



# The Poincaré–Deligne Polynomial of Milnor Fibers of Triple Point Line Arrangements is Combinatorially Determined

Alexandru Dimca

*Abstract.* Using a recent result by S. Papadima and A. Suciu, we show that the equivariant Poincaré–Deligne polynomial of the Milnor fiber of a projective line arrangement having only double and triple points is combinatorially determined.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $d$  hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , with  $d \geq 2$ , given by a reduced equation  $Q(x) = 0$ . Consider the corresponding complement  $M$  defined by  $Q(x) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , and the global Milnor fiber  $F$  defined by  $Q(x) - 1 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with monodromy action  $h: F \rightarrow F$ ,  $h(x) = \exp(2\pi i/d) \cdot x$ . We refer the reader to [17] for the general theory of hyperplane arrangements.

The following are basic open questions in this area, a positive answer for any question in this list implying the same for the previous ones.

- Are the Betti numbers  $b_j(F)$  combinatorially determined, *i.e.*, determined by the intersection lattice  $L(\mathcal{A})$ ?
- Are the monodromy operators  $h^j: H^j(F) \rightarrow H^j(F)$  combinatorially determined?
- Is the equivariant Poincaré–Deligne polynomial  $PD^{\mu_d}(F)$  of  $F$  coming from the monodromy action combinatorially determined? Here  $\mu_d$  is the multiplicative group of  $d$ -th roots of unity, and the definition of  $PD^{\mu_d}(F)$  is recalled in the next section.

On the positive side, it was shown by N. Budur and M. Saito in [2] that the spectrum  $Sp(\mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , whose definition is also recalled in the next section, is combinatorially determined.

We assume in the sequel that  $n = 2$  and that the line arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  has only double and triple points. Then a recent result of S. Papadima and A. Suciu [15] shows that the answer to question (b) is positive. More precisely, they have introduced a combinatorial invariant  $\beta_3(\mathcal{A}) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  of the line arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  such that the multiplicity of a cubic root of unity  $\lambda \neq 1$  as an eigenvalue for  $h^1$  is exactly  $\beta_3(\mathcal{A})$ .

---

Received by the editors June 16, 2015; revised January 4, 2016.

Published electronically February 4, 2016.

Partially supported by Institut Universitaire de France.

AMS subject classification: 32S22, 32S35, 32S25, 32S55.

Keywords: line arrangement, Milnor fiber, monodromy, mixed Hodge structures.

The main result of this note, answering a question raised by Suciu, is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $d$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has only double and triple points. Then the equivariant Poincaré–Deligne polynomial  $PD^{\mu_d}(F; u, v, t)$  of  $F$  coming from the monodromy action is determined by the number  $d$  of lines in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the number  $n_3(\mathcal{A})$  of triple points in  $\mathcal{A}$  and the Papadima–Suciu invariant  $\beta_3(\mathcal{A})$ .*

In particular, question (c) has a positive answer in this case. This is rather surprising, given the complexity of the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber  $F$ , as seen from Propositions 3.1 and 3.3. The very explicit formulas given in these two propositions apply to certain monodromy eigenvalues for arbitrary line arrangements; see Remarks 3.2 and 3.4.

For a possible application to the study of some (singular) projective surfaces, see Remark 3.7. Relations to the superabundance or the defect of some linear systems passing through the triple points of  $\mathcal{A}$  are described in Remark 3.8.

Note also that there are very few examples of nonisolated (quasi-homogeneous) hypersurface singularities  $(X, 0)$  for which both the monodromy and the MHS on the corresponding Milnor fibers are well understood, even though the isolated quasi-homogeneous case was settled by J. Steenbrink [18] a long time ago.

The case of a hyperplane arrangement in  $\mathbb{P}^{3k-1}$ , which is obtained by taking a product  $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_k$  of  $k$  line arrangements  $\mathcal{A}_j$  having only double and triple points, can now be treated using the results in this note and [5, Theorem 1.4].

In the last section we prove the following related result.

