# ULC PROPERTIES IN NEIGHBOURHOODS OF EMBEDDED SURFACES AND GURVES IN $E^{3}$ 
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1. Introduction. In this paper we derive those properties of topologically embedded curves and surfaces in $E^{3}$ which can be obtained without use of Bing's Side Approximation Theorem [3] for surfaces. The local homology and homotopy properties studied classically play the largest role in the paper, but the final geometrization of some of the results requires theorems such as the PL Schoenflies Theorem, Dehn's Lemma, the Loop Theorem, the Sphere Theorem, and Waldhausen's generalization of the Loop Theorem (n.b., one application of Waldhausen's theorem (in (3.4)) requires use of the nontrivial normal subgroup in the statement of that theorem). Our major goals are the following:
(1) Lemmas (3.2) and (3.3), which we use together with Dehn's Lemma and related theorems in another paper [14] to give a new proof of the Side Approximation Theorem.
(2) A catalogue in Section 2 of the essential $U L C$ properties that we have used or seen applied in a study of topologically embedded surfaces in $E^{3}$ (including new proofs of a number of theorems proved originally by means of the Side Approximation Theorem).
(3) A new proof of the fact that every topologically embedded disk in $E^{3}$ contains numerous tame arcs and tame finite graphs (Sections 2, 3; cf. [2]).
(4) A $1-U L C$ version of the well-known Hosay-Lininger Theorem (Section 6; cf. [23; 25; 15]).
(5) Global and relative $1-U L C$ approximation theorems for surfaces in $E^{3}$.

The paper is not devoted, however, only to new proofs of old theorems. We also establish the following new theorems:
(6) A finite graph $G$ in $E^{3}$ is tame if it has a singular regular neighbourhood in $E^{3}$.
(7) An $(n-1)$-sphere $S$ in $S^{n}$ has $1-U L C$ complement if $S$ is locally spherical or locally capped.
(8) A 2 -sphere $S$ in $E^{3}$ is tame if $S$ is locally spherical or locally capped.

Result (3) is a consequence of (6) and (2). Another consequence of (6) is a conjecture communicated to us by O. G. Harrold (cf. [20]):
(9) An $\operatorname{arc} A$ in $E^{3}$ is tame if $E^{3}-A$ is $1-A L G$ at each point of $A$.

[^0]Result (9) completes a characterization of tame finite graphs begun by McMillan [27]:
(10) A finite graph $G$ in $E^{3}$ is tame if $E^{3}-G$ is $1-F L G$ at each point of $G$.

Boyd and Wright [5] have also announced (9) and (10) but have found curiously that their proof of (9) is valid for simple closed curves and not for arcs. This puts their proof of (10) in jeopardy. Because of the importance of (9) and (10), we have developed and included independent proofs. Nicholson [30] and Detmer [16] have used (9) to obtain characterizations of tame topologically embedded complexes in $E^{3}$. Detmer [16] has extensions of these characterizations to certain subsets of complexes, which subsets need not be topological complexes.

The special case of (6) where $G$ is an arc or simple closed curve settles in the affirmative a conjecture of Gillman [19; Conjecture 4 for $k=1, n=3$ ].
(11) An arc or simple closed curve in $E^{3}$ is tame if it is deformation free.

Result (8) completes, and result (7) generalizes, work begun in papers by Loveland [26] and Eaton [17].

The idea of writing a paper on surfaces in 3-manifolds which explored in some detail those results which can be obtained without the Side Approximation Theorem and emphasized the $1-U L C$ property arose in discussions with Eaton in San Antonio in January of 1970. We draw on Eaton's ideas heavily in the proofs of the results mentioned in (4) and (5). We wish to acknowledge his ideas and influence.

We feel some need to apologize to the reader who seeks in our Sections 2 and 3 , along with [14], the shortest path to the Side Approximation Theorem. We have not included the shortest, most direct proofs that we know for the relevant facts. We have looked, rather, beyond the Side Approximation Theorem to the other main theorems about tame surfaces and tame subsets of surfaces and have chosen those results which lead more directly to these other theorems.

We suggest [9] as a basic reference for the topics discussed. We assume as familiar the notions of Euclidean spaces ( $E^{1}, E^{2}, E^{3}, \ldots$ ), $n$-cells ( $B^{0}, B^{1}, B^{2}, \ldots$ and their homeomorphic images), $n$-spheres $\left(S^{0}=\operatorname{Bd} B^{1}, S^{1}=\operatorname{Bd} B^{2}, \ldots\right.$ and their homeomorphic images), complexes, manifolds, disks (2-cells), arcs (1-cells), finite graphs (1-complexes), crumpled cubes, tamely (and flatly) embedded complexes, general position and cut-and-paste techniques, and some elementary geometric homology and homotopy theory. We also assume the PL Schoenflies Theorem (see [9, p. 277] for references and discussion), Dehn's Lemma [31] (cf. also [9;4.5.1 and Addendum to 4.5.1]), the Loop Theorem [32; 37] (including Waldhausen's generalization [39]), and the Sphere Theorem [31]. In addition, we assume the simpler facts from the homological linking theory (integer coefficient) of simple closed curves in $E^{3}$ (cf. [1, Chapter 15] and [34, §77]). Namely, we use the notation $L(J, K)$ for the linking number of disjoint oriented simple closed curves or loops in $E^{3}$.

We assume the fact that $L(J, K)$ may be calculated, as indicated in [9, Section 4.7], by taking nearby polygonal approximations $J^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime}$ to $J$ and $K$, taking a polyhedral singular oriented surface $D$ in $E^{3}$ bounded by $J^{\prime}$ and in general position with respect to $K^{\prime}$, and counting the algebraic number of intersections of $K^{\prime}$ with $D$. We now list the standard facts of the situation.
1.1. $L(J, K)$ is independent of the choice of $J^{\prime}, K^{\prime}$ and $D$.
1.2. $L(J, K)=L(K, J)$.
1.3. If $J_{1}$ is homologous (integer coefficients) in $E^{3}-K$ to $J_{2}$, then

$$
L\left(J_{1}, K\right)=L\left(J_{2}, K\right)
$$

1.4. If $J$ is an oriented simple closed curve in $E^{3}$, then there is an oriented simple closed curve $K$ in $E^{3}-J$ such that $L(J, K)=1$ (cf. paragraphs 2 and 3 of the proof of (3.4)).
1.5. If $J$ and $K$ are disjoint oriented simple closed curves in $E^{3}$ and $L(J, K)=$ 0 , then $J$ is nullhomologous in $E^{3}-K$.
1.6. If $D$ is a disk in $E^{3}$ (not necessarily polyhedral) and $p \in \operatorname{Int} D$, then there is a simple closed curve $J$ in $\left(E^{3}-D\right) \cup\{p\}$ for which $L(J, \operatorname{Bd} D) \neq 0$. Furthermore, if $J$ is any such loop and $J_{1}$ is any loop in $E^{3}-\operatorname{Bd} D$, then $J_{1}$ is homologous in $E^{3}-\operatorname{Bd} D$ to some multiple of $J$. In particular, $|L(J, \operatorname{Bd} D)|=1$ by (1.4).

We use $\rho$ for the Euclidean metric, Diam for diameter, Bd for boundary (point set or combinatorial), Int and Ext for interior and exterior, $N(X, \epsilon)$ for the (open) $\epsilon$-neighbourhood of $X$ in $E^{m}$, where $\epsilon>0$ is a constant or $\epsilon: X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a continuous function, depending on context. An $\epsilon$-set has diameter less than $\epsilon$; an $\epsilon$-map or homeomorphism moves no point $x$ as far as $\epsilon(x)$ (unless $\epsilon(x)=0$ ); we also use ( $\leqq \epsilon$ )-sets and ( $\geqq \epsilon$ )-sets with obvious interpretations. The symbol Cl denotes closure.

Central to our development are the ulc and $U L C$ properties. The standard references to these properties are [42, Chapter 3, Section 5 and Chapter X] and [18]. For the reader's convenience we define these properties here. Let coefficients $G=\mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ (integers or integers $\bmod 2$ ) be fixed. Let $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ be subsets of $E^{m}$ (some $m$ ). Let $i$ be a nonnegative integer. We say that $A$ is $i-l c$ (i.e., $i-l c$ with respect to $G$ ) in $A^{\prime}$ at $x \in E^{m}$ if, for each $\epsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that each $i$-cycle (with $G$ coefficients) in $N(x, \delta) \cap A$ bounds homologically in $N(x, \epsilon) \cap A^{\prime}$. If $A$ is $i-l c$ in $A^{\prime}$ at each point $x \in \mathrm{Cl} A$, then we say that $A$ is $i-l c$ in $A^{\prime}$. If $A$ is $i-l c$ in $A^{\prime}$ and, for each $\epsilon>0$, the corresponding $\delta$ may be chosen independently of $x \in \mathrm{Cl} A$, then we say that $A$ is $i-u l c$ in $A^{\prime}$. If $A$ is $i-l c$ in $A^{\prime}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$, then we say that $A$ is $l c^{n}$ in $A^{\prime}$. If $A$ is $i-u l c$ in $A^{\prime}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$, then we say that $A$ is $u l c^{n}$ in $A^{\prime}$. We say that $A$ is $i-L C$ in $A^{\prime}$ at $x \in E^{m}$ if, for each $\epsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that each map $f: S^{i} \rightarrow N(x, \delta) \cap A$ extends to a map $f^{*}: B^{i+1} \rightarrow N(x, \epsilon) \cap A^{\prime}$. We leave it to the reader to define $i-U L C, L C^{n}$, and $U L C^{n}$. If $A$ is $u c^{n}$ or $U L C^{n}$ in itself (i.e., $A^{\prime}=A$ ), then we say simply that $A$ is $u l c^{n}$ or $U L C^{n}$.
2. ULC properties in $E^{3}$. We collect here the local homology (ulc) and local homotopy ( $U L C$ ) properties we have found useful in a study of embedded surfaces. (The $2-u l c$ and $2-U L C$ properties are included only for completeness, however; we have never seen them used to real advantage.) The more important results appear in a list for reference at the beginning of this section. Each is labeled by the subsection number (2A, 2B, or 2 C ) in which its proof appears. Related but less important results, lemmas, and discussions appear in the subsections themselves. We highly recommend that most readers refer only to the list of theorems and not to the proofs until a second or third reading of the paper.

We feel that Theorems (2C.6) and (2C.7) and their proofs are the highlights of this section. The former of these theorems is proved in a most roundabout way in the literature (cf. [13]) and both were proved originally in ways that depended in a very essential way on the Side Approximation Theorem [13; 8, Theorem 4.2].

Throughout this section, $S$ will denote a 2 -sphere in $E^{3}, U$ and $V$ the components of $E^{3}-S$.

2A. Local homology properties.
The set $U$ is ulc ${ }^{2}\left(\mathbf{Z}\right.$ or $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ coefficients $)$; hence, in particular, $U$ is $U L C^{0}=u l c^{0}$.
2B. Global preliminaries, unicoherence, separation.
2B.1. The set $\mathrm{Cl} U$ is an absolute neighbourhood retract, locally contractible, and locally connected.

2B.2. $H_{1}(U)=H_{1}(\mathrm{Cl} U)=\pi_{1}(\mathrm{Cl} U)=1\left(\mathbf{Z}\right.$ or $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ coefficients for homology $)$.
2B.3. The sets $U$ and $\mathrm{Cl} U$ are unicoherent. Equivalently, if $p, q \in U$ (or $p, q \in \mathrm{Cl} U)$ and $p$ and $q$ are separated in $U($ or $\mathrm{Cl} U)$ by a set $X$, then some component of $X$ separates $p$ from $q$ in $U$ (or in $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ).

2B.4. If $\epsilon>0$, then there is $a \delta>0$ such that no two sets in $U($ or $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) of diameter $\geqq \epsilon$ are separated in $U($ or $\mathrm{Cl} U)$ by a $\delta$-set.

2C. LOCAL HOMOTOPY PROPERTIES.
2C.1. Homotopies of maps in crumpled cubes. Suppose $\epsilon>0$. Then there is a $\delta>0$ such that, for each topological space $X$, maps $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ and $g: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ which are $\delta$-homotopic in $E^{3}$ are $\epsilon$-homotopic in $\mathrm{Cl} U$.

2C.2. Adjustments of maps in $U L C$ sets. Suppose $C \subset E^{3}$ and $C$ is $U L C^{n}$ ( $n$ a nonnegative integer). Suppose $P$ is an $(n+1)$-complex, $B$ is a closed subset of $P$, and $f: P \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} C$ is a map. Then, for each $\epsilon>0$, there is a map $f^{*}: P \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} C$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{*}|B=f| B, \\
& f^{*}(P-B) \subset C, \text { and } \\
& \rho\left(f^{*}(x), f(x)\right)<\epsilon, \text { for each } x \in P .
\end{aligned}
$$

2C.3. Expansions of $U L C$ sets. If $C \subset C^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{Cl} C \subset E^{3}$ and $C$ is $U L C^{n}$, then $C^{\prime}$ is $U L C^{n}$.

2C.4. Intersections of $U L C$ sets. If $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ are $U L C^{n}$ sets in $E^{3}$, each with the same closure $C$ in $E^{3}$, and each is open in $C$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}$ is also ULC ${ }^{n}$.

2C.5. Equivalence among $1-U L C$ properties. If $X$ is a subset of $S$, then the following two properties are equivalent:
(1) $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-X$ is $1-U L C$.
(2) $U$ is $1-U L C$ in $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-X$.

If, in addition, $X$ is compact and has no degenerate component, then the following condition is also equivalent to the first two:
(3) $U$ is $1-U L C$ in $E^{3}-X$.

2C.6. If $X$ is a compact subset of $S$ and $X$ lies on some tame 2-sphere $S^{\prime}$ in $E^{3}$, then $E^{3}-S$ is $1-U L C$ in $E^{3}-X$.

Remark. Theorem (2C.6) is a special case of the following theorem which we shall not prove in this section. (But cf. (4.3).)

2C. $6^{\prime}$. Invariance of the $1-U L C$ property. Suppose $X$ is a compact subset of $S$ and both $U$ and $V$ are $1-U L C$ in $E^{3}-X$. Then, if $S^{\prime}$ is another 2 -sphere in $E^{3}$ which contains $X$, Int $S^{\prime}$ and Ext $S^{\prime}$ are $1-U L C$ in $E^{3}-X$.

2C.7. Existence of $U L C$ sets in $\mathrm{Cl} U$.
(1) If $U \subset C \subset \mathrm{Cl} U$, then $C$ is $0-U L C\left(=U L C^{0}\right)$.
(2) There is a 0-dimensional $F_{\sigma}$-set $F$ in $S$ such that $U \cup F$ is $U L C^{1}$.
(3) There is a 0-dimensional $G_{\delta}$-set $G$ in $S$ such that $U \cup G$ is $U L C^{2}$.

Addendum to 2C.7.
(2') If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ is a sequence of compact sets in $S$ and, for each $i,(\mathrm{Cl} U)-$ $X_{i}$ is $1-U L C$, then we may require that $F \subset G \subset S-\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}$.
(3') If $U \subset C \subset \mathrm{Cl} U$ and $C$ is open in $\mathrm{Cl} U$, then $C$ is $2-U L C$.
2A. (Continued). That $U$ is $u l c^{2}$ is classical (cf. [42, p. 66 for $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ coefficients, Chapter $X$ in general]).

## 2A.1. Corollary. Each point of $S$ is arcwise accessible from $U$.

Proof. We leave this as on exercise.
2B. (Continued).
Proof of (2B.1). That $S$ is an absolute neighborhood retract (ANR) is a well-known consequence of the fact that $S$ can be embedded as a neighborhood retract of the absolute retract $E^{3}$ (use the standard embedding of the 2 -sphere in $E^{3}$; cf., for example, [36, Exercises C, pp. 56-57]). But it is an easy exercise to show that a closed subset of $E^{n}$ is an ANR if its boundary in $E^{n}$ is an ANR. Hence $\mathrm{Cl} U$ is an ANR. A second easy exercise shows that a neighborhood
retract of a locally contractible and locally connected space is in turn locally contractible and locally connected.

Proof of (2B.2). The result that $H_{1}(U)=1$ is classical (cf. [42, p. 61 for $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ coefficients]). In order to see that $H_{1}(\mathrm{Cl} U)=\pi_{1}(\mathrm{Cl} U)=1$, take any family $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}$ of loops in $\mathrm{Cl} U$ bounding singular disks $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}$ in $E^{3}$. After slight adjustment by a homotopy that keeps $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}$ in $\mathrm{Cl} U$ (cf. (2C.2.1)), we find that we may assume that $S \not \subset \cup_{i=1}^{k} D_{i}$. Let $p \in S-\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} D_{i}$. Then $S-\{p\}$ is an absolute retract (AR). Thus there is a map $f: \cup D_{i} \rightarrow S-\{p\}$ that does not move $\cup D_{i} \cap S$. Define $g: \cup D_{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ by $g(x)=f(x)$ for $x \notin \mathrm{Cl} U$ and $g(x)=x$ for $x \in \mathrm{Cl} U$. Then $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}$ bound the singular disks $g\left(D_{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(D_{k}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Cl} U$.

Proof of (2B.3). The equivalence in locally connected spaces of unicoherence and the second condition stated in (2B.3) is well-known (cf. [42, p. 51]) and an easy exercise. If $U$ or $\mathrm{Cl} U$ were not unicoherent, then one could construct an essential map of the relevant space onto the circle $S^{1}$ (cf. [41, p. 227]). But this is clearly impossible because of (2B.2).

Proof of (2B.4). We need one lemma.
2B.4.1. Lemma. If $P$ is an open subset of $\mathrm{Cl} U$, then there is an open subset $Q$ of $P$ such that
(1) $Q \cap S=P \cap S$,
(2) $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-Q$ is connected, and
(3) $U-Q$ is connected.

Proof. Since $U$ is arcwise connected, there is a connected finite graph $G_{1}$ in $U$ such that $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-P \subset N\left(G_{1}, 1\right)$. Proceeding inductively, we find that there is a connected finite graph $G_{i}$ in $U$ such that $G_{i-1} \subset G_{i}$ and ( $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) $P \subset N\left(G_{i}, 1 / i\right)$ for each $i$. Since $U$ is $0-U L C$ (2A), we may require further that $G_{i}-G_{i-1} \subset N((\mathrm{Cl} U)-P, 1 / i)$. Let $X=[(\mathrm{Cl} U)-P] \cup\left(\cup G_{i}\right)$. Then $X$ is closed and $Q=(\mathrm{Cl} U)-X$ satisfies the requirements of (2B.4.1).

We now complete the proof of (2B.4). There is by the lemma an open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $\mathrm{Cl} U$ in $\mathrm{Cl} U$ such that, for each $\alpha$, both $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-U_{\alpha}$ and $U-U_{\alpha}$ are connected and such that, for each $\alpha$, Diam $U_{\alpha}<\epsilon$. There is, by Lebesgue's theorem, a positive number $\delta$ such that each $\delta$-set in $\mathrm{Cl} U$ lies in some $U_{\alpha}$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two sets in $U$ (or $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) each of diameter $\geqq \epsilon$ and $Z$ a $\delta$-set in $U-(X \cup Y)$ (or in $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-(X \cup Y)$ ). Then $Z \subset U_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha$. But each of $X$ and $Y$ intersects the connected subset $U-U_{\alpha}\left(\right.$ or $\left.(\mathrm{Cl} U)-U_{\alpha}\right)$ of $U-Z$ (or of ( $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) $-Z$ ) since $U_{\alpha}$ is an $\epsilon$-set. Therefore $Z$ does not separate $X$ and $Y$ in $U$ (or in $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ).

