Estimated daily intake and health risk assessment of toxic elements in infant formulas

Tuğba Demir* and Sema Ağaoğlu

Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Veterinary, Food Hygiene and Technology, Sivas, Türkiye

(Submitted 4 February 2023 – Final revision received 27 March 2023 – Accepted 6 April 2023 – First published online 17 April 2023)

Abstract

In this study, the heavy metal (Al, Mn, Co, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Cd, Sn, Pb and Hg) concentrations were determined in a total of seventy-two infant formula samples manufactured by sixteen different brands in Türkiye. During the analyses, inductively coupled plasma MS was used in evaluating the nutritional profile and the toxicological risk associated with the consumption of these products. Given the analysis results, the highest Pb content was found in milk-based 'beginner' formulas (0–6 months, three samples) packed in metal containers. The highest concentration of Mn was found in powdered infant formula (Brand 3) that is suitable for 9–12-month-olds. Mn level was found to be above the limit values in nine samples (12·5 %). Cd level exceeded the limit values in two infant formula samples of Brand 3 (0·038 μg/g) and Brand 15 (0·023 μg/g). Therefore, the mean Cd concentration found here reaches the maximum limit set by the European Union commission legislation. Cu was detected in all infant formulas. The highest concentration was determined in Brand 1 (9–12 months, seven samples) and found to be 2·637 (sp 1·928) μg/g. This value is much higher than the reference values set in the national and international standards. Based on the results achieved here, the estimated daily intake (EDI) and target hazard quotient values for all the metals in infant formulas were found lower than < 1. These findings suggest that the baby foods examined would not pose any health risk. The daily intakes exceeding the baby nutrition values recommended by the WHO would pose health risk since they would exceed the EDI levels.

Key words: Infant formula: Heavy metal: Estimated daily intake: Health risk assessment: Public health

Food safety is an issue that is very important for public health, as specified by the potent regulations, the WHO suggestions and many research studies in the literature^{([1](#page-8-0))}. Moreover, due to the complication of the subject, food safety is analysed for toxicological and health^{(2) (2)} threat assessments in terms of both microbiological and chemical risks. Particular attention is paid to newborn nutrition $(3, 4)$ $(3, 4)$ $(3, 4)$ $(3, 4)$.

The optimal nourishment for newborns is breast milk. Furthermore, for the past two decades, approximately 67 % of infants have not been completely breastfed for the recommended $0-6$ months^{([1\)](#page-8-0)}. Infant formulas are additional supplementary or complementary food products and play an important role in nourishing babies^{([5\)](#page-8-0)}, as well as being a major diet source for many newborns and an unmatched resource of food for the first 6 months. These major resources are reconstituted powders that babies consume as substitutions for or supplemental to breast milk. Infant formulas are usually produced using animal or plant sources and generally are dairy/soya-based food products^{[\(6](#page-8-0))}.

It is feasible to have numerous infant formulas added with macro and micronutrients, which are necessary for 0–6, 6–9, 9–12 and > 12-month-old infants^{([5\)](#page-8-0)}. Moreover, it is also known that infant formulas contain chemical contaminants, particularly heavy metals, on various levels. All the baby formulas are products that can be used only as substitutes. For this reason, the microbiological and chemical decontamination of infant formulas is necessary to maintain infants' health and, to provide the highest level of qualification, it is necessary to assess them using certain standards (7) (7) .

Since infant formulas are important food sources for infants, the contaminants such as heavy metals might pose health risks to young children. Infants are particularly susceptible to toxicity because of lower body weight, rapid growth, immature kidneys, immature liver and reduced capacity for detoxification during the first year of life $(8,9)$ $(8,9)$.

Cow and goat milks, which are important components of most infant formulas^{([10\)](#page-8-0)}, can contain toxic heavy metals due to the foods and water consumed by animals and/or exposure to environmental pollution. Additional sources of impurities

* Corresponding author: Tuğba Demir, email tugba@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

Abbreviations: EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; ICP, inductively coupled plasma; JECFA, Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives; PTDI, provisional tolerable daily intake; PTWI, provisional tolerable weekly intake.

include water, utensils, containers and equipment used in the manufacturing, packaging and storage of infant formula (11) .

Co, Cr, Mo and Se were determined to have significant roles in providing essential elements for babies. There also are nonessential elements, which were determined to be toxic to humans, including Al, As, Cd, Pb, Hg and $\text{Sn}^{(1,12)}$ $\text{Sn}^{(1,12)}$ $\text{Sn}^{(1,12)}$ $\text{Sn}^{(1,12)}$ $\text{Sn}^{(1,12)}$. Many standardised procedures were established for the specification of trace elemental nutrients and trace elements, but there is still an analytical gap to comply with the current and future specifications for conformity to regulations and safety of infant formulas, adult and paediatric nourishments and milk-based products that become more and more complicated in the composition of these products^{[\(13](#page-8-0))}.

Pb is categorised into Group 2A: Probably carcinogenic to humans by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (14) . Pb causes several illnesses and even death by affecting various organs (kidney, lung and liver) and systems (nervous, cardiovascular and reproductive systems) (15) . International Agency for Research on Cancer categorised Cd and compounds in Group 1 (carcinogenic to humans) (16) (16) . Increased Cd revelation reasons kidney toxicity, cancer (particularly lung and prostate cancers) and cardiovascular and neuro-logical diseases^{([17\)](#page-8-0)}.

International Agency for Research on Cancer categorised As and inorganic As compounds into Group $1⁽¹⁶⁾$ $1⁽¹⁶⁾$ $1⁽¹⁶⁾$. Organic forms and macromolecules of As are known to be less toxic than iAs types; nevertheless, exposure to above-limit doses might pose an important risk to public health and it might cause nerve harm and stomach pains^{[\(18\)](#page-9-0)}. International Agency for Research on Cancer categorised Hg and iHg into Group 3 but methyl-Hg into Group $2B^{(19)}$ $2B^{(19)}$ $2B^{(19)}$. After entering the body, Hg can easily reach entire tissues including the brain tissues and cause critical damages in numerous organs, particularly in cardiovascular and respiratory systems $^{(20,21)}$ $^{(20,21)}$ $^{(20,21)}$.

Factors causing Al exposure for humans include drinking water, as well as food additives. Nevertheless, Al and compounds seem to be poorly absorbed and then removed with the urine. In a previous study, it was reported that neonates were more sensitive to exposure due to their higher level of intestinal absorption because of the immature gastrointestinal tract^{([22](#page-9-0))}. Al toxicity was proven to cause neonates to have disrupted renal function and premature birth, or low birth weight. High Al concentrations in infant formulas were associated with Al intoxication in two infants having neonatal uremia (23) (23) .

