## ON THE NUMBER OF ASSOCIATIVE TRIPLES IN AN ALGEBRA OF $n$ ELEMENTS

P. J. BROCKWELL

1. Introduction. Consider a set of $n$ elements $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ (denoted by $S$ ) and the set of all possible multiplication tables on these elements. The total number of such tables is clearly $n^{n^{2}}$ and each table can be represented by a square matrix $\left[\mu_{i j}\right]$ where $\mu_{i j}$ is the product $\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\left(\mu_{i j} \in S, i=1, \ldots, n\right.$; $j=1, \ldots, n)$. The triple $\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{k}\right)$ is said to be associative if the following equation is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\right) \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{i}\left(\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The purpose of this paper is to examine the function $\phi_{n}(m)$, defined as the number of $n \times n$ tables in which exactly $m$ triples are associative

$$
\left(m=0,1, \ldots, n^{3}\right)
$$

It has already been shown by Climescu (1) (and independently by Straus and Wilf (2) that $\phi_{n}(m)$ has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}(m)>0 \quad\left(m=0,1, \ldots, n^{3} ; n \geqslant 3\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present paper a method will be developed for determining the moments

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(k)=\sum_{m=0}^{n^{3}} m^{k} \phi_{n}(m) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and explicit solutions will be given for $M_{n}(1)$ and $M_{n}(2)$.
It is convenient to introduce a random variable $X_{n}$, defined as the number of associative triples in a multiplication table selected at random from the set of all $n \times n$ tables. Then if $p_{n}(m)$ denotes the probability that $X_{n}$ is equal to $m$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(m)=n^{-n^{2}} \phi_{n}(m) \quad\left(m=0,1,2, \ldots, n^{3}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The moments of the random variable $X_{n}$ are therefore given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E} X_{n}{ }^{k}=n^{-n^{2}} M_{n}(k) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been conjectured by Straus and Wilf (2), on the basis of a computer study programmed by Mrs. Nancy Clark at Argonne National Laboratory (to appear), that the mean and standard deviation, $\mu_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}$, of $X_{n}$ are asymptotically $n^{2}$ and $n$ respectively and that the distribution of the random variable $\sigma_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(X_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)$ is asymptotically normal.
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We shall show that the conjectures of Straus and Wilf regarding the mean and standard deviation of $X_{n}$ are in fact true. The asymptotic normality remains an open question; however, the conjecture is supported by the asymptotic vanishing of the third moment of $\sigma_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(X_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)$.
2. The expected number of associative triples. We select a multiplication table at random from the set of $n^{n^{2}}$ tables and introduce the $n^{3}$ random variables defined by

$$
\epsilon_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }\left(\alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}}\right) \alpha_{i_{3}}=\alpha_{i_{1}}\left(\alpha_{i_{2}} \alpha_{i_{3}}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
X_{n}=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{3}=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}
$$

and the expected value of $X_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E} X_{n}=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{3}=1}^{n} P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ is the probability that the triple ( $\alpha_{i_{1}}, \alpha_{i_{2}}, \alpha_{i_{3}}$ ) is associative, i.e. that $\epsilon_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}=1$.

To determine the probabilities $P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ we proceed as follows. Let $\pi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3} ; a, b\right)$ be the probability that in a randomly selected multiplication table

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}}=\alpha_{a} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{i_{2}} \alpha_{i_{3}}=\alpha_{b} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3} \mid a, b\right)$ denotes the conditional probability that $\left(\alpha_{i_{1}}, \alpha_{i_{2}}, \alpha_{i_{3}}\right)$ is associative given that Conditions (2.3) are satisfied, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)=\sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{b=1}^{n} P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3} \mid a, b\right) \pi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3} ; a, b\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any particular triple $\left(\alpha_{i_{1}}, \alpha_{i_{2}}, \alpha_{i_{3}}\right)$ the probabilities $\pi\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3} ; a, b\right)$ and the conditional probabilities $P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3} \mid a, b\right)$ take a very simple form and the associativity probabilities $P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ can be found from (2.4) by direct summation.

Consider first the case where $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}$ are all different, say $i_{1}=i, i_{2}=j$, and $i_{3}=k$. Then

$$
\pi(i, j, k ; a, b)=n^{-2} \quad \text { for all } a, b
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(i, j, k \mid a, b) & =\operatorname{Prob}\left[\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\right) \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{i}\left(\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}\right) \mid \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}=\alpha_{a}, \alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{b}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Prob}\left[\alpha_{a} \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{i} \alpha_{b} \mid \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}=\alpha_{a}, \alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{b}\right] \\
& = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }(a, b)=(i, k), \\
1 & \text { if }(a, b)=(j, j), \\
n^{-1} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in (2.4) and summing, it is found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(i, j, k)=n^{-1}+2 n^{-2}-2 n^{-3} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there are $n(n-1)(n-2)$ probabilities of this form appearing in the sum (2.2).