2C. (Continued).
Proof of (2C.1). Since $S$ is an absolute neighborhood retract, there is a neighborhood $N$ of $S$ in $\mathrm{Cl} V$ and an $\epsilon / 2$-retraction $R: N \rightarrow S$. Choose
$\delta, 0<\delta<\epsilon / 2$, such that $N(S, \delta) \subset N \subset \mathrm{Cl} U$. If, then, $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ and $g: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ are $\delta$-homotopic maps in $E^{3}$, say by $H: X \times 1 \rightarrow E^{3}, H: f \cong g$, we examine the map $H^{\prime}: X \times I \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ defined by

$$
H^{\prime}(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H(x, t) \text { if } H(x, t) \in \mathrm{Cl} U \\
R H(x, t) \text { if } H(x, t) \notin \mathrm{Cl} U
\end{array}\right.
$$

One checks immediately that $H^{\prime}$ is an $\epsilon$-homotopy from $f$ to $g$ in $\mathrm{Cl} U$.
Proof of (2C.2). This is a corollary to [18, Theorem 2]. For completeness and to emphasize the elementary nature of the result, we include a detailed proof for the case $n=1$. Choose $\delta_{1}>0$ such that $\delta_{1}$-loops in $C$ bound singular $\epsilon / 3$-disks in $C$ ( $C$ is $1-U L C$ ). Choose $\delta_{0}>0$ such that each two points of $C$ which lie within $\delta_{0}$ of each other lie on a singular $\delta_{1} / 2-\operatorname{arc}$ in $C(C$ is $0-U L C)$. Triangulate the set $P-B$ with mesh approaching 0 near $B$ such that the image under $f$ of each simplex has diameter less than $\delta_{0} / 3$. Enumerate the vertices of $P-B: v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots$ Define $f^{*}\left(v_{1}\right) \in C$ such that $\rho\left(f\left(v_{i}\right), f^{*}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)<$ $\min \left(\delta_{0} / 3,1 / i\right)$. Enumerate the edges: $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots$ If $e_{k}$ is a 1 -simplex of $P-B$ with vertices $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, define $f^{*}: e_{k} \rightarrow C$ so as to extend $f^{*} \mid\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\}$ and so that $\operatorname{Diam} f^{*}\left(e_{k}\right)<\delta_{1} / 2$. This is possible since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(f^{*}\left(v_{i}\right), f^{*}\left(v_{j}\right)\right) \leqq & \rho\left(f^{*}\left(v_{i}\right), f\left(v_{i}\right)\right)+\rho\left(f\left(v_{i}\right), f\left(v_{j}\right)\right) \\
& +\rho\left(f\left(v_{j}\right), f^{*}\left(v_{j}\right)\right) \\
< & \delta_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Require further that $\operatorname{Diam} f^{*}\left(e_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Enumerate the 2 -simplexes of $P-B: t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$ If $t_{m}$ is a 2 -simplex of $P-B$ with edges $e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{k}$, define $f^{*}: t_{m} \rightarrow C$ so as to extend $f^{*} \mid e_{i} \cup e_{j} \cup e_{k}$, so that $\operatorname{Diam} f^{*}\left(t_{m}\right)<\epsilon / 3$, and so that $\operatorname{Diam} f^{*}\left(t_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Define $f^{*}|B=f| B$. It is an easy matter to check that $f^{*}$, so defined, satisfies the requirements of the theorem.

2C.2.1. Corollary. If $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ is a loop that bounds a singular disk $D: B^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$, then $f$ bounds a singular disk $D^{\prime}: B^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ arbitrarily close to $D$ such that $\left(D^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(S \cap \operatorname{Int} B^{2}\right)$ is 0 -dimensional.

Proof. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of triangulations of $B^{2}$ with mesh going to zero. The image under $D$ of that part of the 1 -skeleton of $G_{1}$ which lies in Int $B^{2}$ may be moved into $U$ by (2C.2) since $U$ is $0-U L C$ (2A). This adjustment may be realized by a slight homotopy of $D$ in $E^{3}$ by the homotopy extension property (cf. [24, p. 13]). The homotopy, if small enough, may be retracted into $\mathrm{Cl} U$ since $\mathrm{Cl} U$ is an ANR (2B.1). Sequential application of this procedure pulls the images under $D$ of the 1 -skeletons of $G_{2}, G_{3}, \ldots$ into $U$ as well. The map $D^{\prime}$ may be taken as the limiting map.

Proof of (2C.3). This is essentially [18, Theorem 3]. Suppose $\epsilon>0$ and $0 \leqq k \leqq n$ given. Let $\delta>0$ be chosen such that any map $f: S^{k} \rightarrow C$ of $S^{k}$ into a $\delta$-set in $C$ extends to a map $g: B^{k+1} \rightarrow C$ taking $B^{k+1}$ into an $\epsilon$-set in $C$. Let $f_{0}$ : $S^{k} \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ be a map into a $\delta$-set in $C^{\prime}$. Extend $f_{0}$ over a closed collar neighborhood $N$
of $S^{k}$ in $B^{k+1}$. Use (2C.2) with $P=N$ and $B=S^{k}$ to adjust $f_{0} \mid N$ so as to take $N-S^{k}$ into $C$. If one uses enough care in the application of (2C.2), then $f_{0} \mid(\operatorname{Bd} N)-S^{k}$ will be a map of a $k$-sphere into a $\delta$-subset of $C$. Thus $f_{0}$ may be extended over the remainder of $B^{k+1}$ to take $B^{k+1}$ into a small subset of $C \cup f_{0}\left(S^{k}\right) \subset C^{\prime}$. Result (2C.3) follows.

Proof of (2C.4). This is essentially [10, Lemma 2.3]. Suppose $\epsilon>0$ and $0 \leqq k \leqq n$ given. Since $C_{1}$ is $k-U L C$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that each map $f$ taking $S^{k}$ into a $\delta$-subset of $C_{1}$ extends to a map $f^{*}$ taking $B^{k+1}$ into an $\epsilon$-subset of $C_{1}$. Let $f: S^{k} \rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}$ be a map into a $\delta$-subset of $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}$, and $f_{1}: B^{k+1} \rightarrow C_{1}$ an extension of $f$ with $\operatorname{Diam} f_{1}\left(B^{k+1}\right)<\epsilon$. We now define positive numbers $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots$ and maps $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots$ inductively as follows. Let

$$
0<\epsilon_{1}<(1 / 4) \cdot \min \left\{\epsilon-\operatorname{Diam} f_{1}\left(B^{k+1}\right), \rho\left(f_{1}\left(B^{k+1}\right), C-C_{1}\right)\right\} .
$$

Let $f_{2}: B^{k+1} \rightarrow C-C_{2}$ be such that $f_{2}\left|S^{k}=f_{1}\right| S^{k}$ and $\rho\left(f_{1}(p), f_{2}(p)\right)<\epsilon_{1}$ for each $p \in B^{k+1}$. Such a map exists by (2C.2). For $i>1$, let $\epsilon_{i}$ be in the range $0<\epsilon_{i}<(1 / 4) \cdot \min \left\{\epsilon_{i-1}, \rho\left[f_{i}\left(B^{k+1}\right), C-C_{i}\right]\right\}$. Let $f_{i+1}: B^{k+1} \rightarrow C-C_{i+1}$ be such that $f_{i+1}\left|S^{k}=f_{i}\right| S^{k}$ and $\rho\left(f_{i}(p), f_{i+1}(p)\right)<\epsilon_{i}$ for each $p \in B^{k+1}$. Again, at each stage such a map exists by (2C.2).

Because $C$ is a complete space, it follows that $f^{*}=\lim f_{i}$ exists, is continuous, extends $f$, and takes $B^{k+1}$ into an $\epsilon$-subset of $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}$. We conclude that $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}$ is $k-U L C(0 \leqq k \leqq n)$, hence that $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}$ is $U L C^{n}$.

Proof of (2C.5). We need one lemma and a corollary to that lemma.
2C.5.1. Lemma (Burgess [8, Lemma 1]). If $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}$ are disjoint disks in $E^{3}$ and $f$ is a map of a disk $K$ into $E^{3}$ such that $f(\operatorname{Bd} K) \subset E^{3}-\cup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}$, then there is a map $g$ of $K$ into $E^{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g|\mathrm{Bd} K=f| \operatorname{Bd} K, \\
& g(K) \subset f(K) \cup \cup_{i=1}^{n} \text { Int } D_{i}, \text { and } \\
& g(K)-\cup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} \text { is connected. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Tietze's Extension Theorem (a disk is an absolute retract). In rough outline, one chooses disjoint closed subsets of $K$ whose images under $f$ are to be retracted into $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}$, respectively, in order to define $g$.

## 2C.5.2. Corollary. $\mathrm{Cl} U$ is $1-U L C$.

Proof. Suppose $\epsilon>0$ given. Let $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ be a collection of $\epsilon / 3$-disks in $S$ such that $S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n}$ Int $D_{i}$. Let $\delta>0$ be such that each $\delta$-subset of $S$ lies in some Int $D_{i}$. Let $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ be a $\delta$-loop. Since $U$ is $0-U L C$, it follows from (2C.2) that $f$ may be closely approximated by a map $g: S^{1} \rightarrow U$. By (2C.1), we may assume that $f$ and $g$ are homotopic under a very small homotopy in $\mathrm{Cl} U$. That is, we lose no generality in assuming that $f: S^{1} \rightarrow U$. Let $f^{*}: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}$ be a singular $\delta$-disk bounded by $f$. Then $f^{*}\left(B^{2}\right) \cap S \subset \operatorname{Int} D_{i}$
for some $i$. By (2C.5.1), there is a map $g: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left|S^{1}=f^{*}\right| S^{1}=f \mid S^{1}, \\
& g\left(B^{2}\right) \subset f^{*}\left(B^{2}\right) \cup \text { Int } D_{i}, \text { and } \\
& g\left(B^{2}\right)-D_{i} \text { is connected. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $g\left(B^{2}\right) \subset \mathrm{Cl} U$ and $\operatorname{Diam} g\left(B^{2}\right)<\delta+\epsilon / 3$. We conclude that $\mathrm{Cl} U$ is $1-U L C$.

We now complete the proof of (2C.5). Clearly (1) implies (2), and (2) implies (3).

We now show that (2) implies (1). Suppose (2) satisfied and suppose $\epsilon>0$ given. By (2C.5.2), there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\delta$-loops in $\mathrm{Cl} U$ bound singular $\epsilon$-disks in $\mathrm{Cl} U$. Let $f: S^{1} \rightarrow(\mathrm{Cl} U)-X$ be a map into a $\delta$-set. Let $f^{*}: B^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} U$ be a singular $\epsilon$-disk bounded by $f$. By (2C.2.1), we may assume that $\left[\left(f^{*}\right)^{-1}(S)\right] \cap \operatorname{Int} B^{2}$ is a 0 -dimensional subset of $U$. Let $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots$ be a null-sequence of very small disjoint disks in Int $B^{2}$ such that $\left(f^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(S \cap \operatorname{Int} B^{2}\right) \subset \cup \operatorname{Int} D_{i}$. The loops $f^{*}\left|\operatorname{Bd} D_{1}, f^{*}\right| \operatorname{Bd} D_{2}, \ldots$ form in turn a null sequence of very small loops in $U$. By (2), these bound a null sequence of small singular disks $g_{i}: D_{i} \rightarrow(\mathrm{Cl} U)-X$. Then

$$
g=\left[f \mid\left(B^{2}-\cup D_{i}\right)\right] \cup \cup g_{i}: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}
$$

is a map from $B^{2}$ into a small subset of $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-X$; and if $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots$ and the singular disks $g_{i}\left(D_{i}\right)$ are kept sufficiently small, then Diam $g\left(B^{2}\right)<\epsilon$. We conclude that (1) is satisfied.

Now assume the additional conditions on $X$ and suppose (3) satisfied. Let $X_{i}$ be the union of components of $X$ having diameter at least $1 / i(i=1,2, \ldots)$. Then $X_{i}$ is a closed set satisfying the hypotheses for (3). Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Making $\epsilon$ smaller if necessary, we may assume $\epsilon<1 / i$. Choose $\delta_{1}>0$ such that $\delta_{1}$-subsets of $S$ lie in $\epsilon / 2$-disks in $S$ and $\delta>0$ such that $\delta$-loops in $U$ bound singular $\delta_{1}$-disks in $E^{3}-X_{i}$. Let $f: S^{1} \rightarrow U$ be a $\delta$-loop,
$F: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}-X_{i}$ a singular $\delta_{1}$-disk in $E^{3}-X_{i}$ bounded by $f$.
Then $F\left(B^{2}\right) \cap S$ lies in the interior of an $\epsilon / 2$-disk $E$ in $S$. Since $X_{i}$ has no component of diameter less than $1 / i$, Int $E-X_{i}$ is simply connected (though not necessarily connected). Thus $f\left(B^{2}\right) \cap S$ may be covered by finitely many disjoint disks $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}$ in $E-X_{i}$. By (2C.5.1), there is a map $g: B^{2} \rightarrow F\left(B^{2}\right) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}$ such that $g \mid \operatorname{Bd} B^{2}=f$ and $g\left(B^{2}\right)-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}$ is connected. It follows that $g\left(B^{2}\right) \subset(\mathrm{Cl} U)-X_{i}$ and that Diam $g\left(B^{2}\right) \leqq$ $\epsilon / 2+\delta_{1}$. We conclude that $U$ is $1-U L C$ in (Cl $U$ ) $-X_{i}$. By (2A) and (2C.3), $\mathrm{Cl} U-X_{i}$ is $0-U L C$. By the equivalence of (1) and (2), ( $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) $-X_{i}$ is $1-U L C$, hence $U L C^{1}$. By (2C.4), $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-X=\bigcap_{i=1}\left((\mathrm{Cl} U)-X_{i}\right)$ is $U L C^{1}$. Thus (3) implies (1), and the proof is complete.

This is the natural point to insert some material for use in Section 6. This material is a simple elaboration of the proof that (3) implies (1) in the theorem just completed.

Suppose $\delta>0$. We define
$\mathscr{E}(S, \delta)=\{\epsilon>0 \mid \delta$-sets in $S$ lie in simply connected sets in $S$ of diameter $\leqq \epsilon\}$. $\epsilon(s, \delta)=\inf \mathscr{E}(S, \delta)$.
2C.5.3. The number $\epsilon(S, \delta)$ is an element of the set $\mathscr{E}(S, \delta)$.
Proof. Suppose $X$ is a $\delta$-set in $S$. Then $X$ lies in the interior of a compact $\delta$-set $X_{0}$ in $S$ such that $\mathrm{Bd} X_{0}$ is a union of finitely many disjoint simple closed curves. For each $i$, let $U_{i}$ be a simply connected subset of $S$ which contains $X_{0}$ and has diameter less than $\epsilon(S, \delta)+1 / i$. Let $V_{i}$ be the union of those components of $S-X_{0}$ which lie entirely in $U_{i}$. A quick check shows that $X_{0} \cup V_{i}$ is simply connected; indeed it is either $S$ or a union of finitely many disjoint disks in $S$. Since $S-X$ has only finitely many components, some one of the sets $V_{i}$ is repeated for infinitely many $i$. For such a $V_{i}, X_{0} \cup V_{i}$ is a simply connected set of diameter $\leqq \epsilon(S, \delta)$ in $S$ and it contains $X$.

2C.5.4. A $\delta$-loop in $U$ bounds a singular $\epsilon(S, \delta)+\delta$-disk in $\mathrm{Cl} U$.
Proof. The proof has already been carried out in the proof of (2C.5): a $\delta$-loop $L: S^{1} \rightarrow U$ bounds a singular $\delta$-disk $D: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}$; the set $S \cap D\left(B^{2}\right)$ is a $\delta$-set in $S$, hence lies in a simply connected subset $W$ of $S$ of diameter $\leqq \epsilon(S, \delta)$; as the proof of (2C.5.3) shows, $W$ may be taken as a union of finitely many disjoint disks in $S$ (or $W=S$ ). Lemma (2C.5.1) shows how to cut $D$ off on $W$ so as to obtain a singular disk $D^{\prime}: B^{2} \rightarrow\left(D\left(B^{2}\right) \cup W\right) \cap \mathrm{Cl} U$; but Diam $D^{\prime} \leqq$ Diam $D\left(B^{2}\right)+$ Diam $W<\delta+\epsilon(S, \delta)$.

$$
2 \mathrm{C} .5 .5 . ~ \epsilon(S, \delta) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. The proof is clear.
2C.5.6. If $h: S \rightarrow E^{3}$ is an $\alpha$-homeomorphism, then

$$
\epsilon(h(S), \delta) \leqq \epsilon(S, \delta+2 \alpha)+2 \alpha
$$

Proof. Let $X$ be a $\delta$-set in $h(S)$. Then $h^{-1}(X)$ is a $\delta+2 \alpha$-set in $S$, hence lies in a simply connected set $W$ in $S$ of diameter $\leqq \epsilon(S, \delta+2 \alpha)$. Thus $h(W)$ is a simply connected $\epsilon(S, \delta+2 \alpha)+2 \alpha$-set in $h(S)$ which contains $X$.

Proof of (2C.6). The proof follows a sequence of definitions and lemmas, (2C.6.1)-(2C.6.5). The proof is constructed so as to use the fact that $U$ is $u l c^{1}$ with $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ coefficients. An analogous proof could be constructed using $\mathbf{Z}$ coefficients.

2C.6.1. Definition. Suppose $G$ is a group. Then the $(\bmod 2)$-commutator subgroup $G^{1}$ of $G$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by squares of elements of $G$. Inductively, we define $G^{n}=\left(G^{n-1}\right)^{1}$ and $G^{\omega}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G^{n}$.

2C.6.2. If $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism of groups, then $\phi\left(G^{n}\right) \subset H^{n}$ and $\phi\left(G^{\omega}\right) \subset H^{\omega}$.

Proof. Note that $\phi\left(g^{2}\right)=\phi(g)^{2}(g \in G)$. The desired result is an easy inductive consequence of this trivial observation.

2C.6.3. If $G$ is a free group, then $G^{\omega}=1$.
Proof. This result is well-known (cf. [33, first paragraph of the proof of 8.4.16]).

2C.6.4. Definition. If $G$ is the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)$ of a path-connected space $M$, then we write $G^{n}=\pi_{1}{ }^{n}(M)$ and $G^{\omega}=\omega(M)$.

The group $\pi_{1}{ }^{1}(M)$ can be interpreted geometrically as the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(M, Z_{2}\right)$ from homotopy group to homology group. That is, a loop $L: S^{1} \rightarrow M$ represents an element of $\pi_{1}{ }^{1}(M)$ if an only if it bounds a singular (possibly nonorientable) surface in $M$. This follows from the classification theorem for 2 -manifolds (which shows that a square corresponds to a Möbius strip, a commutator to a disk with one handle; cf. [ $\mathbf{2 9}$, Chapter $1, \S 5]$ ). (It is helpful to realize that each commutator in a group is a product of squares.) The groups $\pi_{1}{ }^{n}(M)$ and $\omega(M)$ have similar geometric interpretations.

2C.6.5. The $\omega$-theorem. Suppose $N$ is a connected open subset of $\mathrm{Cl} U, p$ is a point of $N \cap U$, and $F$ is the family of loops in $N \cap U$ based at $p$ and bounding singular disks in $N$. Then each loop in $F$ represents an element of $\omega(N \cap U, p)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that each element $L: S^{1} \rightarrow N \cap U$ of $F$ is homotopic in $N$-Bd $U$ to a product of squares of elements of $F$. This we can do by showing that $L$ bounds a singular 2-manifold $h: E \rightarrow N$ - $\mathrm{Bd} U$ such that $h$ is nullhomotopic in $N$. Indeed, this implies that $L$ is homotopic to a product of squares and commutators (hence of squares) of loops in $h(E)$ by the classification theorem for 2-manifolds. Each such loop is in $F$ because $h$ is nullhomotopic in $N$.

Let $D: B^{2} \rightarrow N$ be a singular disk bounded by $L$. There is a positive distance between $D\left(B^{2}\right)$ and (Cl $U$ ) - N. Although we shall ignore the details of the epsilontics, it is to be understood that all things chosen to be small are to be small with respect to the distance between $D\left(B^{2}\right)$ and $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-N$.

There is a triangulation of $B^{2}$ with 2 -simplexes $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ so small that the image of each under $D$ is very small. By (2A), (2C.2), and the homotopy extension property (cf. the proof of 2 C .2 .1 ), we may assume that the image under $D$ of the 1 -skeleton lies in $U$. Using the fact that $U$ is $1-u l c$ (2A), we replace each $D \mid B_{i}$ by a map $h_{i}: E_{i} \rightarrow N \cap U$ of a compact, connected 2-manifold $E_{i}$ having one boundary component $\operatorname{Bd} B_{i}$ such that $h_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)$ is a very small subset of $N \cap U$ and $h_{i}\left|\operatorname{Bd} E_{i}=D\right| \operatorname{Bd} B_{i}$. We require that $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{k}$ have disjoint interiors so that $E=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} E_{i}$ is a compact, connected 2-manifold with one boundary component $S^{1}=\mathrm{Bd} B^{2}$. We therefore see that $h=$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} h_{i}: E \rightarrow N \cap U$ defines a singular 2-manifold in $N \cap U$. This is the singular 2 -manifold promised in the outline given in the first paragraph of the proof. It remains only to show that $h$ is contractible in $N$.

To this end, let $r_{i}: E_{i} \rightarrow B_{i}$ be a map which fixes $\operatorname{Bd} E_{i}=\operatorname{Bd} B_{i}$. Define $r: E \rightarrow B^{2}$ by $r=\bigcup r_{i}$. Then if appropriate care has been taken, the maps Dr: $E \rightarrow N$ and $h: E \rightarrow N$ will be homotopic in $N$ (by (2C.1)). But $D$ is contractible in $N$, hence also are $\operatorname{Dr}$ and $h$. This completes the proof.