**Proposition 1.2** *Let  $C : Q = 0$  be a degree  $d$  reduced curve in the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and let  $F : Q - 1 = 0$  be the associated Milnor fiber in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Then the equivariant Poincaré–Deligne polynomial  $PD^{\mu_d}(F; u, v, t)$  of  $F$  coming from the monodromy action is determined by its specialization, the Hodge–Deligne polynomial*

$$HD^{\mu_d}(F; u, v) = PD^{\mu_d}(F; u, v, -1).$$

Since the Hodge–Deligne polynomial (or rather a compactly supported version of it, is additive; see, for instance, [7]), this result might be used in some situations to compute these polynomials. It is an open question whether such a result holds in higher dimensions, even for the hyperplane arrangements.

For similar non-cancellation properties in the case of braid arrangements  $A_3$  and  $A_4$ , see [8, Section 6].

## 2 Equivariant Hodge–Deligne and Poincaré–Deligne Polynomials and Spectra

Recall that if  $X$  is a quasi-projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ , one can consider the Deligne mixed Hodge structure (MHS) on the rational cohomology groups  $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$  of  $X$ . We refer to the reader [16] for general notions and results concerning the MHS.

Since this MHS is functorial with respect to algebraic mappings, if a finite group  $\Gamma$  acts algebraically on  $X$ , each of the graded pieces

$$(2.1) \quad H^{p,q}(H^j(X, \mathbb{C})) := Gr_F^p Gr_{p+q}^W H^j(X, \mathbb{C})$$

becomes a  $\Gamma$ -module in the usual functorial way, and these modules are the building blocks of the Hodge-Deligne polynomial  $HD^\Gamma(X; u, v) \in R(\Gamma)[u, v]$ , defined by

$$HD^\Gamma(X; u, v) = \sum_{p,q} E^{\Gamma;p,q}(X) u^p v^q,$$

where  $E^{\Gamma;p,q}(X) = \sum_j (-1)^j H^{p,q}(H^j(X, \mathbb{C})) \in R(\Gamma)$ . One can consider an even finer (and hence harder to determine) invariant, namely the equivariant Poincaré–Deligne polynomial

$$PD^\Gamma(X; u, v, t) = \sum_{p,q,j} H^{p,q}(H^j(X, \mathbb{C})) u^p v^q t^j \in R_+(\Gamma)[u, v, t].$$

Clearly, one has  $PD^\Gamma(X; u, v, -1) = HD^\Gamma(X; u, v)$ .

The case of interest to us is when  $\Gamma = \mu_d$  and the action on  $F$  is determined by

$$\exp(2\pi i/d) \cdot x = h^{-1}(x).$$

The reason to use  $h^{-1}$  instead of  $h$  is either functorial (*i.e.*, to really have a group action when  $\Gamma$  is not commutative, see [8]) or geometrical, as explained in [10], in order to get results compatible with those in [2], which we survey below. Recall that the spectrum of a hyperplane arrangement  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is the polynomial

$$Sp(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} n_\alpha t^\alpha,$$

with coefficients given by

$$n_\alpha = \sum_j (-1)^{j-n} \dim Gr_F^p \tilde{H}^j(F, \mathbb{C})_\lambda,$$

where  $p = \lfloor n + 1 - \alpha \rfloor$ ,  $\lambda = \exp(-2i\pi\alpha)$ , with  $\tilde{H}^j(F, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = H^j(F, \mathbb{C})_\lambda$  (eigenspaces with respect to the action of  $(h^j)^{-1}$  as explained above) for  $j \neq 0$ ,  $\tilde{H}^0(F, \mathbb{C})_\lambda = 0$  and  $\lfloor y \rfloor := \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \leq y\}$ . It is easy to see that  $n_\alpha = 0$  for  $\alpha \notin (0, n + 1)$ .

