## PROJECTIVE SYSTEMS ON TREES AND VALUATION THEORY
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Introduction. It is our aim in this note to introduce methods from homological algebra in the study of some problems in valuation theory. In particular, we will use such methods to give a new, and, in some respect, simpler proof of a well-known theorem of Krull and Ribenboim; see (2). We shall also show that the same methods can be used to prove the Riemann-Roch theorem for algebraic curves and the Weierstrass product theorem.

In $\S 1$ we study the functor $\underset{\leftarrow}{\lim }$ on the category of projective systems of modules on an ordered set $V$. If $V$ is a tree, we show, (1.2), that

$$
{\underset{V}{\lim _{V}^{(p)}}}^{(p)}=0 \quad \text { for } p \geqq 2
$$

and we give an explicit formula for

$$
\stackrel{\lim }{V}^{(1)}
$$

If $V$ is either a finite tree or the ordered set of the integers, we give conditions on the projective system $F$ such that we have $\lim _{\leftarrow}^{(1)} F=0$; see (1.4) and (1.8). In § 2 we specialize to the case where $V$ is the ordered set of valuations of a field. It is known that $V$ is a tree, and we may therefore use the results of § 1 . Using (1.4), respectively (1.2), the Krull-Ribenboim approximation theorem and a weak form of the Riemann-Roch theorem for algebraic curves come out. The last section contains a proof of a "global" approximation theorem. As an example, we show that this generalizes the existence part of the Weierstrass product theorem.

1. Let $L$ be an unitary ring and let $V$ be an ordered set. If $M$ is a subset of $V$ and $v$ an element of $V$, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{M} & =\left\{v^{\prime} \in V \mid v^{\prime}<v \in M\right\}, \\
\bar{v} & =\overline{\{v\}}, \\
V_{v} & =\left\{v^{\prime} \in V \mid v^{\prime}>v\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\underline{c}$ be the abelian category of all projective systems of $L$-modules on $V$. An object $F$ of $\underline{c}$ is then a family of $L$-modules $\left\{F_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$, together with a family of homomorphisms $j_{v}{ }^{\prime^{\prime}}: F_{v^{\prime}} \rightarrow F_{v}, v^{\prime}>v$ such that, for $v^{\prime \prime}>v^{\prime}>v$,

[^0]$$
j_{v}^{v^{\prime \prime}}=j_{v}{ }^{0^{\prime}} \circ j_{v^{\prime}},^{\mathbf{n}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

For the definition and the main properties of the projective limit functor:

$$
\lim : \underline{c} \rightarrow \text { category of } L \text {-modules, }
$$

see (1). We denote by $\lim ^{(p)}$ the $p$ th right derived functor of $\lim$. By (1) we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\underline{e}}^{(p)}(I, F) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(L, \lim _{\longleftarrow}^{(p)} F\right) \simeq \lim _{\longleftrightarrow}^{(p)} F,
$$

where $I$ denotes the constant projective system on $V$ associated with the $L$-module $L$. If for each $v \in V$ we are given an $L$-module $\bar{F}_{v}$, then we may construct a projective system $F$ on $V$ by defining

$$
F_{v}=\coprod_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}} \bar{F}_{v^{\prime}}
$$

If $v_{1}>v_{2}$, then the homomorphism $j_{v_{2}}^{v_{1}}: F_{v_{1}} \rightarrow F_{v_{2}}$ is induced by the inclusion $V_{v_{1}} \subseteq V_{v_{2}}$. We shall call such projective systems elementary.

We easily prove that if all $\bar{F}_{v}$ are projective $L$-modules, then $F$ is a projective object in $c$.

Definition 1.1. An ordered set $V$ is called a tree if, for every $v \in V$,
(1) $\bar{v}$ is totally ordered,
(2) there exists a subset $R_{v}$ of $V$ such that
(a) if $v^{\prime} \in R_{v}$, then $v^{\prime}>v$ and $v^{\prime} \neq v$,
(b) if $v^{\prime \prime}>v, v^{\prime \prime} \neq v$, then there exist a unique $v^{\prime} \in R_{v}$ such that $v^{\prime \prime}>v^{\prime}$.

Proposition 1.2. Let $V$ be a tree and suppose that for every $\bar{v} \in V, \bar{v}$ is finite, then
(i) ${\underset{\underset{V}{V}}{ }}^{(p)}=0$ for $p \geqq 2$,
(ii) $\lim _{\underset{V}{(1)}}{ }^{(1)} F=$ coker $\phi$,
where

$$
\phi: \prod_{v \in V} F_{v} \rightarrow \prod_{\substack{v \in \in ; \\ v^{\prime} \in R_{v}}} F_{\min \left(v, v^{\prime}\right)}
$$

is given by

$$
\phi\left(\left\{f_{v}\right\}\right)_{\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)}=f v-j_{v} v^{\prime} f_{v^{\prime}} .
$$

Proof. For every $v \in V$ let

$$
\bar{p}_{v}^{0}=L \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{p}_{0}^{1}=\coprod_{v^{\prime} \in R_{v}} L
$$

Denote by $p^{0}$ and $p^{1}$ the elementary objects of $\underline{c}$ generated by the families $\left\{\bar{p}^{0}\right\}_{v \in V}$ and $\left\{\bar{p}^{1}{ }_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$, respectively. Let $\epsilon: p^{0} \rightarrow \bar{I}$ be the morphism induced by the family of identity homomorphisms

$$
\bar{p}^{0}{ }_{v} \rightarrow I_{v} .
$$

Now, as for every $v \in V, V_{v}$ is the disjoint union

$$
\bigcup_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}} R_{v^{\prime}} \cup\{v\}
$$

we have

$$
p^{1}{ }_{v}=\coprod_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}-\{v\}} L, \quad p^{0}{ }_{v}=\coprod_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}} L
$$