Mn is an essential compound, but it is also a toxic element. The necessity of Mn is emphasised in national and international regulations setting the boundaries for infant formulas and foods^{[\(11](#page-8-0))}. In many studies, it was reported that children exposed to higher concentrations of Mn had impaired cognitive development and lower IQ or intelligence scores in comparison with their peers^{[\(24\)](#page-9-0)}. In addition, exposure to high Mn concentrations is thought to increase the risk of attention deficits, hyperactivity or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and other behaviour and attention problems^{([25,26\)](#page-9-0)}.

Cu and Zn are fundamental nutrients for infant health. Extreme Zn intake might decrease the intestinal absorption of $Cu^{(27)}$ $Cu^{(27)}$ $Cu^{(27)}$. Furthermore, Zn in formulas is at a lower level in comparison with breast milk^{(28) (28)}. Zn plays a significant role in the regulation of cell division and cellular division. Indications of Zn insufficiency include disrupted growth and altered cognition in children, as well as diarrhoea, loss of appetite, sensitivity to infections and skin lesions. Excessive Zn intake is usually thought to be relatively non-toxic. Cu is required for cellular metabolism in enzymatic and non-enzymatic systems. Cu insufficiency is uncommon; however, it was observed in pre-term infants and infants recovering from malnutrition accompanied by diarrhoea^{([27](#page-9-0))}. A decrease in Cu intake causes disrupted growth, anaemia and increased infection risk. Some toxic effects were related to the increased chronic exposure to Cu, including acute gastrointestinal symptoms such as abdominal pain, vomit-ing and diarrhoea^{([29](#page-9-0))}.

The determination of the heavy metal concentrations in infant formula and the contaminant intake is necessary for risk assessment and research on potential contamination that would pose a health hazard for infants. For most of the well-documented ingredients, reference values and safety limits are determined by the authorities such as the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), the Scientific Committee on Food, Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) and WHO. The safety limits were given as tolerable daily/weekly intake, provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) and provisional tolerable daily intake (PTDI).

The present study aims to determine the concentrations of eleven metal (Al, Mn, Co, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Cd, Sn, Pb and Hg) levels in sixteen different brands' powdered infant formulas (seventytwo samples) approved and commercialised in Türkiye at the time of the study carried out using inductively coupled plasma MS (ICP-MS). Besides that, this study also aims to determine the heavy metal contamination in infant formulas (0–36 months; 8 Groups) in Türkiye, reveal if these samples meet the legal requirements, evaluate the exposure to toxic elements originating from the infant formulas and assess the potential health risks posed on the infants in Türkiye.

Materials and methods

Materials

In the present study, the presence and concentrations of heavy metals (As, Hg, Pb, Co, Cd, Se, Cu, Zn, Sn and Al) in infant formulas and follow-on formulas were investigated. For this purpose, thirty-two infant formulas and forty follow-on formulas from different companies were used as study materials. The formulas examined include all of those available in Türkiye and the main brands are represented. The samples were kept in their original packages in a cool place until analysed in the laboratory. [Table 1](#page-2-0) shows the numbers and groups of samples used in analyses. Samples from different brands (sixteen brands), a total of seventy-two infant formulas (in powder form), in their original packages were purchased from a pharmacy. Heavy metal analysis was performed by using ICP-MS (Thermo Scientific™ iCAP) and Microwave Digestion System (Milestone Ethos Up). All the chemicals were at analytical reagent grade. Concentrated nitric acid (65 % HNO3), hydrochloric acid (30 % HCl) and hydrogen peroxide were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck, respectively.

Table 1. Infant formula sample characteristics (Numbers)

Samples	Age groups	n	Code number	Contains	Packaging			
Infant formula	0–6 months	10	IF ₁	Cows' milk based	Metal container			
Follow on milk	6–9 months	10	FM ₁	Cows' milk based	Paper and tin			
Follow on milk	$9-12$ months	10	FM ₂	Cows' milk based	Paper and aluminium foi			
Growing-up milk	$12 - 36$ months	10	GM	Cows' milk based	Paper and aluminium foi			
Premature	0–6 months	8	P1	Cows' milk based	Metal container			
Low birth weight	0–6 months	8	P ₂	Cows' milk based	Metal container			
Hypoallergenic	$0-6$ months	8	H1	Goat's milk based	Metal container			
Hypoallergenic	6-12 months	8	H ₂	Goat's milk based	Metal container			
Total		72						

n, number of samples.

Determination of heavy metals

The method defined by Su et al. was used with slight modifica-tions for the determination of the heavy metal analysis^{([30\)](#page-9-0)}. Before analysis, all quartz and nickel pieces in ICP-MS device were cleaned according to the cleaning procedure. The samples were prepared according to the 'baby food' method in the Food and Feed section of the Microwave Digestion System. 0·5 g was weighed from the samples and placed in Teflon cups. 9:1 ml $HNO₃: H₂O₂$ was added, and a closed system was set by enclosing all the Teflon cups in parts. The Teflon cups were pulled out at the end of 1 h. Once the infant formula solutions were cooled, they were put in 15 ml falcons, and the sample volume was completed to 15 ml by adding ultra-distilled water. Samples were filtered (0·22 μm) and analysed using ICP-MS. The analysis of the samples and blank test pieces was made by carrying out three parallel readings. The conditions of analysis are shown in Table 2.

Sample preparation for the device

In this process, 1 ml of sample was added with 10 ppb mix internal standard (Bi) (2 ppm Au standard was also added for Hg). The final volume was completed to 5 ml and the samples were diluted 100 times. Then, the elements in the samples were read using ICP-MS (Thermo Scientific) device at ppb level. Standard concentrations were 0·5, 1, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 ppb (Chem Lab Solutions). To provide the quality of measurements, recovery, instrument detection limits (LOD/LOQ) and calibration for all metals are shown in [Table 3](#page-3-0). Solutions (for standard) that were prepared by using stock solutions were recorded and the calibration curves were created (0·5, 1, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100 ppb). All heavy metal measurements were > 99·0 %. So, the method can be used in the analysis.

