

Reverberation Mapping Results from MDM Observatory

Kelly D. Denney¹, B. M. Peterson¹, R. W. Pogge¹, M. C. Bentz²,
 C. M. Gaskell³, T. Minezaki⁴, C. A. Onken⁵, S. G. Sergeev⁶, and
 M. Vestergaard⁷

¹The Ohio State University, 140 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
 Email: denney@astronomy.ohio-state.edu

²University of California at Irvine, USA

³University of Texas at Austin, USA

⁴University of Tokyo, Japan

⁵Mount Stromlo Observatory, Australia

⁶Crimean Astrophysical Observatory, Ukraine, and Isaak Newton Institute, Chile

⁷University of Copenhagen, Denmark

Keywords. black hole physics, galaxies: active, galaxies: Seyfert

Reverberation mapping takes advantage of the presence of a time delay or lag, τ , between continuum and emission line flux variations observed through spectroscopic monitoring campaigns to infer the radius of the broad-line region (BLR) and, subsequently, the central black hole mass in type 1 AGNs. We present results from a multi-month reverberation mapping campaign undertaken primarily at MDM Observatory with supporting observations from around the world. We measure BLR radii and black hole masses for six objects. The primary goal of this campaign was to obtain either new or improved $H\beta$ reverberation lag measurements for several relatively low-luminosity AGNs. Using cross correlation techniques to measure the time delay between the mean optical continuum flux density around 5100 Å and the integrated $H\beta$ flux, we determine the $H\beta$ lags and black hole mass measurements listed in columns 2 and 3 of Table 1, respectively. Column 4 tells if this measurement is new, an improvement meant to replace a previous, less reliable measurement, or simply an additional measurement not used to replace a previous value. The complete results from this study are currently being prepared for publication. A subsequent velocity-resolved analysis of the $H\beta$ response shows that three of the six primary targets demonstrate kinematic signatures (column 5) of infall, outflow, and non-radial virialized motions (see Denney *et al.* 2009).

Table 1. Mean $H\beta$ Lags and Black Hole Masses

Object	τ_{cent} (days)	$M_{\text{BH}} (\times 10^6 M_{\odot})$	Data Use	Kinematic Signature
NGC 3227	$3.75^{+0.76}_{-0.82}$	$7.63^{+1.62}_{-1.72}$	improvement	infall
NGC 3516	$11.68^{+1.02}_{-1.53}$	$31.7^{+2.8}_{-4.2}$	improvement	outflow
NGC 5548	$12.40^{+2.74}_{-3.85}$	$44.2^{+9.9}_{-13.8}$	add'l measurement	virial
Mrk 290	$8.72^{+1.21}_{-1.02}$	$24.3^{+3.7}_{-3.7}$	new	–
Mrk 817	$14.04^{+3.41}_{-3.47}$	$61.9^{+15.0}_{-15.3}$	add'l measurement	–
NGC 4051	$1.87^{+0.54}_{-0.50}$	$1.73^{+0.55}_{-0.52}$	improvement	–

Reference

Denney, K. D., *et al.* 2009, *ApJ*, 704, L80