Theorem 3 in [2] implies the following result.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $d$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has only double and triple points. Let  $n_3(\mathcal{A})$  denote the number of triple points in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $n_\alpha = 0$  if  $\alpha d \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , and for  $\alpha = \frac{j}{d} \in ]0, 1]$  with  $j \in [1, d] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , the following hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} n_\alpha &= \binom{j-1}{2} - n_3(\mathcal{A}) \binom{\lfloor 3j/d \rfloor - 1}{2}, \\ n_{\alpha+1} &= (j-1)(d-j-1) - n_3(\mathcal{A})(\lfloor 3j/d \rfloor - 1)(3 - \lfloor 3j/d \rfloor), \\ n_{\alpha+2} &= \binom{d-j-1}{2} - n_3(\mathcal{A}) \binom{3 - \lfloor 3j/d \rfloor}{2} - \delta_{j,d}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lfloor y \rfloor := \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \geq y\}$ , and  $\delta_{j,d} = 1$  if  $j = d$  and 0 otherwise.

In particular, the spectrum  $Sp(\mathcal{A})$  is determined by the number  $d$  of lines in  $\mathcal{A}$  and the number  $n_3(\mathcal{A})$  of triple points.

### 3 The Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us consider the cohomology groups  $H^j(F, \mathbb{Q})$  one by one and discuss the corresponding MHS and monodromy action. The group  $H^0(F, \mathbb{C})$  is clearly one dimensional, of type  $(0, 0)$ , and the monodromy action is trivial, *i.e.*,  $H^0(F, \mathbb{C}) = H^0(F, \mathbb{C})_1$ .

The next group  $H^1(F, \mathbb{Q})$  is already more interesting. It has a direct sum decomposition

$$H^1(F, \mathbb{Q}) = H^1(F, \mathbb{Q})_1 \oplus H^1(F, \mathbb{Q})_{\neq 1}$$

in the category of MHS. The fixed part under the monodromy  $H^1(F, \mathbb{Q})_1$  is isomorphic to the cohomology group of the projective complement, namely  $H^1(M, \mathbb{Q})$ , and hence it has dimension  $d - 1$  and is a pure Hodge–Tate structure of type  $(1, 1)$ .

The other summand  $H^1(F, \mathbb{Q})_{\neq 1}$  is always a pure Hodge structure of weight 1; see [3, 9] for two distinct proofs. Moreover, in the case when only double and triple points occur in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the second summand corresponds to cubic roots of unity and it can be non zero only when  $d$  is divisible by 3; see, for instance, Remark 3.2. By combining Papadima–Suciu results in [15] with (the proof) of [6, Theorem 1] (see also [3, Theorem 2] for a more general result and Remark 3.8 for additional information), one gets

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} h^{1,0}(H^1(F))_{\gamma'} &= h^{0,1}(H^1(F))_{\gamma} = \beta_3(\mathcal{A}), \\ h^{1,0}(H^1(F))_{\gamma} &= h^{0,1}(H^1(F))_{\gamma'} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta = 1/3$ ,  $\gamma = \exp(-2\pi i\beta)$ ,  $\beta' = 2/3$ ,  $\gamma' = \exp(-2\pi i\beta') = \bar{\gamma}$ . Here and in the sequel we use the notation  $h^{p,q}(H^j(F))_{\lambda}$  to denote the multiplicity of the 1-dimensional  $\mu_d$ -representation  $r_{\lambda}$  sending  $\exp(2\pi i/d)$  to  $\lambda \in \mu_d \subset \mathbb{C}^* = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  in the  $\mu_d$ -module  $H^{p,q}(H^j(F, \mathbb{C}))$  defined in (2.1). Note that one has

$$\dim Gr_F^p H^j(F, \mathbb{C})_{\lambda} = \sum_{q \geq j-p} h^{p,q}(H^j(F))_{\lambda},$$

by the general properties of MHS,  $F$  being smooth.

Determination of the equivariant Poincaré–Deligne polynomial  $PD^{\mu_d}(F)$  of  $F$  is clearly equivalent to determination of all the equivariant mixed Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q}(H^j(F))_{\lambda}$ . Until now, we have done this for  $j = 0$  and  $j = 1$ .

