

BONFERRONI-TYPE INEQUALITIES

ELAINE RECSEI* AND
 E. SENETA, *University of Sydney*

Abstract

We derive the Sobel–Uppuluri and Galambos-type extensions of the Bonferroni bounds, and further extensions of the same nature, as consequences of a single non-probabilistic inequality. The methodology follows that of Galambos.

JORDAN INEQUALITIES; GALAMBOS INEQUALITIES; INVERSION FORMULA; BINOMIAL MOMENTS

1. Unified treatment and extensions

Let $w_t, t = 0, 1, \dots, n$ be non-negative numbers. Define

$$(1) \quad A_{k,n} = \sum_{t=k}^n \binom{t}{k} w_t, \quad k \geq 0 \quad (A_{k,n} = 0, k > n).$$

Then, following Galambos [3], pp. 18–20, [2], p. 580,

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^a (-1)^k \binom{k+r}{r} A_{k+r,n} = w_r + (-1)^a \sum_{s=r+a+1}^n \binom{s-r-1}{a} \binom{s}{r} w_s$$

for $0 \leq a \leq n - r - 1$, and

$$(3) \quad \sum_{s=r+a+1}^n \binom{s-r-1}{a} \binom{s}{r} w_s \geq \frac{a+1}{n-r} \binom{a+1+r}{r} A_{a+1+r,n}.$$

Using (3) in (2) yields the bounds for $0 \leq r \leq n, u \geq 0, (\sum_{s=r}^{r-1} = 0)$:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=r}^{r+2u-1} (-1)^{s-r} \binom{s}{r} A_{s,n} + \frac{2u}{n-r} \binom{r+2u}{r} A_{r+2u,n} \leq w_r \\ & \leq \sum_{s=r}^{r+2u} (-1)^{s-r} \binom{s}{r} A_{s,n} - \frac{2u+1}{n-r} \binom{r+2u+1}{r} A_{r+2u+1,n} \end{aligned}$$

keeping in mind (for $r + 2u \geq n$) the inversion of the relation (1):

$$(5) \quad w_r = \sum_{s=r}^n (-1)^{s-r} \binom{s}{r} A_{s,n}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq n.$$

Received 21 January 1987.

* Postal address: Department of Mathematical Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006 Australia.

Now let $y_t, t = 1, \dots, n$, be arbitrary non-negative numbers, and define $S_{k,n}$ by

$$(6) \quad S_{k,n} = \sum_{t=k}^n \binom{t-1}{k-1} y_t, \quad k \geq 1 \quad (S_{k,n} = 0, k > n).$$

Since $\binom{t-1}{k-1} = (k/t) \binom{t}{k}$, it follows that

$$S_{k,n}/k = \sum_{t=k}^n \binom{t}{k} (y_t/t), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Thus putting $w_0 = 0$ and taking in (1) and (4) for $k \geq 1, A_{k,n} = S_{k,n}/k$, and $w_t = y_t/t, 1 \leq t \leq n$, it follows that for $1 \leq r \leq n, u \geq 0$,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=r}^{r+2u-1} (-1)^{s-r} \binom{s-1}{r-1} S_{s,n} + \frac{2u}{n-r} \binom{r+2u-1}{r-1} S_{r+2u,n} \leq y_r \\ & \leq \sum_{s=r}^{r+2u} (-1)^{s-r} \binom{s-1}{r-1} S_{s,n} - \frac{2u+1}{n-r} \binom{r+2u}{r-1} S_{r+2u+1,n} \end{aligned}$$

again keeping in mind (5).

Turning now to a probabilistic setting, let A_1, \dots, A_n be a sequence of events on a probability space, let $B_{r,n}, 0 \leq r \leq n$, be the event that exactly r of the A 's occur, and let $P_{[r]} = P(B_{r,n})$. Let $S_{k,n} = \sum P(A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \dots A_{i_k})$ where the sum is over all subscripts satisfying $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$. Then it is well known (defining $S_{0,n}$ as 1) that:

$$S_{k,n} = \sum_{t=k}^n \binom{t}{k} P_{[t]}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

so putting $w_t = P_{[t]}$ and $A_{k,n} = S_{k,n}$ in (1), (4) yields the Sobel-Uppuluri-Galambos inequalities ([3], p. 20; [5]). Further, it is well known that if we put

$$P_{(r)} = \sum_{s=r}^n P_{[s]},$$

then for $1 \leq r \leq n$,

$$S_{k,n} = \sum_{t=k}^n \binom{t-1}{k-1} P_{(t)}, \quad k \geq 1$$

so putting $y_t = P_{(t)}$ in (6) and (7) yields the Galambos bounds ([2], [6]) for $P_{(r)}, r \geq 1$. The direction of generalisation is now clear. For example, for $n \geq t \geq 2$, put

$$P_{(t)} = \sum_{s=t}^n P_{(s)} = \left(\sum_{h=r}^n (h-r+1) P_{[h]} \right).$$

Substituting for $P_{(s)}$ from the inversion formula (5), i.e.

