# SMALL SOLUTIONS OF QUADRATIC CONGRUENCES 

by D. R. HEATH-BROWN

## To Robert Rankin on the occasion of his 70th birthday

1. Introduction. Let $Q(\mathbf{x})=Q\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a quadratic form. We investigate the size of the smallest non-zero solution of the congruence $Q(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$. We seek a bound $B_{n}(q)$, independent of $Q$, such that there is always a non-zero solution satisfying

$$
\operatorname{Max}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant B_{n}(q)
$$

The form $Q(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i}^{n} x_{i}^{2}$ gives the trivial lower bound $B_{n}(q) \geqslant(q / n)^{1 / 2}$ for all $q$ and $n$, since if $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $q \mid Q(\mathbf{x})$, then $Q(\mathbf{x}) \geqslant q$.

It was shown by Schinzel, Schlickewei and Schmidt [3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(q) \leqslant q^{1 / 2+1 /(4 f(n-1) / 21+2)}, \quad(n \geqslant 3) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

They used this to obtain Diophantine approximation results for $\|Q(\mathbf{x})\|$, in which $Q$ is a quadratic form with real coefficients. It is reasonable to conjecture that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(q) \ll q^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$, as soon as $n \geqslant 4$, but no general improvement on (1) is known. However we shall show that the above conjecture is indeed true if $q$ is restricted to prime values.

Theorem 1. We have $B_{n}(p) \ll p^{1 / 2}(\log p)$ uniformly for $n \geqslant 4$, where $p$ is prime.
Indeed using the method of [3] we shall easily prove a stronger result in certain cases.
Theorem 2. Let $p$ be an odd prime and take $n=4$. If $p \mid \operatorname{det} Q$ or $\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} Q}{p}\right)=1$ then
$Q(\mathbf{x})$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}-\{0\}$, with $\operatorname{Max}\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant p^{1 / 2}$. $p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}-\{0\}$, with $\operatorname{Max}\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant p^{1 / 2}$.

Here $\operatorname{det} Q$ is the determinant of the integer matrix representing $Q$, and $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

The condition $n \geqslant 4$ in Theorem 1 , and in the general conjecture (2), is in fact necessary. Indeed if $n=3$ the bound (1) is essentially best possible, even when $q$ is restricted to be prime.

Theorem 3. For all primes $p$ we have $B_{3}(p) \geqslant p^{2 / 3}+O\left(p^{1 / 3}\right)$.
The forms used in proving Theorem 3 are all singular $(\bmod p)$. It is reasonable to conjecture that $B_{3}^{*}(p) \ll p^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}$, where $B_{n}^{*}(p)$ is defined analogously to $B_{n}(p)$, but with the forms $Q$ restricted to be non-singular $(\bmod p)$.

In what follows $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$, etc. will always be column vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ or $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ as appropriate. We
denote the zero vector by $\mathbf{0}$. We write $\mathbf{x} . \mathbf{y}$ for the usual scalar product $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$. By " $\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant B$ " we shall mean that $\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant B$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$. We will write $\mathbf{x}(\bmod p)$, as a summation condition, to mean that each component $x_{i}$ runs from 1 to $p$. If $p \nmid k$ we write $\bar{k}$ for the inverse of $k(\bmod p)$. The quadratic form $Q$ will also be thought of as a matrix, also denoted by $Q$, with entries in the field of $p$ elements. (We will always take $p \geqslant 3$.) With this convention $Q^{-1}$ will be another quadratic form, with coefficients defined $(\bmod p)$.
2. The Proof of Theorem 3. We shall prove the theorems in reverse order, starting with Theorem 3. Let $a$ be a quadratic non-residue of $p$ and let $b=\left[p^{1 / 3}\right]$. We take

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\left(x_{1}-b x_{2}\right)^{2}-a\left(x_{2}-b x_{3}\right)^{2}
$$

Then if $p \mid Q$ we must have $x_{1} \equiv b x_{2}(\bmod p)$ and $x_{2} \equiv b x_{3}(\bmod p)$. Now if $x_{1} \neq b x_{2}$ we have $\left|x_{1}-b x_{2}\right| \geqslant p$, whence

$$
(1+b) \operatorname{Max}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|,\left|x_{2}\right|\right) \geqslant p
$$

