_1 S_1$ , it follows that  $q_1$  is a prime in  $S_1$ . Hence,  $S_1$  has weakly unique factorization.

**LEMMA 4.4.** *Let  $S$  be a weakly unique factorization ring. If  $J$  is the set of idempotents in  $S = \{0, 1\}$ , then  $S$  is a principal ideal ring.*

*Proof.* Since  $0$  is the only co-atom in  $J$ , by Theorem 4.3, there is only one irreducible element  $p$  (to within associates) in  $S$ . Hence, if  $b \in S$ , then  $b \sim p^k$  for some  $k$ . Let  $A$  be an ideal in  $S$  and let  $n$  be the smallest non-negative integer such that  $p^n \in A$ . Clearly,  $A = p^n S$ .

**THEOREM 4.5.**  *$S$  is a weakly unique factorization ring if and only if  $S$  is a principal ideal ring.*

*Proof.* If  $S$  is a principal ideal ring, then  $S$  satisfies the ascending chain condition for ideals. Also, each irreducible element in  $S$  is a prime. By Theorems 4.1 and 4.3,  $S$  is a weakly unique factorization ring.

Conversely, let  $S$  have weakly unique factorization. By Theorem 4.2, there are only a finite number of idempotents in  $S$ , and we may let

$$S = S_1 \dot{+} S_2 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} S_n$$

where  $S_i$  has only two idempotents for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . By Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4,  $S_i$  is a principal ideal ring for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence,  $S$  is a principal ideal ring.

We conclude with a structure theorem that is an easy consequence of a structure theorem of G. Pollak (4). The proof is omitted.

**THEOREM 4.6.**  *$R$  is a  $\pi$ -regular principal ideal ring if and only if  $R$  is the direct sum of a finite number of completely primary rings in which the unique prime ideal is principal.*

#### REFERENCES

1. A. L. Foster, *The theory of Boolean-like rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 59 (1946), 166–187.
2. ———, *The idempotent elements of a commutative ring form a Boolean algebra*, Duke Math. J., 12 (1945), 143–152.
3. N. H. McCoy, *Generalized regular rings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 45 (1939), 175–178.
4. G. Pollak, *Ueber die Struktur kommutativer Hauptidealringe*, Acta Scient. Math., 22 (1961), 62–74.
5. B. I. van der Waerden, *Moderne Algebra*, 2nd ed., vol. I (New York, 1940), p. 63.

*University of New Mexico,  
Albuquerque, New Mexico*