

# ON CONJUGATES IN DIVISION RINGS

CARL C. FAITH

Let  $D$  be a non-commutative division ring with centre  $C$ , and let  $\Delta$  be a proper division subring not contained in  $C$ . In (4) Cartan raised the question: is it ever possible for each inner automorphism of  $D$  to induce an automorphism of  $\Delta$ ? As is well-known, Cartan (4, Théorème 4) with the aid of his Galois Theory answered this negatively in case  $D$  is a finite dimensional division algebra. Later Brauer (3), and Hua (8), using elegant, elementary methods, extended Cartan's theorem to arbitrary division rings.

Let  $D^*$  denote the group of all non-zero elements of  $D$ , and let  $H(\Delta)$  be the subgroup of all elements of  $D^*$  which effect inner automorphisms of  $D$  that map  $\Delta$  onto  $\Delta$ . In this note I prove the following extension of the Cartan-Brauer-Hua theorem:  $H(\Delta)$  cannot have finite index in  $D^*$ . This theorem implies (and is implied by) the condition:  $D$  always contains infinitely many subrings  $x\Delta x^{-1}$  isomorphic (or conjugate) to  $\Delta$ .

Although this result implies that every finite division ring is commutative, its proof does not constitute a new proof of this old theorem (17) of Wedderburn's. As a matter of fact, the proof requires not only Wedderburn's theorem but also Jacobson's theorem (9) on algebraic division algebras over a finite field.

**1. Conjugates in division rings.** If  $S$  is any subset of a division ring  $D$ , the *centralizer of  $S$  in  $D$*  is the set  $S' = \{x \in D \mid sx = xs \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ . When  $S$  consists of the single element  $\theta$ ,  $\theta'$  denotes this division subring.  $S''$  is the division subring  $(S')'$ . If  $\Delta$  is any division subring of  $D$ ,  $\Delta^*$  represents the multiplicative group of non-zero elements of  $\Delta$ .  $C$  will always be the centre of  $D$ .

The group of all automorphisms of  $D$  which leave fixed each element of  $\Delta$  is signified by  $G(\Delta)$ ;  $J(\Delta)$  is the subgroup of those inner automorphisms of  $D$  which belong to  $G(\Delta)$ . The group  $G(\Delta)$  is *outer* when  $J(\Delta)$  is the identity subgroup  $(e)$ . Since  $J(\Delta)$  is isomorphic to  $\nabla^*/C^*$ , where  $\nabla = \Delta'$ , one deduces from the following proposition that if  $J(\Delta)$  is a finite group  $\neq (e)$ , then  $\Delta'$  is a finite field. Thus when  $C$  is not a finite field,  $J(\Delta)$  is finite if and only if  $G(\Delta)$  is outer.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $\nabla$  is any proper division subring of a division ring  $D$ , then  $\nabla^*$  has finite index in  $D^*$  if and only if  $D$  is a finite field.*

*Proof.* If  $D$  is a finite field there is nothing to prove. Conversely, if  $D$  is not a finite field, then  $D$  is not finite (17). Suppose  $\nabla^*$  has finite index in  $D^*$ . Then

Received April 22, 1957.

$\nabla^*$  necessarily has infinitely many elements; for each  $\theta \in D$ , there exist elements  $\delta_1, \delta_2$ , and  $\delta \in \nabla, \delta_1 \neq \delta_2$ , such that  $\theta + \delta_1 = \delta(\theta + \delta_2)$ . But then  $(1 - \delta)\theta \in \nabla$ . Since  $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2, \delta$  cannot be 1. Thus  $(\delta - 1)^{-1} \in \nabla$ , so that  $\theta \in \nabla$ . Hence  $D = \nabla$ , and  $\nabla$  is not a proper subring.

Proposition 1 actually implies that a non-central element  $\theta$  of a non-commutative division ring  $D$  has infinitely many conjugates in  $D$ . This is Herstein's theorem (7). As several authors (15, 16) have remarked, the Cartan-Brauer-Hua theorem is not needed in the proof.