$$P_{(s)} = \sum_{k=s}^n (-1)^{k-s} \binom{k-1}{k-s} S_{k,n}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq n,$$

and using a combinatorial identity we obtain

$$P_{(t)} = \sum_{k=t}^n (-1)^{k-t} \binom{k-2}{k-t} S_{k,n},$$

i.e.

$$P_{(t)} / (t(t-1)) = \sum_{k=t}^n (-1)^{k-t} \binom{k}{t} S_{k,n} / k(k-1),$$

whence by the inversion formula to (5), viz. (1),

$$S_{k,n} = \sum_{r=k}^n \binom{r-2}{k-2} P_{(r)}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

Hence for $2 \leq r \leq n$, $u \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=r}^{r+2u-1} (-1)^{s-r} \binom{s-2}{r-2} S_{s,n} + \frac{2u}{n-r} \binom{r+2u-2}{r-2} S_{r+2u,n} &\leq P_{(r)} \\ &\leq \sum_{s=r}^{r+2u} (-1)^{s-r} \binom{s-2}{r-2} S_{s,n} - \frac{2u+1}{n-r} \binom{r+2u}{r-1} S_{r+2u+1,n}. \end{aligned}$$

2. The method of polynomials

In the general vein of Galambos' conceptualization of the Bonferroni inequalities ([1]), the following deductions can be made from extending slightly the argument in [4]. Suppose for all p , $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and all integers $m \geq 0$,

$$(8) \quad (1-p)^m \leq \sum_{k=0}^m c_k(m) \binom{m}{k} p^k \quad (\text{where } c_0(0) = 1).$$

Then with the notation of the above probabilistic setting

$$(9) \quad P_{[r]} = P(B_{r,n}) \leq \sum_{z=r}^n c_{z-r}(n-r) \binom{z}{r} S_{z,n}.$$

(If the inequality in the supposition (8) is reversed, it is reversed in (9).)

Thus Theorem 4 in [4] can be written down directly from its Lemma 2. Thus, once the inequalities (in the form of Taylor expansion with remainder):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2u-1} \binom{m}{k} (-p)^k + \binom{m}{2u} (-p)^{2u} &\leq (1-p)^m \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{2u} \binom{m}{k} (-p)^k + \frac{2u+1}{m} \binom{m}{2u+1} (-p)^{2u+1} \end{aligned}$$

have been obtained for $m \geq 0$, $0 \leq p \leq 1$, the Sobel-Uppuluri-type bounds for $P_{[r]}$ follow immediately.

3. An application

We give in Table 1 below some data on performance of first-year students in the Faculty of Science at the University of Sydney in the 1980 annual examinations. The intention is to illustrate use of the Sobel-Uppuluri-Galambos bounds (4) in a real situation where the events A_1, \dots, A_n are not exchangeable, and effectiveness of prediction of $P_{[0]}$ via partial knowledge is the object.

TABLE 1

	Proportions passing			Remaining subject
	Mathematics	Physics	Chemistry	
Mathematics	0.86			
Physics	0.72	0.74		
Chemistry	0.65	0.61	0.67	
Remaining subject	0.72	0.65	0.62	0.79
Proportions passing:				
Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry				0.60
Mathematics, Physics, Remaining subject				0.64
Mathematics, Chemistry, Remaining subject				0.60
Physics, Chemistry, Remaining subject				0.57
Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry, Remaining subject				0.57

If we take $A_1 =$ fail mathematics, $A_2 =$ fail physics, $A_3 =$ fail chemistry, $A_4 =$ fail remaining subject, we wish to bound the probability of passing all subjects, $P_{[0]} = 0.57$.

If the Sobel–Uppuluri–Galambos bounds are used in conjunction with ‘ordinary’ Bonferroni bounds ‘of the same order’ in the manner of [3], p. 27, then the results are:

	$u = 0$	$u = 1$	$u = 2$
Lower bound	0.06	0.46	0.50
Upper bound	0.77	0.85	0.59

where bounds of form (4) with $r = 0$, $n = 4$ are shown in bold type. Thus even in the case $u = 0$, where only the $P(A_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$ are used, the upper bound is a useful approximation in our setting, although the upper bound $\min_i P(A_i) = 0.67$ is better.

References

[1] GALAMBOS, J. (1975) Methods for proving Bonferroni-type inequalities. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **9**, 561–564.
 [2] GALAMBOS, J. (1977) Bonferroni inequalities. *Ann. Prob.* **5**, 577–581.
 [3] GALAMBOS, J. (1978) *The Asymptotic Theory of Extreme Order Statistics*. Wiley, New York.
 [4] GALAMBOS, J. AND MUCCI, R. (1980) Inequalities for linear combinations of binomial moments. *Publ. Math. (Debrecen)* **27**, 263–268.
 [5] SOBEL, M. AND UPPULURI, V. R. R. (1972) On Bonferroni-type inequalities of the same degree for the probability of unions and intersections. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **43**, 1549–1558.
 [6] WALKER, A. M. (1981) On the classical Bonferroni inequalities and the corresponding Galambos inequalities. *J. Appl. Prob* **18**, 757–763.