An international Journal of Current Rese and Theory with Open Peer Commer Behaviorational Generational Sciences

Appearing in this issue, with Commentary . . .

Evolution and ontogeny of neural circuits

Sven O. E. Ebbesson

The scope of neuroethology

Graham Hoyle

Sensation-seeking: A comparative approach to a human trait

Marvin Zuckerman

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

The Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Editor Stevan Harnad 20 Nassau St., Suite 240 Princeton, NJ 08542

Assistant Editor Helaine Randerson

Associate Editors

Behavioral Biology Jack P. Hailman/U. Wisconsin Hubert Markl/Universität Konstanz Biosocial Behavior

Glendon Schubert/U. Hawaii, Manoa Cognition and Artificial Intelligence

Zenon Pylyshyn/U. Western Ontario

Cognitive Development Annette Karmiloff-Smith/MRC, London and MPI, Nijmegen

Cognitive Neuroscience Lynn Nadel/U. California, Irvine

Developmental Psychology Charles J. Brainerd/University of Alberta

Evolutionary Biology Michael T. Ghiselin/California Academy of Sciences

Experimental Analysis of Behavior A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County History and Systems Julian Jaynes/Princeton

Language and Cognition Peter Wason/University College, London

Language and Language Disorders Max Coltheart/U. London

Neurobiology Graham Hoyle/U. Oregon

Neuropharmacology Susan D. Iversen/Mercke Sharp and Dohme, Ltd.

Neuropsychology Jeffrey A. Gray/Inst. Psychiatry, London

Neurophysiology Sten Grillner/Karolinska Institutet

Paleoneurology Stephen Jay Gould/Harvard

Philosophy Daniel C. Dennett/Tufts

Psychobiology Victor H. Denenberg/U. Connecticut David S. Olton/Johns Hopkins

Quantitative Methods Donald B. Rubin/U. Chicago

Vision and Artificial Intelligence Stuart Sutherland/U. Sussex

Editorial Policy *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences* (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary* to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See *Instructions for Authors and Commentators,* inside back cover.) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project.

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to write to the editor.

This publication was supported in part by NIH Grant LM 03539 from the National Library of Medicine.

*Modelled on the 'CA Comment' service of the journal *Current Anthropology.* **Copying** This journal is registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (21 Congress St., Salem, MA 01970). Organizations in the U.S.A. who are also registered with the CCC may therefore copy material (beyond the limits permitted by sections 107 and 108 of U.S. Copyright Law) subject to payment to the CCC of the per-copy fee indicated in the code on the first page of the article. This consent does not extend to multiple copying for promotional or commercial purposes.

ISI Tear Sheet Service, 3501 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, is authorized to supply single copies of separate articles for private use only.

For all other use, permission should be sought from the Cambridge or New York offices of the Press.

Subscriptions The Behavioral and Brain Sciences (ISSN 0140-525X) is published quarterly in March, June, September and December. Four parts form a volume. The subscription price, which includes postage, of Volume 7 (1984) is US \$120.00 net in the U.S.A. and Canada (£66.00 in the U.K. and rest of the world) for institutions; US \$55.00 net (£33.00) for individuals; US \$32.00 net (£20.00) for BBS Associates; and US \$32.00 net for students (in the U.S.A. and Canada only) who provide proof of eligibility with order. Single parts cost US \$33.00 net (£19.00) plus postage. Institutional orders may be sent to a bookseller or, in the U.S.A. and Canada direct to: Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 2RU, England. Individuals must order direct from the Press. Second class postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes in the U.S.A. and Canada to BBS, Cambridge University Press, 32 East 57 Street, New York, N.Y. 10022.

Advertising Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Promotion Department of the Cambridge or New York office of Cambridge University Press.

© Cambridge University Press 1984

Contents Volume 7:3 September 1984

Ebbesson, Sven O. E. Evolution and ontogeny of neural circuits

Open Peer Commentary

Alberch, P. A return to the Bauplan	332
Braford, M. R., Jr. Parcellation: An explanation of the	
arrangement of apples and oranges on a severely	
pruned phylogenetic tree?	332
Bullock, T. H. A milestone in comparative neurology:	
A specific hypothesis claims rules for conservative	
connectivity	333
Calvin, W. H. Precision timing requirements suggest	
wider brain connections, not more restricted ones	334
Campbell, C. B. G. Parcellation theory: New wine in	
old wineskins	334
Clarke, P. C. H. Parcellation: A hard theory to test	335
Demski, L. S. Can parcellation account for the	
evolution of behavioral plasticity associated with	
large brains?	335
Diamond, I. T. How do the lateral geniculate and	
pulvinar evolve?	336
Ewert, JP. Behavioral selectivity based on	
thalamotectal interactions: Ontogenetic and	
phylogenetic aspects in amphibians	337
Falk, D. Implications of the parcellation theory for	
paleoneurology	338
Finger, T. E. Is parcellation parsimonious?	339
Fritzsch. B. Parcellation or invasion: A case for	
pluralism	339
Innocenti, G. M. On evolution by loss of exuberancy	340
Ito. H. Possibility of "invasion" in the sensory area	341
Kaas, I. H. Duplication of brain parts in evolution	342

0,	
Koenderink, J. J. Parcellation: A reflection of the	
structure of the animal's world	343
MacLean, P. D. A brain theory commensurate with	
Procrustes' bed	344
Northcutt, R. G. Parcellation: The resurrection of	
Hartsoeker and Haeckel	345
Ramon-Moliner, E. Exploratory neural connectivity	345
Schneider, G. E. Axon development and plasticity:	
Clues from species differences and suggestions for	
mechanisms of evolutionary change	346
Szentágothai, J. Cytodiversification and parcellation	347
Wilczynski, W. The parcellation theory: What does	
the evidence tell us?	348
Willis, W. D., Jr. & Kevetter, G. A. The mammalian	
spinothalamic system and the parcellation	
hypothesis	349
Young, J. Z. Yes, but what is the basis of homology?	
An invertebrate parallel	350

Author's Response

theory

Hoyle, Graham The scope of neuroethology

Open Peer Commentary

Arbib, M. A. Neuroethology: A call for less exclusivity	
and more theory	381
Bässler, U. Neuroethology: An overnarrow definition	
can become a source of dogmatism	382
Bateson, P. Flow diagrams and hydraulic models	382
Bullock, T. H. Neuroethology: In defense of open	
range; don't fence me in	383
Clarac, F. Difficulties and relevance of a	
neuroethological approach to neurobiology	383
Davis, J. Neuroethology: Why put it in a straitjacket?	384
Delcomyn, F. Can neuroethologists be led?	385
Ehret, G. Disregarding vertebrates is neither useful	
nor necessary	385
Erber, J. Neuroethology or motorethology?	386
Ewert, JP. Hoyle's new view of neuroethology:	
Limited and restrictive	386
Fernald, R. D. Neuroethology according to Hoyle	387
Grossberg, S. Neuroethology and theoretical	
neurobiology	388
Guthrie, D. M. Can the aims of neuroethology be	
selective, while avoiding exclusivity?	390
Hinde, R. A. Ethology has progressed	391
Huber, F. Neuroethology, according to Hoyle	391
Ingle, D. J. Vertebrate neuroethology: Doomed from	
the start?	392
Kupfermann, I. They are really complex when you get	
to know them	393

Leonard, J. L. & Lukowiak, K. The squishy revisited: A call for ethological affirmative action	394
Macmillan, D. L. We are making good progress in the	001
neural analysis of behaviour	395
Manning, A. Neuroethology: Not losing sight of	
behaviour	395
Markl, H. The ethology of neuroethology	396
Rowell, C. H. F. Resurrecting Lorenz's hydraulic	
model: Phlogiston explained by quantum mechanics	397
Schleidt, W. M. Points of congruence between	
ethology and neuroscience	398
Selverston, A. I. Neuroethology – how exclusive a	
club?	399
Simmons, J. A. Keep the scope of neuroethology	
broad	400
Steklis, H. D. The proper domain of neuroethology	401
Walters, E. T. Ethology and neuroethology: Easy	
accessibility has been and still is important	402

Ebbesson, Sven O. E. An update of the parcellation

accessibility has been and still is important	402
Young, J. Z. Is neuroethology wise?	403

Author's Response

Hoyle, Graham Neuroethology: To be, or not to be? 403

Zuckerman, Marvin Sensation seeking: A comparative approach to a human trait

413

Open Peer Commentary	
Baldwin, J. D. A balanced emphasis on environmental	
influences	434
Barratt, E. S. Personality traits: Causation,	
correlation, or neo-Bayesian	435
Callaway, E. Biological correlates of personality:	
Suppose it's not so simple	436
Claridge , G . Going over the top with optimal arousal	
theory	436
Clark, A. The logic of the comparative approach	437
Clavier, R. M. Monoamines and human traits: A nice	
idea, but	438
Eterović, V. A. & Ferchmin, P. A. Are sensation-	
seeking behavior, sleep patterns, and brain plasticity	
related?	439
Eysenck, H. J. The comparative approach in	
personality study	440
Gray, J. A. Is there a relationship between sensation	
seeking and strength of the nervous system?	441
Haier, R. J. Sensation seeking and augmenting-	
reducing: Does a nerve have nerve?	441
Izard, C. E. Emotion variables as personality traits	442
Katz, R. J. Sensation seeking: A clarification, a caveat,	
and a conjecture	443
Knorring, L. von The biochemical basis of sensation-	
seeking behavior	443

445
445
446
447
448
449
450
450
451
452
453

Author's Response

.

Zuckerman,	Marvin	Home	from a	perilou	s journey	453
------------	--------	------	--------	---------	-----------	-----