It remains to treat the case  $j = 2$ , which is the most difficult. Again, we have a direct sum decomposition

$$H^2(F, \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})_1 \oplus H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})_{\neq 1}$$

in the category of MHS. The fixed part under the monodromy  $H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})_1$  is isomorphic to the cohomology group of the projective complement, namely  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q})$  and hence has dimension  $b_2(M)$  and pure Hodge–Tate type  $(2, 2)$ . Since the Euler characteristic  $\chi(M) = b_0(M) - b_1(M) + b_2(M)$  can be computed from the combinatorics, it follows that

$$b_2(M) = \binom{d-1}{2} - n_3(\mathcal{A}).$$

We can also write  $H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})_{\neq 1}$  as a direct sum of two MHS, namely  $H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})_{\neq 1} = H \oplus H'$ , where  $H$  corresponds to the eigenvalues of  $h^2$  that are cubic roots of unity different from 1, and  $H'$  corresponds to all the other eigenvalues.

Proposition 4.1 in [5] implies that  $H'$  is a pure Hodge structure of weight 2, i.e.,  $h^{p,q}(H^2(F))_{\lambda} = 0$  for  $p + q \neq 2$  and  $\lambda$  not a cubic root of unity. On the other hand, [7, Theorem 1.3] implies that the only weights possible for  $H$  are 2 and 3, hence  $h^{p,q}(H^2(F))_{\lambda} = 0$  for  $p + q \notin \{2, 3\}$  and  $\lambda$  a cubic root of unity.

Now we explicitly determine the equivariant mixed Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q}(H^2(F))_{\lambda}$  for  $\lambda \neq 1$ , the case  $\lambda = 1$  already being clear by the above discussion. The above discussion implies also the following result.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $d$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has only double and triple points. Let  $n_3(\mathcal{A})$  denote the number of triple points in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Assume that  $\lambda = \exp(-2\pi\alpha)$ , with  $0 < \alpha = j/d < 1$ , is not a cubic root of unity. Then one has  $h^{2,0}(H^2(F))_{\lambda} = n_{\alpha}$ ,  $h^{1,1}(H^2(F))_{\lambda} = n_{\alpha+1}$  and  $h^{0,2}(H^2(F))_{\lambda} = n_{\alpha+2}$ , where the integers  $n_{\alpha}$ ,  $n_{\alpha+1}$ ,  $n_{\alpha+2}$  are given by the formulas in Theorem 2.1.*

**Remark 3.2** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any essential arrangement of  $d$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ; i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a pencil of lines through a point. Then the formulas given in Proposition 3.1 hold for any  $\lambda \in \mu_d$  such that there is a line  $L \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\lambda^k \neq 1$  whenever there is a point of multiplicity  $k$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  situated on  $L$ . Indeed, this last condition is known to imply that  $H^1(F)_{\lambda} = 0$ ; see [13]. In such a case, the integers  $n_{\alpha}$  are not given by the formulas in Theorem 2.1, but they are described in [2, Theorem 3].

Now we consider the case of the cubic roots of unity  $\gamma = \exp(-2\pi i\beta)$  and  $\gamma' = \exp(-2\pi i\beta')$  introduced above. They can be eigenvalues of  $h^2$  only when  $d$  is divisible by 3.

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $d$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has only double and triple points. Let  $n_3(\mathcal{A})$  denote the number of triple points in  $\mathcal{A}$  and suppose that  $d$  is divisible by 3. Then one has the following:*

- (i)  $h^{2,0}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} = h^{0,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} = n_{\beta'+2}$ ;
- (ii)  $h^{1,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} = h^{1,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} = n_{\beta'+2} + n_{\beta'+1} - n_{\beta} + \beta_3(\mathcal{A})$ ;
- (iii)  $h^{0,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} = h^{2,0}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} = n_{\beta'+2} + n_{\beta'+1} + n_{\beta'} - n_{\beta} - n_{\beta+1} + \beta_3(\mathcal{A})$ ;
- (iv)  $h^{2,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} = h^{1,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} = n_{\beta} - n_{\beta'+2}$ ;
- (v)  $h^{1,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} = h^{2,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} = n_{\beta+1} + n_{\beta} - n_{\beta'+1} - n_{\beta'+2} - \beta_3(\mathcal{A})$ .