If $\left\{e_{v^{\prime}}\right\}_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}}$ is a base for $p^{0}{ }_{v}$, then $\left\{e_{v^{\prime}}\right\}_{v^{\prime} \in R_{v}}$ is a base for $\bar{p}^{1}{ }_{v}$. Let $d: p^{1} \rightarrow p^{0}$ be the morphism induced by the family of homomorphisms

$$
i_{v}: \bar{p}^{1}{ }_{v} \rightarrow p^{0}{ }_{v}
$$

given by

$$
i_{v}\left(\sum_{v^{\prime} \in R_{v}} l_{\nu^{\prime}} e_{v^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{v^{\prime} \in R_{v}} l_{v^{\prime}}\left(e_{v^{\prime}}-e_{v}\right) .
$$

Obviously, $\epsilon \circ d=0$ so that $d$ defines a morphism $d^{*}: p^{1} \rightarrow$ ker $\epsilon$. We shall show that $d^{*}$ is an isomorphism. Let $x \in p^{1}{ }_{0}$ and suppose that

$$
x=\sum_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}-\{v\}} l_{v^{\prime}} e_{v^{\prime}} \neq 0 .
$$

If $v^{\prime}{ }_{0}$ is maximal among those $v^{\prime}$ for which $l_{v^{\prime}} \neq 0$, then we may write

$$
d(x)=l_{v^{\prime} 0} e_{v^{\prime} 0}+\sum_{v^{\prime} \neq \neq v^{\prime} 0} l_{v^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} e_{v^{\prime \prime}}
$$

so that $d(x) \neq 0$. Therefore, $d^{*}$ is monomorphic. Let $y \in \operatorname{ker} \epsilon_{v}$, then

$$
y=\sum_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}} l_{v^{\prime}} e_{v^{\prime}} \quad \text { with } \quad \sum_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}} l_{v^{\prime}}=0 .
$$

For every $v^{\prime} \in V_{v}$ we know, since $\bar{v}^{\prime}$ is finite, that there exists a finite maximal sequence

$$
v=v_{0} \not \equiv v_{1} \not \equiv \cdots \nRightarrow v_{n}=v^{\prime}
$$

such that $v_{i+1} \in R_{v i}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. Then

$$
e_{v^{\prime}}-e_{v}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(e_{v i+1}-e_{v_{i}}\right)
$$

and

$$
y=\sum_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}} l_{v^{\prime}} e_{v^{\prime}}=\sum_{v^{\prime} \in V_{v}} l_{v^{\prime}}\left(e_{v^{\prime}}-e_{v}\right)=\sum_{\substack{v^{\prime}, \in R_{v}^{\prime} \\ v^{\prime} \in V_{v}}} l_{v^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime}}\left(e_{v^{\prime \prime}}-e_{v^{\prime}}\right)
$$

so that $y \in \operatorname{im} d^{*}$. Therefore $d^{*}$ is epimorphic, and we then know that

$$
0 \rightarrow p^{1} \xrightarrow{d} p^{0} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} I \rightarrow 0
$$

is an exact sequence of objects in $\underline{c}$. As $p^{0}$ and $p^{1}$ are projectives, we may calculate $\lim _{\leftarrow}^{(p)}$ by using the complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{c}}(p,-)$. In particular, we find:

$$
{\underset{V}{\lim _{V}^{(p)}}}^{()^{2}}=0
$$

for $p \geqq 2$, and

$$
{\underset{\overleftarrow{V}}{\overleftarrow{\prime}}}^{(1)} F=\operatorname{coker}\left\{\operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{c}}\left(p^{0}, F\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}\left(d, \operatorname{id}_{F}\right)} \operatorname{Hom}\left(p^{1}, F\right)\right\} .
$$

Now

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{c}}\left(p^{0}, F\right) \simeq \prod_{v \in V} F_{v} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{c}}\left(p^{1}, F\right) \simeq \prod_{\substack{v^{\prime} \in R v \\ v \in V}} F \min \left(v, v^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $\phi=\operatorname{Hom}\left(d, i d_{F}\right)$ is given by $\phi\left(\left\{f_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}\right)_{\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)}=f_{v}-j^{v^{\prime}}{ }_{v} f_{v^{\prime}}$.
Suppose $M$ is a subset of the ordered set $V$, and suppose $F$ is a projective system on $V$, then there is a canonical homomorphism

$$
F(V, M): \lim _{\overleftarrow{V}} F \rightarrow \lim _{M} F
$$

We shall use the following lemma.
Lemma 1.3. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$, and $N$ be subsets of the ordered set $V$ such that $\bar{M}_{i}=M_{i}$ for $i=1,2, V=M_{1} \cup M_{2}$ and $N=M_{1} \cap M_{2}$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \underset{N}{\lim } F / \operatorname{im} F\left(M_{1}, N\right)+\operatorname{im} F\left(M_{2}, N\right) \rightarrow \underset{V}{\lim _{V}^{(1)}} F \rightarrow \underset{M_{1}}{\lim ^{(1)}} F \times \underset{M_{2}^{-}}{\lim ^{(1)}} F \rightarrow 0 \\
& \stackrel{\lim _{N}^{(1)}}{\overleftarrow{N}^{-}} F
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $W=\{0, a, b\}$ be the ordered set with the only non-trivial relations $0<a, 0<b$. Let

$$
\kappa: W \rightarrow P V
$$

be the $\kappa$-functor given by $\kappa(a)=M_{1}, \kappa(b)=M_{2}$ and $\kappa(0)=N$. If $G$ is a projective system on $W$, we find

$$
\lim _{\overleftarrow{W}} G=G_{a} \underset{G_{0}}{\times} G_{b}, \quad{\underset{W}{W}}_{\lim ^{(1)}} G=G_{0} / \operatorname{im} \alpha+\operatorname{im} \beta,
$$

where $\alpha: G_{a} \rightarrow G_{0}$ and $\beta: G_{b} \rightarrow G_{0}$ are the obvious homomorphisms. The lemma now follows from (1.3.1) of (1).