Similarly, if $x_{2} \neq b x_{3}$ then
It follows that

$$
(1+b) \operatorname{Max}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|,\left|x_{3}\right|\right) \geqslant p .
$$

$$
\operatorname{Max}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3}\left|x_{i}\right| \geqslant(1+b)^{-1} p=p^{2 / 3}+O\left(p^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

unless $x_{1}=b x_{2}$ and $x_{2}=b x_{3}$. In the latter case a non-zero solution must have $x_{3} \neq 0$, whence

$$
\operatorname{Max}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3}\left|x_{i}\right| \geqslant\left|x_{1}\right|=b^{2}\left|x_{3}\right| \geqslant b^{2}=p^{2 / 3}+O\left(p^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.
3. The Proof of Theorem 2. We begin by showing that, under the conditions of Theorem 2, there are two linear forms $L_{1}(\mathbf{x}), L_{2}(\mathbf{x})$ such that $p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})$ whenever $L_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv$ $L_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. To do this we work in the field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ of $p$ elements, and look for a form $Q^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{4}^{\prime}\right)$, equivalent to $Q$, such that $Q^{\prime}=0$ when $x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{2}^{\prime}=0$. If $Q$ has rank 2 or less this is immediate, since $Q$ is equivalent to a form $Q^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. If $Q$ has rank 3 , then it can be transformed into $Q^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. By Chevalley's Theorem the latter is a zero form and so is equivalent to $Q^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}^{\prime \prime}, x_{2}^{\prime \prime}, x_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $Q^{\prime \prime}(0,0,1)=0$. Hence $Q^{\prime \prime}=0$ if $x_{1}^{\prime \prime}=x_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0$. Finally, if $Q$ is non-singular then it is equivalent (see for example Borevich and Shafarevich [1, Theorem 7, p. 394]) to $Q^{\prime}=2 x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}+Q_{0}\left(x_{3}^{\prime}, x_{4}^{\prime}\right)$, since $Q$ is a zero form by Chevalley's Theorem. Here $\operatorname{det} Q_{0}=-\operatorname{det} Q$, so that $-\operatorname{det} Q_{0}$ is a square in $F_{p}$. Thus $Q_{0}$ factorizes as $Q_{0}=2 x_{5}^{\prime} x_{6}^{\prime}$, whence $Q^{\prime}=0$ for $x_{1}^{\prime}=x_{5}^{\prime}=0$. The existence of $L_{1}, L_{2}$ now follows in all cases.

The conditions $L_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv L_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ define a sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ of determinant $p^{2}$. It follows from Minkowski's linear forms theorem that there is some non-zero point on the lattice with Max $\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant p^{1 / 2}$, and Theorem 2 is proved. (See for example Hardy and Wright [2; Theorem 448]. To apply the theorem as it is stated there we note that there is a $4 \times 4$ matrix $M$, of determinant $p^{2}$, such that $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is in the above lattice if and only if $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\mathbf{M x}$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}$.)
4. Proof of Theorem 1; preliminaries. We observe at the outset that it suffices to consider the case $n=4$, since in general one may examine the quaternary form obtained from $Q$ by setting $x_{5}=\ldots=x_{n}=0$. Moreover, by Theorem 2 , we may suppose that $\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} Q}{p}\right)=-1$. Finally, we may take $p \geqslant 3$.

Our key tool is the Poisson summation formula applied to suitable functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. These will have Fourier transform

$$
\hat{f}(y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} f(\mathbf{x}) e(-\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) d x_{1} \ldots d x_{4}
$$

Here we have set $e(u)=\exp (2 \pi i u)$; we shall also use $e_{p}(u)$, defined to be $e(u / p)$.
Lemma 1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}, p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})} f(\mathbf{x})=p^{-5} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}} S_{p}(\mathbf{y}) \hat{f}\left(\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{y}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathbf{y})=\sum_{s=1}^{\mathrm{p}} \sum_{\mathbf{t}(\bmod \mathrm{p})} e_{\mathrm{p}}(s Q(\mathbf{t})+\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{t}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The left hand side of (3) is

$$
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{s=1}^{\mathrm{p}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}}} e_{p}(s Q(\mathbf{x})) f(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{s=1}^{\mathrm{p}} \sum_{\mathbf{t}(\bmod \mathrm{p})} e_{p}(s Q(\mathbf{t})) \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}} f(\mathbf{t}+p \mathbf{u}) .
$$