Here,  $\beta = 1/3$ ,  $\beta' = 2/3$  and the various integers  $n_{\eta}$  are given by the formulas in Theorem 2.1.

**Proof** In the case  $\alpha = \beta$ , the definition of the spectrum and the above discussion on the mixed Hodge properties of the cohomology group of the Milnor fiber  $F$  yield the following relations:

- (a)  $n_{\beta} = h^{2,0}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} + h^{2,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma}$ ;
- (b)  $n_{\beta+1} = h^{1,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} + h^{1,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma}$  (use (3.1));
- (c)  $n_{\beta+2} = h^{0,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} - h^{0,1}(H^1(F))_{\gamma} = h^{0,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} - \beta_3(\mathcal{A})$  (use (3.1) again).

Similarly, for  $\alpha = \beta'$ , we get the following.

- (a)  $n_{\beta'} = h^{2,0}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} + h^{2,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'}$ ;
- (b)  $n_{\beta'+1} = h^{1,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} + h^{1,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} - \beta_3(\mathcal{A})$  (use (3.1));
- (c)  $n_{\beta'+2} = h^{0,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'}$  (use (3.1) again).

The proof is completed by using the obvious equality

$$h^{p,q}(H^2(F))_{\lambda} = h^{q,p}(H^2(F))_{\bar{\lambda}},$$

obtained by taking the complex conjugation. ■

**Remark 3.4** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any essential arrangement of  $d$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ; i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a pencil of lines through a point. Then the formulas given in Proposition 3.3 where we take  $\beta_3(\mathcal{A}) = 0$  clearly hold for any  $\lambda \in \mu_d$  such that  $H^1(F)_{\lambda} = 0$ , with the integers  $n_{\alpha}$  given by [2, Theorem 3].

Moreover, it is clear that Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 imply Theorem 1.1. They also yield the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.5** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $d$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has only double and triple points. Then the dimensions  $\dim Gr_2^W H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\dim Gr_3^W H^2(F, \mathbb{Q})$  of the graded quotients with respect to the weight filtration  $W$  depend both on the Papadima–Suciu invariant  $\beta_3(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Example 3.6** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the Ceva (or Fermat) arrangement of  $d = 9$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  given by the equation

$$Q(x, y, z) = (x^3 - y^3)(x^3 - z^3)(y^3 - z^3).$$

Then the Papadima–Suciu invariant  $\beta_3(\mathcal{A})$  is equal to 2; there are  $n_3(\mathcal{A}) = 12$  triple points, and a direct computation gives the following formula for the spectrum

$$Sp(\mathcal{A}) = t^{1/3} + 3t^{4/9} + 6t^{5/9} + 10t^{2/3} + 3t^{7/9} + 9t^{8/9} + 16t + 6t^{11/9} + 10t^{4/3} - 2t^{5/3} + 6t^{16/9} - 8t^2 + 9t^{19/9} + 3t^{20/9} - 2t^{7/3} + 6t^{22/9} + 3t^{23/9} + t^{8/3} - t^3.$$

Proposition 3.3 implies

$$h^{2,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} = h^{1,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} = n_{1/3} - n_{8/3} = 1 - 1 = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h^{1,2}(H^2(F))_{\gamma} &= h^{2,1}(H^2(F))_{\gamma'} = n_{4/3} + n_{1/3} - n_{5/3} - n_{8/3} - \beta_3(\mathcal{A}) \\ &= 10 + 1 + 2 - 1 - 2 = 10. \end{aligned}$$

These values correct a misprint in [7, p. 244] and confirm the computations done by P. Bailet in [1]. This example also shows that the integers  $n_{\eta}$  may be strictly negative.

**Remark 3.7** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $d$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has only double and triple points. Then, in view of [7, Theorem 1.1], the results in Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 can be used to describe the  $\mu_d$ -action on the cohomology of the associated surface

$$X_Q : Q(x, y, z) - t^d = 0$$

in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , which is a singular compactification of the Milnor fiber  $F$ .