Lemma 1.4. Suppose $V$ is a finite tree, then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $\underset{V}{\lim _{\overparen{1}}^{(1)}} F=0$.
(ii) If $v \in V$ and $R v=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$, put

$$
M_{j}^{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{j} \bar{V}_{v_{i}}, \quad M_{j}^{2}=\bar{V}_{v_{j+1}},
$$

then, for every $j=1, \ldots, r-1$, we have

$$
F_{v}=\operatorname{im} F\left(M_{j}^{1}, \bar{v}\right)+\operatorname{im} F\left(M_{j}^{2}, \bar{v}\right)
$$

Proof. Define the function $h: V \rightarrow Z^{+}$by $h(v)=\max \{s \mid$ there exists in $V$ a sequence $\left.v_{s} \varsubsetneqq v_{s-1} \varsubsetneqq \ldots \varsubsetneqq v_{0}=v\right\}$. Suppose

$$
\lim _{\overleftarrow{V}}{ }^{(1)} F=0
$$

By induction on $h(v)$ we shall prove that for every $v \in V$,

$$
{\stackrel{\lim }{V_{v}}}_{-1)}^{(1)} F=0
$$

If $h(v)=0$, then $V_{v}$ is a connected component of $V$, and therefore

$$
\stackrel{\lim }{V}_{-}^{(1)} F=\lim _{\overleftarrow{V}}{ }^{(1)} F=0
$$

Suppose now that

$$
{\stackrel{\lim _{V_{v}}^{-}}{(1)} F=0}^{-1}
$$

for all $v$ with $h(v)<n$, and let $v_{r}$ be such that $h\left(v_{r}\right)=n$. Since we may suppose $h\left(v_{r}\right) \geqq 1$, there exists a unique $v$ such that $R_{v}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$. Then

$$
M_{r-1}^{2}=\bar{V}_{v_{r}}, \bar{V}_{v}=M_{r-1}^{1} \cup M_{r-1}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad N=M_{r-1}^{1} \cap M_{r-1}^{2}=\bar{v}
$$

As
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\lim _{N}^{(1)}}{\stackrel{-}{1)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$
{\underset{V_{v}^{-}}{-}}^{(1)} F=0
$$

thus Lemma 1.3 implies

$$
\underset{V_{v_{r}}}{\lim ^{(1)}} F={\underset{M_{r-1}}{\lim ^{2}}}^{(1)} F=0, \quad \text { and } \frac{\lim }{\overleftarrow{M}_{r-1}^{1}}{ }^{(1)} F=0
$$

Therefore

$$
{\stackrel{\lim }{V_{v}}}^{(1)} F=0 \quad \text { for every } v \in V
$$

Now, since

$$
M_{j+1}^{1}=M_{j}{ }^{1} \cup M_{j}{ }^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{j}{ }^{1} \cap M_{j}{ }^{2}=\bar{v}_{0} \quad \text { for every } j=1, \ldots, r-1
$$

we may use the same method to prove that for every $v \in V$ and every $j=1, \ldots, r-1$, we have that

$$
{\overleftarrow{\lim _{j+1}^{1}}}^{(1)} F=0
$$

But (ii) is then an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.3. Reversing everything, we prove that (ii) implies (i).

Corollary 1.5. Suppose that $V$ is a finite tree and that

$$
\stackrel{\lim }{V}^{(1)} F=0
$$

If $M$ is a subset of $V$, then

$$
{\underset{\dddot{M}}{M}}^{(1)} F=0
$$

Proof. If $V$ satisfies condition (ii) of Lemma 1.4, then so will $M$.
Suppose $V$ is the ordered set of the positive integers $Z^{+}$. Then, given a projective system $F$, we define the completion $\hat{F}$ of $F$ by

$$
\hat{F}_{n}=\underset{n^{\prime}>n}{\lim } F_{n} / \operatorname{im} j_{n}^{n^{\prime}}
$$

There is an obvious morphism

$$
g: F \rightarrow \hat{F}
$$

Now we have the following result.
Theorem 1.6. If

$$
\lim _{Z^{\mp}}{ }^{(1)} F=0
$$

then $g$ is epimorphic. If $F$ is monomorphic and $g$ is epimorphic, then

$$
\lim _{Z^{+}}^{(1)} F=0
$$

Proof. If

$$
{\underset{Z^{+}}{ }}_{{\underset{Z}{2}}^{(1)}}=0
$$

then, using the fact that

$$
\lim _{Z^{\mp}}^{(p)}=0 \quad \text { for } p \geqq 2
$$

and applying $\lim _{Z^{+}}$to the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{im} j_{n}^{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n} / \operatorname{im} j_{n}^{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

we easily find that

$$
g_{n}: F_{n} \rightarrow \underset{n^{\prime}>n}{\lim } F_{n} / \operatorname{im} j_{n}^{n^{\prime}}
$$

is onto, so that $g$ is epimorphic. Now, if $F$ is monomorphic, then

$$
(\operatorname{ker} g)_{n}=\bigcap_{n^{\prime}>n} \operatorname{im} j_{n}^{n^{\prime}}
$$

so that ker $g$ is constant.
If, in addition, $g$ is epimorphic, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} g \rightarrow F \rightarrow \hat{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