An argument analogous to that of the preceding paragraph shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(i, i, k)=P(i, k, i)=P(k, i, i)=n^{-1}+2 n^{-2}-2 n^{-3}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and terms of each of these three types appear $n(n-1)$ times in the sum (2.2).
In the case when all three indices are the same, say $i_{1}=i_{2}=i_{3}=i$, it is found that

$$
\pi(i, i, i ; a, b)= \begin{cases}n^{-1} & \text { if } a=b \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
P(i, i, i \mid a, b)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a=b=i \\ n^{-1} & \text { if } a=b \neq i\end{cases}
$$

Hence from (2.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(i, i, i)=2 n^{-1}-n^{-2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there are $n$ such terms in the sum (2.2).
From equations (2.2), (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7) we find by direct summation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E} X_{n}=n^{2}+2 n-1-3 n^{-1}+2 n^{-2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding expression for the first moment $M_{n}(1)$ follows immediately from (1.5).
3. The variance of the number of associative triples. Using the notation of $\S 2$, the variance of the number of associative triples in a randomly selected multiplication table is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var} X_{n}=\mathscr{E} X_{n}{ }^{2}-\left(\mathscr{E} X_{n}\right)^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E} X_{n}{ }^{2}=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n} \ldots \sum_{i_{6}=1}^{n} P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{6}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}\right)$ is the probability that in a randomly selected multiplication table the triples ( $\alpha_{i_{1}}, \alpha_{i_{2}}, \alpha_{i_{3}}$ ) and ( $\alpha_{i_{4}}, \alpha_{i_{5}}, \alpha_{i_{6}}$ ) are both associative, i.e. the probability that $\epsilon_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} \epsilon_{i_{4} i_{5} i_{6}}=1$.

The probabilities $P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}\right)$ are determined using a method analogous to that of $\S 2$. Thus we define $\pi\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6} ; a, b, c, d\right)$ to be the probability that in a randomly selected multiplication table

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}}=\alpha_{a}, \quad \alpha_{i_{2}} \alpha_{i_{3}}=\alpha_{b}, \quad \alpha_{i_{4}} \alpha_{i_{5}}=\alpha_{c}, \quad \alpha_{i_{5}} \alpha_{i_{6}}=\alpha_{d} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P\left(i, \ldots, i_{6} \mid a, b, c, d\right)$ denotes the conditional probability that

$$
\epsilon_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} \epsilon_{i_{4} i_{5} i_{6}}=1
$$

given that Conditions (3.3) are satisfied, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}\right)=\sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{b=1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n} \sum_{d=1}^{n} P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6} \mid a, b, c, d\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \times \pi\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6} ; a, b, c, d\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For any particular pair of triples ( $\alpha_{i_{1}}, \alpha_{i_{2}}, \alpha_{i_{3}}$ ) and ( $\alpha_{i_{4}}, \alpha_{i_{5}}, \alpha_{i_{6}}$ ) the probabilities $\pi\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6} ; a, b, c, d\right)$ and the conditional probabilities

$$
P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6} \mid a, b, c, d\right)
$$

again take a very simple form and the unconditional probability $P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}\right)$ can be found for each choice of $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}$ from (3.4).

It is convenient from an algebraic point of view to subdivide the probabilities $P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}\right)$ into classes as follows. Class 1 consists of the

$$
n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)
$$

probabilities in which $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}$ are all different, e.g. $P(i, j, k, l, m, p)$. Class 2 contains those in which only five of $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}$ are distinct, e.g. $P(i, i, k, l, m, p)$, and there are $15 n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)$ of these. Similarly class 3 consists of those with four distinct indices, e.g. $P(i, i, i, l, m, p), P(i, i, k, k, m, p)$, and there are $65 n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)$ of these. Class 4 contains the $90 n(n-1)(n-2)$ probabilities having three distinct indices, class 5 contains the $31 n(n-1)$ probabilities with two distinct indices, and class 6 contains the $n$ probabilities in which all indices are the same.

In the Appendix the probabilities $P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}\right)$ have been evaluated for all possible distinct choices of the indices $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}$ and are set out in classes together with the frequency with which each of the probabilities appears in the sum (3.2). This sum can therefore be evaluated directly from the table to give $\mathscr{E} X_{n}{ }^{2}$.

To show how the table was constructed we take as an example the case where $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}$ are all different, say $i_{1}=i, i_{2}=j, i_{3}=k, i_{4}=l, i_{5}=m$, and $i_{6}=p$. In this case, by simple enumeration,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(i, j, k, l, m, p ; a, b, c, d)=n^{-4} \quad(a, b, c, d=1, \ldots, n) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the set of all multiplication tables in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}=\alpha_{a}, \quad \alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{b}, \quad \alpha_{l} \alpha_{m}=\alpha_{c}, \quad \text { and } \alpha_{m} \alpha_{p}=\alpha_{d} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditional probability $P(i, j, k, l, m, p \mid a, b, c, d)$ is the proportion of these tables in which the triples ( $\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{k}$ ) and ( $\alpha_{l}, \alpha_{m}, \alpha_{p}$ ) are both associative, i.e. in which the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{a} \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{i} \alpha_{b},  \tag{3.7}\\
& \alpha_{c} \alpha_{p}=\alpha_{l} \alpha_{d}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

are both satisfied. In evaluating this probability for each choice of the quadruple $(a, b, c, d)$ there are several possibilities to distinguish:
(a) The associativity conditions (3.7) and (3.8) may be contradictory or impossible to satisfy for certain quadruples ( $a, b, c, d$ ),

$$
\text { e.g. }(a, b, c, d)=(l, j, m, k) ;
$$

in such cases $P(i, j, k, l, m, p \mid a, b, c, d)=0$.
(b) Both conditions may be satisfied identically,

$$
\text { e.g. }(a, b, c, d)=(i, k, l, p) ;
$$

in this case $P(i, j, k, l, m, p \mid a, b, c, d)=1$.
(c) The conditions may be equivalent, not impossible to satisfy, and not satisfied identically,

$$
\text { e.g. }(a, b, c, d)=(l, p, i, k) ;
$$

in this case $P(i, j, k, l, m, p \mid a, b, c, d)=n^{-1}$.
(d) One of the conditions may be satisfied identically while the other is neither contradictory nor satisfied identically,

$$
\text { e.g. }(a, b, c, d)=(i, k, l, m) \text {; }
$$

in this case again $P(i, j, k, l, m, p \mid a, b, c, d)=n^{-1}$.
(e) In all cases except those already mentioned

$$
P(i, j, k, l, m, p \mid a, b, c, d)=n^{-2} .
$$

Thus, by examining Conditions (3.7) and (3.8) for each possible choice of the quadruple $(a, b, c, d)$, the conditional probabilities $P(i, j, k, l, m, p \mid a, b, c, d)$ can be determined and the unconditional probability $P(i, j, k, l, m, p)$ is then found from (3.4) and (3.5).

The process is repeated for all possible choices of the indices $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}\right)$ and the results are as tabulated in the Appendix. Using the table to form the sum (3.2) we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{E} X_{n}{ }^{2}=n^{4}+4 n^{3}+3 n^{2}+15 n-44 & -175 n^{-1}+507 n^{-2}  \tag{3.9}\\
& -190 n^{-3}-472 n^{-4}+352 n^{-5} .
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding moment $M_{n}(2)$ is found immediately from (1.5). From (3.1) the variance of $X_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Var} X_{n}=n^{2}+25 n-37-189 n^{-1}+502 n^{-2}- & 178 n^{-3}  \tag{3.10}\\
& -476 n^{-4}+352 n^{-5}
\end{align*}
$$

4. The third moment of $X_{n}$. The third moment of $X_{n}$ about its mean $\mu_{n}=\mathscr{E} X_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}\left(X_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)^{3}=\mathscr{E} X_{n}{ }^{3}-3 \mu_{n} \mathscr{E} X_{n}{ }^{2}+2 \mu_{n}{ }^{3} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E} X_{n}{ }^{3}=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n} \ldots \sum_{i_{9}=1}^{n} P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{9}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{9}\right)$ is the probability that in a randomly selected multiplication table the three triples $\left(\alpha_{i_{1}}, \alpha_{i_{2}}, \alpha_{i_{3}}\right),\left(\alpha_{i_{4}}, \alpha_{i_{5}}, \alpha_{i_{6}}\right)$, and ( $\alpha_{i 7}, \alpha_{i_{8}}, \alpha_{i_{9}}$ ) are all associative, i.e. that $\epsilon_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} \epsilon_{i_{4} i_{5} i_{6}} \epsilon_{i_{7} i_{8} i_{9}}=1$.