We now complete the proof of (2C.6). We may assume that $S^{\prime}$ is a round 2 -sphere in $E^{3}$. Suppose $\epsilon>0$ given. For each $p \in X$, let $S_{p}$ be a round 2 -sphere in $E^{3}$ which contains $p$ in its interior, has diameter less than $\epsilon$, and intersects $S^{\prime}$ in a single simple closed curve. Let $D_{p}$ be a disk in Int $S_{p}$ such that

$$
p \in \operatorname{Int} D_{p} \subset D_{p} \subset S
$$

Let $U_{p}$ be a spherical neighborhood of $p$ in Int $S_{p}$ such that $U_{p} \cap S \subset$ Int $D_{p}$. Let $\delta, 0<\delta<\epsilon$, be so small that any $\delta$-subset of $E^{3}$ which intersects $X$ lies in some $U_{p}$. We prove now that any $\delta$-loop $J: S^{1} \rightarrow E^{3}-S$ bounds a singular $\epsilon$-disk in $E^{3}-X$.

If the convex hull of $J\left(S^{1}\right)$ misses $X$, then $J$ certainly bounds a singular $\epsilon$-disk in $E^{3}-X$. Otherwise $J\left(S^{1}\right)$ lies in some $U_{p}, p \in X$. Then $J$ bounds a singular disk $D$ in $U_{p}$ and $D\left(B^{2}\right) \cap S \subset D_{p}$. By (2C.5.1), $D\left(B^{2}\right)$ may be cut off on $D_{p}$ in such a manner that the singular disk $E\left(B^{2}\right)$ thus obtained lies in $D\left(B^{2}\right) \cup D_{p}$ and does not intersect both $U$ and $V$, say $E\left(B^{2}\right) \subset \mathrm{Cl} U$. By the $\omega$-theorem (2C.6.5), $J$ represents an element of $\omega(N)$, where $N$ is the component of $U \cap \operatorname{Int} S_{p}$ whose closure contains $E\left(B^{2}\right)$. By the homomorphism lemma (2C.6.2), $J$ represents an element of $\omega$ (Int $S_{p}-X$ ) since $N \subset \operatorname{Int} S_{p}-X$ and inclusions of spaces induce homomorphisms on fundamental groups. But $\pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Int} S_{p}-X\right)$ is clearly a free group since $S_{p}$ and $S^{\prime}$ are round 2 -spheres. Thus $J$ represents the trivial element of $\pi_{1}$ (Int $\left.S_{p}-X\right)$ by (2C.6.3), and therefore $J$ shrinks in the $\epsilon$-subset Int $S_{p}-X$ of $E^{3}-X$. This completes the proof of (2C.6).

2C.6.6. Corollary. If $X$ is a compact subset of $S$ which has no degenerate components and if $X$ lies on a tame 2-sphere in $E^{3}$, then $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-X$ and $(\mathrm{Cl} V)-X$ are $1-U L C$.
Proof. By (2C.6), $U$ is $1-U L C$ in $E^{3}-X$. By (2C.5), ( $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) $-X$ is $1-U L C$. Similarly ( $\mathrm{Cl} V$ ) $-X$ is $1-U L C$.

Proof of (2C.7.(1)). This is a consequence of (2A) and (2C.3).
Proof of (2C.7.(2)) and the inclusion $F \subset S-\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}$ of Addendum (2') to (2C.7). It is easy, using (2C.6), to find a sequence $\mathrm{H}_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots$ of compact subsets of $S$, each satisfying the requirement that $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-H_{i}$ and $(\mathrm{Cl} V)-H_{i}$ be $1-U L C$, such that $S-\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}$ is totally disconnected (a 0 -dimensional $G_{\delta}$-set). One simply intersects small 2 -spheres, that are tame, with $S$ and takes small continua from the intersection for the $H_{i}$ 's. We may also assume that the $X_{i}$ 's appear among the $H_{j}$ 's. By the countable intersection theorem (2C.4) (take $\left.C_{i}=(\mathrm{Cl} U)-H_{i}\right)$, the sets $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}$ and $(\mathrm{Cl} V)-\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}$ are $1-U L C$. Let $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots$ be an enumeration of all
polygonal simple closed curves in $E^{3}-S$ having vertices with rational coordinates. Suppose for concreteness that $J_{i} \subset U$. Let $D_{i}: B^{2} \rightarrow(\mathrm{Cl} U)-$ $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}$ be a singular disk bounded by $J_{i}$ such that
$\operatorname{Diam} D_{i}\left(B^{2}\right) \leqq 2 \cdot \inf \left\{\operatorname{Diam} D\left(B^{2}\right) \mid D: B^{2} \rightarrow(\mathrm{Cl} U)-\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}, \operatorname{Bd} D=J_{i}\right\}$. Let $F_{i}=D_{i}\left(B^{2}\right) \cap S$ and $F=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_{i}$. We suffice ourselves with showing that $U \cup F$ is $1-U L C$. By (2C.5), we need only show that $U$ is $1-U L C$ in $U \cup F$.
Suppose $\epsilon>0$ given. Choose $\delta>0$ such that $\delta$-loops in $U$ bound singular $\epsilon / 2$-disks in (Cl $U$ ) - $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}$. Let $J: B^{2} \rightarrow U$ be a $\delta$-loop. We may assume after a slight homotopy that $J=J_{i}$ for some $i$. Then $J_{i}$ bounds an $\epsilon / 2$-disk in (Cl $U$ ) $-\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}$. Hence Diam $D_{i}\left(B^{2}\right)<\epsilon$. Thus $J$ can be shrunk in an $\epsilon$-subset of $U \cup F_{i} \subset U \cup F$. This completes the proof.

The usefulness of (2C.7) depends in large measure on the following lemmas.
2C.7(2).1. Suppose $G$ is a compact 1-dimensional set in $S, f: G \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a real-valued continuous function, and $F$ is a 0-dimensional $F_{\sigma}$-set in $S$. Then there is a homeomorphism $h: S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(x, h(x)) \leqq f(x) \quad(x \in G), \quad \text { and } \\
& h(x) \notin F \quad(x \in G, f(x)>0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We leave the proof as an exercise.
2C.7(2).2. If $G$ is any graph in $S$ and $\epsilon>0$, then there is an $\epsilon$-homeomorphism $h: S \rightarrow S$ such that $(\mathrm{Cl} U)-h(G)$ and $(\mathrm{Cl} V)-h(G)$ are $1-U L C$ sets.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of (2C.7(2).1), (2C.7) Addendum ( $2^{\prime}$ ), and (2C.3).

Proof of (2C.7(3)), the inclusions $F \subset G \subset S-\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}$ and of (3') from the Addendum.

Proof of (3): This follows in two steps.
2C.7(3).1. If $M$ is a closed, connected, unbounded subset of $E^{3}$, then $E^{3}-M$ is $2-U L C$.

Proof. Let $f: S^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}-M$ be a singular 2-sphere of diameter less than $\epsilon$. Then there is a 3 -cell $B$ in $E^{3}$ of diameter less than $\epsilon$ such that $f\left(S^{2}\right) \subset$ Int $B$. Since $M \cup\left(E^{3}-\right.$ Int $\left.B\right)$ is closed and connected, $\pi_{2}\left[\left(E^{3}-M\right) \cap\right.$ Int $\left.B\right]=$ $\pi_{2}\left\{E^{3}-\left[M \cup\left(E^{3}-\operatorname{Int} B\right)\right]\right\}=0$ by the Sphere Theorem [31]. Hence $f$ is nullhomotopic in the $\epsilon$-set $\left(E^{3}-M\right) \cap \operatorname{Int} B$.

2C.7(3).2. If $C$ is a crumpled cube in $E^{3}$ and $M$ is an open subset of $C$ which contains Int $C$, then $M$ is $2-U L C$.

Proof. Let $f: S^{2} \rightarrow M$ be a singular 2-sphere of diameter less than $\epsilon$. Let $\delta=(1 / 3) \cdot\left[\epsilon-\operatorname{Diam} f\left(S^{2}\right)\right]$. Although $M$ need not be open in $E^{3}$, it is nevertheless an ANR; thus, for some open set $W$ of $E^{3}$ which contains $M$,
there is a $\delta$-retraction $r: W \rightarrow M$. By (2B.4.1), we may assume that $E^{3}-W$ is connected. Hence by (2C.7(3).1) and its proof, $f$ is nullhomotopic in a subset of $W$ of diameter less than $\operatorname{Diam} f\left(S^{2}\right)+\delta / 3$. Let $f^{*}: B^{3} \rightarrow W$ be a singular 3 -cell in $W$ that is bounded by $f$ and has diameter less than $\epsilon-(2 \delta / 3)$. Then $r: f^{*}\left(B^{3}\right) \rightarrow M$ is a singular 3 -cell in $M$ bounded by $f$ that has diameter less than $\epsilon$.

We now complete the proof of 2C.7 (3) and its addendum. Choose a sequence sequence $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots$ of compact subsets of $S$, exactly as in the proof of 2C.7(2). Then ( $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) $-H_{i}$ is $0-U L C$ by (2A) and (2C.3), ( $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) $-H_{i}$ is $1-U L C$ by choice of $H_{i}$, and ( $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) $-H_{i}$ is $2-U L C$ by (2C.7(3).2). Thus ( $\mathrm{Cl} U$ ) $H_{i}$ is $U L C^{2}$ by definition. Hence $\mathrm{Cl} U-\cup H_{i}$ is $U L C^{2}$ by (2C.4). Let $G=$ $S-\cup H_{i}$. The inclusions $F \subset G \subset S-\cup X_{i}$ are then obvious. This completes the proof.
3. Taming arcs and finite graphs in $E^{3}$. Our main result is the following:
3.1. An arc $A$ in $E^{3}$ is tame if it has a singular regular neighborhood. The taming homeomorphism may be chosen to be locally PL except at the points of $A$.

A connected finite graph $G$ in $E^{3}$ is said to have a singular regular neighborhood in $E^{3}$ if there is a polygonal finite graph $G^{\prime}$ in $E^{3}$, a regular neighborhood $P$ of $G^{\prime}$ in $E^{3}$, and a map $f: P \rightarrow E^{3}$ which takes $G^{\prime}$ homeomorphically onto $G$, takes $P-G^{\prime}$ into $E^{3}-G$, and has nonzero degree $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)$ with respect to $G$.

We define $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)$ as follows. Let $A$ be any arc in $G$. Let $B$ denote a path in $E^{3}$ - Int $A$ which joins the endpoints of $A$. Let $D$ be a polyhedral disk in $P$ transverse to $f^{-1}(A)$ whose image under $f$ misses $B$. Then $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)=$ $|L(B \cup A, f \mid \operatorname{Bd} D)|$. Note that $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)$ depends only on $f$ and $G$ and not on the choice of $A, B$, and $D$ (cf. (1.1) and (1.3)).

If $G$ is not connected, we require that $\operatorname{deg}\left(f, G_{0}\right)$ be nonzero for each component $G_{0}$ of $G$.

Our methods are capable of proving more than (3.1) (as we show in (3.10), (3.16), (3.22), and (3.28)), but (3.1) seems to be the optimum result as far as simplicity of statement and proof are concerned. In the latter part of this section we generalize (3.1) to finite graphs and prove that $1-A L G$ arcs are tame and that an arc is tame if it satisfies singular analogues of Harrold's local unknottedness and local peripheral unknottedness condition [22]. We have chosen to isolate the singular regular neighborhood condition for arcsfor first consideration partly because it is geometrically the most intuitive of the conditions but mainly because it leads most directly to the following two lemmas, the only two results from this section which we need in our proof of the Side Approximation Theorem [14].
3.2. If $S$ is a 2-sphere in $E^{3}$ and $A$ is an arc in $S$ such that $(S \cup \operatorname{Int} S)-A$ and $(S \cup \operatorname{Ext} S)-A$ are $1-U L C$ (cf. (2C.7(2).2)), then $A$ has a singular regular neighborhood.
3.3. If an arc $A$ in $E^{3}$ has a singular regular neighborhood and $p \in \operatorname{Int} A$, then $A$ pierces an almost-polyhedral disk at $p$. (A 2 -manifold is said to be almost-polyhedral if it is polyhedral except at finitely many points.)

In summary, although (3.1) is our main result, we need only (3.2) and (3.3) for use in $[\mathbf{1 4}]$. We therefore prove these latter results first: first (3.2), then a trio of lemmas (3.4)-(3.6) culminating in a proof of (3.3), then another pair of lemmas (3.7)-(3.8) culminating in a proof of (3.1).

Proof of (3.2). This is an immediate consequence of the $U L C$ mapping theorems of Section 2. Note first that $(S \cup \operatorname{Int} S)-A$ and $(S \cup \operatorname{Ext} S)-A$ are 0 - and $2-U L C$ by (2A), (2C.3), and (2C.7(3).2). Let $D$ be a disk in $S$ such that $A \subset \operatorname{Int} D$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the straight line segment in $E^{3}$ joining $(0,0,0)$ and $(1,0,0)$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a closed rectangular neighborhood of $A^{\prime}$ in the $x y$-plane $\{(x, y, z) \mid z=0\}$. Let $h: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D$ be a homeomorphism which takes $A^{\prime}$ onto $A$. Let $P=\left\{(x, y, z) \mid(x, y, 0) \in D^{\prime}, z \in[-1,1]\right\}, P^{+}=\{(x, y, z) \in P \mid z>0\}$, and $P^{-}=\{(x, y, z) \in P \mid z<0\}$. Finally, let $p r: P \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ be the orthogonal projection defined by $(x, y, z) \rightarrow(x, y, 0)$. The composite $h \cdot p r$ takes $P$ onto $D$. By (2C.2), there is a map $f: P \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that $f\left|D^{\prime}=h \cdot p r\right| D^{\prime}=h$ and such that $f\left(P^{-}\right) \subset(S \cup \operatorname{Int} S)-A$ and $f\left(P^{+}\right) \subset(S \cup \operatorname{Ext} S)-A$. One sees immediately that $f$ is a singular regular neighborhood of $A$ with $\operatorname{deg}(f, A)=1$ (cf. (1.1)-(1.6)).

We now proceed to the lemmas needed in the proof of (3.3). We assume in this section until (3.9) that $A$ is an $\operatorname{arc}$ in $E^{3}$ with a singular regular neighborhood $f: P \rightarrow E^{3}$. We denote the $\operatorname{arc} f^{-1}(A)$ by $A^{\prime}$ and assume for convenience of description that $A^{\prime}$ is a straight line segment. We adjust $f$ so that it is piecewise linear at each point of $P-A^{\prime}$. We choose a simple closed curve $J$ in $E^{3}$ such that $A \subset J$. We choose linear orderings on $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ compatible with the topology and preserved by $f$. If $x \in A$, then we write $x^{\prime}=f^{-1}(x)$. If $x<y$ in $A$, then we use the interval notation $[x, y]$ and $\left[x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right]$ for the $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $A$ and $A^{\prime}$, respectively, joining $x$ to $y$ and $x^{\prime}$ to $y^{\prime}$.
3.4. If $x_{1}<x_{2}$ in $A$ and $\epsilon>0$, then there is a polyhedral annulus $B$ in $N\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \epsilon\right)-J$ such that $|L(B, J)|=1$ and such that the boundary components $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ of $B$ lie in $N\left(x_{1}, \epsilon\right)$ and $N\left(x_{2}, \epsilon\right)$, respectively.

Proof of (3.4). The idea is to choose small polyhedral 2-spheres about $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, span a singular annulus between these 2 -spheres, then use Waldhausen's form of the Loop Theorem [39] to change the singular annulus into a real annulus. The principal difficulty is that of spanning the singular annulus between the 2 -spheres. The details are as follows.

We may assume that $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \operatorname{Int} A$, that $N\left(x_{1}, \epsilon\right) \cap N\left(x_{2}, \epsilon\right)=\phi$, and that $N\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \epsilon\right) \cap J \subset$ Int $A$. We choose points $t_{1}, t_{2} \in A$ such that $x_{1}<t_{1}<$ $t_{2}<x_{2}$. We choose polyhedral 2 -spheres $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ about $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, respectively, such that $R_{i}$ separates $x_{i}$ from $t_{i}$ in $E^{3}$. We require that
(1) $R_{1} \cup \operatorname{Int} R_{1} \cup\left[x_{1}, t_{1}\right] \subset N\left(x_{1}, \epsilon\right)$,
(2) $R_{2} \cup$ Int $R_{2} \cup\left[t_{2}, x_{2}\right] \subset N\left(x_{2}, \epsilon\right)$, and
(3) $R_{1} \cap\left[t_{1}, x_{2}\right]=R_{2} \cap\left[x_{1}, t_{2}\right]=\phi$.

For each $i(i=1,2)$, there is a polygonal simple closed curve $K_{i}$ in $R_{i}-J$ which separates $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \cap R_{i}$ from $\left(J-\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\right) \cap R_{i}$ in $R_{i}$. Note that $\left|L\left(J, K_{i}\right)\right|=1$. Let $D_{i}$ and $E_{i}$ be the two disks in $R_{i}$ bounded by $K_{i}$, with notation chosen so that $E_{i} \cap\left[x_{1}, x_{2} \mid=\phi\right.$.

Consider $U_{i}=f^{-1}\left[N\left(x_{i}, \epsilon\right)-E_{i}\right]$. Then there is a polyhedral 2-sphere $S_{i}$ in $U_{i}$ whose intersection with $A^{\prime}$ is the two-point set $\left\{x_{i}{ }^{\prime}, t_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ and whose interior lies in $U_{i}$ and intersects $A^{\prime}$ precisely in the open arc between $x_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ and $t_{i}{ }^{\prime}$. (Since we are assuming $A^{\prime}$ is a straight line segment, we could take $S_{i}$ to be the boundary of some rectangular solid in $U_{i}$ of which the appropriate segment of $A^{\prime}$ is a spanning arc.) Since $\operatorname{deg}(f, A) \neq 0$, any simple closed curve in $S_{i}$ which separates the two points of $A^{\prime} \cap S_{i}$ in $S_{i}$ has image under $f$ which links $J$.

We shall first cut $D_{i}$ off near $f\left(S_{i}\right)$ where this can be done without introducing new intersections with $J$. We shall then cut $f\left(S_{i}\right)$ off near the new $D_{i}$ where this can be done without introducing new intersections with $J$. We shall obtain thereby a new polyhedral 2 -sphere (still denoted by $R_{i}$ ) and a new map $g_{i}: S_{i} \rightarrow E^{3}$ (an adjustment of $\left.f \mid S_{i}\right)$ such that $g_{i}^{-1}\left(R_{i}\right)$ is a union of finitely many disjoint simple closed curves in $S_{i}$, each separating $x_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ from $t_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ in $S_{i}$.

For notational simplicity in making these adjustments, we drop the subscript $i$ and consider $R, S, t, x, N(x, \epsilon), D, E$, and $g$ without subscripts. We shall work only in $N(x, \epsilon)-(J \cup E)$, with the single exception that we may remove some intersections of $D$ with $J$. We shall take care to have $g$ agree with $f$ in some neighborhood of $\left\{x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$. With these conventions in mind, we proceed to the adjustment of $D$.

We delete a small polyhedral (open) neighborhood $N$ of

$$
\left(D \cap\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\right) \cup \operatorname{Int} E \text { from } E^{3}, N \cap f(S)=\phi
$$

We then take a small (relative) regular neighborhood $N^{\prime}$ of the (noncompact) polyhedron $(D-N) \cup(f(S)-J)$ in $E^{3}-[J \cup N]$. Then $D^{\prime}=D-N$ is a union of finitely many disjoint properly embedded disks-with-holes in $N^{\prime}$. It is an easy exercise with the Loop Theorem [37] to show that we may adjust $D^{\prime}$ so that $\pi_{1}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ is $1-1$. (If $D^{\prime}$ is not connected, then the condition is to be satisfied for each component of $D^{\prime}$.) Indeed, if $\pi_{1}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ is not 1-1, then there is, by the Loop Theorem, a disk $F$ in Int $N^{\prime}$ whose intersection with $D^{\prime}$ is $\operatorname{Bd} F$. Replace with $F$ the disk in $R$ which is bounded by $\mathrm{Bd} F$ and which does not contain $E$. This also changes $D^{\prime}$. An iteration of the procedure yields the desired property for $D^{\prime}$. Since $E$ is not affected and no new intersections with $J$ are introduced, the new 2 -sphere still separates $x$ from $t$ in $E^{3}$. Since all adjustments are made in $N(x, \epsilon)$, the appropriate condition (1) or (2) is still satisfied.