As ker $g$ is constant,

$$
{\underset{Z}{Z^{\mp}}}_{\lim ^{(1)}} \operatorname{ker} g=0
$$

so that

$$
\lim _{\overleftarrow{Z}^{\mp}}{ }^{(1)} F=\lim _{\overleftarrow{Z}^{\mp}}{ }^{(1)} \hat{F} .
$$

But we always have

In fact, consider the projective system $H$ on $Z^{+} \times Z^{+}$defined by

$$
H_{m, n}=F_{\min (m, n)} / \operatorname{im} j_{\min (m, n)}^{\max (m, n)} .
$$

We have $H_{m, m}=0$ for $m \in Z^{+}$and therefore

$$
{\overleftarrow{Z^{+}} \times Z^{\mp}}^{(p)} H=0 \quad \text { for } p \geqq 0
$$

However, by (1.3.2) of (1), there exists a spectral sequence converging to

$$
{\overleftarrow{\lim ^{+}}{ }^{(\cdot)} H}_{Z^{\mp} \times Z^{+}} \quad \text { with } E_{p, q}^{2}={\overleftarrow{m \in Z^{+}}}^{\lim } \underset{n \in Z^{+}}{\lim ^{(q)}} H_{m, n}
$$

It follows that $E_{p, q}^{2}=0$ for all $p, q \geqq 0$ and, in particular,

$$
\left.{\underset{\lim ^{(p)}}{Z^{\mp}}}^{( }\right)=E_{p, 0}^{2}=0 \quad \text { for } p \geqq 0
$$

Theorem 1.7. Let $F$ be a projective system of topological abelian groups and continuous homomorphisms on the ordered set $Z^{+}$. Suppose that
(i) for all $n \in Z^{+}, F_{n}$ is complete metrizable,
(ii) for all $n^{\prime}>n, \operatorname{im} j_{n}{ }^{n^{\prime}}$ is dense in $F_{n}$,
then we may conclude that $g: F \rightarrow \hat{F}$ is onto.
Proof. We fix an $n \in Z^{+}$and we shall prove that $g_{n}: F_{n} \rightarrow \hat{F}_{n}$ is onto. Let

$$
u_{m}: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n} / \operatorname{im} j_{n}^{m+n}
$$

be the canonical homomorphism, and fix an element $\hat{f}=\left\{\hat{f}_{m}\right\} \in \hat{F}_{n}$. For each $m \in Z^{+}$, let $f_{m} \in F_{n}$ be such that $u_{m}\left(f_{m}\right)=\hat{f}_{m}$. We then have:

$$
f_{m+1}-f_{m} \in \operatorname{im} j_{n}^{m+n} \text { for all } m \in Z^{+} .
$$

Let $\delta_{m}$ be the metric on $F_{n+m}$, and put $f_{0}=0$. Since im $j_{n}^{n+1}$ is dense in $F_{n}$, we may find an element $g_{10} \in F_{n+1}$ such that if $g_{01}=j_{n}^{n+1}\left(g_{10}\right)$, then

$$
\delta_{0}\left(f_{1}-f_{0}+g_{01}, 0\right)<\frac{1}{2^{0}}=1
$$

Put $\bar{f}_{1}=f_{1}+g_{01}$; then we have:

$$
u_{1}\left(\bar{f}_{1}\right)=u_{1}\left(f_{1}\right)=f_{1}, \quad f_{2}-\bar{f}_{1} \in \operatorname{im} j_{n}^{n+1} .
$$

Thus we may find an element $h_{10} \in F_{n+1}$ such that $h_{01}=j_{n}^{n+1}\left(h_{10}\right)=f_{2}-\bar{f}_{1}$. Since all $j_{n}^{n^{\prime}}$ are continuous, we may, using (ii), find an element $g_{20} \in F_{n+2}$ such that if

$$
g_{11}=j_{n+1}^{n+2}\left(g_{20}\right), \quad g_{02}=j_{n}^{n+2}\left(g_{20}\right),
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{0}\left(f_{2}-\bar{f}_{1}+g_{02}, 0\right)<\frac{1}{2} \\
& \delta_{1}\left(h_{10}+g_{11}, 0\right)<\frac{1}{2^{0}}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $\bar{f}_{2}=f_{2}+g_{02}$, then

$$
u_{2}\left(\bar{f}_{2}\right)=u_{2}\left(f_{2}\right)=\hat{f}_{2}, \quad f_{3}-\bar{f}_{2} \in \operatorname{im} j_{n}^{n+2}
$$

Continuing this process, we construct elements $\bar{f}_{m} \in F_{n}, h_{i j} \in F_{n+i}, i+j=m$, $g_{r s} \in F_{n+r}, r+s=m+1$, such that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{r}\left(h_{r, s-1}+g_{\tau, s}, 0\right)<\frac{1}{2} r \quad \text { for } \quad r+s=m \\
j_{n+i-1}^{n+i}\left(h_{i j}\right)=h_{i-1, j+1}, \quad j_{n}^{n+m}\left(h_{m, 0}\right)=f_{m+1}-\bar{f}_{m}, \\
j_{n+r-1}^{n+r}\left(g_{r, s}\right)=g_{r-1, s+1}, \quad u_{m}\left(\bar{f}_{m}\right)=u_{m}\left(f_{m}\right)=\hat{f}_{m}, \quad f_{m+1}-\bar{f}_{m} \in \operatorname{im} i_{n}^{n+m} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By construction,

$$
\bar{f}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\bar{f}_{i+1}-\bar{f}_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(h_{0, i}+g_{0, i+1}\right)
$$

exists. Further, by construction,

$$
h_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(h_{j i}+g_{j, i+1}\right)
$$

exists, $h_{j} \in F_{n+j}$, and we have

$$
\bar{f}-j_{n}^{n+j}\left(h_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \bar{f}_{i+1}-\bar{f}_{i}=\bar{f}_{j}
$$

therefore, we have

$$
u_{j}(\bar{f})=u_{j}\left(\bar{f}_{j}\right)=\hat{f}_{j} \quad \text { for all } j \in Z^{+}
$$

This means that $g_{n}(\bar{f})=\hat{f}$ and the proof is complete.
Corollary 1.8. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.7, if $F$ is monomorphic, then

$$
{\underset{Z}{\lim _{Z}^{(1)}}}_{-}^{(1)}=0 .
$$

2. Let $K$ be a field and let $V$ be the ordered set of all non-archimedean valuations of $K$.

If $v \in V$, we denote by $m_{v}$ the maximal ideal of the valuation ring $\mathscr{O}_{v}$, and by $\Gamma_{v}$ the linearly ordered value group of $v$.