If  $\Delta$  is an arbitrary division subring of  $D$ , there is occasion to consider isomorphisms of  $\Delta(\theta)$  which leave fixed the elements of  $\Delta$ . Such an isomorphism, often called *an isomorphism of  $\Delta(\theta)$  with respect to  $\Delta$* , when induced by an inner automorphism of  $D$  is effected by an element  $x \in \Delta'$ . If  $\theta$  commutes with every element of  $\Delta'$ , that is, if  $\theta \in \Delta''$ , then no non-trivial isomorphism of the kind mentioned exists. If  $\Delta'$  is finite, then the number of conjugates  $x^{-1}\theta x$ , with  $x \in \Delta'$ , is also finite. In all other cases, however,  $\theta$  has infinitely many such conjugates, as can be deduced from the case  $\nabla = \Delta'$  of the next theorem, which has been obtained also by Kasch (11).

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $D$  be a non-commutative division ring, and let  $\nabla$  be an infinite division subring not contained in the center of  $D$ . Then every element  $\theta$  in  $D$  which is outside of  $\nabla'$  possesses infinitely many conjugates  $x^{-1}\theta x$  with  $x \in \nabla$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\theta$  has only finitely many conjugates with  $x \in \nabla$ , then  $A^*$  has finite index in  $\nabla^*$ , where  $A = \nabla \cap \theta'$ . Since  $\nabla$  is not finite, by Proposition 1,  $A$  must be all of  $\nabla$ . But then  $\nabla' = A'$ . Since  $\theta \in A'$  this implies that  $\theta \in \nabla'$ , contrary to its choice. Thus  $\theta$  must have infinitely many conjugates  $x^{-1}\theta x$ , with  $x \in \nabla$ .

The following corollaries are all proved under the assumption of Theorem 1, that is,  $\nabla = \Delta'$  is infinite. In case  $D$  is an algebraic division algebra, Jacobson's theorem (9) makes this assumption on  $\Delta'$  superfluous.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $[\theta]$  denote the set of elements in  $D$  of the form  $x\theta x^{-1}$ , with  $x \in \Delta'$ . Then, if  $[\theta]$  contains an element other than  $\theta$ , then  $[\theta]$  contains infinitely many elements.*

*Proof.* Since  $[\theta] \neq \theta$ , then  $\theta \notin \Delta''$ , so by Theorem 1, the set  $[\theta]$  is infinite.

If  $[\theta]$  is finite for every  $\theta \in D$ , then  $D = \Delta''$ . Thus  $\Delta' = C$ , that is,  $G(\Delta)$  is outer. This yields the next corollary, which emphasizes the severity of Nobusawa's locally finite condition (13).

**COROLLARY.** *If the set of conjugates of  $\theta$  with respect to  $\Delta$  is finite for each  $\theta \in D$ , then  $G(\Delta)$  is outer.*

Let  $X$  be indeterminate over  $\Delta$ , and let  $\Delta[X]$  denote the polynomial ring consisting of all finite sums of elements of the form  $aXbX \dots cXd$ , with  $a, b, \dots, c, d, \in \Delta$ . If  $\theta \in D$  is a zero of a polynomial in  $\Delta[X]$ , then so is

every conjugate of  $\theta$  with respect to  $\Delta$ . Thus the next corollary is a consequence of Theorem 1. When  $\Delta = C$  it specializes to a corollary of Herstein's (7).

COROLLARY. *If at least one zero of a polynomial  $p(X) \in \Delta[X]$  lies in  $D$  but outside of  $\Delta'$ , then  $p(X)$  has infinitely many zeros in  $D$ .*

The next theorem provides another generalization of Herstein's theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let  $D$  be a non-commutative division ring with centre  $C$ , and let  $\Delta$  be a proper division subring which contains  $C$ , and has finite dimension  $d$  over  $C$ . Then any element of  $D$  which lies outside of  $\Delta$  possesses infinitely many conjugates with respect to  $\Delta$ .*

*Proof.* H. Cartan (4) has shown under the hypotheses of the theorem that  $D$  has finite dimension over  $\Delta'$  equal to  $d$ , and moreover, that  $\Delta'' = \Delta$ . Now  $\Delta'$  cannot be finite. Otherwise  $D$  is an algebraic division algebra over a finite field, and hence, by Jacobson's theorem (9, Theorem 8),  $D$  is commutative, contrary to hypothesis. Theorem 1 now applies.