Risk assessment

The daily intake for each heavy metal analysed was estimated considering the concentration of the metal acquired from the analysis of the heavy metals, the average daily/weekly intake of the formula and the average body weight (bw) for girls and boys separately. Daily doses were computed using the babies' nutrition tables. The mean bw was defined according to the child-growth standard tables improved by $WHO^{(31)}$ $WHO^{(31)}$ $WHO^{(31)}$ considering the P95th percentile of the weight for girls and boys at 1st week; for the period of life of 0–2 weeks, 3rd week; for 2–4 weeks, 1st Table 2. Inductively coupled plasma -MS parameters

month; for 2 months, 4th month; for 4 months, 6–9 months, 9–12 months and 12–36 months. The daily/weekly intake for each heavy metal was calculated by the following equation:

Daily intake $(\mu g/kg bw) = (Cm \times EI)/bw$

where Cm is the mean level of each heavy metal studied in the formulas, expressed as μg/g; EI is the daily/weekly estimated intake of formulas expressed as g and bw is the body weight expressed as kg.

The health risk index of heavy metals was calculated as a percentage of its safety limit. The safety limits were as follows: for Cd, the EFSA panel on contaminants in the food chain designates a PTWI of 2·5 μg/kg^{[\(32\)](#page-9-0)}; for Pb, the JECFA reports a PTWI equal to 3·5 μg/kg^{[\(33\)](#page-9-0)}; for Zn, the Scientific Committee on Food indicates a tolerable upper limit of 7 mg/d (34) (34) (34) ; for Al, European Union commission limit of 2 mg/kg^{(35) (35)} (PTDI); for Mn, Codex Alimentarius Commission standard limit of 2.5 mg/kg $PTWI^{(36)}$ $PTWI^{(36)}$ $PTWI^{(36)}$; for Co, maximum tolerable daily intake limit of 100 μ g/kg bw^{[\(37](#page-9-0))}; for Cu, PTWI of 3.5 mg/kg^{(38) (38)}; for As, PTWI limit of 0.015 mg/kg^{(21) (21) (21)}; for se, Sn and Hg, PTWI limits of 66 μ g/kg, 0.6 mg/kg and 0.4 μg/kg, respectively^{([38,39\)](#page-9-0)}.

Toxicological contribution

PTDI (EFSA and JECFA) contribution level of average exposure calculated for each heavy metal (% of PTDI) was calculated according to the formula^{[\(5](#page-8-0))}.

% of PTDI = ((Mean estimated daily intake and P95th estimated daily intake) × 100]/PTDI

Element Conc. (ppb)		%Recovery (mean)	Linear equation x, v (μ q/l)	R^2	SD	RSD%	LOD (ppb)			
²⁷ AI	10	99.0	$v = 13.972.2758x + 22.645.3554$	0.9984	1.070	1.621	0.1434			
⁵⁵ Mn	10	99.0	$v = 36844.9564x + 7102.8305$	0.9986	0.967	0.193	0.0377			
⁵⁹ Co	10	99.0	$v = 29016.7553x + 3706.7480$	0.9987	0.920	0.128	0.0114			
⁶³ Cu	10	99.0	$v = 15417.0892x + 5664.2493$	0.9994	0.639	0.367	0.0658			
⁶⁶ Zn	10	99.0	$v = 5538.7939x + 4128.4920$	0.9994	0.635	0.745	0.1155			
⁷⁵ As	10	99.0	$v = 5569.6026x + 426.2260$	0.9993	0.654	0.077	0.0413			
⁷⁷ Se	10	99.0	$v = 331.8706x + 423.4978$	0.9996	0.546	1.276	0.3157			
111 _{Cd}	10	99.0	$v = 7693.8867x + 86.8002$	0.9991	0.773	0.011	0.0100			
118Sn	10	99.0	$v = 22$ 182.1770x + 1070.4592	0.9974	1.296	0.048	0.0252			
208 Ph	10	99.0	$v = 71491.8714x + 5364.7357$	0.9989	0.859	0.075	0.0051			
²⁰² Hg		99.0	$v = 16942.8985x + 119.8015$	0.9978	0.628	0.007	0.0060			

Table 3. Analysis of the recovery, LOD and calibration for the heavy metals (Means and standard deviations)

LOD, limit of detection; RSD, relative standard deviation.

Target hazard quotient is a risk index developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency to predict the relationship between exposure to chemical pollutants and potential health risks. While HI < 1 means that there is no concern about health risk, HI \geq 1 indicates a potential health concern^{([40\)](#page-9-0)}.

Results and Discussion

Infant formula samples from a total of sixteen brands (seventytwo samples; two batches of each brand) were analysed for Al, Mn, Co, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Cd, Sn, Pb and Hg using ICP-MS. The mean levels of each heavy metal in the infant formula samples analysed are shown in [Table 2](#page-2-0). The mean Al levels of infant formula samples numbered Brand 9, 13 and 12 are 3.050 (sp 2.200), 3·044 (SD 1·266) and 2·576 (SD 0·707) μg/g, respectively ([Table 4\)](#page-4-0), and the average Al level for all samples is about 1·755 (SD 0·708) μg/g.

Comparing the groups (low birth weight, premature, hypoallergenic, follow-on milk, growing-up milk), the highest mean Al value was found to be 2.678 (sp 1.333) μ g/g in the GM group (Brand 9), whereas the lowest one was found to be 0·551 (SD 0·212) μg/g in the premature group (Brand 2). Blasco and Golinda reported that an intermediate level was found for formulae without lactose and the lowest content was found in the hypoallergenic formula^{([22\)](#page-9-0)}. Comparing their results to the results achieved in the present study, the second-lowest Al value was found in the hypoallergenic group, following the premature group. In this study, the range of Al concentrations observed in infant formula (0·08–7·93 μg/g) is comparable to that reported in a study in the UK (0·69–5·27 μ g/g)^{[\(41](#page-9-0))}, higher than that in stud-ies in Canada (0·018–1·10 μg/g)^{([42\)](#page-9-0)} and Pakistan (0·64–2·47 μg/ $g^{(43)}$ $g^{(43)}$ $g^{(43)}$, but lower than reported by Sipahi *et al.*^{([44\)](#page-9-0)} (2·40–34·6) $μg/g$).

The levels of Mn found in this study ranged between (0·242 and 20·828 μg/g) in the various brands of infant formula. The highest level of Mn was found in powdered infant formula (Brand 3) which was suitable for 9–12-month-old infants. All products satisfied national and Codex Alimentarius Commission international standards for minimum Mn level in infant formulas; however, 9/72 of the products purchased in the USA exceeded the Codex Alimentarius Commission guidance upper level of 100 μg Mn/kcal for infant formula. Frisbie et al. reported that the range of measured Mn concentrations in the products (infant formula and young child nutritional beverages) was 160–2800 μ g/l^{([11\)](#page-8-0)}. In this study, 12 \cdot 5 % (9 samples) of infant formula which is suitable for 9–12 months have Mn contents above the quantification limit.