We apply the Poisson summation formula to $g(\mathbf{u})=f(\mathbf{t}+p \mathbf{u})$. This gives

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}} g(\mathbf{u})=\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}} \hat{g}(\mathbf{y}),
$$

and since

$$
\hat{g}(\mathbf{y})=p^{-4} e_{p}(\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{t}) \hat{f}\left(\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{y}\right)
$$

Lemma 1 follows.
Lemma 2. Let $\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} Q}{p}\right)=-1$. Then

$$
S_{p}(\mathbf{y})=p^{2}+p^{4} Y(\mathbf{y})-p^{3} Z(\mathbf{y})
$$

where

$$
Y(\mathbf{y})=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & p \mid \mathbf{y}, \\
0, & p \nmid \mathbf{y},
\end{array} \quad Z(\mathbf{y})= \begin{cases}1, & p \mid Q^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \\
0, & p \nmid Q^{-1}(\mathbf{y})\end{cases}\right.
$$

Proof. We begin by diagonalizing $Q$. Choose $R$, invertible $(\bmod p)$, such that $Q=$ $R^{\top} D R$, with $D=\operatorname{Diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{4}\right)$. We substitute $R \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{u}$ in (4), whence $Q(\mathbf{t})=D(\mathbf{u})$ and

$$
\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} R^{-1} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}=\left(R^{-1}\right)^{T} \mathbf{y} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathbf{y}) & =\sum_{s=1}^{\mathrm{p}} \sum_{\mathbf{u}(\bmod p)} e_{\mathrm{p}}(s D(\mathbf{u})+\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}) \\
& =p^{4} Y(\mathbf{v})+\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^{4}\left\{\sum_{u_{i}=1}^{p} e_{\mathrm{p}}\left(s d_{i} u_{i}^{2}+v_{i} u_{i}\right)\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the term $Y(\mathbf{v})$ is the contribution from $s=p$. From (5) we have $Y(\mathbf{v})=Y(\mathbf{y})$. Each of the innermost sums in (6) is a standard Gauss sum of the form

$$
\sum_{u=1}^{p} e_{\mathrm{p}}\left(a u^{2}+b u\right)=\tau_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) e_{\mathrm{p}}\left(-\overline{4 a} b^{2}\right), \quad(p \nmid 4 a)
$$

Moreover $\tau_{p}^{4}=p^{2}$ and

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(\frac{s d_{i}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} D}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} Q}{p}\right)=-1
$$

Thus (6) becomes

$$
p^{4} Y(\mathbf{y})-p^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} e_{\mathrm{p}}\left(-\overline{4 s} D^{-1}(\mathbf{v})\right)
$$

Finally we observe that

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} e_{p}(-\overline{4 s} k)=\sum_{t=1}^{p-1} e_{p}(t k)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
p-1, & p \mid k \\
-1, & p \nmid k
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and that

$$
D^{-1}(\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{T}} D^{-1} \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} Q^{-1} \mathbf{y}=Q^{-1}(\mathbf{y})
$$

Lemma 2 now follows.
Lemmas 1 and 2 now yield

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}, p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})} f(\mathbf{x})=p^{-3} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}} \hat{f}\left(\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{y}\right)+p^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y})-p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{y}^{4}, p \mid \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})} \hat{f}\left(\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{y}\right) .
$$

We may apply the Poisson summation formula again to the first two sums on the right to produce the following result.

Lemma 3. We have

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}, p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})} f(\mathbf{x})=p^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}} f(\mathbf{x})+p \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}} f(p \mathbf{x})-p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}, p \mid Q^{-1}(\mathbf{y})} \hat{f}\left(\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{y}\right) .
$$

Our choice of $f$ will be based on the function considered overleaf.