We now adjust $f(S)$ slightly near $f(S) \cap R$ so that $f(S)$ and $R$ are in general position. This may be done by a slight adjustment of $f: P \rightarrow E^{3}$. Then
$S \cap f^{-1}(R)$ is a union of finitely many disjoint simple closed curves. Suppose one of these does not separate the two-point set $\left\{x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$ in $S$. Then its image under $f$ is a loop in $D^{\prime}$ which is trivial in $N^{\prime}$. Thus this loop is trivial in $D^{\prime}$ $\left(\pi_{1}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right)\right.$ is 1-1) and this intersection of $f(S)$ and $R$ may be removed by changing $f(S)$. An iteration of this simplifying procedure yields a map $g: S \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that $g^{-1}(R)$ is a union of finitely many disjoint simple closed curves in $S$, each of which separates $f^{-1}(x)$ from $f^{-1}(t)$ in $S$. (The intersection is nonempty since $R$ separates $x$ from $t$ in $E^{3}$.)

We are finally in a position to describe a singular annulus which has one boundary component on $R_{1}$ (adjusted) and one on $R_{2}$ (adjusted). (We resume use of subscripts.) There is in $S_{i}$ a disk $F_{i}$ that contains $f^{-1}\left(t_{i}\right)=t_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ in its interior, is bounded by one of the curves in $g_{i}^{-1}\left(R_{i}\right)$, and intersects no other of those curves. Delete from each $F_{\imath}$ a very small open subdisk $G_{i}$ near to, and containing, $f^{-1}\left(t_{i}\right)=t_{i}{ }^{\prime}$. We require that $G_{i}$ be so near $t_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ that $g_{i}\left|G_{i}=f\right| G_{i}$. There is an annulus $B_{0}$ in $P-A^{\prime}$ which is very near the $\operatorname{arc}\left[t_{1}{ }^{\prime}, t_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right]$ and joins $\mathrm{Bd} G_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Bd} G_{2}$. We require that $f\left(B_{0}\right) \cap\left(R_{1} \cup R_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ and that $f\left(B_{0}\right) \subset N\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \epsilon\right)$. Then $B^{\prime}=f\left|\left(B_{0}\right) \cup g_{1}\right|\left(F_{1}-G_{1}\right) \cup g_{2} \mid\left(F_{2}-G_{2}\right)$ is a singular annulus spanned between $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ in $N\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \epsilon\right)$.

We note that $\left|L\left(B^{\prime}, J\right)\right|=\operatorname{deg}(f, A) \neq 0$. Thus Waldhausen's form of the Loop Theorem [39] applies to the singular annulus $B^{\prime}$ in the manifold $M=$ $N\left(\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] ; \epsilon\right)-\left[J \cup \operatorname{Int} R_{1} \cup \operatorname{Int} R_{2}\right]$ relative to the normal subgroup $\operatorname{kernel}\left[\pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(E^{3}-J\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(E^{3}-J\right)\right] \subset \pi_{1}(M)$. We conclude that there is a nonsingular polyhedral annulus $B$ in $M$ such that $L(B, J) \neq 0$ and such that one boundary component of $B$ lies in $R_{1}$, the other in $R_{2}$.

It remains only to show that $|L(B, J)|=1$ if $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small. Let $p$ be the image under $f$ of the midpoint of $f^{-1}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. Exactly as we found the disks $D_{i}$ and $E_{i}$ early in this proof, we find a small polyhedral disk $D$ near $p$ such that $(\operatorname{Bd} D) \cap J=\emptyset$ and $|L(J, \operatorname{Bd} D)|=1$. If $\epsilon$ is small enough, then $B$ must separate $\operatorname{Bd} D$ from $J$ in $D$. (Otherwise, one could construct a simple closed curve in $E^{3}-B$ that links one boundary component of $B$ and not the other.) We put $D$ in general position with respect to $B$ and find that $\operatorname{Bd} D$ is homologous in $D-J$ to a family of simple closed curves in $B$. Hence $1=$ $|L(D, J)|=m \cdot|L(B, J)|$ for some positive integer $m$. We conclude that $|L(B, J)|=1$, as desired. This completes the proof of (3.4).

We need some descriptive apparatus before we proceed to our next lemma. For $A \subset E^{3}$ and $t>0$, let $t A=\{(t x, t y, t z) \mid(x, y, z) \in A\}$.

Definition. A Dehn-annulus in $E^{3}$ is a $P L \operatorname{map} f: B^{2}-\operatorname{Int}\left(\frac{1}{2} B^{2}\right) \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that $S(f) \cap\left(S^{1} \cup \frac{1}{2} S^{1}\right)=\emptyset$. (Recall that $S(f)=\operatorname{Cl}\left\{x \mid f^{-1} f(x) \neq x\right\}$.) Then $f\left|S^{1} \cup f\right| \frac{1}{2} S^{1}$ is called the boundary of $f$ (denoted $\operatorname{Bd} f$ ), and $\operatorname{Bd} f$ is said to bound $f$. We write $|\operatorname{Bd} f|=f\left(S^{1} \cup \frac{1}{2} S^{1}\right)$. A half-open Dehn-annulus in $E^{3}$ is a locally $P L$ map $f: B^{2}-\{0\} \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that
(1) $S(f) \cap(1 / i) S^{1}=\emptyset(i=1,2, \ldots)$, and
(2) $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left((1 / i) B^{2}-\{0\}\right)$ is a compact subset of $E^{3}-f\left(B^{2}-\{0\}\right)$.

The restriction $f \mid S^{1}$ is called the boundary of $f$ (denoted $\mathrm{Bd} f$ ) and is said to bound $f$. We write $|\operatorname{Bd} f|=f\left(S^{1}\right)$.

We recall [35] (alternately, use [9, Addendum to 4.5.1]):
3.5. If $f$ is a Dehn-annulus in $E^{3}$ and $U$ is a neighborhood in $E^{3}$ of $|f|-$ $|B d f|$, then $\mathrm{Bd} f$ bounds a real polyhedral annulus in $|\mathrm{Bd} f| \cup U$.

An immediate application of (3.5) is the following.
3.6. If $f$ is a half-open Dehn-annulus in $E^{3}, U$ is a neighborhood in $E^{3}$ of $|f|-|\operatorname{Bd} f|$, and $f$ is not contractible in $f\left(S^{1}\right) \cup U$, then $\operatorname{Bd} f$ bounds a nonsingular, locally-polyhedral, half-open annulus $g$ in $f\left(S^{1}\right) \cup U$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left((1 / i) B^{2}-\{0\}\right) \subset \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } f\left((1 / i) B^{2}-\{0\}\right)
$$

Proof of (3.6). Define $A_{i}=(1 / i) B^{2}-\operatorname{Int}\left((1 / i+1) B^{2}\right)$. It follows from condition (2) in the definition of half-open Dehn-annulus that, by consolidating several consecutive $f\left(A_{i}\right)$ 's into a single new $f\left(A_{i}\right)$ and adjusting $f$ accordingly, we may assume that $f\left(A_{i}\right) \cap f\left(A_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $|i-j|>1$. By using (3.5) (which applies because of condition (1) in the definition of half-open Dehn-annulus) infinitely many times, we may assume that $f \mid A_{i}$ is a $P L$ embedding for each $i$. By a general position argument, we may assume that $f\left(A_{i}\right) \cap f\left(A_{i+1}\right)$ is the disjoint union of the simple closed curve $f\left((1 / i+1) S^{1}\right)$ and finitely many other simple closed curves in $f\left(\operatorname{Int} A_{i}\right) \cap f\left(\operatorname{Int} A_{i+1}\right)$. Since $f$ is not contractible in $f\left(S^{1}\right) \cup U$, it follows that a curve $J$ of the intersection between $f\left(A_{i}\right)$ and $f\left(A_{i+1}\right)$ is contractible in one of the two annuli if and only if it is contractible in the other. Thus we have a well-defined notion of trivial and nontrivial intersections. Changing each annulus $f\left(A_{2 i}\right)$ with even subscript, but changing it only near $f\left(A_{2 i-1}\right) \cup f\left(A_{2 i}\right) \cup f\left(A_{2 i+1}\right)$, we may remove all trivial intersections by cut and paste. We maintain the requirement that $f\left(A_{i}\right) \cap f\left(A_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ if $|i-j|>1$. We now piece together a real locallypolyhedral half-open annulus $B$. Let $B_{2}$ be an annulus in $f\left(A_{2}\right)$ which is bounded by one curve of intersection with $f\left(A_{1}\right)$ and one with $f\left(A_{3}\right)$ but which otherwise misses $f\left(A_{j}\right)(j \neq 2)$. Define similarly $B_{4}, B_{6}, \ldots$ Let $B_{1}$ be the annulus in $f\left(A_{1}\right)$ which is bounded by $B_{2} \cap f\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(S^{1}\right)$. In general, define $B_{2 i+1}$ to be the annulus in $f\left(A_{2 i+1}\right)$ which is bounded by

$$
\left[f\left(A_{2 i+1}\right) \cap B_{2 i}\right] \cup\left[f\left(A_{2_{i+1}}\right) \cap B_{2 i+2}\right] .
$$

Then $B=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{i}$ is the desired half-open annulus. This completes the proof of (3.6).

Proof of (3.3). The idea is to use (3.4) to obtain a null sequence of polyhedral annuli running along $A$ and converging to $p$, to piece these annuli together to form a half-open Dehn-annulus in $E^{3}$, and then to apply (3.6) to obtain a nonsingular, locally-polyhedral, half-open annulus which, together with $\{p\}$, forms an almost-polyhedral disk pierced by $A$ at $p$. The details are as follows.

We choose a sequence $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots$ of points in $A$ converging monotonically to $p\left(q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots\right)$. We choose polyhedral disks $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots$ in $P$ transverse to $A^{\prime}$ at $q_{1}{ }^{\prime}, q_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots$ such that $f\left(D_{1}\right), f\left(D_{2}\right), \ldots$ is a null sequence of disjoint singular disks in $\left(E^{3}-J\right) \cup A$. These singular disks will be used to piece together the annuli mentioned in the previous paragraph.

We choose the aforementioned annuli as follows. We first pick points $p_{1}, r_{1}, p_{2}, r_{2}, \ldots$ in $A$ such that $p_{1}<q_{1}<r_{1}<p_{2}<q_{2}<r_{2}<\ldots$. By (3.4), there are polyhedral annuli $B_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ in $N\left(\left[p_{i}, r_{i+1}\right], 1 / i\right)-J$, respectively, such that $\left|L\left(B_{i}, J\right)\right|=1$ and such that the two boundary components of $B_{i}$ lie in $N\left(p_{i}, 1 / i\right)$ and $N\left(r_{i+1}, 1 / i\right)$, respectively. By choosing these annuli iteratively and by putting even more stringent conditions on how close they are to be to $\left[p_{i}, r_{i+1}\right]$ and their ends to $p_{i}$ and $r_{i+1}$, we may require that the $B_{i}$ be pairwise disjoint, that $f\left(D_{i}\right)$ intersect only $B_{i-1} \cup B_{i}$ among the $B_{k}$, and (after adjustment for general position) that $f^{-1}\left(B_{i-1}\right) \cap D_{i}$ and $f^{-1}\left(B_{i}\right) \cap D_{i}$ each contain a simple closed curve which separates $f^{-1}\left(q_{i}\right)=q_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ from $\operatorname{Bd} D_{i}$ in $D_{i}$ (cf. the last paragraph of the proof of (3.4)).

We now piece the annuli together. By the restrictions of the preceding paragraph, there is an annulus $A_{i}$ in $D_{i}-\left\{q_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ which separates $\operatorname{Bd} D_{i}$ from $q_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ in $D_{i}$, has one boundary component in $f^{-1}\left(B_{i-1}\right)$, one in $f^{-1}\left(B_{i}\right)$. We require that $A_{i}$ be minimal with respect to this property so that if $K$ is a component of (Int $\left.A_{i}\right) \cap f^{-1}\left(B_{i-1} \cap B_{i}\right)$, then $K$ bounds a disk in $A_{i}$. For such a curve $K$, $f \mid K: K \rightarrow B_{i-1} \cup B_{i}$ is trivial since $B_{i-1}$ and $B_{i} \operatorname{link} J$ while $f \mid K$ does not. We remove these trivial intersections of $A_{i}$ with $B_{i-1} \cup B_{i}$ by standard cut and paste techniques: redefine $f$ on the disk bounded by $K$ in $A_{i}$ so as to take this disk into $B_{i-1} \cup B_{i}$; then push $f\left(A_{i}\right)$ slightly to one side of $B_{i-1} \cup B_{i}$ near this new singular disk on $B_{i-1} \cup B_{i}$ which is bounded by $f \mid K$.

This puts us in a position of being able to apply Waldhausen's Loop Theorem once more. It follows that, in an arbitrary neighborhood of the adjusted $f\left(A_{i}\right)$, there is a nonsingular annulus $C_{i}$, one boundary component a subset of and nontrivial in $B_{i-1}$, the other a subset of and nontrivial in $B_{i}, C_{i} \cap\left(\cup B_{k}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Bd} C_{i}$. We note that some $C_{i}$ may intersect some $C_{j}(i \neq j)$, but this intersection must be a subset of $\operatorname{Int} C_{i} \cup \operatorname{Int} C_{j} \subset E^{3}-\left(\cup B_{k}\right)$. Let $B_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ be the annulus in $B_{i}$ joining $C_{i}$ and $C_{i+1}$. Then

$$
B_{0}=B_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cup C_{2} \cup B_{2}{ }^{\prime} \cup C_{3} \cup B_{3}{ }^{\prime} \cup C_{3} \cup \ldots
$$

is a half-open Dehn-annulus in $E^{3}$ (nonsingular on $B_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cup B_{2}{ }^{\prime} \cup \ldots$ ). Note that $\{p\}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(C_{i} \cup B_{i}{ }^{\prime} \cup C_{i+1} \cup B_{i+1}{ }^{\prime} \cup \ldots\right)$.

We apply (3.6) to find a real, locally-polyhedral, half-open annulus $g: B^{2}-$ $\{0\} \rightarrow E^{3}-J$ such that $\lim \sup _{i \rightarrow \infty} g\left((1 / i) B^{2}-\{0\}\right)=\{p\}$. Then

$$
g\left(B^{2}-\{0\}\right) \cup\{p\}
$$

is the desired disk. This completes the proof of (3.3).

### 3.7. If $p \in \operatorname{Bd} A, q \in \operatorname{Int} A$, and $\epsilon>0$, then there is a 2 -sphere $S$ in $E^{3}$ which

separates the endpoints of $A$ in $E^{3}$, intersects $A$ precisely at $q$, is locally polyhedral except at $q$, and lies in an $\epsilon$-neighborhood of the arc in A from $p$ to $q$.

Proof of (3.7). For convenience, we assume $p<q$. Let $r \in \operatorname{Int} A, p<q<r$, be such that $[p, r] \subset N([p, q], \epsilon)$. Let $s$ be the other endpoint of $A$. By (3.3), there is a disk $D$ in $N([p, q], \epsilon)$ which intersects $J$ only at $q$, is pierced there by $J$, and is locally polyhedral except at $q$. In the open subset $\left.f^{-1}(N[p, q], \epsilon)\right)$ of $P$ there is a polyhedral 2 -sphere $S^{\prime}$ such that $S^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime}=\left\{r^{\prime}\right\}$ and $S^{\prime}$ separates $p^{\prime}$ from $s^{\prime}$ in $E^{3}$. By putting a possibly more stringent condition on how close $f\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is to be to $[p, r]$ and by adjusting $f\left(S^{2}\right)$ and $D$ for general position, we may require further that $f\left(S^{\prime}\right) \cap D \subset \operatorname{Int} D$ and that, for at least one component $K$ of $f^{-1}(D) \cap S^{\prime}, f \mid K$ is a loop in $D$ which is not nullhomotopic in $D-\{q\}$. For otherwise one could prove that $\operatorname{deg}(f, A)=0$. We shall piece together the desired 2 -sphere $S$ from $D$ and $f\left(S^{2}\right)$.

Let $K$ be a component of $f^{-1}(D) \cap S^{\prime}$ such that $f \mid K: K \rightarrow D-\{q\}$ is not nullhomotopic in $D-\{q\}$. Among all such components, we assume $K$ chosen so that the disk $E$ bounded by $K$ in $S^{\prime}-\left\{r^{\prime}\right\}$ contains no other such component. If $f$ (Int $E) \cap D$ is nevertheless nonempty, we can remove such intersections by cut and paste: indeed, let $K^{\prime}$ be a component of $f^{-1}(D) \cap \operatorname{Int} E$; then $f \mid K^{\prime}$ is a loop in $D-\{q\}$ that is nullhomotopic in $D-\{q\}$; if $E^{\prime}$ is the disk in $E$ bounded by $K^{\prime}$, then $f \mid E^{\prime}$ may be redefined to take $E^{\prime}$ into $D-\{q\}$; this intersection with $D$ may then be removed by pushing the new singular disk $f\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ to one side of $D$. That is, after a cut-and-paste adjustment, we may assume that $f^{-1}(D) \cap E=\operatorname{Bd} E=K$. Thus the Loop Theorem [37] is applicable.

By the Loop Theorem [37], there is a disk $F$ in an arbitrary neighborhood of $f(E)$ such that $F \cap D=\operatorname{Bd} F \subset \operatorname{Int} D-\{q\}$ and such that $\operatorname{Bd} F$ is not nullhomotopic in $D-\{q\}$. Then $S$ may be taken as the union of $F$ and the disk in $D$ bounded by $\mathrm{Bd} F$.
3.8. If $p \in \operatorname{Int} A$, then there are a singular disk $f: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}$ and a subarc $B$ of $A$ with $p \in \operatorname{Int} B$ such that $f$ takes a subarc $B^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{Bd} B^{2}$ onto $B$, takes the endpoints of $B^{\prime}$ onto the endpoints of $B$, and takes $B^{2}-B^{\prime}$ into $E^{3}-A$.

Proof of (3.8). This is an immediate consequence, of the fact that $A$ has a singular regular neighborhood.

Proof of (3.1). We have established that an $\operatorname{arc} A$ in $E^{3}$ has which has a singular regular neighborhood satisfies the conclusions of (3.3), (3.4), (3.7), and (3.8). Thus (3.1) will be complete when we have established the following.
3.9. An arc $A$ in $E^{3}$ (not assumed to have a singular regular neighborhood) is tame if it satisfies the conclusions of (3.3), (3.4), (3.7), and (3.8). The taming homeomorphism may be chosen to be locally PL except at the points of $A$.

Proof. Result (3.9) is almost the theorem that an arc is tame if it is locally peripherally unknotted (1.p.u.) and locally unknotted (l.u.) [22, Theorem 7].
(Indeed, (3.3), (3.4), and (3.7) imply that $A$ is 1.p.u. and (3.8) is a singular substitute for the l.u. property.) For this reason, and since (3.9) is not needed in [14], we omit a few details.

Step 1. Definition of the compact set $F$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the straight line segment in $E^{3}$ joining $(0,0,0)$ and ( $1,0,0$ ). For each positive integer $i$, let $S_{i}=$ $\operatorname{Bd} N\left(A^{\prime}, 1 / i\right)$. Let $C=\mathrm{Cl} N\left(A^{\prime}, 1\right)=S_{1} \cup \operatorname{Int} S_{1}$. For each positive integer $j$ and odd positive $k<2^{j}$, let $D(k \mid j)$ be the circular disk of radius $1 / j$ perpendicular to $A^{\prime}$ and centered at $\left(k / 2^{j}, 0,0\right)$. Let $F$ denote the compact set $A^{\prime} \cup \cup S_{i} \cup \cup D(k \mid j)$. Note that the closure of a component $K$ of $C-F$ is either a 3 -cell or a solid torus of which $K$ is the interior. Note also that $\mathrm{Bd} K \subset F-A^{\prime}$ and that $F-\mathrm{Bd} K$ is connected and intersects $S_{1}$.

Step 2. Mapping $F$ into $E^{3}$. We let $J$ be a simple closed curve in $E^{3}$ which contains $A$. It is a fairly standard (though lengthy) exercise in general-position arguments and cut-and-paste to use (3.3), (3.4), and (3.7) to construct a homeomorphism $h$ from $F$ into $E^{3}$ which takes $A^{\prime}$ homeomorphically onto $A$, takes each $D(k \mid j)$ to a disk in $\left(E^{3}-J\right) \cup A$ which is pierced by $J$ and is locally polyhedral modulo $J$, and takes each $S_{i}$ to a polyhedral 2 -sphere which contains $A$ in its interior. If $K$ is a component of $C-F$, then

$$
[\operatorname{Int} h(\operatorname{Bd} K)] \cap h(F)=\emptyset
$$

by the remarks of the previous paragraph on $\mathrm{Bd} K$ and the requirement that $A \subset \operatorname{Int} h\left(S_{i}\right)$. We write $K^{*}$ for Int $h(\mathrm{Bd} K)$. Then $\mathrm{Cl} K^{*}$ is either a 3-cell or a cube with a (possibly knotted) hole. We would like to extend $h \mid \operatorname{Bd} K$ to take $K$ into $K^{*}$. However, if one does not exercise more care than we have done, this need not be possible. Therefore, we first normalize $h$, throw away portions of certain of the disks $D(k \mid j)$ near the ends of $A^{\prime}$, and finally show that the adjusted and restricted $h$ thus obtained does extend to a homeomorphism from $E^{3}$ to $E^{3}$.