The highest mean Pb concentrations of infant formula samples numbered Brand 13, Brand 2 and Brand 11 are 0·141 (SD 0·104), 0·140 (SD 0·110) and 0·126 (SD 0·018) μg/g, respectively, and the average Pb concentration for all samples was found to be approximately 0·071 (0·010–0·141) μg/g [\(Table 4\)](#page-4-0). Various concentrations of Pb were defined in all infant formulas. The average Pb content is below (56 %; nine brands) the maximum limits (0.05 mg/kg) set by the European Union for infant formula^{([33](#page-9-0))}, whereas all except only one brand (three samples) are above the maximum limits (0·01 mg/kg) set by the Codex Alimentarius Commission for infant formulas (36) ([Fig. 1\)](#page-5-0).

Nonetheless, the highest Pb content was found in the milkbased 'beginner' formula (0–6 month, IF1) packaged in metal containers. In addition, only one batch per brand contained detectable levels of Pb. This may be attributed to differences in the quality of raw materials, production and processing equipment and packaging containers used by infant formula manufacturers. The examined range of Pb level is considerably greater than that reported for analogous studies in Türkiye^{([44](#page-9-0))} (0.55– 24.9 μg/kg) and Ethiopia^{[\(45\)](#page-9-0)} (16.0–103 μg/kg) but less than the range observed in Egypt^{(46) (46) (46)} $(450-1850$ μ g/kg) and Lebanese^{([47](#page-9-0))} (31.0–1040 μ g/kg).

Evaluating the Cd results, it was determined that Cd could not be detected in one sample (Brand 11) ([Fig. 1](#page-5-0)), while it was below the limit values in thirteen brands (sixty-one samples; 85 %).

Cd level exceeded the limit values in all infant formulas Brand 3 (0·038 μg/g) and Brand 15 (0·023 μg/g) [\(Table 4\)](#page-4-0). It was determined that the Cd level exceeded the limit values in a total of 9 (12·5 %) samples. The levels detected are above the Cd concentrations (0·005–0·02 mg/kg) determined by the European Union for infant formulas^{([48](#page-9-0))}. In addition, European Union No 488/2014 amending the Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 sets a maximum limit of 0·01 mg/kg fresh weight for powdered infant formula made from protein obtained from cow milk or from protein https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000971 Published online by Cambridge University Press https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000971 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 4. Heavy metal contents in different types of commercially available infant formulas in the Turkish market (Mean values and standard deviations)

* Internal standard ²⁰⁹B.

TC

British Journal of Nutrition

Fig. 1. Heavy metal levels of all brands (average of all groups).

hydrolysates, and of 0·02 mg/kg fresh weight for infant formula prepared from soya protein either alone or in combination with cow milk^{([49](#page-9-0))}. Therefore, the mean Cd concentration found reaches the maximum limit established in the legislation.

As can cause cancer in many organs, including the skin, lungs, bladder, kidney and liver; it is also capable of influencing the neurological, respiratory and cardiovascular systems. As has also been implicated in diabetic pathophysiology and reproduc-tive toxicity^{[\(50](#page-9-0))}. Recent research showed that infant formulas, specifically rice-based infant food, contain As which can be traced to the natural raw materials used for processing (51) (51) . Currently, there is no guideline for As content in baby food, including infant formulas, but the food industry has been advised

 0.0 5·0 10.0 $15·0$ 20·0 Brand 2 and 7 1 and 4 A arabanah Brand 5 Brand 9 Brand 1 Brand 6 Brand 10 Brand 16 Brand 14 **Brand 13 Private 12 Brand 15** Brand 3 μg/g 55Mn 0.0 0.5 1.0 1·5 2.0 2.5 Brand 11 Brand 8 Brand 12 Brand 13 Brand 7 Brand 16 Brand 5 Brand 2 Brand 4 Brand 15 Brand 10 Brand 6 Brand 9 Brand 14 Brand 3 Brand 1 μg/g 63Cu

to adhere to a 0·2 mg/kg As level to ensure the safety of infants and young children^{([52](#page-9-0))}. In the present study, the highest mean As level in infant formula samples numbered Brand 15, Brand 10 and Brand 3 was 1·325, 1·080 and 0·931 mg/kg, respectively, and the average As concentration for all analyses was found to be approximately 0·529 mg/kg ([Table 4](#page-4-0)). In intergroup comparison, the lowest As level was found to be in hypoallergenic group (0·218 (SD 0·057) mg/kg), whereas the highest one was found in follow-on formula (9–12 months).

The mean Hg l concentration of all formulas was approximately 0·0086 (SD 0·003) (0·0035–0·0155) mg/kg [\(Table 4](#page-4-0)). When the detections were compared with other studies, it was found that there were studies reporting lower levels at

Brand 9

Brand 3

Brand 3

Brand 16

Fig. 1. (Continued)

0·009–0·031 mg/kg^{[\(20\)](#page-9-0)}, 0·006–0·007 mg/kg^{([53\)](#page-9-0)} and higher levels at 0·02–1·56 mg/kg^{([54](#page-9-0))}, 0·012–0·251 mg/kg^{([47](#page-9-0))}. The mean Hg level of all analyses was approximately 0·0086 (SD 0·003) (0·0035–0·0155) μg/g ([Table 4\)](#page-4-0). The lowest Hg level was found in the premature group and the highest Hg concentration was found in the growing-up formula group. There is no limitation for Hg concentration in infant formulas. Hg concentrations in infant formulas were recorded to be 0·0005 mg/kg by Martins et al.^{[\(55](#page-9-0))}, 0·0007 mg/kg by Mania et al.^{[\(12](#page-8-0))}, 0·0000-0·0005 by Guerin et al.^{[\(56\)](#page-10-0)}, 0.03 mg/kg by Martínez et al.^{([57](#page-10-0))} and 0.01 mg/kg by Igweze *et al*.^{([54](#page-9-0))}.

Examining the Sn levels, no Sn was detected in fourteen brands but only in two brands. Sn levels in Brand 4 and Brand 10 (0·089 (SD 0·004); 0·062 (SD 0·002) mg/kg) were much lower than the level set by EFSA and they constituted 3 % (2 samples) of all the samples. Sn is one of the toxic metals, which could accumulate in the human body and animal tissues. Sn is widely used in Sn-plated steel containers, which are used for food production and preservation of beverage cans. In case of exposure to a large amount of Sn in canned food taken daily over a long period, acute effects such as stomach aches and anaemia occur in liver and kidney^{[\(58,59](#page-10-0))}. The permissible limit for Sn in infant formula is 50 mg/kg $^{(60)}$ $^{(60)}$ $^{(60)}$.