For every $v \in V$, we then have an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{1\} \rightarrow U_{v} \rightarrow K^{*} \xrightarrow{v} \Gamma_{v} \rightarrow 0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{v}$ is the multiplicative group of units in $\mathscr{O}_{v}$.
Obviously, the families $\left\{\mathscr{O}_{v}\right\}_{v \in V},\left\{U_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$, and $\left\{\Gamma_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$ define projective systems of abelian groups on $V$. We shall denote these by $\mathscr{O}_{K}, U_{K}$, and $\Gamma_{K}$, respectively. Then we have an exact sequence of projective systems of abelian groups:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{1\} \rightarrow U_{K} \rightarrow K^{*} \rightarrow \Gamma_{K} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the subset $N$ of $V$ has a least element, then we have

$$
{\underset{N}{\lim _{N}^{-}}}^{(1)} K^{*}=0
$$

Applying the functor $\lim _{\leftarrow}$ to the exact sequence (2) we then get the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{1\} \rightarrow{\underset{\dddot{N}}{N}}^{\lim _{K}} U_{K} \rightarrow K^{*} \xrightarrow{v(N)} \lim _{\overleftarrow{N}} \Gamma_{K} \rightarrow{\underset{\overleftarrow{N}}{ }}_{\lim ^{(1)}}^{{ }_{N}} U_{K} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.1. Let $N$ be a subset of $V$, then we shall call $N$ an A-set (approximation set) if for every

$$
\gamma \in{\underset{\overleftarrow{N}}{\overleftarrow{N}}}^{\lim _{K}}
$$

there exists an element $x \in K^{*}$ such that $v(N)(x)=\gamma$.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose $N$ contains a least element, then $N$ is an A-set if and only if

$$
{\underset{\overleftarrow{N}}{ }}_{\lim ^{(1)}} U_{K}=0
$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition and from the exact sequence (3).

Let $V_{0}=\bar{V}_{0}$ be a subset of $V$, then $V_{0}$ has an induced order. If $N$ is a subset of $V_{0}$, let $D\left(N, V_{0}\right)$ denote the subset of $V_{0}$ consisting of all $v^{\prime}$ such that $\bar{v}^{\prime} \cap \bar{N}=\{*\}$, where $*$ is the trivial valuation.

Definition 2.3. Let $N \subseteq V_{0}$ be subsets of $V$. We shall say that $N$ is a GA-set (global approximation set) with respect to $V_{0}$, if for every

$$
\gamma \in \underset{\overleftrightarrow{V_{0} / D\left(N, V_{0}\right)}}{ } \Gamma_{K}
$$

there exists an element $x \in K^{*}$ such that $v(N)(x)=\gamma$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $N \subseteq V_{0}$, then $N$ is a GA-set with respect to $V_{0}$ if and only if the canonical homomorphism
is monomorphic.
Proof. We may assume that $D\left(N, V_{0}\right) \neq V_{0}$. Applying the functors

$$
\lim _{V_{0}} \text { and } \frac{\lim }{V_{0} / D\left(N, V_{0}\right)}
$$

to the exact sequence (2) we get a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{1\} \rightarrow \underset{\overleftarrow{V}_{0}}{\lim } U_{K} \rightarrow K^{*} \rightarrow \lim _{\overleftarrow{V}_{0}^{-}} \Gamma_{K} \longrightarrow \quad j \longrightarrow \lim _{\overleftarrow{V}_{0}^{-}}^{(1)} U_{K} \rightarrow 0 \\
& \uparrow i \\
& \{1\} \rightarrow \frac{\lim }{V_{0} / D\left(N, V_{0}\right)} \Gamma_{K} \stackrel{s}{\rightarrow} \lim ^{(1)} U_{K} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $N$ is a GA-set with respect to $V_{0}$ if and only if $t \circ s=j \circ i=0$. $s$ being an isomorphism, this is equivalent to $t=0$. From the exact sequence
it follows that $t=0$ if and only if
is monomorphic.
Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $N$ is a finite subset of $V$, containing a least element $v_{0}$. Consider the field $k=\mathscr{O}_{v_{0}} / m_{v_{0}}$ and let $M=\left\{v / v_{0} \mid v \in N\right\}$ be the set of valuations on $k$ associated with $N$. Then

$$
{\underset{N}{\overleftarrow{N}}}^{\lim ^{(1)}} U_{K} \simeq \underset{M}{\lim _{\vec{M}}^{(1)}} U_{k}
$$

Proof. Let $U_{0}=\left\{x \mid x \in K, 1-x \in m_{v_{0}}\right\}$, then $U_{0} \subseteq U_{0}$ for all $v \in N$ and $U_{k,\left(v / 0_{0}\right)} \simeq U_{K, v} / U_{0}$. The family of exact sequences

$$
\{1\} \rightarrow U_{0} \rightarrow U_{K, v} \rightarrow U_{k,\left(v / v_{0}\right)} \rightarrow\{1\}
$$

defines an exact sequence of projective systems on the ordered set $N \simeq M$.