Step 3. Adjusting $h$. Let $\pi$ be the half-plane $\left\{(x, y, z) \in E^{3} \mid y \geqq 0\right.$ and $z=0\}$. Suppose $K$ is a component of $C-F$ such that $\mathrm{Cl} K$ is a solid torus. Then $\operatorname{Bd} K$ intersects, say, $S_{i}$ and $S_{i+1}$. Let $J(K)$ be the simple closed curve $\pi \cap \operatorname{Bd} K$. We redefine $h$ on the boundary of each such $K$ so that $h \mid J(K)$ does not link $J$. We work only in $\cup S_{j}-\cup D(k \mid j)$, work first on $S_{2}$, then on $S_{3}$, and so on. Suppose therefore inductively that $h$ has already been redefined on the annulus $S_{i} \cap \mathrm{Bd} K$. Redefine $h$ on the annulus $S_{i+1} \cap \mathrm{Bd} K$ without changing the image of $S_{i+1} \cap \mathrm{Bd} K$ so that any twisting about $J$ done by the $\operatorname{arc} h\left[J(K)-S_{i+1}\right]$ is precisely undone by the twisting of the $\operatorname{arc} h\left[J(K) \cap S_{i+1}\right]$ about $J$. This is possible since $\left|L\left(h\left(S_{i+1} \cap \operatorname{Bd} K\right), J\right)\right|=1$. Geometrically, this change may be accomplished in the following way: cut $h\left[S_{i+1} \cap \operatorname{Bd} K\right]$ apart along a centerline; twist one of the free boundaries produced by the cut the appropriate number of full revolutions around $J$, and sew the two free boundary curves back together once again.

Step 4. Throwing away certain portions of $\cup D(k \mid j)$. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be the two halves into which $D(1 \mid 1)$ separates $C$. Let $\mathscr{K}_{i}(i=1,2)$ be the collection
of components of $C_{i}-F$ whose closures are solid tori. If $K, K_{0} \in \mathscr{K}_{i}$, define $K_{0} \geqq K$ if the following two conditions are satisfied:
(1) $\rho\left(K_{0}, A^{\prime}\right) \leqq \rho\left(K, A^{\prime}\right)$.
(2) $\sup \left\{\rho\left(k_{0}, D(1 \mid 1)\right) \mid k_{0} \in K_{0}\right\} \leqq \sup \{\rho(k, D(1 \mid 1)) \mid k \in K\}$.

We call an element of $K$ of $\mathscr{K}_{1} \cup \mathscr{K}_{2}$ good if, for each $K_{0} \geqq K$ (defined only for certain $K$ and $K_{0}$ in the same one of $\mathscr{K}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{2}$ ), $\mathrm{Cl} K_{0}{ }^{*}$ is a solid torus (as opposed to a cube with a knotted hole). If $K$ is not good, we delete from $F$ the interior of the annulus in $[\cup D(k \mid j)] \cap \mathrm{Bd} K$ which is furthest from $D(1 \mid 1)$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be the new compact set formed from $F$ by the deletions described.

Step 5. Extending $h \mid F^{\prime}$ to all of $E^{3}$. Let $K$ be a component of $C-F^{\prime}$. Then, just as with the components of $C-F, \mathrm{Cl} K$ is a 3 -cell or solid torus of which $K$ is the interior and $K^{*}=\operatorname{Int} h(\mathrm{Bd} K) \subset E^{3}-h\left(F^{\prime}\right)$.

If $\mathrm{Cl} K$ is a 3-cell, then we extend $h \mid \operatorname{Bd} K$ in any fashion so as to take $\mathrm{Cl} K$ homeomorphically onto $\mathrm{Cl} K^{*}$ in a $P L$ fashion. This is possible by the $P L$ Schoenflies Theorem.

If $\mathrm{Cl} K$ is a solid torus, then $K$ is a good component of $C-F$ and $\mathrm{Cl} K^{*}$ is a solid torus. But $h(J(K))$ is a meridian in $\mathrm{Cl} K^{*}$ since $h \mid J(K)$ does not link $J$. Hence $h \mid \mathrm{Bd} K$ can be extended to take $\mathrm{Cl} K$ homeomorphically onto $\mathrm{Cl} K^{*}$.

Clearly $h \mid S_{1}$ can also be extended to take $E^{3}-\operatorname{Int} S_{1}$ onto $E^{3}-\operatorname{Int} h\left(S_{1}\right)$.
It remains to be shown that the function $h: E^{3} \rightarrow E^{3}$ defined piecewise above is actually continuous. This amounts to showing that, for components $K$ of $C-F^{\prime}$, Diam $K \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho\left(K, A^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$. In order to show this, it suffices to establish that, given a point $p \in \operatorname{Int} A^{\prime}$ and a component $K$ of $C-F$ which is sufficiently close to $p, K$ is good. It is for this step only that we need (3.8). By an easy compactness argument, it follows from (3.8) that for $K$ sufficiently close to $p$ and any $K_{0} \geqq K$, there is a singular $\operatorname{disk} f: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}-J$ such that $f\left(\mathrm{Bd} B^{2}\right) \cap \mathrm{Cl} K_{0}{ }^{*}=\emptyset$ and $f \mid \operatorname{Bd} B^{2}$ is homologous to $J$ in $E^{3}-\mathrm{Cl} K_{0}{ }^{*}$. Since $J$ is a homology centerline of $E^{3}-K_{0}{ }^{*}$ and $f\left(B^{2}\right) \cap J=\emptyset$, it is a well-known consequence of the Loop Theorem that $\mathrm{Cl} K_{0}{ }^{*}$ must be a solid torus. Hence $K$ is good. This completes the proof of (3.9) and (3.1).

We now generalize (3.1) to finite graphs.
3.10. Theorem. A finite graph $G$ in $E^{3}$ is tame if it has a singular regular neighborhood.

The proof of (3.10) occupies (3.11)-(3.14), with (3.10) being an immediate consequence of (3.13), (3.14) and the following remark: by (3.1) it suffices to consider the case where $G$ is an $n$-od ( $n \geqq 3$ ) with a single branch point $p$ and $G$ is locally polyhedral except at $p$. We choose a singular regular neighborhood $f: P \rightarrow E^{3}$ for $G$ and require that $f$ be locally piecewise linear except at $p$ and that the branches of $f^{-1}(G)$ be straight line segments emanating from the origin ( $0,0,0$ ) in $E^{3}$. We choose in $P$ a (polyhedral convex) cube $C$ about $(0,0,0)$ with the endpoints of $f^{-1}(G)$ in $E^{3}-C$ and choose a spherical
neighborhood $N$ of $p$ in $E^{3}-f(\operatorname{Bd} C)$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(f, G) \neq 0$, it follows that $f \mid \mathrm{Bd} C$ cannot be nullhomotopic both in $E^{3}-\{p\}$ and in the complement of set of endpoints of $G$. Since $f \mid \operatorname{Bd} C$ is nullhomotopic in the complement of the set of endpoints of $G$, it is not nullhomotopic in the complement of any point of $N$.
3.11. $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)=1$.

Proof of (3.11). Fact (3.11) depends only on the fact that $n \geqq 3$. We delete all but three branches of $G$ so that $G$ is a triod.

Consider congruent solid cylinders $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ having the same straight line $L$ as axis. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be the end disks of $C_{1}$; let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be the end disks of $C_{2}$ corresponding respectively to $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ under the translation of $E^{3}$ along $L$ which takes $C_{1}$ onto $C_{2}$. Let $R_{i}=L \cap C_{i}(i=1,2)$.

It follows from (3.1) that we may assume that $R_{2}$ is a maximal arc in $G$, that $C_{1} \subset P_{1}$ and that $f \mid R_{1}$ coincides with the restriction to $R_{1}$ of the aforementioned translation which takes $R_{1}$ onto $R_{2}$.

We may also assume that $f^{-1}\left[f\left(C_{1} \cap \mathrm{Bd} C_{2}\right)\right]=D_{1} \cup D_{2}$ and that $f \mid D_{i}(i=1,2)$ is a cyclic covering of $E_{i}$ branched over the point $E_{i} \cap L$ and (necessarily) of degree $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)$.

We set $B=\mathrm{Cl}\left(G-R_{2}\right)$ and note that we may assume that $C_{1} \cap f^{-1}(B)$ is a straight line segment perpendicular to $L$ from the center point of $R_{1}$ to a point of $\mathrm{Bd} C_{1}$ and that $C_{2} \cap B$ is an arc missing $E_{1} \cup E_{2}$ and irreducibly joining $L$ to $\mathrm{Bd} C_{2}$. (Incidentally, note that $\left(f \mid C_{1}\right)\left(f^{-1}(B)\right) \neq B$. Also note if $C_{2} \cap B$ were not an arc irreducibly joining $L$ to $\mathrm{Bd} C_{2}$, one could simply throw away part of $B$.)

Let $C_{3}$ be a third congruent solid cylinder with axis $L$. Let $g: C_{3} \rightarrow C_{2}$ be a cyclic covering of $C_{2}$, branched over $R_{2}$, of degree $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)$. Then $f$ factors through $g$, say by $f^{*}: C_{1} \rightarrow C_{3}$, by the lifting criterion for coverings. A simple argument shows that $f^{*}\left(\mathrm{Bd} C_{1}\right)$ must intersect each preimage of $\operatorname{Int} B$ in $C_{3}$, hence that $f^{-1}(B) \cap C_{1}$ contains at least $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)$ points. Since $f^{-1}(B) \cap \operatorname{Bd}$ $C_{1}$ contains exactly one point and $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)$ is positive by hypothesis, we conclude that $\operatorname{deg}(f, G)=1$.
3.12. We may assume that $f$ is a piecewise linear homeomorphism on the inverse image of some neighborhood of $G-\{p\}$.

Proof of (3.12). This is an immediate consequence of (3.11) and the fact that $G$ is already polyhedral except at $p$.
3.13. The point $p$ has arbitrarily small neighborhoods in $E^{3}$ bounded by polyhedral 2-spheres that intersect $G$ transversely and in precisely $n$ points.

Proof of (3.13). Let $S$ be a polyhedral 2-sphere in $f^{-1}(N) \cap$ Int $C$ that bounds a small neighborhood of $(0,0,0)=f^{-1}(p)$ in $E^{3}$ and intersects $f^{-1}(G)$ transversely and in precisely $n$ points. We may assume, by (3.12), that $f(S)$ has no singularities near $f(S) \cap G$. An application of Dehn's Lemma [35],
or [ 9 , Addendum to 4.5 .1 ] yields a polyhedral 2 -sphere $S^{\prime}$ in $N$ which coincides with $f(S)$ near $f(S) \cap G$. This completes the proof since $N$ can be chosen arbitrarily small.
3.14. Suppose $R$ and $S$ are disjoint polyhedral 2 -spheres in $N$, each meeting $G$ transversely and in precisely $n$ points, and suppose that, for some $t>0$,
$p \in(\operatorname{Int} S) \subset(R \cup \operatorname{Int} R) \subset\{f(t C)-f[\operatorname{Bd}(t C)]\} \subset f(t C) \subset N$. (Recall that $t C=\{(t x, t y, t z) \mid(x, y, z) \in C\}$.
Then for each homeomorphism $h: R \rightarrow S^{2}$, there is a homeomorphism

$$
h^{*}:[(R \cup \operatorname{Int} R)-(\operatorname{Int} S)] \rightarrow\left[\left(S^{2} \cup \operatorname{Int} S^{2}\right)-\operatorname{Int}\left(\frac{1}{2} S^{2}\right)\right]
$$

which extends $h$ and takes each component $K$ of $G \cap[(R \cup \operatorname{Int} R)-(\operatorname{Int} S)]$ into the straight line segment joining $h(K \cap R)$ and the origin $0=(0,0,0)$.

Proof of (3.14). Consider the noncompact 3 -manifold-with-boundary $M=(t C)-f^{-1}(G)$. Choose small disjoint polyhedral disks $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ on $\mathrm{Bd}(t C)$ with interiors covering respectively the $n$ points of $f^{-1}(G) \cap \operatorname{Bd}(t C)$. Consider the $n$ cones $0 * D_{1}, \ldots, 0 * D_{n}\left(0 * D_{i}\right.$ denotes the join of the origin 0 and the disk $D_{i}$ in $E^{3}$; recall that $C$ is convex so that it makes sense to take the join). We may assume by (3.12) that $f$ has no singularities on some neighborhood of $\left(\cup_{i=1}^{n} 0 * D_{i}\right) \cap M$ in $M$. We may also assume that

$$
B_{i}=f\left(0 * D_{i}\right) \cap[(R \cup \operatorname{Int} R)-(\operatorname{Int} S)] \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)
$$

is a 3 -cell meeting each of $R$ and $S$ in a single disk. Define

$$
M_{0}=M-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left[0 *\left(\operatorname{Int} D_{i}\right)\right]
$$

and $N_{0}=[(R \cup \operatorname{Int} R)-\operatorname{Int} S]-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f\left[0 *\left(\operatorname{Int} D_{i}\right)\right]$. Let $R_{0}=N_{0} \cap R$ and $S_{0}=N_{0} \cap S$.

In order to prove (3.14), it clearly suffices to show that the pairs $\left(N_{0}, R_{0}\right)$ and ( $R_{0} \times I, R_{0} \times\{0\}$ ) are homeomorphic. To this end we show how to use the map $f \mid t C$ and the Loop Theorem to find disks which cut $N_{0}$ apart to form a 3-cell.

Step 1. In $\operatorname{Bd}(t C)$ we choose polygonal $\operatorname{arcs} A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ joining the point $f^{-1}(G) \cap D_{1}$ to the points $f^{-1}(G) \cap D_{2}, \ldots, f^{-1}(G) \cap D_{n}$, respectively. We require that $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=f^{-1}(G) \cap D_{1}$ for $i \neq j$ and that $A_{i} \cap \operatorname{Bd} D_{j}$ be a single point for $j=1$ or $i$ and be empty otherwise. Let $E_{j}=0 * A_{j}(j=2, \ldots, n)$.

Step 2. We adjust $f$ slightly so that $f\left(E_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(E_{n}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Bd} N_{0}$ are all in general position. As a consequence, $\left(f E_{j}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Bd} N_{0}\right)$ is, for fixed $j$, the union of finitely many disjoint simple closed curves in Int $E_{j}$. Since $f \mid t C$ is a homeomorphism on some neighborhood of $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} 0 * D_{i}\right) \cap M$ in $M$, exactly one of those curves, say $J_{j}$, intersects $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} 0 * D_{i}$ and necessarily does so in exactly two arcs, one in $0 * \operatorname{Bd} D_{1}$ and the other in $0 * \operatorname{Bd} D_{j}$. Let $E_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ be the subdisk of $E_{j}$ bounded by $J_{j}$. If $J$ is any other component of $\left(f \mid E_{j}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Bd} N_{0}\right)$, then $f(J) \subset R_{0} \cup S_{0}$; we claim that $f \mid J$ is nullhomotopic in $R_{0} \cup S_{0}$. If not, then
there would be such a $J$ such that (i) $f \mid J$ is not trivial in $R_{0} \cup S_{0}$, but (ii) if $E_{j}(J)$ is the disk in $E_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ bounded by $J$ and $K$ is any other component of $\left[E_{j}(J)\right] \cap\left[\left(f \mid E_{j}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Bd} N_{0}\right)\right]$, then $K$ is trivial in $R_{0} \cup S_{0}$. We can remove those trivial curves $f(K)$ of intersection by adjusting $f \mid E_{j}(J)$. The Loop Theorem applied to the adjusted $f \mid E_{j}(J)$ implies the existence of a disk $D$ in $N-G$ whose boundary lies in $R_{0} \cup S_{0}$ and is nontrivial there. It follows from the existence of $D$ that $\mathrm{Bd} D$ links no loop in $\left(E^{3}-N\right) \cup G$; but it follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Bd} D$ is a nontrivial simple closed curve in $R_{0} \cup S_{0}$, that Bd $D$ does link a loop in $\left(E^{3}-N\right) \cup G$. This contradiction establishes our claim.

Step 3. We adjust each $f \mid E_{j}{ }^{\prime}(j=2, \ldots, n)$ so that $\left(f \mid E_{j}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Bd} N_{0}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Bd} E_{j}{ }^{\prime}$; that this is possible follows from the claim established in Step 2. We note that $f\left(E_{j}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ (as adjusted) necessarily lies in $N_{0}$; we further note that $f \mid \mathrm{Bd} E_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ is nontrivial as a loop in $\mathrm{Bd} N_{0}$ since it links a loop in Int $N_{0}$. Hence we may apply the Loop Theorem to find polyhedral disks $F_{j}(j=2, \ldots, n)$ having the following properties:
(1) $\mathrm{Bd} F_{j}$ lies in $\mathrm{Bd} N_{0}$ and is a nontrivial simple closed curve there.
(2) Int $F_{j} \subset N_{0}$.
(3) $F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}$ are in general position.
(4) $\mathrm{Bd} F_{j}$ lies in the union of $f\left(\operatorname{Bd} E_{j}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ and a small neighborhood of the singularities of $f\left(\mathrm{Bd} E_{j}{ }^{\prime}\right)$. (This last requirement follows from the proof of the Loop Theorem [37].)

It follows from the known properties of $f \mid E_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ and the linking argument indicated in Step 2 that $\operatorname{Bd} F_{j} \not \subset R_{0} \cup S_{0}$. Hence, it follows from (4) that $\mathrm{Bd} F_{j}$ intersects $f\left(0 * \mathrm{Bd} D_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(0 * \mathrm{Bd} D_{j}\right)$ in a single arc from $R_{0}$ to $S_{0}$.

Step 4. Standard arguments can be used to remove intersections in $F_{2}, \ldots, F_{n}$ so that they may be assumed disjoint. If $N_{0}$ is split along $F_{2} \cup \ldots \cup F_{n}$ to form a new manifold-with-boundary $N^{*}$, it is easy to see that $\operatorname{Bd} N^{*}$ is a 2 -sphere, hence that $N^{*}$ is a 3 -cell. With this much structure on the pair ( $N_{0}, R_{0}$ ) it is an easy task to define a homeomorphism

$$
h:\left(N_{0}, R_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(R_{0} \times I, R_{0}\right)
$$

and we leave the details to the reader. This completes the proof of (3.14).
We remark that another proof of (3.14) can be given by using the results of [7;38], or [39].

We now consider various other versions of (3.1). We first recall a definition.
3.15. Definition. An $\operatorname{arc} A$ in $E^{3}$ is said to have $1-A L G$ complement in $E^{3}$ if, for each $\epsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that each loop in $E^{3}-A$ which bounds homologically ( $\mathbf{Z}$ coefficients) in a $\delta$-subset of $E^{3}-A$ also bounds a singular $\epsilon$-disk in $E^{3}-A$.
3.16. Theorem. $A n \operatorname{arc} A$ in $E^{3}$ is tame if it has $1-A L G$ complement in $E^{3}$.

Proof of (3.16). There are two lines of attack available; one can show that an arc which has $1-A L G$ complement has a singular regular neighborhood or
one can proceed directly to mimic the key parts of the proof of (3.1), namely the proof of (3.4) and of (3.3). By work of McMillan [27], it suffices to show that $A$ satisfies the conclusion of (3.3). Since the details of both approaches are fairly standard and are much like what we have already done elsewhere in this paper, we suffice ourselves with a simple indication of the kinds of constructions involved. These indications we simply state as Lemmas (3.17)-(3.19) and accompanying remarks. In each of these lemmas we assume that $J$ is a simple closed curve in $E^{3}$ which contains $A$.
3.17. If (1) $L: S^{1} \rightarrow E^{3}-J$ is a loop bounding a singular disk $D: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}$, (2) L does not link $J$, (3) $D\left(B^{2}\right) \cap J$ is a subset of an arc $A_{0}$ in $J$, and (4) $\epsilon>0$, then $L$ bounds homologically ( $\mathbf{Z}$ coefficients) in $N\left(D\left(B^{2}\right) \cup A_{0}, \epsilon\right)-J$.

Proof. This is a standard duality result.
3.18. If $p \in J$ and $\epsilon>0$, then there is a loop in $N(p, \epsilon)-J$ which has linking number 1 with $J$.