Lemma 4. Define $g(x)=\left\{\begin{aligned} 1-|x|, & |x| \leqslant 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geqslant 1,\end{aligned}\right.$ so that $\hat{\mathrm{g}}(y)=\left(\frac{\sin \pi y}{\pi y}\right)^{2}$. Let $h(x)=(g * g * g)(x)$. Then
(i) $\operatorname{Supp} h \subseteq[-3,3]$,
(ii) $0 \leqslant h(x) \leqslant 1$ for all $x$,
(iii) $h(x) \geqslant \frac{1}{32}$ for $|x| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$,
(iv) $\hat{h}(y)=\left(\frac{\sin \pi y}{\pi y}\right)^{6}$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(u) g(v-u) g(x-v) d u d v \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $h(x) \neq 0$, there must exist $u, v$ such that $|u| \leqslant 1,|v-u| \leqslant 1$, and $|x-v| \leqslant 1$. This requires $|x| \leqslant 3$, proving part (i). The lower bound $h \geqslant 0$ is immediate from (7). Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(x) & \leqslant \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(u) g(v-u) d u d v \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(u) g(w) d u d w \\
& =\hat{g}(0)^{2}=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which establishes part (ii). For part (iii) we note that if $|u|,|v|,|x| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}$, then $g(u), g(v-u), g(x-v) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$, while the corresponding area of integration in (7) is $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}$. Finally (iv) follows from the convolution formula for fourier integrals.
5. Proof of Theorem 1. We begin by applying Lemma 3 with the function

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=f_{D}(\mathbf{x})=\prod_{i=1}^{4} h\left(x_{i} / D\right)
$$

From Lemma 4 parts (i) and (ii) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum f_{D}(\mathbf{x}) & \leqslant \#\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4} ;\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant 3 D\right\} \ll D^{4} \\
\sum f_{\mathrm{D}}(p \mathbf{x}) & \leqslant \#\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4} ;\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant 3 D / p\right\}=1, \quad(D<p / 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4 part (iii) we have $f_{D}(\mathbf{x}) \gg 1$ for $\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant D / 4$, and by part (iv) we have $\hat{f}_{D}(\mathbf{y}) \geqslant 0$. We deduce the following result.

Lemma 5. If $\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} Q}{p}\right)=-1$ and $D<p / 3$ then

$$
\#\left\{\mathbf{x} ;\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant D / 4, p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})\right\} \ll p^{-1} D^{4}+p .
$$

Since $Q(x) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ has $O\left(p^{3}\right)$ solutions $(\bmod p)$, the lemma is clearly true for $D \geqslant p / 3$ too.

We can improve Lemma 5 for small values of $D$. Suppose that $D \leqslant \frac{1}{4} p^{1 / 2}$ and put $P=p^{1 / 2}(2 D)^{-1}$. Consider primes $q$ in the range $P<q \leqslant 2 P$. If $p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})$ with $\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant D$, then $p \mid Q(q \mathbf{x})$ and $\left|q x_{i}\right| \leqslant p^{1 / 2}$. Hence

$$
(\pi(2 P)-\pi(P)) \#\left\{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} ;\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant D, p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})\right\} \leqslant \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \#\{q ; P<q \leqslant 2 \dot{P}, q \mid \mathbf{y}\}
$$

where $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbf{4}}-\{\mathbf{0}\}$ satisfies $\left|y_{i}\right| \leqslant p^{1 / 2}, \boldsymbol{p} \mid Q(\mathbf{y})$. However, if $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ then

$$
\#\{q ; P<q \leqslant 2 P, q \mid \mathbf{y}\} \leqslant \frac{\log p^{1 / 2}}{\log P}
$$

Moreover $\pi(2 P)-\pi(P) \gg \frac{P}{\log P}$, whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left\{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} ;\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant D, p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})\right\} & \ll P^{-1}(\log p) . \#\left\{\mathbf{y} ;\left|y_{i}\right| \leqslant p^{1 / 2}, p \mid Q(\mathbf{y})\right\} \\
& <P^{-1} p(\log p)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 5. On using Lemma 5 itself for $D \geqslant \frac{1}{4} p^{1 / 2}$ we now have the following result.
Lemma 6. If $\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} Q}{p}\right)=-1$ then

$$
\#\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4} ;\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant D, p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})\right\} \ll D^{4} p^{-1}+D p^{1 / 2}(\log p)
$$