**2. Isomorphic division subrings.** Let  $\Delta$  be a division subring of a non-commutative division ring  $D$ , such that  $\Delta$  is not contained in the centre  $C$  of  $D$ . Then the number of distinct isomorphisms of  $\Delta$  of the form  $x^{-1}\Delta x$  is equal to the index of  $\nabla^*$  in  $D^*$ , where  $\nabla$  is the centralizer of  $\Delta$  in  $D$ . That this index is always infinite can be obtained from the case  $A = D$  of the next proposition, as well as from Proposition 1.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let  $D$  be a division ring, and let  $A$  be a division subring with infinitely many elements. Let  $\Delta$  be a division subring of  $D$  not contained in the centralizer  $A'$  of  $A$ . Then there exist infinitely many isomorphisms of  $\Delta$  of the form  $x^{-1}\Delta x$ , with  $x \in A$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1, any  $\theta$  in  $D$  not in  $A'$  is moved infinitely many times by the inner automorphisms of  $D$  effected by the elements of  $A$ . Since  $\Delta$  is not contained in  $A'$ , there must be infinitely many distinct isomorphisms of the form  $x^{-1}\Delta x$ , with  $x \in A$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a proper division subring not contained in the centre  $C$  of a non-commutative division ring  $D$ . Let

$$H(\Delta) = \{x \in D^* | x\Delta x^{-1} = \Delta\},$$

and consider the two conditions:

- (1) *index of  $H(\Delta)$  in  $D^* = m < \infty$ ;*
- (2) *index of  $\nabla^*$  in  $H(\Delta) = r < \infty$ ;*

where  $\nabla$  is the centralizer of  $\Delta$  in  $D$ . I wish to prove that (1) cannot hold. Since Proposition 1 asserts that (1) and (2) cannot hold simultaneously, it will be useful to note some conditions under which (2) holds. Equation (2) can

be interpreted as follows: *The inner automorphisms of  $D$  induce only finitely many distinct automorphisms in  $\Delta$ .* This case certainly occurs when  $\Delta$  is a field having finite degree over  $\Delta \cap C$ . More generally, since the centre  $Z$  of  $\Delta$  is mapped onto  $Z$  by every automorphism of  $\Delta$ , it is easily seen that  $H(Z) \supseteq H(\Delta)$ . Thus if  $Z$  is not contained in  $C$ , the case just mentioned for fields having finite degree produces the next lemma.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a proper division subring of a non-commutative division ring  $D$  such that the centre  $Z$  of  $\Delta$  has finite degree  $n > 1$  over  $Z \cap C$ , where  $C$  denotes the centre of  $D$ . Then  $H(\Delta)$  has infinite index in  $D^*$ .*

The proposition below is actually a result of Brauer's (3). It asserts that in general  $h$  and  $h + 1$  cannot both belong to  $H(\Delta)$ . I include the proof for the sake of completeness.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $h$  and  $h + 1$  be non-zero elements of  $D$ . Then both  $h$  and  $h + 1$  belong to  $H(\Delta)$ , where  $\Delta$  is a division subring of  $D$ , if and only if  $h$  lies in  $\Delta$ , or in the centralizer of  $\Delta$ .*

*Proof.* The sufficiency is evident. Let  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Then following Brauer (3);

- (i)  $h\delta = \delta_0h$ , where  $\delta_0 = h\delta h^{-1} \in \Delta$ ;
- (ii)  $(h + 1)\delta = \delta_1(h + 1)$ , where  $\delta_1 = (h + 1)\delta(h + 1)^{-1} \in \Delta$ .