Proof. This is proved in the second and third paragraphs of the proof of (3.4).
Lemmas (3.17) and (3.18) supply curves with any desired homological entanglement with $J$. With these lemmas it is easy to prove, for example, the following
3.19. If $p, q \in \operatorname{Int} A$ and $\epsilon>0$, then there is a singular annulus $A_{0}$ in $N([p, q], \epsilon)-J$ which has linking number 1 with $J$ which has one boundary loop in $N(p, \epsilon)$, the other in $N(q, \epsilon)$. Furthermore, the boundary loops can be taken to be any prescribed loops sufficiently close to $p$ and $q$ and having linking number 1 with $J$.

Proof of (3.19). One takes a finite sequence $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{\mathrm{R}}$ of small loops near the arc $p q$, each having linking number 1 with $J$ (3.18). One connects each consecutive pair $J_{i}, J_{i+1}$ with an $\operatorname{arc} B_{i}$ so chosen (3.18) that $J_{i} B_{i} J_{i+1}{ }^{-1} B_{i}{ }^{-1}$ is a loop $L_{i}$ that does not link $J$. By (3.17) and definition (3.15), $L_{i}$ bounds a small singular disk $D_{i}$ in $E^{3}-J$. Then $A_{0}=\bigcup_{i-1}^{k} D_{i}$.

Just as one constructs singular annuli, so one can construct singular 2 spheres like the singular 2 -sphere $f\left(S_{i}\right)$ in the fourth paragraph of the proof of (3.4). All further details of the proof of (3.16) we leave to the reader.

We now consider one final version of (3.1), namely (3.22). We first need two definitions.
3.20. Definition. An arc $A$ in $E^{3}$ is said to be s-l.p.u. (singularly locally peripherally unknotted) at a point $p \in A$ if, for each $\epsilon>0$ there is singular 2-sphere $f: S^{2} \rightarrow N(p, \epsilon)$ not null-homotopic in $E^{3}-\{p\}$ such that $f^{-1}(A)$ has [order $A_{A}(p)$ ] points and such that all sufficiently small curves around a point $q$ of $f^{-1}(A)$ in $S^{2}$ have images under $f$ which link $A$ locally in $E^{3}$. (Recall that $\left[\operatorname{order}_{A}(p)\right]=1$ or 2 as $p$ is an end or interior point of $A$, respectively.)

An $\operatorname{arc} A$ in $E^{3}$ is said to be s-l.p.u. if it is s-l.p.u. at each point. We say that a singular 2 -sphere like $f$ above meets $A$ regularly and encloses $p$.
3.21. Definition. An $\operatorname{arc} A$ in $E^{3}$ is said to be s-l.u. (singularly locally unknotted) at $p \in \operatorname{Int} A$ if the conclusion of (3.8) is satisfied at $p$. An arc is s-l.u. if it is s-l.u. at each interior point.
3.22. Theorem. $A n \operatorname{arc} A$ in $E^{3}$ is tame if it is s-l.p.u. and s-l.u. (Remark. The hypotheses can be weakened slightly; this will be apparent from the proof.)

Proof. The proof is essentially like that of (3.1) except that Lemma (3.4) becomes more difficult to prove. We shall show the adjustments necessary in a sequence of lemmas, Lemmas (3.23)-(3.27). Our result follows from (3.25), (3.26), (3.27), and (3.9). We shall assume throughout that $J$ is a simple closed curve which contains $A$.
3.23. Suppose $p \in \operatorname{Int} A, 0<\delta<\epsilon<\operatorname{Diam} J$, and $f: S^{2} \rightarrow N(p, \epsilon)$, where $f$ is a singular 2 -sphere that meets $A$ regularly, encloses $p$, and misses $J-A$. Suppose further that $D$ is a polyhedral disk in $E^{3}-J$ whose boundary misses $f\left(S^{2}\right)$ and can be joined to p by an arc $B$ which misses $f\left(S^{2}\right) \cup \operatorname{Int} D$. Then $\operatorname{Bd} D$ bounds a polyhedral disk in $\left[D \cup N\left(f\left(S^{2}\right), \delta\right)\right] \cap N(p, \epsilon)-J$.

Proof of (3.23). We may assume that $D$ and $f\left(S^{2}\right)$ are in general position. We may cover $D-N(p, \epsilon)$ by finitely many disjoint disks-with-holes $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ in (Int $D$ ) - f( $S^{2}$ ). We change $D$ inductively so as to reduce the number of disks-with-holes needed for the covering to zero. This will complete the proof.

Suppose $n>0$. The existence of the $\operatorname{arc} B$ and a linking argument show that $f^{-1}(D)$ is a nonempty collection of disjoint simple closed curves in $S^{2}-f^{-1}(A)$. Each of these bounds a disk in $S^{2}-f^{-1}(A)$ since they have images under $f$ which do not link $J$ (since they lie in $D$ ). If $J_{i}$ is one of these curves, let $E_{i}$ be the disk in $S^{2}-f^{-1}(A)$ bounded by $J_{i}$. If $f \mid J_{i}$ is nullhomotopic in (Int $D$ ) $\cup_{j} D_{j}$, then we can remove the intersection $f\left(J_{i}\right)$ from $f\left(S^{2}\right) \cap D$ by mapping $E_{i}$ into (Int $\left.D\right)-\cup_{j} D_{j}$ and then pushing the image of $E_{i}$ to one side of $D$. This adjustment can be made without disturbing the $\operatorname{arc} B$ which joins $\operatorname{Bd} D$ and $p$ in the complement of $f\left(S^{2}\right) \cup \operatorname{Int} D$. Therefore, after the adjustment, some intersections necessarily remain. We find therefore that we may assume $f \mid J_{i}$ is not nullhomotopic in (Int $D$ ) - $\cup_{j} D_{j}$. Among all such $J_{i}$ 's we choose an $i$ such that the corresponding $E_{i}$ is innermost on $S^{2}$. Then the Loop Theorem [37] as applied to a one sided neighborhood of $\left[D-\cup D_{j}\right] \cup f\left(E_{i}\right)$ supplies a nonsingular disk $E$ in an arbitrary neighborhood of $f\left(E_{i}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Bd} E \subset \operatorname{Int} D, \operatorname{Int} E \subset E^{3}-D$, and $\operatorname{Bd} E$ is not nullhomotopic in (Int $D$ ) $\cup_{j} D_{j}$. We replace the disk $D^{\prime}$ in $D$ bounded by Bd $E$ with the disk $E$. Since $D^{\prime}$ necessarily contains some $D_{j}$ while $E$ does not intersect $E^{3}-N(p, \epsilon)$ we find that, for the new $D, D-N(p, \epsilon)$ may be covered by fewer disks-with-hole in Int $D$ than was true for the old $D$. Again the process can be carried out without disturbing $B$; hence the process iterates and the proof is complete.
3.24. Suppose $f: S^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}$ is a singular 2 -sphere which meets $A$ regularly in two points $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \operatorname{Int} A$ and misses $J-A$. Then for each $\epsilon>0$, there is a polyhedral annulus $B$ in $N\left(f\left(S^{2}\right), \epsilon\right)-J$, with one boundary component in $N\left(x_{1}, \epsilon\right)$, the other in $N\left(x_{2}, \epsilon\right)$.

Proof of (3.24). We may assume that $N\left(x_{1}, \epsilon\right)$ and $N\left(x_{2}, \epsilon\right)$ are disjoint from each other and from $(J-\operatorname{Int} A)$. Let $f_{i}: S^{2} \rightarrow N\left(x_{i}, \epsilon\right)(i=1,2)$ be a singular 2 -sphere which meets $A$ regularly and encloses $x_{i}$. We now proceed as in the proof of (3.4), which proof the reader should at this point review. Instead of the point $t_{i}$ of (3.4), we choose two points $t_{i 1}$ and $t_{i 2}$ very near to and on opposite sides of $x_{i}$ in $A$. Instead of the 2 -sphere $R_{i}$ of (3.4), we choose a nonsingular, polyhedral, connected 2-manifold $R_{i}$ in $E^{3}-J$ very close to $x_{i}$ and having precisely two boundary components, one very near $t_{i 1}$, the other near $t_{i 2}$, each linking $J$ exactly once. (Such an $R_{i}$ may be obtained by applying cut-and-paste techniques to the singular analogue of $R_{i}$ supplied by (3.18), the proof of (3.19), and (3.17); we suppress details.)

Just as we simplified $D_{i}$ in (3.4), so we use $f\left(S^{2}\right)$ to cut off handles from our new $R_{i}$. By (3.23), we may require that any new disk $F$ used in the simplified $R_{i}$ lie in $N\left(x_{i}, \epsilon\right)$.

It may not be immediately clear that the process iterates since the boundary of the next $F$ in the adjustment may intersect the first $F$, hence possibly intersect $f_{i}\left(S^{2}\right)$, in which case (3.23) would be inapplicable. However, a moment of reflection shows that the boundary of the next $F$ used may be adjusted to miss the first $F$ and the process can be completed.

The remainder of the proof proceeds in the fashion of the proof of (3.4).
3.25. The conclusion of (3.4) is valid for $A$.

Proof. One simply chains together annuli obtained from (3.24) to obtain the desired annulus. The proof is much like Harrold's original proof that l.p.u. arcs satisfy the conclusion of (3.4) (cf. [21, proof of Theorem 1]).
3.26. The conclusions of (3.3) is valid for $A$.

Proof. We leave it as an exercise. (Work directly with (3.24) or use (3.25) as (3.4) was used in the proof of (3.3).)
3.27. The conclusions of (3.7) and (3.8) are valid for $A$.

Proof. This is also clear.
3.28. Theorem. A simple closed curve $J$ in $E^{3}$ is tame if $J$ pierces a singular disk at some point and is homogeneous by isotopy. (The curve $J$ is homogeneous by isotopy if, for each $p, q \in J$, there is an isotopy $H: E^{3} \times I \rightarrow E^{3}$ of $E^{3}$ such that $H(A \times\{t\})=A$ for each $t \in I, H_{0}(p)=p$, and $H_{1}(p)=q$.)

Proof. The curve $J$ clearly satisfies the hypotheses of (3.21); hence $J$ is tame.
4. ULC properties in $E^{m}(m \geqq 3)$. We first list the results of Section 2 which generalize without real change in proof to higher dimensions. We then sharpen results (2C.6) and (2C. $6^{\prime}$ ) for use in Section 5.

The following results from Section 2 generalize immediately to the higher dimensional setting where $S$ denotes, instead of a 2 -sphere in $E^{3}$, an $(m-1)$ sphere in $E^{m}(m \geqq 3)$, and where $U$ and $V$ denote the complementary domains of $S$ in $E^{m}$ :
(2A) (ulc$n$, each $n$, coefficients either $\mathbf{Z}$ or $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ ), (2B.1), (2B.2), (2B.3), (2B.4), (2C.1), (2C.2), (2C.3), (2C.4), (2C.5) ((1) and (2)), (2C.6), (2A.1), (2B.4.1), (2C.2.1), (2C.5.1) ( $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}$ disjoint compact absolute retracts; $\left.g(K) \subset f(K) \cup\left(\cup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}\right)\right)$, (2C.5.2), (2C.6.5).

We have been unable to generalize the following results:
(2C.5) ((3)), (2C.7) and addenda.
We have made no attempt to generalize (2C.5.3)-(2C.5.6).
We now proceed toward a proof of a stronger version of (2C.6) (Theorem 4.2)). Suppose that $X$ is a compact subset of the ( $m-1$ )-sphere $S$ in $E^{m}$, $p \in U$, and $h: S \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ is a homeomorphism from $S$ onto the standard ( $m-1$ )-sphere $S^{m-1}$ in $E^{m}$. If $\alpha \in \pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-X, p\right.$ ), then there is a representative loop $f:\left(S^{1}, 1\right) \rightarrow\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$ for $\alpha$, called a standard representative for $\alpha$, such that $f$ is a simple closed curve which pierces $S$ at each point of $f\left(S^{1}\right) \cap S$. There is an embedding $h_{f}:\left(S \cup f\left(S^{1}\right), p\right) \rightarrow\left(E^{m}, 0\right)$ which extends $h: S \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ such that $h_{f} \cdot f$ is a simple closed curve with $h_{f} \cdot f(t) \in \operatorname{Int} S^{m-1}$ if and only if $f(t) \in U$. Define $\varphi: \pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-X, p\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-h(X), 0\right)$ by $\varphi(\alpha)=\operatorname{cls}\left(h_{f} \cdot f\right)$.
4.1. Theorem. The function $\varphi=\varphi_{S, X}$ is well-defined and is a surjective group homomorphism with $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi=\omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$. That is, $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism between $\pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-X, p\right) / \omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$ and $\pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-h(X), 0\right)$.

Note that the homomorphism depends upon $S$ and the homeomorphism $h$; the theorem says however that the kernel of the homomorphism depends only on $X$ itself.

Proof of (4.1). That $\varphi$ is well-defined and a surjective group homomorphism is immediate once we show that if $f$ is an arbitrary standard representative for the trivial homotopy class $\alpha$, then $h_{f} \cdot f$ is nullhomotopic in $E^{m}-h(X)$. (We retain the notation of the paragraph in which $\varphi$ is defined.) Let $f^{*}: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{m}-$ $X$ be an extension of $f:\left(S^{1}, 1\right) \rightarrow\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$ (where $S^{1}=\operatorname{Bd} B^{2}$ ) as promised by the supposition that $f$ represents the trivial class in $\pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$. Note that the sets $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}, R_{1}=(S-X) \cup\left(f\left(S^{1}\right) \cap V\right)$ and $R_{2}=$ $(S-X) \cup\left(f\left(S^{1}\right) \cap U\right)$, are open subsets of topological polyhedra, hence are absolute neighborhood retracts. It follows that there is a closed neighborhood $N$ of $S^{1} \cup\left(f^{*}\right)^{-1}(S)$ in $B^{2}$ that is a finite union of disjoint disks-with-holes and an extension $g: N \rightarrow f\left(S^{1}\right) \cup(S-X)=R_{1} \cup R_{2}$ of $f^{*} \mid\left[S^{1} \cup\left(f^{*}\right)^{-1}(S)\right]$ such that, for each $x \in N$,
(1) $f^{*}(x) \in S \cup V$ implies $g(x) \in R_{1} \subset S \cup V$, and
(2) $f^{*}(x) \in S \cup U$ implies $g(x) \in R_{2} \subset S \cup U$.

Let $M$ be the component of $N$ that has $S^{1}$ as one boundary component. Then $h_{f} \cdot g \mid M: M \rightarrow S^{m-1} \cup h_{f} \cdot f\left(S^{1}\right)$ and each boundary component of $M$ distinct from $S^{1}$ is mapped by $h_{f} \cdot g$ to a looplying either in ( $\left.S^{m-1} \cup \operatorname{Ext} S^{-m 1}\right)-h(X)$ or in $\left(S^{m-1} \cup \operatorname{Int} S^{m-1}\right)-h(X)$ (by (1) or (2)). In either case, such a loop bounds a singular disk in $E^{m}-h(X)$ since $S^{m-1}$ is flat. Thus $h_{f} \cdot f$ bounds a singular disk in $E^{m}-h(X)$ as desired. We conclude easily that $\varphi$ is well-defined and a surjective group homomorphism.

In order to see that $\omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$, observe that

$$
\varphi\left(\omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)\right) \subset \omega\left(E^{m}-h(X), 0\right)
$$

(by (2C.6.2)) and that $\omega\left(E^{m}-h(X), 0\right)=1$ since $\pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-h(X), 0\right)$ is a free group (cf. (2C.6.3)).

Suppose finally that $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$. That means that if $f$ is a standard representative for $\alpha, h_{f} \cdot f$ is nullhomotopic in $E^{m}-h(X)$. Let $f^{*}: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{m}-h(X)$ be an extension of $h_{f} \cdot f$ such that $S^{m-1}$ and the singular disk $f^{*}\left(B^{2}\right)$ are in general position. It follows that the components of $\left(f^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(S^{m-1}\right)$ form a finite collection of mutually disjoint simple closed curves in Int $B^{2}$, which curves we ignore, and disjoint spanning $\operatorname{arcs} \mathscr{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathscr{A}_{k}$ of $B^{2}$. Examine the map

$$
g: S^{1} \cup \mathscr{A}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathscr{A}_{k} \rightarrow E^{m}-X
$$

defined by $g(x)=h_{f}{ }^{-1} \circ f^{*}(x)$ for each $x \in S^{1} \cup \mathscr{A}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathscr{A}_{k}$. Let $U_{i}$ be a component of $B^{2}-\left(S^{1} \cup \mathscr{A}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathscr{A}_{k}\right)$. Then $J_{i}=\operatorname{Bd} U_{i}$ is a simple closed curve and $g \mid J_{i}$ is a loop $L_{i}$ in either $(S \cup V)-X$ or in $(S \cup U)-X$. It follows that $\alpha=\operatorname{cls} f=\operatorname{cls}\left(a_{1} L_{1} a_{1}{ }^{-1}\right) \ldots \operatorname{cls}\left(a_{k+1} L_{k+1} a_{k+1}{ }^{-1}\right)$ where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k+1}$ are paths in $E^{m}-X$. But it follows from the higher dimensional version of Theorem (2C.6.5) that each loop $a_{i} L_{i} a_{i}{ }^{-1}$ represents an element of $\omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$ since $L_{i}$ does not intersect both components of $E^{m}-S$. Hence $\alpha \in \omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi \subset \omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$. This completes the proof of Theorem (4.1).

Addendum to Theorem (4.1). If $f$ is a standard representative of a class $\alpha \in \pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$, then $\alpha \in \omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$ if and only if there is a partition $\mathscr{P}=\left[\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{r}, b_{r}\right)\right]$ of $f\left(S^{1}\right) \cap S$ into disjoint pairs such that for each $i$ and $j(i \neq j)$,
(1) $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are the endpoints of an arc $A_{i}$ in $S-X$, and
(2) $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are not separated by $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ in $f\left(S^{1}\right)$.

Proof. If $\alpha \in \omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$, then $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ker} \varphi$ by Theorem (4.1). In this case proceed as in the proof of the inclusion $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi \subset \omega\left(E^{m}-X, p\right)$ to find the spanning $\operatorname{arcs} \mathscr{A}_{i}$ of that proof. Let $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ be the images under $g$ of the endpoints of $\mathscr{A}_{i}$ and let the $A_{i}$ required by conclusion (1) of the addendum be an $\operatorname{arc}$ in $g\left(\mathscr{A}_{i}\right)$ from $a_{i}$ to $b_{i}$. The converse is proved in essentially the same manner.

Linking compact subsets of $(m-1)$-spheres in $E^{m}$. Consider the sphere $S$, compact subset $X$, loop $f$, partition $\mathscr{P}$, and $\operatorname{arcs} A_{i}$ of the Addendum to

Theorem (4.1). If the arcs $A_{i}$ can be chosen to have diameter less than $\epsilon$, then we say that $f$ does not $\epsilon$-link $X$ on $S$. We can now state the promised sharpened version of (2C.6).
4.2. Theorem. Suppose that $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are $(m-1)$-spheres in $E^{m}$ and that $X$ is a compact subset of $S \cap\left(S^{\prime} \cup\right.$ Ext $\left.S^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose further that $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Int} S^{\prime}$ is a standard representative (with respect to $S$ ) of an element of $\pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-X\right)$ and that $f$ bounds a singular $\epsilon$-disk $D: B^{2} \rightarrow S^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Int} S^{\prime}$. Then $f$ does not $\epsilon$-link $X$ on $S$.

Proof. Let $N$ be a connected open subset of $S^{\prime} \cup \operatorname{Int} S^{\prime}$ which contains $D$ and has diameter less than $\epsilon$. By the higher dimensional version of Theorem (2C.6.5), $f$ represents an element of $\omega\left(N \cap \operatorname{Int} S^{\prime}\right)=\omega\left(N^{\prime}\right)$. Let $X^{\prime}=$ $X \cup\left(\operatorname{Bd} N^{\prime} \cap S\right)$. Then there is a natural homomorphism

$$
\pi_{1}\left(N^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(E^{m}-X^{\prime}\right)
$$

induced by the inclusion $N^{\prime} \subset E^{m}-X^{\prime}$. It follows, with the help of Proposition (2C.6.2), that $f$ represents an element of $\omega\left(E^{m}-X^{\prime}\right)$. The Addendum to Theorem (4.1) supplies a partition $\mathscr{P}=\left[\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{r}, b_{r}\right)\right]$ of $f\left(S^{1}\right) \cap S$ and $\operatorname{arcs} A_{1}, \ldots, A_{\tau}$ in $S-X^{\prime}$ satisfying (1) and (2) of the Addendum. But each $\operatorname{arc} A_{i}$ has its endpoints in $N^{\prime}$ and misses $\mathrm{Bd} N^{\prime}$, therefore is of diameter less than $\epsilon$. The proof is complete.