Comparing the Cu values of all infant formulas, Cu was detected in all of them. The highest value among the brands was found in Brand 1 (9–12 months, seven samples) and found to be 2·637 (SD 1·062). This value is much higher than the reference values set in the national and international standards. It was thought that this might be because of the package of product. In other studies, Cu values were reported to exceed the limit values to varying extents^{([58](#page-10-0))}.

Zn is a minor inorganic compound essential for the growth of infants. Zn is also required for the synthesis of DNA, division of cells and catalytic activity of more than 100 enzymes^{[\(61](#page-10-0))}. This study disclosed that the levels of Zn in infant formulas ranged

between 16.148 and 69.179 μ g/g [\(Table 4\)](#page-4-0). According to Türkiye and international standards, the Zn content in infant formulas must not exceed < $36 \text{ mg/kg}^{(62, 63)}$. Comparing this limit with our results, two brands were found exceeding the permissible limit. Level of Zn recorded from Pakistani in thirteen different brands of infant formulas ranged between 29·72 and 113·50 mg/kg, and these results are higher as compared with our find-ings^{([64\)](#page-10-0)}. The level of Zn recorded by Melø et al .^{[\(65](#page-10-0))} in samples present in Norway markets was in the range of 35·0–39·0 mg/ kg and these results are lower as compared with our evidences.

Estimated daily intake

The concentrations of the daily/weekly intake of non-essential and toxic elements and micro and trace essential elements calculated separately for girls and boys are reported in [Table 5](#page-7-0). The advised consumption and the average concentrations acquired for each heavy metal were taken into account to calculate the estimated daily intake, as well as metals' contribution to the proposed daily intake and the maximum intake for the infant formulas [\(Table 5](#page-7-0)). The levels of toxic contribution of the analysed exposure for each heavy metals to PTDI (% of PTDI) defined by JECFA are shown in [Table 5.](#page-7-0)

The toxicity of As varies depending on As' forms, and it is known that inorganic As is more toxic than organic As. Different studies examining the infant formulas with different contents reported that approximately 50–80 % of total As was in iAs form([66](#page-10-0)–[68](#page-10-0)) . When the findings of this study are evaluated, As exposures of all groups were calculated as approximately 0·24, 0·40, 0·72, 0·66 and 0·38 μg/kg bw/d.

Food safety authorities defined the daily iAs values to be 0·3– 8 μg/kg bw/d for liver, skin and some cancer types^{[\(69\)](#page-10-0)}. The analysed average As exposure was below the levels defined by EFSA and JECFA. The average Cd exposure of infants 6–9 months is 0·013 (SD 0·001) μg/kg bw/d (P95, 0·03235 μg/kg bw/d), and

Table 5. Daily/weekly intake of metals and percentage (P95th) health risk index estimated for infants from each group, separately for girls and boys

	27 Al		55 Mn		59 _{Co}		63 Cu		66Zn		75AS		77 Se		111Cd		118 Sn		208Pb		202 Hg	
EDI	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys
0-2 weeks 2-4 weeks	0.20 0.23	0.19 0.22	0.68 0.78	0.65 0.74	0.0113 0.0130	0.0107 0.0123	4.01 3.58	3.39	3.82 48.95 46.62 0.75 56.48	53-40 0-87		0.72 0.82	0.65 0.76	0.62 0.71	0.0156 0.0147	0.0135 0.0129	ND			0.0653 0.0621 0.023 0.021 0.0753 0.0712 0.026		0.025
2 months	4.63	4.29	5.66	5.24	0.0314	0.0291	4.97		4.61 74.66	69.17 1.31		1.22	0.74	0.69		0.0229 0.0212				0.2000 0.1853 0.029		0.026
4 months	3.99	3.75	10.65	10.02	0.0313	0.0295	4.96		4.66 82.88	77.95 1.22		1.15	0.74	0.70		0.0285 0.0268				0.2563 0.2411 0.028		0.027
	4.72	4.23		11.64	0.0313	0.0280	$5-31$		4.76 93.79 84.06 2.27			2.04	0.74	0.66		0.0341 0.0306				0.2274 0.2038 0.028		0.025
6-9 months 9-12 months	5.94	5.64	12.99 8.73	8.30	0.0330	0.0314			5.44 81.24 77.20 2.04				0.81			0.0300 0.0285				2.10 0.2400 0.2281 0.030 0.029		
	6.13	5.74	$10-60$	9.92	0.0458	0.0429	5.73 4.33		4.05 75.94 71.10 1.17			1.94 1.09	0.62	0.77 0.58		0.0320 0.0300	2.21 0.14			0.06 0.1602 0.1500 0.023 0.021		
$1-3$ years	27 Al			55 Mn		59 _{Co}	63 Cu		66Zn			75As	77 Se			111Cd	118 Sn			208Pb		202 Hg
PTWI or PTDI*	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls Boys		Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys		Girls Boys
		0.01	0.27	0.26	0.0001			1.09	6.99	6.66		0.251 0.239	0.010	0.009	0.005	0.005	0.00	0.00		0.0186 0.0178 0.006		0.005
0-2 weeks 2-4 weeks	0.01	0.01	0.31		0.0001	0.0001 0.0001	1.15 1.02	0.97	8.07			7.63 0.289 0.273	0.011 0.011		0.006	0.006	0.00	0.00		0.0215 0.0203 0.006		0.006
2 months	0.01 0.15	0.14		0.30	0.0003	0.0003	1.42		1.32 10.67				9-88 0-438 0-406 0-011 0-010 0-009			0.008	0.00			0.00 0.0571 0.0529 0.007 0.007		
4 months	0.13	0.13	2.26 4.26	2.10 4.01	0.0003	0.0003	1.42						1.33 11.84 11.14 0.408 0.384 0.011 0.011 0.011			0.011	0.00			0.00 0.0732 0.0689 0.007 0.007		
6-9 months	0.16	0.14	$5-20$	4.66	0.0003	0.0003	1.52		1.36 13.40			12.01 0.758 0.679		0.011 0.010	0.014	0.012	0.00	0.00	0.0650	0.0582 0.007		0.006
9-12 months	0.20	0.19	3.49	3.32	0.0003	0.0003	1.64		1.56 11.61				11.03 0.680 0.646 0.012 0.012 0.012			0.011	3.68			3.50 0.0686 0.0652 0.008		0.007
$1-3$ years	0.20	0.19	4.24	3.97	0.0005	0.0004	1.24		1.16 10.85		10.16 0.389	0.364	0.009	0.009	0.013	0.012	0.24			0.11 0.0458 0.0429 0.006		0.005
Toxicological contri- bution $(\%)$	$\%$	$\%$	%	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$	$\%$
0-2 weeks	0.07	0.06	2.72	2.59	0.00	0.00	11.46	10.91	6.99	6.66 2.51		2.39	0.10	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.00		$0.00 \quad 0.19$	0.18	0.06	0.05
2-4 weeks	0.08	0.07	3.14	2.97	0.00	0.00	10.24	9.68	8.07	7.63 2.89		2.73	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.00		0.00 0.22	0.20	0.06	0.06
2 months	1.54	1.43	22.63	20.96	0.00	0.00	14.20	13-16 10-67		9.88 4.38		4.06	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.00		0.00 0.57	0.53	0.07	0.07
4 months	1.33	1.25	42.61	40.07	0.00	0.00	14.16		13.32 11.84 11.14 4.08			3.84	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.00		$0.00 \quad 0.73$	0.69	0.07	0.07
6-9 months	1.57	1.41	51.95	46.56	0.00	0.00	15.18		13-61 13-40 12-01 7-58			6.79	0.11	0.10	0.14	0.12	0.00	0.00 0.65		0.58	0.07	0.06
9-12 months	1.98	1.88	34.92	33.18	0.00	0.00	16.37		15.56 11.61 11.03 6.80			6.46	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.11	$36 - 80$	34.97 0.69		0.65	0.08	0.07
$1-3$ years	2.04	1.91	42.39	39.69	0.00	0.00	12.36		11.57 10.85 10.16 3.89			3.64	0.09	0.09	0.13	0.12	2.36	1.07 0.46		0.43	0.06	0.05
TOXIC THQ $<$ 1	$0 - 2$	$2 - 4$	\overline{c}	4	$6 - 9$	$9 - 12$	$1 - 3$															
	weeks 0.01	weeks 0.01	months 0.04	months 0.04	months 0.05	months 0.77	years 0.09															