From (ii),  $h\delta + \delta = \delta_1h + \delta_1$ , so that by (i),  $(\delta_0 - \delta_1)h = (\delta_1 - \delta)$ . If for some choice of  $\delta$ ,  $\delta_0 \neq \delta_1$ , then  $h = (\delta_0 - \delta_1)^{-1}(\delta_1 - \delta)$  lies in  $\Delta$ . Otherwise, for all  $\delta$ ,  $\delta_0 = \delta_1$ . Then from (ii)  $\delta = \delta_0$ . This is true for all  $\delta$ . Thus  $h$  lies in the centralizer of  $\Delta$ . This completes the proof.

Now let  $h$  and  $h_0$  both belong to  $H(\Delta)$ . Then if  $h + h_0 \in H(\Delta)$ , it is necessary that  $hh_0^{-1} + 1 \in H(\Delta)$ . By the preceding proposition  $hh_0^{-1}$  lies in  $\Delta$ , or  $\Delta'$ . If further  $h_0 \in \Delta \cap \Delta'$ , then  $h$  lies in  $\Delta$ , or  $\Delta'$ . This produces the

**COROLLARY.** *If  $h$ ,  $h_0$ , and  $h + h_0$  all belong to  $H(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta$  as in the preceding proposition, then  $hh_0^{-1}$  lies in either  $\Delta$ , or  $\Delta'$ . If further  $h_0$  lies in the centre of  $\Delta$ , then  $h$  lies in  $\Delta$ , or  $\Delta'$ .*

Let  $D$  be a non-commutative division ring with centre  $C$ , and let  $\Delta$  be a proper division subring not contained in  $C$ . Then there exist two elements  $v$  and  $d$ ,  $v$  in  $D$  but outside of  $\Delta$ , and  $d$  in  $\Delta$ , such that  $vd \neq dv$ . Now Nagahara (12, Lemma 1) has shown that there is at most one  $c$  in  $d' \cap \Delta$  such that  $(v + c)d(v + c)^{-1}$  lies in  $\Delta$ . Now let  $(v + c)d(v + c)^{-1}$  be outside of  $\Delta$ ,  $c \in d' \cap \Delta$ . Then  $v + c$  does not belong to  $H(\Delta)$ . It is natural to inquire whether there exist at most two  $c$ 's in  $d' \cap \Delta$ , say  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , such that  $v + c_1$  and  $v + c_2$  belong to the same right coset of  $H(\Delta)$  in  $D^*$ . This question is answered in the affirmative below.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $D$  be a non-commutative division ring with centre  $C$ , and let  $\Delta$  be a proper division subring not contained in  $C$ . Choose  $v$  in  $D$  outside of*

$\Delta$ , and  $d$  in  $\Delta$ , such that  $vd \neq dv$ . Let  $\{c_k\}$  be a sequence of distinct elements of  $d' \cap \Delta$ . Then at most two elements of the sequence  $\{v + c_k\}$  can belong to the right coset of  $H(\Delta)$  in  $D^*$  determined by any one of them.

*Proof.* Suppose  $v + c_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , all belong to the same right coset of  $H(\Delta)$  in  $D^*$ , where the  $c_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , are distinct elements of  $d' \cap \Delta$ . Then  $(v + c_1)(v + c_2)^{-1} = h$ , and  $(v + c_3)(v + c_2)^{-1} = h_0$ , where  $h$  and  $h_0$  belong to  $H(\Delta)$ . This implies that  $(1 - h)v = hc_2 - c_1$ , and  $(1 - h_0)v = h_0c_2 - c_3$ . Thus,

$$(\alpha) \quad v = -c_2 + (1 - h)^{-1}(c_2 - c_1),$$

and,

$$(\beta) \quad v = -c_2 + (1 - h_0)^{-1}(c_2 - c_3).$$

Moreover, by equating  $v$  in  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$ , one obtains:

$$(1 - h)(1 - h_0)^{-1} = (c_2 - c_1)(c_2 - c_3)^{-1} = d_0 \in d' \cap \Delta.$$

Therefore,

$$(\gamma) \quad d_0 h_0 = h + (d_0 - 1).$$

From the corollary to Proposition 3, it follows, since neither  $d_0$  nor  $d_0 - 1$  equals zero, that  $h(d_0 - 1)^{-1} \in \Delta$ , whence  $h \in \Delta$ , or else  $h(d_0 - 1)^{-1} \in \Delta'$ . Now  $h$  cannot belong to  $\Delta$ , otherwise by  $(\alpha)$ ,  $v$  must belong to  $\Delta$ , contrary to its choice. Consequently  $h(d_0 - 1)^{-1} \in \Delta'$ , so that  $h$  belongs to the division ring  $A$  generated by  $\Delta'$  and the elements  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , and  $c_3$ . But then  $(\alpha)$  shows that  $v \in A$ . Thus  $v \in d'$ , that is,  $vd = dv$ , contrary to its choice. This completes the proof.

Evidently from this proposition,  $H(\Delta)$  has infinite index in  $D^*$  provided only that  $\Delta$  is a proper subring of  $D$  not contained in the centre such that for some choice of  $d$  in  $\Delta$ ,  $d \notin C$ , the division ring  $d' \cap \Delta$  is infinite. Otherwise every  $d \in \Delta$  belongs to a finite division ring. Thus (directly, even without applying Wedderburn's theorem)  $\Delta$  is an algebraic division algebra over the finite field  $Z = \Delta \cap \Delta'$ . Then Jacobson's theorem (9, Theorem 8) implies that  $\Delta = Z$ , that is,  $\Delta$  is commutative, so that  $d' \cap \Delta = \Delta$  for each  $d \in \Delta$ . Consequently  $\Delta$  must be a finite field of necessarily finite degree  $n > 1$  over the subfield  $\Delta \cap C$ . The first lemma now may be applied to complete the proof of the next theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $D$  be a non-commutative division ring, and  $\Delta$  a proper division subring not contained in the centre. Then there exist infinitely many distinct subrings  $x\Delta x^{-1}$ .*

**3. Applications.** Let  $D$  be a non-commutative division ring, and let  $\Delta$  and  $A$  be division subrings such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\Delta$  does not contain  $A$ .
- (2)  $A'$  does not contain  $\Delta$ .

When  $A$  is infinite, (2) in conjunction with Proposition 2 implies that  $\Delta$  has infinitely many isomorphisms of the form  $a\Delta a^{-1}$  with  $a \in A$ . Then it is interesting to ask: Are there infinitely many different subrings  $a\Delta a^{-1}$  with  $a \in A$ ? Theorem 3 shows that the answer to this question is yes in case  $A$  contains  $\Delta$  properly, inasmuch as (2) implies that  $\Delta$  is not contained in the centre of  $A$ . This is a special case of (I) of the next corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $D$  be a non-commutative division ring, and let  $\Delta$  and  $A$  be division subrings such that (1) and (2) above hold. Then  $D$  contains infinitely many different subrings of the form  $a\Delta a^{-1}$  with  $a \in A$ , provided any one of the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (I)  $\Delta \cap A$  is not contained in the centre of  $A$ .
- (II)  $Z \cap A$  is infinite, where  $Z$  is the centre of  $\Delta$ .
- (III)  $D$  has characteristic 0.
- (IV)  $D$  is algebraic over the prime subfield.

*Proof.* (I) Let  $B = \Delta \cap A$ , and let  $H_B = H(B) \cap A^*$ ,  $H_\Delta = H(\Delta) \cap A^*$ . It is easily verified that  $H_B \supseteq H_\Delta$ . Since  $B \neq A$ , and since  $B$  is not contained in the centre of  $A$ ,  $H_B$ , and *a fortiori*  $H_\Delta$ , has infinite index in  $A^*$ . This completes the proof of (I).

(II) Since  $A' \cap \Delta \neq \Delta$ , and since  $\Delta \cap A \neq A$ , one can choose  $d \in \Delta$ ,  $d \notin A'$ , and  $v \in A$ ,  $v \notin \Delta$  such that  $vd \neq dv$ . Now  $d' \cap \Delta \cap A$  contains  $Z \cap A$ . By Proposition 4 the sequence  $\{(v + c)\Delta(v + c)^{-1}\}$  is infinite,  $c \in Z \cap A$ . This completes the proof of (II).