We now prove the higher dimensional version of (2C.6').
4.3. Theorem. Suppose that $S, S^{\prime}$, and $X$ are as in (4.2) and that $E^{m}-S$ is $1-U L C$ in $E^{m}-X$. Then Int $S^{\prime}$ is $1-U L C$ in $E^{m}-X$.

Proof. Suppose $\epsilon>0$ given. Choose $\alpha>0$ such that $3 \alpha$-loops in $E^{m}-S$ bound singular $\epsilon / 3$-disks in $E^{m}-X$. Choose $\beta, 0<\beta<\alpha$, such that $\beta$-loops in Int $S^{\prime}$ bound singular $\alpha$-disks in $S^{\prime} \cup$ Int $S^{\prime}$. Let $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Int} S^{\prime}$ be a $\beta$-loop in Int $S^{\prime}$. We may assume that $f$ is in standard position with respect to $S$ (i.e., pierces $S$ at each point of $f\left(S^{1}\right) \cap S$ and is a simple closed curve); by our choice of $\beta$, $f$ does not $\alpha$-link $X$ on $S$ (Theorem (4.2)). Let

$$
\mathscr{P}=\left[\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{r}, b_{r}\right)\right]
$$

be a partition of $f\left(S^{1}\right) \cap S$ such that, for each $i$ and $j(i \neq j)$,
(1) $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ lie in an $\alpha$-arc $A_{i}$ in $S-X$, and
(2) $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are not separated by $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ in $f\left(S^{1}\right)$.

Let $a_{i}{ }^{\prime}=f^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right), b_{i}{ }^{\prime}=f^{-1}\left(b_{i}\right)$, and let $A_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ be the straight line interval spanning $B^{2}\left(S^{1}=\operatorname{Bd} B^{2}\right)$ from $a_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ to $b_{i}{ }^{\prime}$. Note that $A_{i}{ }^{\prime} \cap A_{j}{ }^{\prime}=\emptyset$ by (2). Let $f^{*}: S^{1} \cup A_{1}{ }^{\prime} \cup \ldots \cup A_{r}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{m}-X$ be an extension of $f$ which takes $A_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ to $A_{i}$. Let $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{r+1}$ denote the components of

$$
B^{2}-\left(S^{1} \cup A_{1}^{\prime} \cup \ldots \cup A_{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Note that $\operatorname{Bd} U_{i}=J_{i}$ is a simple closed curve mapped by $f^{*}$ to a loop $L_{i}$ in $E^{m}-X$ which has diameter less than $3 \alpha$ and which does not intersect both
complementary domains of $S$. In order to shrink $f$ in an $\epsilon$-subset of $E^{m}-X$ it suffices to shrink each $L_{i}$ in an $\epsilon / 3$-subset of $E^{m}-X$, which we may do by our choice of $\alpha$ (where we here use the fact that $3 \alpha$-loops in $E^{m}-S$ bound singular $\epsilon / 3$-disks in $\left.E^{m}-X\right)$.
5. Locally spherical $(m-1)$-spheres in $E^{m}(m \geqq 3)$. An $(m-1)$ sphere $S$ in $E^{m}$ is said to be locally spherical if for each $p \in S$ and each $\epsilon>0$ there is an $(m-1)$-sphere $S^{\prime}$ in $E^{m}$ of diameter less than $\epsilon$ such that $p \in \operatorname{Int} S^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime}-S$ is simply connected. If $m=3$, then the condition that $S^{\prime}-S$ be simply connected is equivalent to the requirement that $S \cap S^{\prime}$ be connected.
5.1. Theorem. If $S$ is a locally spherical $(m-1)$-sphere in $E^{m}$, then $E^{m}-S$ is $1-U L C$.
5.1.1. Lemma. Suppose the following given:
(1) $S$, an $(m-1)$-sphere in $E^{m}$;
(2) $L: S^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Int} S$, a loop;
(3) $D: B^{2} \rightarrow S \cup \operatorname{Int} S$, a singular disk bounded by $L$;
(4) $S^{\prime}$, an $(m-1)$-sphere with $L \subset \operatorname{Ext} S^{\prime}$ such that $S^{\prime}-S$ is simply connected;
(5) $K$, a compact subset of $\left(\operatorname{Int} S^{\prime}\right) \cap S$;
(6) $\epsilon$, a positive number.

Then $L$ bounds a singular disk

$$
D^{\prime \prime}: B^{2} \rightarrow[(S \cup \operatorname{Int} S)-K] \cap\left[N\left(D\left(B^{2}\right), \epsilon\right) \cup\left(S^{\prime}-S\right)\right]
$$

Proof. We ignore the details of epsilontics but require that all things chosen to be small be chosen very small with respect to both $\epsilon$ and to the distance from $K$ to $S^{\prime}$.

By the higher dimensional version of (2C.2.1), we may assume that $D^{-1}(S)$ is a 0 -dimensional subset of $\operatorname{Int} B^{2}$. Choose a finite collection $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ of disjoint disks in Int $B^{2}$ with boundaries missing $D^{-1}(S)$ such that they form an essential cover for $D^{-1}\left(S \cap S^{\prime}\right)$ and such that the image of each under $D$ has very small diameter.

We may assume that $D \mid \operatorname{Bd} B_{i}$ is a loop in standard position (i.e., pierces $S^{\prime}$ at each point of intersection with $S^{\prime}$ and is a simple closed curve) with respect to $S^{\prime}$ for each $i$. Since $D \mid \operatorname{Bd} B_{i}$ is a very small loop missing $S$, by Theorem (4.2) there are disjoint spanning arcs $A_{i j}$ in $B_{i}$ joining the finitely many points of $\left(D \mid \operatorname{Bd} B_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ into pairs such that $D \mid B^{2}-\cup \operatorname{Int} B_{i}$ can be extended to a $\operatorname{map} D^{\prime}:\left(B^{2}-\cup \operatorname{Int} B_{i}\right) \cup\left[\cup A_{i j}\right] \rightarrow S \cup \operatorname{Int} S$ such that $D^{\prime} \mid A_{i j}$ takes $A_{i j}$ into a very small subset of $S^{\prime}-S$.

Let $U$ be the component of $B^{2}-\left(D^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ which contains $\mathrm{Bd} B^{2}$. Let $F=\mathrm{Cl} U$ (closure taken in $B^{2}$ ), and let $G=B^{2}-U$. We are prepared to define $D^{\prime \prime}$, first on $F$ and then by extension to $G$.

Define $D^{\prime \prime} \mid F: F \rightarrow S \cup \operatorname{Int} S$ as follows: If $x \in F \cap$ Domain $D^{\prime}$, define $D^{\prime \prime}(x)=D^{\prime}(x)$. If $x \in\left[F \cap \operatorname{Int} B_{i}\right]-\left[\cup A_{i j}\right] \subset B^{2}-D o m a i n D^{\prime}$, then $x$ is
in a component $V$ of $\operatorname{Int} B_{i}-\cup A_{i j}$. The set $\mathrm{Bd} V$ is a simple closed curve mapped by $D^{\prime}$ into a small subset of $S \cup \operatorname{Int} S$ and close to a point of

$$
D\left(B^{2}\right) \cap S^{\prime} \cap S
$$

Hence $D^{\prime} \mid \operatorname{Bd} V: \operatorname{Bd} V \rightarrow S \cup \operatorname{Int} S$ bounds a small singular disk

$$
V^{\prime}: \mathrm{Cl} V \rightarrow S \cup \operatorname{Int} S
$$

that lies in a small neighborhood of $D\left(B^{2}\right) \cap S^{\prime} \cap S$, hence misses $K$. Define $D^{\prime \prime} \mid \mathrm{Cl} V=V^{\prime}$.

Extend $D^{\prime \prime}$ to $B^{2}=F \cup G$ as follows: Since $S^{\prime}-S$ is an absolute neighborhood retract, there is a neighborhood $N$ of $\left(D^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\left(D^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}-S\right)$ in $G$ and a map $r: N \rightarrow S^{\prime}-S$ which extends $D^{\prime} \mid\left(D^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. Note that

$$
\left(D^{\prime \prime} \mid F\right) \cup r: F \cup N \rightarrow S \cup \operatorname{Int} S
$$

and that $N \cup F$ contains a neighborhood $N^{\prime}$ of $F \cup\left(D^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ in $B^{2}$. We may assume that $\mathrm{Bd} N^{\prime}$ is a union of $\mathrm{Bd} B^{2}$ and disjoint simple closed curves $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{m}$ in Int $B^{2}$. One of the components $N^{\prime \prime}$ of $B^{2}-\cup J_{i}$ contains $F-\operatorname{Bd} B^{2}$ in its interior. We may assume $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{p}$ are the boundary components of $N^{\prime \prime}$ distinct from $\operatorname{Bd} B^{2}$. Define $D^{\prime \prime}\left|N^{\prime \prime}=\left[\left(D^{\prime \prime} \mid F\right) \cup r\right]\right| N^{\prime \prime}$. Note that $D^{\prime \prime}$ as defined thus far takes each $J_{i}(i=1, \ldots, p)$ into $S^{\prime}-S$. Since $S^{\prime}-S$ is simply connected, $D^{\prime \prime} \mid N^{\prime \prime}$ can be extended across the disk in $B^{2}$ bounded by $J_{i}$ so as to take it into $S^{\prime}-S$.

The map $D^{\prime \prime}$ as defined above satisfies the requirements of (5.1.1).
Proof of Theorem (5.1). Suppose $\epsilon>0$ given. Choose $\delta>0$ such that $\delta$-loops in $E^{m}-S$ bound singular $\epsilon$-disks which do not intersect both complementary domains of $S$. Let $f: S^{1} \rightarrow E^{m}-S$ be a $\delta$-loop. For concreteness, assume $f\left(S^{1}\right) \subset \operatorname{Int} S$. Let $D: B^{2} \rightarrow S \cup \operatorname{Int} S$ be a singular $\epsilon$-disk bounded by $f$. Let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}$ be $(m-1)$-spheres and $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{k}$ compact sets with

$$
K_{i} \subset\left(\operatorname{Int} S_{i}\right) \cap S(i=1, \ldots, k)
$$

such that
(1) $\operatorname{Diam}\left[D\left(B^{2}\right) \cup S_{1} \cup \ldots \cup S_{k}\right]<\epsilon$,
(2) $\left(f\left(S^{1}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ext} S_{i}\right.$, for each $i$,
(3) $S_{i}-S$ is simply connected, for each $i$,
(4) $D\left(B^{2}\right) \cap S \subset \cup_{i=1}^{k}$ Int $K_{i}$.

Choose $\epsilon_{1}>0$ such that
(5) $\operatorname{Diam}\left[D\left(B^{2}\right) \cup S_{1} \cup \ldots \cup S_{k}\right]-2 \epsilon_{1}<\epsilon$,
(6) $\left[N\left(D\left(B^{2}\right), \epsilon_{1}\right) \cap S\right]-K_{1} \subset \cup_{i=2}^{k} \operatorname{Int} K_{i}$.

Let $D_{1}: B^{2} \rightarrow\left[(S \cup \operatorname{Int} S)-K_{1}\right] \cap\left[N\left(D\left(B^{2}\right), \epsilon_{1}\right) \cup\left(S^{\prime}-S\right)\right]$ be a map as promised by Lemma (5.1.1). Note that
(1) ${ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Diam}\left[D_{1}\left(B^{2}\right) \cup S_{2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{k}\right]<\epsilon$,
$(2)^{\prime} f\left(S^{1}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ext} S_{i}(i=2, \ldots, k)$,
(3)' $S_{i}-S$ is simply connected ( $i=2, \ldots, k$ ),
(4) $)^{\prime} D_{1}\left(B^{2}\right) \cap S \subset \cup_{i=2}^{k}$ Int $K_{i}$.

An iteration of the procedure yields after $k$ steps a singular $\epsilon$-disk

$$
D_{k}: B^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Int} S
$$

bounded by $f$. We conclude that $E^{m}-S$ is $1-U L C$.
Remark. An $(m-1)$-sphere $S$ in $E^{m}$ is said to be locally capped if for each $p \in S$, each component $U$ of $E^{m}-S$, and each $\epsilon>0$ there is an embedding $f: E^{m-1} \rightarrow U$ of $E^{m-1}$ in an $\epsilon$-subset of $U$ such that if $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ is an unbounded sequence in $E^{m-1}$, then $\left\{f\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}$ approaches $S$ and such that $p$ is in an $\epsilon$-component of $(S \cup U)-\mathrm{Cl} f\left(E^{m-1}\right)$. By a method almost identical with the proof of Theorem (5.1), one can prove the following theorem.
5.2. Theorem. If $S$ is an $(m-1)$-sphere in $E^{m}$ and $S$ is locally capped, then $E^{m}-S$ is $1-U L C$.
5.3. Corollary. If $S$ is a 2-sphere in $E^{3}$ and $S$ is locally spherical or locally capped, then $S$ is tame.

Proof. A 2-sphere in $E^{3}$ is tame if $E^{3}-S$ is $1-U L C$ [44].
6. $U L C$ approximation theorems for surfaces in $E^{3}$. The results of this section were obtained in our attempt to give a proof of the Hosay-Lininger Theorem (cf. [23; 25], and [15]) that was independent of Bing's Side Approximation Theorem. We hoped thereby to develop techniques that would be applicable in higher dimensional problems as well. We did essentially free the proof from the Side Approximation Theorem; however, in order that we obtain higher dimensional results, it is still necessary that we find some sort of substitute for (6.4). We have been unable as of yet to find such a substitute. We nevertheless report our partial results here.

Our main results are Theorems (6.1), (6.5), and (6.7). They are special cases of known theorems (cf. $[\mathbf{2 3} ; \mathbf{2 5} ; \mathbf{1 5} ; \mathbf{3}]$ ) originally proved by the Side Approximation Theorem. Each follows rather directly from its own main lemma (respectively, (6.2), (6.6), and (6.8)). Our approach is as follows. In the case of (6.1), we first show how (6.1) follows from (6.2), then prove (6.3) and (6.4), and finally use (6.3) and (6.4) to prove (6.2). We then indicate the slight changes in technique necessary to prove (6.5), (6.7), and related lemmas.

The main ideas involved in the proof of (6.1) are very simple: show that one can reembed a crumpled cube so as to have $1-U L C$ complement by arranging that certain loops in horizontal planes be freed from entanglement with the crumpled cube (Lemma (6.3)); show how to tear apart linked handles of a crumpled cube so as to free horizontal loops from entanglement (Lemma (6.4)). The technical difficulties in the program, however, are considerable. Not the least of these is showing that, after one has torn apart a number of linked handles, one has really made progress toward making the complement of the crumpled cube $1-U L C$. One needs some sort of object that "remembers"
the progress made; this memory arrangement is built into the notion of $n$-pair for a crumpled cube (which is the subject of Lemma (6.2)). One needs also to be able to compare $1-U L C$ properties of crumpled cubes whose boundaries are close homeomorphically. The necessary descriptive apparatus for this measurement comes from Section 2, (2C.5.3)-(2C.5.6). We recall those results. Suppose $S$ is a 2 -sphere in $E^{3}$ and $\delta>0$. We defined
$\epsilon(S, \delta)=\inf \{\epsilon>0 \mid \delta$-sets in $S$ lie in simply connected ( $\leqq \epsilon)$-sets in $S\}$.
We proved the following facts.
(i) A $\delta$-loop in $\operatorname{Int} S(\operatorname{Ext} S)$ bounds a singular $\epsilon(S, \delta)+\delta$-disk in $S \cup \operatorname{Int} S$ $(S \cup \operatorname{Ext} S)$.
(ii) $\epsilon(S, \delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.
(iii) If $h: S \rightarrow E^{3}$ is an $\alpha$-homeomorphism, then

$$
\epsilon(h(S), \delta) \leqq \epsilon(S, \delta+2 \alpha)+2 \alpha
$$

We now state the main result of this section.
6.1. Theorem. Suppose $C$ is a crumpled cube in $E^{3}$ and $\epsilon>0$. Then there is an $\epsilon$-homeomorphism $h: C \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that $E^{3}-h(C)$ is $1-U L C$.

Proof. The homeomorphism $h$ will be a limit of homeomorphisms obtained by repeated application of (6.2), whose statement must be preceded by a definition.
6.2.0. Definition. If $C$ is a crumpled cube in $E^{3}$ and $n$ a positive integer, then a pair $(h, P)$ is called an $n$-pair for $C$ if
(1) $h: C \rightarrow E^{3}$ is a $1 / n$-homeomorphism,
(2) $E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n) \subset P=\mathrm{Cl} P \subset E^{3}-h(C)$, and
(3) if $1 \leqq i \leqq n$, then each $1 / i$-loop in $E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n)$ bounds a singular disk in $P$ of diameter less than $3[\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C, 1 / i+1 / n)+(1 / i+1 / n)]$.
6.2. If $C$ is a crumpled cube in $E^{3}$ and $n$ is a positive integer, then there is an $n$-pair for $C$.

We assume (6.2) for the moment and use it to prove (6.1). We choose iteratively an increasing sequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of positive integers and pairs $\left\{\left(h_{i}, P_{i}\right)\right\}$ so as to satisfy a number of conditions. We first list five of these and some of their consequences:
(4) $\sum 1 / n_{i}<\epsilon$.
(5) $2^{i} \leqq 2 \cdot n_{i-1} \leqq n_{i}$.
(6) ( $h_{i}, P_{i}$ ) is an $n_{i}$-pair for $h_{i-1} \ldots h_{1}(C)$.
(7) If $\rho_{i}=\inf \left\{\rho\left(h_{i-1} \ldots h_{1}(x), h_{i-1} \ldots h_{1}(y)\right) \mid \rho(x, y) \geqq 1 / i\right\}$, then

$$
\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} 1 / n_{j}<\rho_{i} / 2
$$

(8) $\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} 1 / n_{j}<\rho\left(P_{i-1}, h_{i-1} \ldots h_{1}(C)\right)$.

It follows from (4) and (6) (or (5) and (6)) that ( $h=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} h_{i} \ldots h_{1}$ ):
$C \rightarrow E^{3}$ exists and is continuous; from (4) that $h$ is an $\epsilon$-map; from (7) that $h$ is $1-1$; hence a homeomorphism; from (8) that

$$
P_{i} \subset E^{3}-N\left(h_{i} \ldots h_{1}(C), 1 / n_{i+1}\right) \subset \operatorname{Int} P_{i+1} \subset E^{3}-h(C)
$$

from (5) and (6) that
$P_{i} \supset E^{3}-N\left(h_{i-1} \ldots h_{1}(C), 1 / n_{i}\right) \supset E^{3}-N\left(h(C), \sum_{j=i-1}^{\infty} 1 / n_{j}\right) \supset$

$$
E^{3}-N\left(h(C), 1 / 2^{i-2}\right) .
$$

Hence $\cup P_{i}=E^{3}-h(C)$.
We now add a condition that forces $E^{3}-h(C)$ to be $1-U L C$. We recommend that the reader review at this point the properties of $\epsilon(S, \delta)$ outlined at the beginning of this section. Note especially property (iii). We may require that, for each $i$,
(9) $\epsilon\left[h_{i-1} \ldots h_{1}(\operatorname{Bd} C),\left(2 / n_{i}\right)+2\left(2 / n_{i}\right)\right]+2\left(2 / n_{i}\right)<1 / i$.

This is possible by property (ii). In order to see that, under conditions (4)-(9), $E^{3}-h(C)$ must be $1-U L C$, suppose given a positive integer $i$ and a $1 / n_{i}$-loop $L$ in $E^{3}-h(C)$. We determined in the previous paragraph that, for each sufficiently large integer $j>i$,

$$
|L| \subset P_{j-1} \subset E^{3}-N\left(h_{j-1} \ldots h_{1}(C), 1 / n_{j}\right) \subset P_{j} \subset E^{3}-h(C)
$$

Thus by (6) and (3), L bounds a singular disk $E$ in $P_{j} \subset E^{3}-h(C)$ of diameter less than

$$
\left.3 \cdot\left\{\epsilon\left[h_{j-1} \ldots h_{1}(\operatorname{Bd} C), 1 / n_{i}+1 / n_{j}\right)+\left(1 / n_{i}+1 / n_{j}\right)\right]\right\} .
$$

But $h_{i-1} \ldots h_{1}(\operatorname{Bd} C)$ and $h_{j-1} \ldots h_{1}(\mathrm{Bd} C)$ are homeomorphically within $2 / n_{i}$ of each other by (5). Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon\left[h_{j-1} \ldots h_{1}(\operatorname{Bd} C), 1 / n_{i}+1 / n_{j}\right] \\
& \quad \leqq \epsilon\left[h_{i-1} \ldots h_{1}(\operatorname{Bd} C), 1 / n_{i}+1 / n_{j}+4 / n_{i}\right]+4 / n_{i}<1 / i
\end{aligned}
$$

by (iii) and (9). Thus Diam $E<3\left(1 / i+1 / n_{i}+1 / n_{j}\right)<9 / i$. We conclude that $E^{3}-h(C)$ is $1-U L C$. This completes the proof of (6.1).
We now return to (6.2). Lemma (6.2) depends on two further lemmas, which we now state and prove.