1739

the analysed exposure level corresponds to 1·2 % of PTWI and 8·98 % of PTDI ([Table 5](#page-7-0)). EFSA defines that tolerable daily intake for Cd was $0.36 \mu g/kg$ bw/d $(2.5 \mu g/kg$ bw/week) for $0-24$ months⁽¹⁷⁾, while JECFA specified it to be 1 μ g/kg bw/d (7 μ g/ kg bw/week)^{[\(60\)](#page-10-0)}. The average and highest (P95) Cd exposures analysed were below the levels stated by EFSA and JECFA.

The mean Hg exposure of the infant group of 9–12 months was analysed as 0·007 (SD 0·001) μg/kg bw/d (P95, 0·030 μg/ kg bw/d) ([Table 5\)](#page-7-0). The exposure level analysed was 0·731 % of PTDI. JECFA defined to be PTDI 0·570 μg/kg bw/d (4·0 μg/ kg bw/week) for iHg $^{(60)}$ $^{(60)}$ $^{(60)}$, and EFSA defined to be 0·180 μ g/kg bw/d (1 \cdot 3 μg/kg bw/week) for met-Hg $^{(20)}$ $^{(20)}$ $^{(20)}$. The analysed average and highest (P95) Hg exposure is quite under the levels stated by EFSA and JECFA.

The lowest (P95, 0·017 μg/kg bw/d) and highest (P95, 0·073 μ g/kg bw/d) exposure levels recorded were 4·8 (sp 0·20)% of PTDI (mean) [\(Table 5](#page-7-0)). The average Pb exposure values analysed in different studies were 0·50 μg/kg bw/d and 3·57 μg/ kg bw/d $(25 \text{ }\mu\text{g/kg}$ bw/week $)^{(5)}$, and levels were below the one defined by EFSA for developmental neurotoxicity in young children^{([33](#page-9-0))}.

JECFA interpreted present values for Al 11 years ago $^{(60)}$ $^{(60)}$ $^{(60)}$. The authorities made a decision that a 'No Observed Adverse Effect Level' of 30 mg/kg bw/d was suitable for establishing a PTWI for Al compounds. Because long-term studies on the relevant toxicological endpoints had become present, there was no longer the requirement for an additional indefiniteness factor for insufficiencies in the database. The authorities, therefore, determined a PTWI of 2 mg/kg bw/week from the NOAEL of 30 mg/kg bw/d by performing an indefiniteness factor of 100 for inter-species and intra-species differences.

Conclusions

It was reported that newborns are more likely to be exposed to higher levels of metals through infant formula when compared with breast milk. This fact is important to reduce health risks by imposing a set of maximum permissible concentrations for all toxic compounds in baby foods in the practicable legislations, particularly in foodstuffs that include higher toxic metals contamination. Furthermore, considering that newborns who cannot be breastfed are particularly dependent on formula diets and that infants are potentially more sensitive, heavy metal contamination and essential metal limits should be regularly monitored during manufacturing. Taking dairy products' importance into account in terms of public health, as well as the relationship between the food quality and the health of the population, the systematic surveillance of high heavy metals contamination levels in these products must be considered in food quality control policies in Türkiye.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the funders of this study.

This research was funded by SİVAS CUMHURİYET UNIVERSITY, grant number V-2021-112.