Since

$$
{\underset{\overleftarrow{N i m}}{N}}^{-(p)} U_{0}=0 \quad \text { for } p \geqq 1
$$

it follows that

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that $N$ is a finite subset of $V$, and let $v \in N$. If $R_{v}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right\}$ and

$$
M_{j}^{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{j} \bar{N}_{v i}, \quad M_{j}^{2}=\bar{N}_{v_{j+1}},
$$

then for every $j=1, \ldots, r-1$,

$$
U_{K, v}=\bigcap_{v^{\prime} \in M j^{1}} U_{K, v^{\prime}} \cdot \bigcap_{v^{\prime} \in M j^{2}} U_{K, v^{\prime}}
$$

Proof. We must prove that for every $x \in U_{K, 0}$ there exist

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcap_{v^{\prime} \in M^{1}{ }^{1}} U_{K, v^{\prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{2} \in \bigcap_{v^{\prime} \in M_{j}{ }^{2}} U_{K, v^{\prime}}
$$

such that $x=x_{1} \cdot x_{2}$. As $N$ is finite, the function $h: N \rightarrow Z^{+}$has a maximum $n_{0}$ (see the proof of Lemma 1.4). If $h(v)=n_{0}$, then $R_{v}=\emptyset$ and there is nothing to prove. Suppose that the lemma has been proved for all $v \in N$ such that $h(v) \geqq m+1$ and let $v \in N$ be such that $h(v)=m$. By Lemma 1.4 we know that the conclusion of the lemma is equivalent to

$$
{\underset{\lim }{\bar{N}_{v}}}^{(1)} U_{K}=0
$$

By Lemma 2.5 we may therefore suppose that $v$ is the trivial valuation. Let $u_{s}, s=1, \ldots, k$, be the maximal elements of $M_{j}{ }^{2}$ and let $w_{i}, t=1, \ldots, l$, be the maximal elements of $M_{j}{ }^{1}$. For each $i=1, \ldots, j$, let $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ be an element of $V$ such that $v<v_{i}{ }^{\prime}<v_{i}$ and such that $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ is of rank 1. By (4, Lemma 1, Chapter VI, § 7) we may find elements $y_{s}, s=1, \ldots, k$, and $z_{t}, t=1, \ldots, l$, in $K$ such that

$$
u_{s}\left(y_{s}\right)=0, \quad u_{s}\left(y_{s^{\prime}}\right)>0 \quad \text { for } s \neq s^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{i}^{\prime}\left(y_{s}\right)>0 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, j, \quad s=1, \ldots, k \\
w_{t}\left(z_{t}\right)=0, \quad w_{t}\left(z_{t^{\prime}}\right)>0 \quad \text { for } t \neq t^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
u_{s}\left(z_{t}\right)>0 \text { for } s=1, \ldots, k, t=1, \ldots, l .
$$

We may suppose that $v_{j+1}(x) \leqq 0$. If this is not the case, we might consider $x^{-1}$. Since $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, j$, are of rank 1 we may, by taking high enough powers of the $y_{s}$, assume that $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}\left(y_{s}\right)>-v_{i}{ }^{\prime}(x)$ for $i=1, \ldots, j$. This implies that $w_{t}\left(y_{s}\right)>-w_{t}(x)$ for $t=1, \ldots, l$. Since $v_{j+1}(x) \leqq 0$ we have $u_{s}(x) \leqq 0$ for $s=1, \ldots, k$. Put

$$
x_{1}=\sum_{t=1}^{l} z_{t}+\sum_{s=1}^{k} y_{s} \cdot x
$$

We then have $w_{t}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ for $t=1, \ldots, l$ so that
and

$$
x_{1} \in \bigcap_{v^{\prime} \in M j^{1}} U_{K, v^{\prime}},
$$

$$
u_{s}\left(x_{1}\right)=u_{s}(x) \text { for } s=1, \ldots, k
$$

Let $x_{2}=x / x_{1}$, then the last relations imply that $u_{s}\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ for $s=1, \ldots, k$ so that

$$
x_{2} \in \bigcap_{v^{\prime} \in M j^{2}} U_{K, v^{\prime}}
$$

It follows that the conclusion of the lemma is true for all $v \in N$.
From this lemma we easily deduce the following well-known theorem.
Theorem 2.7 (Krull-Ribenboim). If $v_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$, are valuations of $a$ field $K$, and if for every $i=1, \ldots, r, \gamma_{i}$ is an element of $\Gamma_{v_{i}}$ such that for each couple ( $i, j$ ) the image of $\gamma_{i}$ and $\gamma_{j}$ in the value group of $v_{i} \wedge v_{j}$ coincides, then there exists an element $x \in K^{*}$ such that $v_{i}(x)=\gamma_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$.

Proof. Let $N$ be a finite subset of $V$ containing all $v_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$, and being closed under the operation $\wedge$. The conclusion of the theorem is by Definition 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 equivalent to

$$
\stackrel{\lim }{N}^{(1)} U_{K}=0
$$

But this follows from Lemmas 1.4 and 2.6.
We now let $V^{\prime}$ be a subset of $V$ consisting of discrete valuations of rank 1 . Let $\underline{D}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ denote the free group generated by $V^{\prime}$. If