Our first lemma for (6.2) shows that, in order to shrink a loop in the complement of a crumpled cube, it suffices to shrink certain small "horizontal" loops in the complement of that crumpled cube.
6.3. Suppose $C$ is a crumpled cube in $E^{3}, \delta>0, \eta>0$, and $J$ is a $\delta$-loop in $E^{3}-C$. Then $J$ is a boundary loop of some singular $\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C, \delta)+\delta$-disk-withholes $D_{0}$ in $E^{3}-C$ such that each remaining boundary loop

$$
J_{j}(1 \leqq j \leqq k ; k \geqq 0) \text { of } D_{0}
$$

is an $\eta$-loop, lies in an $\eta$-neighborhood of $C$, and lies in some horizontal plane.

Proof. By (2C.2.1) and property (i) of this section, $J$ bounds a singular $\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C, \delta)+\delta$-disk $D: B^{2} \rightarrow E^{3}-\operatorname{Int} C$ such that $D^{-1}(C)$ is totally disconnected. We may assume, making $\eta$ smaller if necessary, that

$$
\left(\operatorname{Diam} D\left(B^{2}\right)\right)+2 \eta<\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C, \delta)+\delta .
$$

We choose pairs of rectangular or cubical open sets $M_{1} \subset N_{1}, \ldots, M_{r} \subset N_{T}$ covering $D\left(B^{2}\right) \cap C$ such that each $M_{i}$ contains a point of $C$ and each $N_{i}$ is of diameter less than $\eta$. By property (i) of this section, (2C.2.1), and (2C.6) (cf. [10, §2; 11, proof of Theorem 1]), we may choose these pairs in such a manner that
${ }^{(*)}$ If $\left\{\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{s}\right\}$ is a finite family of horizontal planes and $K: S^{1} \rightarrow M_{\rho}-C$ is a loop, then $K$ bounds a singular disk in

$$
N_{\rho}-\left[(\operatorname{Bd} C) \cap\left(\cup_{\sigma} \pi_{\sigma}\right)\right](\rho=1, \ldots, r)
$$

Since $D^{-1}(C)$ is totally disconnected, it is possible to cover $D^{-1}(C) \subset B^{2}$ by the interiors of disjoint disks $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}(m \geqq 0)$ in Int $B^{2}$ such that the image under $D$ of each $D_{j}$ lies in some one of the sets $M_{\rho}$.

The setting which we have just described is precisely the kind of setting to which we can apply the argument of [11, Theorem 1]. We conclude from that argument that $D \mid \operatorname{Bd} D_{j}$ is a boundary loop of a disk-with-holes $E_{j}$ in $N_{\rho}-C$ such that each of the other boundary loops of $E_{j}$ lies in a horizontal plane. Then $D_{0}=\left[D\left(B^{2}-\cup D\left(D_{j}\right)\right] \cup\left(\cup E_{j}\right)\right.$ satisfies the requirements of (6.3) (difference and union are taken here in a combinatorial rather than set-theoretic sense).

Our second lemma for (6.2) describes how one might pull apart "linked handles" in a crumpled cube $C$. This lemma is essentially due to Hosay [23] and was described to us verbally by R. H. Bing.
6.4. Suppose the following given: $D$, a polyhedral disk in $S^{3} ; N$, a regular neighborhood of $\mathrm{Bd} D$ in $S^{3} ; H$, a compact and arcwise-connected subset of $S^{3}-(D \cup N) ; C$, a compact, arcwise-connected, and simply connected subset of $S^{3}-(N \cup H) ; C^{\prime}$, a component of $C-D$. Then, for each $\epsilon>0$, there is a homeomorphism $h: H \cup C \rightarrow S^{3}-D$ such that
(1) $h$ is the identity except on $N\left(C-C^{\prime}, \epsilon\right)$, and
(2) either $h(x)=x$ or $h(x) \in N(D-N, \epsilon)$, for $x \in H \cup C$.

Proof. Let $M=S^{3}$ - Int $N$ and $M_{0}=M-D$. Let $p: M^{*} \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering of $M$. There is a lifting $f: H \cup C \rightarrow M^{*}$ (i.e., $p f=$ identity) such that $f\left(H \cup C^{\prime}\right)$ lies in a single component $M_{0}{ }^{*}$ of $p^{-1}\left(M_{0}\right)$. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ denote the disks which are the components of $p^{-1}(D)$ in $\mathrm{Cl} M_{0}{ }^{*}$. Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be two other components of $p^{-1}(D)$ so chosen that the component $M_{1}{ }^{*}$ of $M^{*}-\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)$ which contains both $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ in its boundary also contains $f(H \cup C) \cup \mathrm{Cl} M_{0}{ }^{*}$. Choose polyhedral disks $E_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $E_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ in $M_{0}{ }^{*}$ very close to $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, respectively, and parallel to them in $M^{*}$. There is a homeomorphism $h_{0}: \mathrm{Cl} M_{1}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl} M_{0}{ }^{*}$ which fixes the cell in $M_{0}{ }^{*}$ bounded by $E_{1}{ }^{\prime}$
and $E_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and which takes each $F_{i}$ to an $E_{j}(i, j=1,2)$. Then

$$
p \cdot h_{0} \cdot f: H \cup C \rightarrow S^{3}
$$

satisfies (1) and (2) provided only that $E_{1}^{\prime}$ and $E_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ are chosen close enough to $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, respectively. This completes the proof of (6.4).

Proof of (6.2). We recall that we are given a crumpled cube $C$ in $E^{3}$ and a positive integer $n$ and that we seek a pair $(h, P)$ such that
(1) $h: C \rightarrow E^{3}$ is a $1 / n$-homeomorphism,
(2) $E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n) \subset P=\mathrm{Cl} P \subset E^{3}-h(C)$, and
(3) If $1 \leqq i \leqq n$, then each $1 / i$-loop in $E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n)$ bounds a singular disk in $P$ of diameter less than $3 \cdot[\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C, 1 / i+1 / n)+(1 / i+1 / n)]$.

The problem is that of pulling apart (by a homeomorphism $h: C \rightarrow E^{3}$ ) certain of the linked handles of $C$ so as to remove the obstruction to shrinking (in $E^{3}-h(C)$ ) loops from $E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n)$. Our proof will eventually depend on a reduction to a finite number the loops that must be considered in satisfying conclusion (3) above (cf. ( $6.2^{\prime \prime \prime}$ )). However, the notation necessary to describe the reduction would at this point tend to obscure the essential simplicity of the proof. Since even the finite case (cf. $\left(6.2^{\prime \prime}\right)$ ) requires more notation than we care to impose upon the reader at this point, we consider first the following case:
6.2 ${ }^{\prime}$. Special case. If $J: S^{1} \rightarrow E^{3}-C$ is a single $(1 / 3 n+1 / i)$-loop $(1 \leqq$ $i \leqq n$ ), then there is a pair ( $h, P$ ) satisfying (1), (2), and
$\left(3^{\prime}\right) J\left(S^{1}\right) \subset P$ and $J$ bounds a singular disk of diameter less than $\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C$, $1 / i+1 / n)+(1 / i+1 / n)$ in $P$.

Proof of (6.2'). Let $P_{0}$ be a closed, locally finite, connected polyhedron such that

$$
\left[E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n)\right] \cup J\left(S^{1}\right) \subset \operatorname{Int} P_{0} \subset P_{0} \subset E^{3}-C
$$

The $P$ required by ( $6.2^{\prime}$ ) will be the union of $P_{0}$ and a certain disk bounded by $J$.

Step 1. Application of (6.3). Choose an integer $m$ such that
(4) $6 n<m$,
(5) $P_{0} \cap N(C, 1 / m)=\emptyset$, and
(6) No two sets of diameter $\geqq 1 / 6 n$ in $C$ are separated in $C$ by a $1 / m$-set in C. (Such an integer exists by (2B.4).)

By (6.3), $J$ bounds, together with finitely many horizontal $1 / m$-loops $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}$ in $N(C, 1 / m)$, a polyhedral, singular disk-with-holes $D_{0}$ in $E^{3}-C$ such that
(7) $\operatorname{Diam} D_{0}<\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C, 1 / i+1 / 3 n)+(1 / i+1 / 3 n)$.

Let $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{k}$ be the horizontal planes containing $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}$, respectively; $U_{j}(j=1, \ldots, k)$ the union of the bounded complementary domains in $\pi_{j}$ of the image of the loop $J_{j}$. We may clearly require also that
(8) the planes $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{k}$ are distinct, and
(9) $U_{j} \subset N(C, 1 / m)(j=1, \ldots, k)$.

By a general position and cut-and-paste argument, we may obtain a new $D_{0}$ which satisfies the above requirements as well as
(10) $D_{0} \cap\left(J_{j} \cup U_{j}\right)$ is connected ( $j=1, \ldots, k$ ).

To obtain (10), one simply cuts part of the original $D_{0}$ off near $\pi_{j}$ if $D_{0}$ protrudes through $U_{j}$ without hitting $J_{j}$. This procedure may possibly increase or decrease the number of curves $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}$. Conditions (5) and (9) ensure that the process does not affect $J$. Indeed, $J \subset P_{0} \subset E^{3}-N(C, 1 / m)$ by (5), while $U_{j} \subset N(C, 1 / m)$ by (9). Condition (9) also makes it possible to choose each of the required new curves to be $1 / m$-curves in $N(C, 1 / m)$.

Step 2. Application of (6.4). By (10), $C \cap U_{j}$ may be covered by the interiors of finitely many disjoint $1 / m$-disks in $U_{j}-D_{0}$. Let $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}\right\}$ be a union of such collections of disks, one collection for each $j$. By (8), no two of the $D_{j}$ 's intersect. By construction, $C \cap\left(\cup \mathrm{Bd} D_{j}\right)=\emptyset$. By (5) and (9), $\left(P_{0} \cup D_{0}\right) \cap\left(\cup D_{j}\right)=\emptyset$.

Let $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}$ and $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{m}$ be regular neighborhoods in $E^{3}$ of $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{m}$ and $\operatorname{Bd} D_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{Bd} D_{m}$, respectively. We require that

$$
M_{1} \cup N_{1}, \ldots, M_{m} \cup N_{m}
$$

be disjoint $1 / m$-sets, that $\cup N_{\mu}$ be disjoint from $C$, and that

$$
\left(\cup M_{\mu}\right) \cup\left(\cup N_{\mu}\right)
$$

be disjoint from $H=P_{0} \cup D_{0}$. Finally, we require that

$$
\left(M_{\mu}-N_{\mu}\right) \cap\left(\cup \pi_{j}\right) \subset \operatorname{Int} D_{\mu} \text { for } \mu=1, \ldots, m
$$

We lose no generality by assuming that $C-\cup M_{\mu}$ has a component $C^{\prime}$ of diameter $\geqq 1 / 6 n$. Note that each component of $C-\mathrm{Cl} C^{\prime}$ has diameter less than $1 / 6 n$ by (6) and the unicoherence of $C$ (2B.3).

We apply (6.4) repeatedly to obtain a homeomorphism

$$
h: H \cup C \rightarrow E^{3}-\cup D_{i}
$$

such that $h$ is the identity on $\left(\mathrm{Cl} C^{\prime}\right) \cup H$ and either

$$
h(x)=x \text { or } h(x) \in \cup\left(M_{\mu}-N_{\mu}\right) \text { for } x \in H \cup C .
$$

We claim that $h$ moves no point as far as $1 / n$. Indeed, let $p \in C-\mathrm{Cl} C^{\prime}$ and $K$ be the component of $C-\mathrm{Cl} C^{\prime}$ which contains $p$. By the remark of the previous paragraph, Diam $\mathrm{Cl} K<1 / 6 n$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Cl} K \cap \mathrm{Cl} C^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $h(\mathrm{Cl} K) \subset \mathrm{Cl} K \cup\left(\cup M_{\mu}\right)$. Therefore, $h$ fixes some point of $\mathrm{Cl} K$ and takes the whole set into a $1 / 2 n$-set. Thus $h$ does not move $p$ any further than $1 / 6 n+$ $1 / 2 n=2 / 3 n<1 / n$.

We note that $\left[P_{0} \cup D_{0} \cup\left(\cup U_{j}\right)\right] \cap h(C)=\emptyset$. We define

$$
P=P_{0} \cup D_{0} \cup\left(\cup U_{j}\right)
$$

and see that $(h, P)$ is a pair satisfying the requirements of $\left(6.2^{\prime}\right)$. Indeed, $J$ can be shrunk to a point in $D_{0} \cup\left(\cup U_{j}\right) \subset P \subset E^{3}-h(C)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Diam}\left(D_{0} \cup \cup U_{j}\right)<\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C, 1 / i+1 / 3 n)+(1 / i+1 / 3 n)+2 / m
$$

6.2". Special case. Suppose $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{\sigma}, \ldots, J_{s}: S^{1} \rightarrow E^{3}-C$ is a family of loops to which one may assign integers $i(1), \ldots, i(\sigma), \ldots, i(s)$ in the range $1 \leqq i(\sigma) \leqq n$ such that,for each $\sigma(1 \leqq \sigma \leqq s)$, Diam $J_{\sigma}<1 / 3 n+1 / i(\sigma)$. Then there is a pair ( $h, P$ ) satisfying conditions (1), (2), and
( $3^{\prime \prime}$ ) $J_{\sigma} \subset P$ and $J_{\sigma}$ bounds a singular disk in $P$ of diameter less than $\epsilon[\operatorname{Bd} C, 1 / i(\sigma)+1 / n]+[1 / i(\sigma)+1 / n]$.

Proof. The proof is in essentially every detail exactly like the proof of (6.2'). The only real difference is that a great deal more notation is needed to keep track of things. We may safely leave the proof to the reader.
$6.2^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Reduction of (6.2) to (6.2"). Let $P_{0}$ be a closed, locally finite, connected polyhedron such that $E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n) \subset P_{0} \subset E^{3}-C$. We may assume $P_{0}$ endowed with a triangulation $T$ of mesh less than $1 / 6 n$. There are only finitely many connected subcomplexes $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}$ of $T$ of diameter less than $1 / 3 n+1$ whose convex hulls do not lie in $P_{0}$. Since the fundamental group of each $T_{\rho}$ is finitely generated, we may choose a finite family $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{s}$ of loops in $P_{0}$ such that each loop $L$ in each $T_{\rho}$ bounds, together with a product of $J_{\sigma}$ 's, a singular disk-with-holes $D(L)$ in that $T_{\rho}$. For each $\sigma$, let $i(\sigma)$ be the largest integer in the range $1 \leqq i(\sigma) \leqq n$ such that, for some $\rho, J_{\sigma} \subset T_{\rho}$ and Diam $T_{\rho}<1 / i(\sigma)+1 / 3 n$.

Apply ( $6.2^{\prime \prime}$ ) to obtain a pair $(h, P)$ such that
(1) $h: C \rightarrow E^{3}$ is a $1 / n$-homeomorphism,
(2) $\left[E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n)\right] \cup P_{0} \subset P=\mathrm{Cl} P \subset E^{3}-h(C)$, and
( $3^{\prime \prime}$ ) Each $J_{\sigma}$ bounds a singular disk $D_{\sigma}$ in $P$ of diameter less than

$$
\epsilon[\operatorname{Bd} C, 1 / i(\sigma)+1 / n]+[1 / i(\sigma)+1 / n]
$$

We claim that this is an $n$-pair for $C$. In order to see this, let $L: S^{1} \rightarrow E^{3}-$ $N(C, 1 / n)$ be a $1 / i$-loop ( $1 \leqq i \leqq n$ ). Since Diam $L<1 / i$ and mesh $T<$ $1 / 6 n$, the simplicial neighborhood of $L$ in $T$ is a connected subcomplex of $T$ of diameter less than $1 / 3 n+1 / i$. If the convex hull of this neighborhood lies in $P$, we are done. Otherwise, this neighborhood is $T_{\rho}$ for some $\rho$. Each of the loops $J_{\sigma}$ in $T$ is assigned an integer $i(\sigma) \geqq i$. Thus, a $J_{\sigma}$ in $T_{\rho}$ bounds a singular disk $D_{\sigma}$ of diameter less than $\epsilon[\operatorname{Bd} C, 1 / i+1 / n]+[1 / i+1 / n]$ in $P$. Then $D(L) \cup \cup\left\{D_{\sigma} \mid J_{\sigma} \subset T_{\rho}\right\}$ contains a singular disk $D$ in $P$ which is bounded by $L$ and has the required property,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Diam} D & \leqq \operatorname{Diam} D(L)+2 \operatorname{Max}\left\{\operatorname{Diam} D_{\sigma} \mid J_{\sigma} \subset T_{\rho}\right\} \\
& \leqq 3[\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C, 1 / i+1 / n)+(1 / i+1 / n)]
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of ( $6.2^{\prime \prime}$ ) and (6.2).

We next describe a relative version of (6.1), i.e., a version which tames part of $\mathrm{Bd} C$ and keeps a prescribed closed subset of $C$ fixed.
6.5. Theorem. Suppose $C$ is a crumpled cube in $E^{3}$ and $\epsilon: C \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a continuous nonnegative real-valued function. Then there is an $\epsilon$-homeomorphism $h$ from $C$ into $E^{3}$ such that $E^{3}-h(C)$ is $1-L C$ at each point $x \in h(\operatorname{Bd} C)$ for which $\epsilon \cdot h^{-1}(x)>0$.

The proof is like that of (6.1) except that one does not attempt to change things very near $X=\epsilon^{-1}(0)$ at any given stage of the proof. More exactly, one uses the following versions of (6.2.0) and (6.2).
6.6.0. Definition. Suppose $C$ is a crumpled cube in $E^{3}, X$ is a closed subset of $C, \delta>0$, and $n$ is a positive integer. A pair $(h, P)$ is called an $n$-pair for ( $C, X, \delta$ ) if
(1) $h: C \rightarrow E^{3}$ is a $1 / n$-homeomorphism that fixes the set $N(X, \delta / 2) \cap C$,
(2) $E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n) \subset P=\mathrm{Cl} P \subset E^{3}-h(C)$, and
(3) If $1 \leqq i \leqq n$, then each $1 / i$-loop in $E^{3}-N(C, 1 / n)$ which bounds a singular $\epsilon(\mathrm{Bd} C, 1 / i)$-disk in $\mathrm{Cl}\left(E^{3}-C\right)-N(X, \delta)$ bounds a singular disk in $P$ of diameter less than $3 \cdot[\epsilon(\operatorname{Bd} C, 1 / i+1 / n)+(1 / i+1 / n)]$.
6.6. Suppose $C$ is a crumpled cube in $E^{3}, X$ is a closed subset of $C, \delta>0$, and $n$ is a positive integer. Then there is an $n$-pair for ( $C, X, \delta$ ).

When one applies (6.6) in the proof of (6.5), one chooses $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots$ much as in the proof of (6.1) but also chooses $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots$ converging to 0 , and uses $\epsilon^{-1}(0)$ as the $X$ of (6.6). We do not go into the details of the proof.

We note one final refinement of the result.
6.7. Theorem. Suppose $C$ is a crumpled cube in $E^{3}, X$ is a compact subset of $\operatorname{Bd} C,\left[\mathrm{Cl}\left(E^{3}-C\right)\right]-X$ is $1-U L C$, and $\epsilon>0$. Then there is an $\epsilon$-homeomorphism h from $C$ into $E^{3}$ which fixes $X$ such that $E^{3}-h(C)$ is $1-U L C$.

This result simply requires the following lemma.
6.8. Let $C, X$, and $\epsilon$ be as in (6.7). Then for each positive integer $n$, there is an $n$-pair ( $h, P$ ) for $C$ (Definition (6.2.0)) such that $h$ is fixed on some neighborhood of $X$ in $C$.

This lemma is proved in exactly the same way as (6.2) except that one may use the fact that $\left[\mathrm{Cl}\left(E^{3}-C\right)\right]-X$ is $1-U L C$ in conjunction with (2C.2) to keep the small horizontal loops supplied by (6.3) sufficiently far away from $X$ so that things need not be moved near $X$. We do not go into more detail except to mention that, since $h$ is fixed on a neighborhood of $X$ in $C,\left[\mathrm{Cl}\left(E^{3}-\right.\right.$ $h(C))]-X$ is also $1-U L C$ and one can iterate the procedure.
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