T. D. and S. A. conceived and designed the research. T. D. conducted the experiments, and S. A. contributed to biochemical analyses. T. D. analysed the data and wrote the first manuscript draft, T. D. and S. A. revised the paper up to its final version. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. World Health Organization (2020) Exposure of Children to Chemical Hazards in Food. [https://www.who.int/](https://www.who.int/healthtopics/breastfeeding#) [healthtopics/breastfeeding#](https://www.who.int/healthtopics/breastfeeding#) (accessed October 2020).
- 2. Demir T & Agaoglu S (2021) Acrylamide levels of fast food products. Fresenius Environ Bull 30, 4450–4456.
- 3. Nobile M, Arioli F, Pavlovic R, et al. (2020) Presence of emerging contaminants in baby food. Food Additives Contam: Part A 37, 131–142.
- 4. Sahin S, Ulusoy HI, Alemdar S, et al. (2020) The presence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in grilled beef, chicken and fish by considering dietary exposure and risk assessment. Food Sci Animal Resour 40, 675.
- 5. Başaran B (2022) An assessment of heavy metal level in infant formula on the market in Turkey and the hazard index. J Food Compos Anal 105, 104258.
- 6. Bermejo P, Pena E, Domınguez R, et al. (2000) Speciation of iron in breast milk and infant formulas whey by size exclusion chromatography-high performance liquid chromatography and electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry. Talanta 50, 1211–1222.
- 7. Losio MN, Pavoni E, Finazzi G, et al. (2018) Preparation of powdered infant formula: could product's safety be improved? J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 67, 543.
- 8. Elaridi J, Dimassi H, Estephan M, et al. (2020) Determination of aluminum, chromium, and barium concentrations in infant formula marketed in Lebanon. *J Food Prot* 83, 1738-1744.
- 9. Ding Y, Choy LY, Chew MH, et al. (2022) Effects of metal ions on cyanocobalamin stability in heated milk protein-based matrices. Int J Food Sci Technol 57, 7349-7358.
- 10. Demir T & Ağaoğlu S (2023) Exposure assessment of aflatoxin M1 through ingestion of infant formula in Türkiye. Turk J Agriculture-Food Sci Technol 11, 396-402.
- 11. Frisbie SH, Mitchell EJ, Roudeau S, et al. (2019) Manganese levels in infant formula and young child nutritional beverages in the United States and France: comparison to breast milk and regulations. PloS One 14, e0223636.
- 12. Mania M, Wojciechowska-Mazurek M, Starska K, et al. (2015) Toxic elements in commercial infant food, estimated dietary intake, and risk assessment in Poland. Pol I Environ Stud 24. 2525–2536.
- 13. Dubascoux S, Nicolas M, Rime CF, et al. (2015) Simultaneous determination of 10 Ultratrace elements in infant formula, adult nutritionals, and Milk products by ICP/MS after pressure digestion: single-laboratory validation. *J AOAC Int* **98**, 953–961.
- 14. IARC (2006) Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. [https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of](https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of-classifications)[classifications](https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of-classifications)
- 15. Rahman Z & Sing VP (2019) The relative impact of toxic heavy metals (THMs)(arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr)(VI), mercury (Hg), and lead (Pb)) on the total environment: an overview. Environ Monit Assess 191, 1-21.
- 16. IARC (2012) Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. [https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of](https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of-classifications)[classifications](https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of-classifications)
- 17. EFSA (2009) Scientific opinion on cadmium in food. Scientific opinion panel contaminants in the food chain. EFSA J 980, 1–139.

Toxic elements in infant formulas 1741

- 18. WHO (2019) Preventing Disease through Healthy Environments—Exposure to Arsenic: a Major Public Health Concern. <https://www.who.int/ipcs/features/arsenic.pdf>
- 19. IARC (1993) Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. [https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of](https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of-classifications)[classifications](https://monographs.iarc.who.int/list-of-classifications)
- 20. EFSA (2008) Mercury as undesirable substance in animal feed Scientific opinion panel contaminants in the food chain. EFSA J 654, 1–76.
- 21. WHO (2010) Children's Exposure to Mercury Compounds. [https://aps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44445/](https://aps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44445/9789241500456_eng.pdf?sequence=1isAllowed=y&ua=1) [9789241500456_eng.pdf?sequence](https://aps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44445/9789241500456_eng.pdf?sequence=1isAllowed=y&ua=1)=[1isAllowed](https://aps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44445/9789241500456_eng.pdf?sequence=1isAllowed=y&ua=1)=[y&ua](https://aps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44445/9789241500456_eng.pdf?sequence=1isAllowed=y&ua=1)=[1](https://aps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44445/9789241500456_eng.pdf?sequence=1isAllowed=y&ua=1)
- 22. Navarro-Blasco I & Alvarez-Galindo JI (2003) Aluminium content of Spanish infant formula. Food Additives Contam 20, 470–481.
- 23. de Paiva EL, Milani RF, Morgano MA, et al. (2019) Aluminum in infant formulas commercialized in Brazil: occurrence and exposure assessment. *J Food Compos Anal* 82, 103230.
- 24. Bhang SY, Cho SC, Kim JW, et al. (2013) Relationship between blood manganese levels and children's attention, cognition, mant formulas commercialized in Brazii: occurrence and exposure assessment. *J Food Compos Anal* **82**, 103230.
Bhang SY, Cho SC, Kim JW, *et al.* (2013) Relationship between
blood manganese levels and children's attention, tional study. *Environ Res* 126, 9-16.
- 25. Rodríguez-Barranco M, Lacasaña M, Aguilar-Garduño C, et al. (2013) Association of arsenic, cadmium and manganese exposure with neurodevelopment and behavioural disorders in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Total Environ 454, 562–577.
- 26. O'Neal SL & Zheng W (2015) Manganese toxicity upon overexposure: a decade in review. Curr Environ Health Rep 2, 315–328.
- 27. Özden TA, Gökçay G, Cantez MS, et al. (2015) Copper, zinc and iron levels in infants and their mothers during the first year of life: a prospective study. *BMC Pediatr* **15**, 1–11.
- 28. Khaghani S, Ezzatpanah H, Mazhari N, et al. (2010) Zinc and copper concentrations in human milk and infant formulas. Iranian J Pediatr 20, 53.
- 29. Dobrzyńska M, Drzymała-Czyż S, Jakubowski K, et al. (2021) Copper and zinc content in infant milk formulae available on the polish market and contribution to dietary intake. Nutrients 13, 2542.
- 30. Su C, Zheng N, Gao Y, et al. (2020) Content and dietary exposure assessment of toxic elements in infant formulas from the Chinese market. Foods 9, 1839–1840.
- 31. World Health Organization (2020) Infant and Young Child Feeding. [https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/](https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/infant-and-young-child-feeding) [infant-and-young-child-feeding](https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/infant-and-young-child-feeding) (accessed April 2021).
- 32. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2011) CONTAM Panel. Statement on tolerable weekly intake for cadmium. EFSA J 9, 1975.
- 33. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2010) CONTAM Panel. Scientific opinion on lead in food. EFSA J 8, 1570.
- 34. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2014) Panel. Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for zinc. EFSA J 12, 3844.
- 35. EU (2017) Scientific Committee on Health, Environmental and Emerging Risks. Tolerable Intake of Aluminium with Regards to Adapting the Migration Limits. 28 September 2017.
- 36. Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) (2017) Working Document for Information and Working Document for Information And Use In Discussions Related to Contaminants and Toxins in the gsctff. 12th Session 2018. Standard for follow-up formula. Codex Stan. 156–1987.
- 37. EVM (The Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals) (2002) Review of Cobalt. Expert Group on Vitamins and Minerals Secretariat. London: Food Standard Agency. Revised August EVM/00/07.
- 38. Farajvand M, Kiarostami V, Davallo M, et al. (2018) Optimization of solvent terminated dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction of copper ions in water and food samples using artificial neural networks coupled bees algorithm. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 100, 402–408.
- 39. Mohamed H, Haris PI & Brima EI (2019) Estimated dietary intake of essential elements from four selected staple foods in Najran City, Saudi Arabia. BMC Chem 13, 1-10.
- 40. US EPA (2020) US EPA Risk-Based Concentration Table Environmental Protection Agency. Philadelphia PA; Washington, DC: Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) – Generic Tables.
- 41. Chuchu N, Patel B, Sebastian B, et al. (2013) The aluminium content of infant formulas remains too high. BMC Pediatr 13, 1–5.
- 42. Dabeka R, Fouquet A, Belisle S, et al. (2011) Lead, cadmium and aluminum in Canadian infant formulae, oral electrolytes and glucose solutions. Food Addit Contam 28, 744–753.
- 43. Kazi TG, Jalbani N, Baig JA, et al. (2009) Determination of toxic elements in infant formulae by using electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometer. Food Chem Toxicol 47, 1425–1429.
- 44. Sipahi H, Eken A, Aydın A, et al. (2014) Safety assessment of essential and toxic metals in infant formulas. Turk J Pediatr 56, 385–391.
- 45. Eticha T, Afrasa M, Kahsay G, et al. (2018) Infant exposure to metals through consumption of formula feeding in Mekelle, Ethiopia. Int J Anal Chem 2018, 2985698.1-5.
- 46. Salah FAAE, Esmat IA & Mohamed AB (2013) Heavy metals residues and trace elements in milk powder marketed in Dakahlia Governorate. Int Food Res J 20, 1807-1807.
- 47. Elaridi J, Dimassi H, Al Yamani O, et al. (2021) Determination of lead, cadmium and arsenic in infant formula in the Lebanese market. Food Control 123, 107750.
- 48. EU Commission Regulation (EU) (2014) No 488/2014 of May 2014 Amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 As Regards Maximum Levels of Cadmium in Foodstuffs (Text with EEA Relevance).
- 49. EC (2014) Commission Regulation (EC) No 488/2014 of 12 May 2014 Amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as Regards Maximum Levels of Cadmium in Foodstuffs. Off J Eur Union L 138/75.
- 50. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, World Health Organization (FAO/WHO) Safety Evaluation of Certain Contaminants in Food: Prepared by the Seventy-Second Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA).
- 51. Carignan CC, Cottingham KL, Jackson BP, et al. (2015) Estimated exposure to arsenic in breastfed and formula-fed infants in a United States cohort. Environ Health Perspect 123, 500–506.
- 52. Shibata T, Meng C, Umoren J, et al. (2016) Risk assessment of arsenic in rice cereal and other dietary sources for infants and toddlers in the US. Int J Environ Res Public Health 13, 361-371.
- 53. Sorbo A, Turco AC, Di Gregorio M, et al. (2014) Development and validation of an analytical method for the determination of arsenic, cadmium and lead content in powdered infant formula by means of quadrupole Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry. Food Contr 44, 159-165.
- 54. Igweze ZN, Ekhator OC, Nwaogazie I, et al. (2020) Public health and paediatric risk assessment of aluminium, arsenic and mercury in infant formulas marketed in Nigeria. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J 20, e63.
- 55. Martins C, Vasco E, Paixão E, et al. (2013) Total mercury in infant food, occurrence and exposure assessment in Portugal. Food Additives Contam: Part B 6, 151-157.