The $n^{9}$ probabilities $P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{9}\right)$ can be determined just as in $\S \S 2,3$ from the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{9}\right)=\sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{b=1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n} \sum_{d=1}^{n} \sum_{e=1}^{n} \sum_{f=1}^{n} P & \left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{9} \mid a, b, c, d, e, f\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& \times \pi\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{9} ; a, b, c, d, e, f\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\pi\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{9} ; a, b, c, d, e, f\right)$ is the probability that in a randomly selected multiplication table

$$
\begin{cases}\alpha_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{2}}=\alpha_{a}, & \alpha_{i_{2}} \alpha_{i_{3}}=\alpha_{b},  \tag{4.4}\\ \alpha_{i_{4}} \alpha_{i_{5}}=\alpha_{c}, & \alpha_{i_{5}} \alpha_{i_{6}}=\alpha_{d}, \\ \alpha_{i_{7}} \alpha_{i_{8}}=\alpha_{e}, & \alpha_{i_{8}} \alpha_{i_{9}}=\alpha_{f},\end{cases}
$$

and $P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{9} \mid a, b, c, d, e, f\right)$ denotes the conditional probability that $\epsilon_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} \epsilon_{i_{4} i_{5} i_{6}} \epsilon_{i_{7} i_{8} i_{9}}=1$ given that Conditions (4.4) are satisfied. These conditional probabilities and the probabilities $\pi$ again take a simple form and can be determined as in $\S \S 2$ and 3 .

Proceeding in this way, $\mathscr{E} X_{n}{ }^{3}$ was determined to within terms of order $n^{2}$ and it was found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E} X_{n}^{3}=n^{6}+6 n^{5}+12 n^{4}+68 n^{3}+O\left(n^{2}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (2.8), (3.9), (4.1), and (4.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}\left(X_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)^{3}=O\left(n^{2}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the third moment of $\sigma_{n}{ }^{-1}\left(X_{n}-\mu_{n}\right)$ converges to the third moment of a normal distribution (i.e. zero) as $n$ tends to infinity, which supports the conjecture of Straus and Wilf regarding the asymptotic normality of the distribution.

Appendix. The following table shows the probabilities $P\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{6}\right)$ of $\S 3$ for each distinct choice of the indices $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{6}$. The indices $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}$, and $i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}$ are tabulated together with the coefficients of $n^{-1}, n^{-2}, \ldots, n^{-6}$ in the corresponding probability $P\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{6}\right)$. Each entry in class $k(k=1, \ldots, 6)$ appears $n(n-1) \ldots(n-6+k)$ times in the sum (3.2).