$$
D=\sum_{v \in V^{\prime}} n_{v} v
$$

we put

$$
d(D)=\sum_{v \in V^{\prime}} n_{v}, \quad v(D)=n_{v} .
$$

Let $V^{*}=\bar{V}^{\prime}$ and let $\underline{L}(D)$ be the projective system of abelian groups on $V^{*}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{L}(D)_{v}=\{x \in K \mid v(x) \geqq-v(D)\} \quad \text { if } v \in V^{\prime} \\
& \underline{L}(D)^{\prime}=K .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $V^{*}=V^{\prime} \cup\{*\}$, where $*$ is the trivial valuation. If $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are elements of $\underline{D}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$, then, by definition, $D_{1} \leqq D_{2}$ if for every $v \in V^{\prime}$, $v\left(D_{1}\right) \leqq v\left(D_{2}\right)$. Suppose that $D_{1} \leqq D_{2}$, then there is an exact sequence of projective systems on $V^{*}$

$$
0 \rightarrow \underline{L}\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow \underline{L}\left(D_{2}\right) \rightarrow \underline{P} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\underline{P}$ is given by:

$$
\underline{P}_{v} \simeq m_{v}^{-v\left(D_{1}\right)} / m_{v}^{-v\left(D_{2}\right)}
$$

If we put

$$
L(D)=\lim _{\overleftarrow{V}^{*}} \underline{L}(D), \quad I^{\prime}(D)={\underset{V^{*}}{ }}_{\lim ^{(1)}} L(D)
$$

then the above exact sequence induces an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow L\left(D_{2}\right) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in V^{\prime}} m_{v}^{-v\left(D_{1}\right)} / m_{v}{ }^{-v\left(D_{2}\right)} \xrightarrow{\partial} I^{\prime}\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow I^{\prime}\left(D_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By Proposition 1.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime}(D) & =\operatorname{coker}\left\{\prod_{v \in V^{*}} L(D)_{v} \rightarrow \prod_{\substack{v \in V^{*} ; \\
v^{\prime} \in R_{v}}} L(D)_{\min \left(v, v^{\prime}\right)}\right\} \\
& \simeq \prod_{v \in V^{\prime}} K /\left\{K+\prod_{v \in V^{\prime}} \underline{L}(D)_{v}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $I(D)$ denote the subgroup of $I^{\prime}(D)$ consisting of those elements $x$ with representatives

$$
\left\{x_{v}\right\}_{v \in V^{\prime}} \in \prod_{v \in V^{\prime}} K
$$

such that for all but a finite number of the $v$ 's, $x_{v} \in \mathscr{O}_{v}$. Then we easily find that $\operatorname{im} \partial \subseteq I\left(D_{1}\right)$ and that $I\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow I\left(D_{2}\right)$ is epimorphic. It follows that we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow L\left(D_{2}\right) \rightarrow \prod m_{v}^{-v\left(D_{1}\right)} / m_{v}^{-v\left(D_{2}\right)} \rightarrow I\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow I\left(D_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Suppose that $K$ contains a subfield $k$ such that all valuations of $V^{\prime}$ are trivial on $k$. Suppose further that:
(i) $\operatorname{dim}_{k} L(0)<\infty$,
(ii) $\operatorname{dim}_{k} I(0)<\infty$,
(iii) for every $v \in V^{\prime}, e_{v}=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\mathscr{O}_{v} / m_{v}\right)<\infty$.

Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k} \prod_{v \in V^{\prime}} m_{v}^{-v\left(D_{1}\right)} / m_{v}^{-v\left(D_{2}\right)}=\sum_{v \in V^{\prime}}\left(v\left(D_{2}\right)-v\left(D_{1}\right)\right) e_{v}
$$

and for every $D \in \underline{D}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$

$$
l(D)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} L(D)<\infty, \quad i(D)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} I(D)<\infty
$$

and

$$
l\left(D_{2}\right)-i\left(D_{2}\right)=l\left(D_{1}\right)-i\left(D_{1}\right)+\sum_{v \in V^{\prime}}\left(v\left(D_{2}\right)-v\left(D_{1}\right)\right) e_{v}
$$

From this, the "weak" form of the Riemann-Roch theorem for non-singular algebraic curves follows easily; see (3, Chapter 2).

Theorem 2.8. If $K$ is an algebraic function field over the algebraically closed field $k$, then, if $D$ is a divisor, we have that

$$
l(D)-i(D)=1-i(0)+d(D)
$$

where $i(D)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} I(D), I(D) \simeq R / K+R(D)$, and $R$ is the $k$-algebra of repartitions.
3. Suppose we are given a sequence of fields

$$
K_{0} \supseteq K_{1} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq K_{i} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq K=\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} K_{i} .
$$

Let $V_{i}$ and $V$ be the ordered set of all non-archimedean valuations of $K_{i}$, respectively $K$. Then there is a sequence of epimorphisms of ordered sets:

$$
V_{0} \xrightarrow{s_{0}} V_{1} \xrightarrow{s_{1}} \ldots \rightarrow V_{i} \xrightarrow{s_{i}} \ldots \rightarrow V .
$$

Let $V^{0}{ }_{i}$ be a subset of $V$ such that $\bar{V}_{i}{ }_{i}=V^{0}{ }_{i}$, and such that $s_{i}\left(V^{0}{ }_{i}\right) \subseteq V_{i+1}^{0}$. We put

$$
V^{0}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{im}\left(V_{i}^{0} \rightarrow V\right)
$$

Denote by $t_{i}$ the map $V^{0}{ }_{i} \rightarrow V^{0}$ and let $\kappa_{i}: V^{0} \rightarrow P V^{0}{ }_{i}$ be the $\kappa$-functor defined by $\kappa_{i}(v)=\left\{v_{i} \in V^{0}{ }_{i} \mid t_{i}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \leqq v\right\}$. Then there are natural homomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\kappa_{i+1}(v)}{\lim } U_{K_{i+1}} \rightarrow \underset{\kappa_{i}(v)}{\lim _{K_{i}}} U^{\prime} \\
& \stackrel{\operatorname{Vim}_{i+1}}{ } U_{K_{i+1}} \rightarrow \underset{\lim _{i}^{-}}{\lim _{K_{i}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $N$ is a subset of $V$ and $N_{i}=t_{i}^{-1}(N)$, then there are also natural homomorphisms

$$
\overleftarrow{\left(\lim \left(N_{i+1}, V_{i+1}\right)\right.} U_{K_{i+1}} \rightarrow \frac{\lim }{\overleftarrow{D\left(N_{i}, V_{i}\right)}} U_{K_{i}}
$$