- 56. Guérin T, Chekri R, Chafey C, et al. (2018) Mercury in foods from the first French total diet study on infants and toddlers. Food Chem 239, 920-925.
- 57. Martínez MÁ, Castro I, Rovira J, et al. (2019) Early-life intake of major trace elements, bisphenol A, tetrabromobisphenol A and fatty acids: comparing human milk and commercial infant formulas. Environ Res 169, 246–255.
- 58. Ghuniem MM, Khorshed MA & Souaya ER (2019) Method validation for direct determination of some trace and toxic elements in soft drinks by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Int J Environ Anal Chem 99, 515-540.
- 59. Ghuniem MM, Khorshed MA & Khalil MM (2020) Determination of some essential and toxic elements composition of commercial infant formula in the Egyptian market and their contribution to dietary intake of infants. Int J Environ Anal Chem 100, 525-548.
- 60. JECFA (2011) JECFA Evaluation of Certain Contaminants in Food: 72th Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives WHO Technical Report Series; No. 959.
- 61. Tariba B, Živković T, Gajski G, et al. (2017) In vitro effects of simultaneous exposure to platinum and cadmium on the activity of antioxidant enzymes and DNA damage and potential

protective effects of selenium and zinc. Drug Chem Toxicol 40, 228–234.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000971 Published online by Cambridge University Press https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114523000971 Published online by Cambridge University Press

- 62. Turkish Food Codex Legislation TGK (2008) TUR 2008 Notification No. 2008–52 on Infant formulae_0.pdf (who.int).
- 63. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2014) Panel. Scientific opinion on dietary reference values for zinc. EFSA J 12, 3844.
- 64. Akhtar S, Shahzad MA, Yoo SH, et al. (2017) Determination of aflatoxin M1 and heavy metals in infant formula milk brands available in Pakistani markets. Korean J Food Sci Animal Resources 37, 79–79.
- 65. Melø R, Gellein K, Evje L, et al.(2008) Minerals and trace elements in commercial infant food. Food Chem Toxicol 46, 3339–3342.
- 66. Meharg AA, Sun G, Williams PN, et al. (2008) Inorganic arsenic levels in baby rice are of concern. Environ Pollut 152, 746–749.
- 67. Carbonell-Barrachina ÁA, Wu X, Ramírez-Gandolfo A, et al. (2012) Inorganic arsenic contents in rice-based infant foods from Spain, UK, China and USA. Environ Pollut 163, 77-83.
- 68. Jackson BP, Taylor VF, Punshon T, et al.(2012) Arsenic concentration and speciation in infant formulas and first foods. Pure Appl Chem 84, 215-223.
- 69. EFSA (2009) Scientific opinion on cadmium in food Scientific opinion panel contaminants in the food chain. EFSA J 7, 1351.