| Indices | Coefficients of |  |  |  |  |  | Indices | $n^{-1}$ | $n^{-2}$ | Coefficients of |  |  | $n^{-6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n^{-1}$ | $n^{-2}$ | $n^{-3}$ | $n^{-4}$ | $n^{-5}$ | $n^{-6}$ |  |  |  | $n^{-3}$ | $n^{-4}$ | $n^{-5}$ |  |
| $i k i ~ i i j ~$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | -34 | 24 | jik iji | 0 | 1 | 6 | -8 | 2 | 0 |
| kii iij | 0 | 1 | 8 | -12 | 4 | 0 | jki iji | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -20 | 12 |
| kii iji | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | -34 | 24 | ${ }_{k j i} i j i$ | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 |
| iij iik | 0 | 1 | 11 | -22 | 12 | 0 | ${ }_{k i j}{ }^{\text {iji }}$ | 0 | 1 | 6 | -8 | 2 | 0 |
| $i j i ~ i k i$ | 0 | 1 | 5 | 14 | -49 | 30 | ikj iji | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -20 | 12 |
| jii kii | 0 | 1 | 11 | -22 | 12 | 0 | ijk iji | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 |
| iii ${ }^{\text {jok }}$ | 0 | 2 | 3 | -6 | 2 | 0 | $j i k j i i$ | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 |
| iii ${ }^{\text {k }}$ j | 0 | 2 | 3 | -5 | 1 | 0 | jki jii | 0 | 1 | 5 | 16 | -64 | 60 |
| iii $k j j$ | 0 | 2 | 3 | -6 | 2 | 0 | ${ }_{k j i} j i i$ | 0 | 1 | 7 | -10 | 3 | 0 |
| iij jik | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -8 | 3 | ${ }^{\text {kij }}$ jii | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -8 | 3 |
| iij ${ }^{\text {k }}$ i | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -8 | 2 | ikj jii | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -8 | 2 |
| iij kji | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -18 | 12 | ijk jii | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -18 | 12 |
| iij kij | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 | jij iik | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -9 | 3 |
| iij ikj | 0 | 1 | 5 | 16 | -64 | 60 | $i^{\text {jj }}$ iik | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | -34 | 24 |
| iij ijk | 0 | 1 | 7 | -10 | 3 | 0 | jji iik | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -14 | 4 |
| $i j i ~ j i k$ | 0 | 1 | 6 | -8 | 2 | 0 | $i j j \quad i k i$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -19 | 12 |
| $i j i \quad j k i$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -20 | 12 | jij iki | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -18 | 10 |
| $i j i \quad k j i$ | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 | jji iki | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -19 | 12 |
| ijı kij | 0 | 1 | 6 | -8 | 2 | 0 | ijj kii | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -14 | 4 |
| $i j i ~ i k j$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -20 | 12 | jij kii | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -9 | 3 |
| $i j i ~ i j k$ | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 | jij kii | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | -34 | 24 |
| jii jik | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 | iij $k j k$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -13 | 6 |
| jii jki | 0 | 1 | 5 | 16 | -64 | 60 | iij $j k k$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | -3 | -2 |
| jii kji | 0 | 1 | 7 | -10 | 3 | 0 | $i j i \quad j k k$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -13 | 6 |
| jii kij | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -8 | 3 | kjk iij | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -13 | 6 |
| jii ikj | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -8 | 2 | jkk iij | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | -3 | -2 |
| jii ijk | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -18 | 12 | $j k k i j i$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | -13 | 6 |
| iik ${ }^{\text {jij }}$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -9 | 3 | $i^{i j k} \quad i j k$ | 1 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| iik $i j j$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | -34 | 24 | $i j k j k i$ | 0 | 1 | 6 | -7 | 1 | 0 |
| iik jji | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -14 | 4 | ijk kij | 0 | 1 | 6 | -7 | 1 | 0 |
| $i k i ~ i j j ~$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -19 | 12 | ijk jik | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | -32 | 24 |
| iki jij | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -18 | 10 | $i j k i k j$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | -32 | 24 |
| iki jji | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | -19 | 12 | $i j k \quad k j i$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | -5 | 1 |
| $k i i ~ i j j ~$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | -14 | 4 | iij $k k j$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | $-30$ | 24 |
| kii jij | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -9 | 3 | iji kjk | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | -7 | 1 |
| kii ${ }^{\text {j }}$ i | 0 | 1 | 4 | 9 | -34 | 24 | $i j j \quad i k k$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | $-30$ | 24 |
| CLASS 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| iii $i i j$ | 0 | 4 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $j i i \quad i j i$ | 0 | 1 | 8 | -12 | 4 | 0 |
| iii $i j i$ | 0 | 2 | 8 | -17 | 10 | 0 | jii iij | 0 | 1 | 8 | -8 | 0 | 0 |
| iii $\quad$ iii | 0 | 4 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{i j i}$ iji | 1 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| iij iii | 0 | 4 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | iij iij | 1 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| iji iii | 0 | 2 | 8 | -17 | 10 | 0 | jii jii | 1 | 2 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| jii iii | 0 | 4 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | iii ${ }^{\text {j }}$ j | 0 | 4 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| iii $i j j$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | -10 | 4 | 0 | iij jji | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | -24 | 16 |
| iii ${ }^{\text {jij }}$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | -10 | 4 | 0 | $i j i ~ j i j$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| iii $j 3 i$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | -10 | 4 | 0 | $j i i \quad i j j$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | -24 | 16 |
| $i j j \quad i i i$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | -10 | 4 | 0 | iij $j i j$ | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 |
| jij iii | 0 | 2 | 5 | -10 | 4 | 0 | iij ijj | 0 | 1 | 11 | -19 | 10 | 0 |
| $j i i \quad i i i$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | -10 | 4 | 0 | $i j \imath ~ \imath j j ~$ | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 |
| iji iij | 0 | 1 | 8 | -12 | 4 | 0 | jij iij | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 |
| iji $j i i$ | 0 | 1 | 8 | -12 | 4 | 0 | ijj iij | 0 | 1 | 11 | -19 | 10 | 0 |
| iij ${ }^{\text {jii }}$ | 0 | 1 | 8 | -8 | 0 | 0 | $i j j ~ i j i$ | 0 | 1 | 7 | -9 | 2 | 0 |
| iij iji | 0 | 1 | 8 | -12 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{i i i} \quad i i i$ | 2 | $-1$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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