**Remark 3.8** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $d$  lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  has only double and triple points and  $d = 3m$  for some integer  $m$ . Let  $T \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be the set of triple points in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$  denotes the graded ring of polynomials in  $x, y, z$ , consider the evaluation map  $\rho: S_{2m-3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^T$  obtained by picking up a representative  $s_t$  in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  for each point  $t \in T$  and sending a homogeneous polynomial  $h \in S_{2m-3}$  to the family  $(h(s_t))_{t \in T}$ .

Then [3, Theorem 2] and the discussion following it imply the key formula (3.1). This can be reformulated as  $\beta_3(\mathcal{A}) = \dim(\text{Coker } \rho)$ , and the last integer is by definition the *superabundance* or the *defect*  $S_{2m-3}(T)$  of the finite set of points  $T$  with respect to the polynomials in  $S_{2m-3}$ . Since by the work of Papadima and Suciu we know that  $\beta_3(\mathcal{A}) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , this gives a very strong restriction on the position of the triple points in such a line arrangement. For other relations to algebraic geometry of a similar flavor, we refer the reader to [11, 12, 14].

### 4 The Proof of Proposition 1.2

We follow the notation from the previous section; in particular,  $M$  denotes the complement of  $C$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  given by  $Q \neq 0$ . To prove Proposition 1.2, we have to check whether for each character  $r_\lambda$  of  $\mu_d$ , its coefficient in  $PD^{\mu_d}(F; u, v, t)$  (which is a polynomial  $c_\lambda(u, v, t)$ ) can be recovered from the polynomial  $c_\lambda(u, v, -1)$ . In other words, the monomials in  $u, v$  coming from the various cohomology groups  $H^j(F)$  should not undergo any simplification, and their degree should tell from which cohomology group they come.

Consider first the trivial character  $r_1$ . Then  $H^0(F)$  contributes to the coefficient  $c_1(u, v, t)$  by 1 and  $H^1(F)$  contributes by a multiple of the monomial  $uv t$ , since  $H^1(F)_1 = H^1(M)$  is still of pure type (1, 1) in this more general setting. To see this, one can use the Gysin sequence

$$0 = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \Sigma) \longrightarrow H^1(M) \longrightarrow H^0(C \setminus \Sigma)(-1) \longrightarrow \dots$$

with  $\Sigma$  denoting the set of singular points of the curve  $C$ . The group  $H^2(F)_1 = H^2(M)$  has weights at least 2, since  $M$  is smooth. On the other hand, the elements of weight 2 are those in the image of the morphism

$$i^*: H^2(\mathbb{P}^2) \longrightarrow H^2(M)$$

induced by the inclusion  $i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ , since  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is a compactification of  $M$ . But this morphism is trivial, since  $H^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Q})$  is spanned by the first Chern class of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  and the restriction  $\mathcal{O}(d)|_M$  is trivial. Indeed, it admits  $Q$  as a section without zeroes. It follows that all the classes in  $H^2(M)$  have in fact weights 3 and 4, and hence we can recover  $c_1(u, v, t)$  from  $c_1(u, v, -1)$ .

Now consider a nontrivial character  $r_\lambda$ , i.e.,  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Then  $H^0(F)$  contributes to the coefficient  $c_\lambda(u, v, t)$  by 0 and  $H^1(F)$  contributes by a linear form in  $ut, vt$ , since  $H^1(F)_{\neq 1}$  is still of pure of weight 1 in this more general setting; see [3, Theorem 1.5] or [9, Theorem 4.1]. The contribution of  $H^2(F)$  to  $c_\lambda(u, v, t)$  is by monomials of the form  $u^a v^b t^2$  with  $a + b \geq 2$ , since  $F$  is a smooth variety. This implies again that we can recover  $c_\lambda(u, v, t)$  from  $c_\lambda(u, v, -1)$ , which ends the proof of Proposition 1.2. ■