Theorem 3.1. If for every $i \in Z^{+}, N_{i}$ is a GA-set with respect to $V^{0}{ }_{i}$, then $N$ is a GA-set with respect to $V^{0}$ if the natural homomorphism

$$
\lim _{Z^{\mp}}{ }^{(1)} \underset{\lim _{V_{i}^{0}}}{ } U_{K_{i}} \rightarrow \lim _{Z^{\mp}}{ }^{(1)} \stackrel{\lim }{\square\left(N_{i}, V_{i}^{0}\right)} U_{K_{i}}
$$

is monomorphic.
Proof. By Lemma 2.4 we know that, for every $i \in Z^{+}$,

$$
j_{i}:{\underset{\overleftrightarrow{~}}{V^{0}}{ }_{i}}_{\lim ^{(1)}} U_{K_{i}} \rightarrow \lim _{\overleftrightarrow{D}\left(N_{i}, V^{0}{ }_{i}\right)}{ }^{(1)} U_{K_{i}}
$$

is monomorphic, and we have to prove that

$$
t: \lim _{\overleftarrow{(1)}}^{V^{0}} U_{K} \rightarrow \frac{\lim ^{(1)}}{D\left(N, V^{0}\right)} U_{K}
$$

is monomorphic.

By (1.3.1) of (1) we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \underset{V^{0}}{\lim ^{(1)}} \underset{\kappa_{i}}{\lim _{i}} U_{K_{i}} \rightarrow \underset{V_{i}^{0}}{\lim _{i}^{(1)}} U_{K_{i}} \rightarrow \underset{V^{0}}{\lim _{\kappa_{i}}} \lim ^{(1)} U_{K_{i}} \rightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow l_{i} \quad \downarrow j_{i} \downarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

As $j_{i}$ is monomorphic, $l_{i}$ is monomorphic, and therefore, so is the homomorphism

Using the same spectral-sequence argument as above (1, (1.3.2)), we find abelian groups $G$ and $H$ and a homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ such that the following diagrams are commutative.


Now we can easily find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overleftarrow{\dddot{V}}^{\lim } \underset{\kappa_{i}^{0}}{ } \lim _{\underset{K_{i}}{ }} U_{K_{i}} \simeq \lim _{V_{i}^{0^{-}}} U_{K_{i}}, \\
& \stackrel{\lim }{\Delta\left(N, V^{0}\right)} \underset{\lim _{K_{i}}}{ } U_{K_{i}} \simeq \frac{\lim }{\overleftrightarrow{D\left(N_{i}, V_{i}^{0}\right)}} U_{K_{i}}, \\
& \lim _{Z^{+}} \underset{K_{i}(v)}{\lim _{i}} U_{K_{i}} \simeq U_{K, v} \text { for } v \in V^{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $l$ is monomorphic and as, by assumption, $s$ is monomorphic, the first diagram shows that $\phi$ is monomorphic. Therefore, the second diagram shows that $t$ is monomorphic.

Corollary 3.2. If for every $i \in Z^{+}, N_{i}$ is a GA-set with respect to $V^{0}{ }_{i}$, then $N$ is a GA-set with respect to $V^{0}$ if

$$
{\underset{Z}{Z^{+}}}_{\stackrel{(1)}{(1)}}^{\lim _{V_{i}^{0}}} U_{K_{i}}=0
$$

As an example, we prove the product theorem of Weierstrass. Let $K$ be the field of meromorphic functions on an open and connected subset $D$ of the complex plane. Let $D_{i}, i \in Z^{+}$, be relatively compact open connected subsets of $D$ such that

$$
D=\bigcup_{i \in Z^{+}} D_{i}, \quad \bar{D}_{i} \subseteq D_{i+1}, \quad i \in Z^{+}
$$

Let $K_{i}$ be the field of meromorphic functions on $D_{i}, i \in Z^{+}$, then we have a sequence of fields

$$
K_{0} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq K_{i} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq K=\bigcap_{i \in Z^{+}} K_{i} .
$$

Let $V^{0}{ }_{i}=D_{i}$ be the set of valuations on $K_{i}$ corresponding to the points in $D_{i}$, and put $V^{0}=D$. Let $N$ be a subset of $V^{0}$ such that $N_{i}=N \cap V^{0}{ }_{i}$ is finite for every $i \in Z^{+}$. Then we know that $N_{i}$ is a GA-set with respect to $V^{0}{ }_{i}$ (this is the obvious rational case), and, therefore, a condition for $N$ to be a GA-set with respect to $V^{0}$ is:

But,

$$
{\underset{V^{0}}{i}}_{\lim _{K_{i}}} U_{R_{i}}
$$

is the multiplicative group of units $U_{i}$ in the complete metrizable algebra $A_{i}$ of all holomorphic functions on $D_{i}$, with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. Now, $A_{i+1}$ is a dense subset of $A_{i}, i \in Z^{+}$. It can then be seen that $U_{i}$ are all complete metrizable and that $U_{i+1}$ is a dense subset of $U_{i}$, thus, by Corollary 1.8,

$$
\lim _{Z^{+}}^{(1)} U_{i}=0
$$

and this implies the existence part of the Weierstrass product theorem.
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