We apply this not to $Q$ but to $Q^{-1}$, noting that $\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} Q^{-1}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} Q}{p}\right)=-1$. We take $f=f_{B}$, with $p^{1 / 2}<B<p$, in Lemma 3, whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}_{B}\left(\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{y}\right)=B^{4} \prod_{i=1}^{4} & \left(\frac{\sin \pi y_{i} B / p}{\pi y_{i} B / p}\right)^{6}<B^{4} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \operatorname{Min}\left(1,\left(\frac{p}{B\left|y_{i}\right|}\right)^{6}\right) \\
& <B^{4} \operatorname{Min}\left(1,\left(\frac{p / B}{\operatorname{Max}\left|y_{i}\right|}\right)^{6}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We proceed to bound

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}, \mathrm{p} \mid \mathrm{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})} \hat{f}\left(\frac{1}{p} \mathbf{y}\right) .
$$

The term $\mathbf{y}=0$ contributes $O\left(B^{4}\right)$. We group the remaining terms into ranges $\frac{1}{2} D<$ $\operatorname{Max}\left|y_{i}\right| \leqslant D$, where $D$ is a power of 2 . In such a range there are, by Lemma 6 , $\ll D^{4} \mathrm{p}^{-1}+D \mathrm{p}^{1 / 2}(\log p)$ terms, and each is of magnitude $<B^{4} \operatorname{Min}\left(1,\left(\frac{p}{B D}\right)^{6}\right)$. The total for $D \leqslant p / B$ is thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ll B^{4} \sum_{D}\left(D^{4} p^{-1}+D p^{1 / 2}(\log p)\right) & \ll B^{4}\left(\left(\frac{p}{B}\right)^{4} p^{-1}+\left(\frac{p}{B}\right) p^{1 / 2}(\log p)\right) \\
& \ll p^{3}+p^{3 / 2} B^{3}(\log p)
\end{aligned}
$$

while for $D \geqslant p / B$ it is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <B^{-2} p^{6} \sum_{D}\left(D^{-2} p^{-1}+D^{-5} p^{1 / 2}(\log p)\right) \\
& <B^{-2} p^{6}\left(\left(\frac{p}{B}\right)^{-2} p^{-1}+\left(\frac{p}{B}\right)^{-5} p^{1 / 2}(\log p)\right) \\
& \ll p^{3}+p^{3 / 2} B^{3}(\log p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}, \cdot \mathrm{p} \mid \mathrm{Q}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})} \hat{f}_{B}\left(\frac{1}{p} y\right) \ll p^{-2} B^{4}+p+p^{-1 / 2} B^{3}(\log p) \ll p^{-1 / 2} B^{3}(\log p) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $p^{1 / 2}<B \leqslant p$.
On the other hand $p \sum f_{B}(p x) \geqslant 0$ and, by part (iii) of Lemma 4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}} f_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x}) \geqslant(32 p)^{-1} \#\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4} ;\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant B / 4\right\} \gg p^{-1} B^{4} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the implied constants in (8) and (9) are $c_{1}, c_{2}$ respectively, then Lemma 3 yields

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{4}, p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})} f_{B}(\mathbf{x}) \geqslant c_{2} p^{-1} B^{4}-c_{1} p^{-1 / 2} B^{3}(\log p) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} c_{2} p^{-1} B^{4}
$$

providing that $B \geqslant 2 c_{1} c_{2}^{-1} p^{1 / 2}(\log p)$. Since the term $x=0$ contributes only $1=o\left(p^{-1} B^{4}\right)$ it follows from Lemma 4 part (i) that $p \mid Q(\mathbf{x})$ with some $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ for which $\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant$ $6 c_{1} c_{2}^{-1} p^{1 / 2}(\log p)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 . Note that it would not have been sufficient to use Lemma 5 in place of Lemma 6.
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