**Remark 4.1** Note that the information contained in the polynomial  $Sp(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to the information contained in the specialization  $HD^{\mu_d}(F; u, 1)$ ; see [8]. However, even if  $Sp(\mathcal{A})$  is known to be combinatorially determined by [2], it is an open question if the same holds for the Hodge–Deligne polynomial  $HD^{\mu_d}(F; u, \nu)$  of the Milnor fiber of a hyperplane arrangement. Moreover, the specialization  $HD^{\mu_d}(F; u, 1)$  does not determine the Hodge–Deligne polynomial  $HD^{\mu_d}(F; u, \nu)$ , even in the case of a line arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  having only double and triple points, since  $Sp(\mathcal{A})$  does not determine the Papadima–Suciu invariant  $\beta_3(\mathcal{A})$  (which cancels out when we set  $\nu = 1$  in  $HD^{\mu_d}(F; u, \nu)$ ). For an explicit example, we refer the reader to [4, Examples 5.4 and 5.5], where the realizations of the configurations  $(9_3)_1$  and  $(9_3)_2$  are shown to have distinct  $b_1(F)$ 's. They have the same spectra by Theorem 2.1, having the same number of lines and triple points.

## References

- [1] P. Bailet, *Arrangement d'hyperplanes*. PhD thesis, Univ. Nice Sophia Antipolis, 2014.
- [2] N. Budur and M. Saito, *Jumping coefficients and spectrum of a hyperplane arrangement*. Math. Ann. 347(2010), no. 3, 545–579. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00208-009-0449-y>
- [3] N. Budur, A. Dimca, and M. Saito, *First Milnor cohomology of hyperplane arrangements*. In: Topology of algebraic varieties and singularities, Contemp. Math., 538, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 279–292. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/538/10606>
- [4] D. C. Cohen and A. I. Suciu, *On Milnor fibrations of arrangements*. J. London Math. Soc. 51(1995), no. 1, 105–119. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/jlms/51.1.105>
- [5] A. Dimca, *Tate properties, polynomial-count varieties, and monodromy of hyperplane arrangements*. Nagoya Math. J. 206(2012), 75–97. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/00277630-1548502>
- [6] ———, *Monodromy of triple point line arrangements*. In: Singularities in Geometry and Topology 2011, Adv. Studies in Pure Math., 66, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2015, pp. 71–80.
- [7] A. Dimca and G. Lehrer, *Hodge–Deligne equivariant polynomials and monodromy of hyperplane arrangements*. In: Configuration spaces, CRM Series, 14, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2012, pp. 231–253. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-88-7642-431-1\\_10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-88-7642-431-1_10)
- [8] ———, *On the cohomology of the Milnor fibre of a hyperplane arrangement*. arxiv:1307.3847
- [9] A. Dimca and S. Papadima, *Finite Galois covers, cohomology jump loci, formality properties, and multineets*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci (5) 10(2011), no. 2, 253–268.
- [10] A. Dimca and M. Saito, *Some remarks on limit mixed Hodge structure and spectrum*. An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta Ser. Mat. 22(2014), no. 2, 69–78.
- [11] H. Esnault, *Fibre de Milnor d'un cône sur une courbe plane singulière*. Invent. Math. 68(1982), no. 3, 477–496. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01389413>
- [12] A. Libgober, *Hodge decomposition of Alexander invariants*. Manuscripta Math. 107(2002), no. 2, 251–269. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002290100243>
- [13] ———, *Eigenvalues for the monodromy of the Milnor fibers of arrangements*. In: Trends in singularities, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002, pp. 141–150.
- [14] F. Loeser and M. Vaquié, *Le polynôme d'Alexander d'une courbe plane projective*. Topology 29(1990), 163–173. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383\(90\)90005-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(90)90005-5)
- [15] S. Papadima and A. I. Suciu, *The Milnor fibration of a hyperplane arrangement: from modular resonance to algebraic monodromy*. arxiv:1401.0868
- [16] C. Peters and J. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3, Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [17] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 300, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-02772-1>
- [18] J. Steenbrink, *Intersection form for quasi-homogeneous singularities*. Compositio Math. 34(1977), no. 2, 211–223.

Univ. Nice Sophia Antipolis, CNRS, LJAD, UMR 7351, 06100 Nice, France.  
e-mail: [dimca@unice.fr](mailto:dimca@unice.fr)