(III)-(IV). Let  $P$  denote the prime subfield of  $D$ . If  $D$  has characteristic 0, then  $P$  is infinite, and so is  $Z \cap A$ . Hence (II) applies. If  $D$  is algebraic over  $P$ , then Jacobson's theorem shows that  $P$  must be infinite.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $D$  be a non-commutative division ring, and let  $F$  be a division subring whose centralizer  $F'$  is not a field. Let  $d$  be any element of  $F'$  not contained in its centre. Let  $R$  be any division subring of  $F$ , and let  $\Delta = R\langle d \rangle$  denote the division subring generated by  $R$  and  $d$ . Then there exist infinitely many different  $x\Delta x^{-1}$  with  $x \in F'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = F'$ . It is clear that (1) of Corollary 1 holds inasmuch as  $\Delta$  is contained in the centralizer  $d'$  of  $d$ , whereas  $A$  is not. Moreover, since  $d \in \Delta \cap A$ , it follows that  $\Delta \cap A$  is not contained in the centre of  $A$ . Thus (I) and (2) of Corollary 1 hold, so that its conclusion applies.

It is an interesting consequence of this result that the extension  $D/F$  of the corollary possesses infinitely many intermediate division rings  $x\Delta x^{-1}$  in the case  $R = F$ . That the hypothesis on  $F'$  is necessary in some cases for this situation to arise can be seen as follows: Let  $F$  be a division subring of  $D$  containing  $C$ , and having finite dimension over  $C$ . It is known (10, p. 165) that there is a 1 - 1 correspondence between intermediate division subrings of  $F/C$  and those of  $D/F'$ , and that  $F'' = F$ . Now suppose that

$F'$  is a field. Then, since  $F \supseteq F'$ ,  $F'$  has finite degree over  $C$ . If further we assume that  $F'/C$  is separable, then this extension contains only finitely many intermediate fields. Then  $D/F$  contains only finitely many intermediate division rings.

The Cartan-Brauer-Hua theorem has been generalized extensively to simple and other rings **(1, 2, 6, 14)**. I have obtained an analogue of Theorem 3 for these rings, and this has been announced in **(5)**. The new results in **(5)** neither depend upon, nor contain, the results of the present paper.

## REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Invariant submodules of simple rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 7 (1956), 987–989.
2. W. E. Baxter, *Lie simplicity of a special class of associative rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 7 (1956), 855–863.
3. R. Brauer, *On a theorem of H. Cartan*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55 (1949), 619–620.
4. H. Cartan, *Théorie de Galois pour les corps non commutatifs*, Ann. Ecole Norm. Sup., 64 (1947), 59–77.
5. C. C. Faith, *Concerning non-invariant submodules of simple, matrix, and local matrix rings*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1958), 372.
6. A. Hattori and G. Toyoda, *On the multiplicative group of simple algebras*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 4 (1954), 262–265.
7. I. N. Herstein, *Conjugates in division rings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 7 (1956), 1021–1022.
8. L. K. Hua, *Some properties of a sfield*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 35 (1949), 533–537.
9. N. Jacobson, *Structure theory for algebraic algebras of bounded degrees*, Ann. Math., 46 (1945), 695–707.
10. ———, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, 37 (New York, 1956).
11. F. Kasch, *Eine Bemerkung ueber innere Automorphismen*, Math. J. Okayama University, 6, (1957), 131–133.
12. T. Nagahara, *On generating elements of Galois extensions of division rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 6 (1957), 182.
13. N. Nobusawa, *On compact Galois groups of division rings*, Osaka Math. J., 8 (1956), 43–50.
14. A. Rosenberg, *The Cartan-Brauer-Hua theorem for matrix and local matrix rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 7 (1956), 891–898.
15. W. R. Scott, *On the multiplicative group of a division ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8 (1957), 305.
16. H. Tominaga, *A note on conjugates*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 7 (1957), 75–76.
17. J. H. M. Wedderburn, *A theorem on finite algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 6 (1905), 349–352.

*The Pennsylvania State University*