

AN APPLICATION OF RAMSEY'S THEOREM

BY
E. J. COCKAYNE⁽¹⁾

By an r -graph, we mean a finite set V of elements called vertices and a collection of some of the r -subsets of V called edges with the property that each vertex is incident with at least one edge. An A -chromatic r -graph is an r -graph all of whose edges are coloured A .

THEOREM. *Let G_1, \dots, G_t denote r -graphs. There exists a nonempty class of r -graphs $\mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ such that for each $G \in \mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ if the edges of G are painted arbitrarily in t colours A_1, \dots, A_t , then for at least one i in $\{1, \dots, t\}$, G has an A_i -chromatic r -subgraph which is isomorphic to G_i .*

Proof. Let C_k denote the complete r -graph on k vertices. Suppose that G_i has q_i vertices, $i=1, \dots, t$ and that n is greater than or equal to the Ramsey number $N(q_1, \dots, q_t, r)$ (see [1]). Then by Ramsey's theorem [2], if the edges of C_n are painted arbitrarily in colours A_1, \dots, A_t , for at least one i , C_n has an A_i -chromatic r -subgraph isomorphic to C_{q_i} . But G_i is a subgraph of C_{q_i} . Hence $C_n \in \mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$.

In terms of this theorem, the Ramsey number $N(q_1, \dots, q_t, r)$ is the smallest integer n such that $C_n \in \mathcal{G}(C_{q_1}, \dots, C_{q_t})$. It is then natural to define the Ramsey number $N(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ of the set of r -graphs G_1, \dots, G_t as the smallest n for which $C_n \in \mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$.

We finally state a few simple properties of $\mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$, $N(G_1, \dots, G_t)$.

(i) $\mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ and $N(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ are invariant under permutations of the subscripts $1, \dots, t$.

(ii) If F_i is a subgraph of G_i for each $i=1, \dots, t$ then $\mathcal{G}(F_1, \dots, F_t) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ and $N(F_1, \dots, F_t) \leq N(G_1, \dots, G_t)$.

(iii) If F is a subgraph of G and $F \in \mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ then it follows that $G \in \mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$. Of particular interest, therefore will be elements of $\mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ with some minimal property; e.g. the smallest complete r -graph of $\mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ and elements of the class, no proper r -subgraph of which are also elements of the class.

(iv) $\mathcal{G}(G^2)$ will denote $\mathcal{G}(G_1, \dots, G_t)$ where $G_i=G$ for each $i=1, \dots, t$. Let X be a 2-graph. The chromatic index of X is defined as the least number of colours required to paint the edges of G so that no two similarly painted edges are incident at a common vertex. In terms of our new notation, the chromatic index of X is equal to the least integer t such that $X \notin \mathcal{G}(G^2)$ where G is the 2-graph having 3 vertices and 2 edges.

⁽¹⁾ The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Canadian National Research Council Grant A4810.

REFERENCES

1. H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial mathematics*, Carus Math. Monograph, Math. Assoc. America, 1963.
2. F. P. Ramsey, *On a problem of formal logic*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2nd Series) **30** (1930), 264–286.

UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA,
VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA