## VARIETY INVARIANTS FOR MODULAR LATTIGES

RUDOLF WILLE

A variety (primitive class) is a class of abstract algebras which is closed under the formation of subalgebras, homomorphic images, and products. For a given variety $\mathfrak{H}$ we shall call a function $\mu^{*}$, which assigns to each algebra $A \in \mathscr{H}$ a natural number or $\infty$, denoted by $\mu^{*}(A)$, a variety invariant if for every natural number $n$ the class of all $A \in \mathscr{U}$ with $\mu^{*}(A) \leqq n$ is again a variety. In this paper, a general method of finding variety invariants for the variety of all modular lattices will be developed. This method will be based on the concept of a quotient tree of a modular lattice. As examples of variety invariants we shall define, using the general result, the primitive length and the primitive width of modular lattices.

We start with an arbitrary lattice $L$. A pair $(a, b) \in L \times L$ is called a quotient of $L$ and denoted by $a / b$ if $a \geqq b$. Let $Q(L)$ be the set of all quotients of $L$. On $Q(L)$ we define the following binary relations (let $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$ be quotients of $L$ ):
(i) $a_{1} / b_{1} \triangleleft a_{2} / b_{2}$ if $a_{1} \cap b_{2}=b_{1}$ and $a_{1} \cup b_{2}=a_{2}$;
(ii) $a_{1} / b_{1} \triangleright a_{2} / b_{2}$ if $b_{1} \cap a_{2}=b_{2}$ and $b_{1} \cup a_{2}=a_{1}$;
(iii) $a_{1} / b_{1} \square a_{2} / b_{2}$ if either $a_{1} / b_{1} \triangleleft a_{2} / b_{2}$ or $a_{1} / b_{1} \triangleright a_{2} / b_{2}$; then $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$ are said to be transposed;
(iv) $a_{1} / b_{1} \approx a_{2} / b_{2}$ if there are $x_{i} / y_{i} \in Q(L)$ with $i=1, \ldots, n$ such that $a_{1} / b_{1}=x_{1} / y_{1}, a_{2} / b_{2}=x_{n} / y_{n}$, and $x_{i} / y_{i} \square x_{i+1} / y_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$; then $a_{1} / b_{1}$ and $a_{2} / b_{2}$ are said to be projective;
(v) $a_{1} / b_{1}<a_{2} / b_{2}$ if $a_{1} \leqq b_{2}$ and $a_{1}<a_{2}$.

If we consider $Q(L)$ together with the binary relations $\square$ and $<$, we obtain $Q(L) \equiv(Q(L), \square,<)$ as a mixed graph (i.e., a set together with one symmetric and one anti-symmetric binary relation).

Now we are able to define a quotient tree of a modular lattice $L$. We shall call a pair $(T, \tau)$, where $T \equiv(T, \square,<)$ is a finite mixed graph and $\tau$ a mapping from $T$ into $Q(L)$, a quotient tree of $L$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $(T, \square)$ is a tree (i.e., a connected graph without circuits);
(ii) $s \tau \square t \tau$ if $s \square t$ for $s, t \in T$;
(iii) $s \tau<t \tau$ if and only if $s<t$ for $s, t \in T$;
(iv) If $a_{t} / b_{t}=t \tau$, then $a_{t} \neq b_{t}$.

[^0]Every lattice homomorphism $\rho$ from a modular lattice $K$ into a modular lattice $L$ induces a graph homomorphism $\hat{\rho}$ from ( $Q(K), \square$ ) into ( $Q(L), \square)$ (define $(a / b) \hat{\rho}=a \rho / b \rho$ ). For quotient trees $(S, \sigma)$ of $K$ and $(T, \tau)$ of $L$ we say that $(S, \sigma)$ induces $(T, \tau)$ under $\rho$ and write $(S, \sigma) \rho(T, \tau)$ if there is a graph isomorphism $\alpha$ from the tree ( $S, \square$ ) onto the tree ( $T, \square$ ) with $s \alpha \tau=s \sigma \hat{\rho}$ for all $s \in S$.

Lemma. Let $K$ and $L$ be modular lattices and $\rho$ a lattice homomorphism from $K$ onto L. Let $(T, \tau)$ be a quotient tree of $L$. Then there is a quotient tree $(S, \sigma)$ of $K$ and a graph isomorphism $\alpha$ from ( $S, \square,<$ ) onto $(T, \square,<)$ such that $s \alpha \tau=s \sigma \hat{\rho}$ for all $s \in S$; in particular, $(S, \sigma)$ induces $(T, \tau)$ under $\rho$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction over the cardinality of $T$. The case $|T|=0$ is obvious.
$|T|=1$. We have that $T \tau=\{a / b\}$. Take $c \in a \rho^{-1}$ and $d \in b \rho^{-1}$. Define $d^{\prime}=c \cap d$. It follows that $c \rho=a, d^{\prime} \rho=(c \cap d) \rho=c \rho \cap d \rho=a \cap b=b$, and $c>d^{\prime}$ since $a \neq b$. If we choose $S=T$ and $S \sigma=\left\{c / d^{\prime}\right\},(S, \sigma)$ is a quotient tree of $K$ which has the desired properties.
$|T|=n>1$. We assume that the claim is true for every quotient tree of $L$ with $n-1$ elements. Since ( $T, \square$ ) is a tree, there exists an element $r^{*} \in T$ for which there is exactly one $r \in T$ with $r \square r^{*}$. Let $T_{r}$ be the section graph defined by $T-\left\{r^{*}\right\}$ and $\tau_{\tau}$ the restriction of $\tau$ to $T-\left\{r^{*}\right\}$. Then $\left(T_{r}, \tau_{r}\right)$ is a quotient tree of $L$ with $n-1$ elements. Hence, there is a quotient tree $\left(S_{r}, \sigma_{\tau}\right)$ of $K$ and a graph isomorphism $\alpha_{\tau}$ from $S_{r}$ onto $T_{r}$ with $s \alpha_{r} \tau_{r}=s \sigma_{r} \hat{\rho}$ for all $s \in S_{r}$. Now we wish to extend $\left(S_{r}, \sigma_{r}\right)$ and $\alpha_{r}$ to the desired $(S, \sigma)$ and $\alpha$. By duality, we only have to consider the case $r \tau \triangleleft r^{*} \tau$. We use the notation $a_{t} / b_{t}=t \tau$ for $t \in T$ and $c_{t} / d_{t}=t \alpha_{r}^{-1} \sigma_{r}$ for $t \in T-\left\{r^{*}\right\}$. Clearly, $c_{t} \rho=a_{t}$ and $d_{t} \rho=b_{t}$. Take $d \in b_{r^{*} \rho^{-1}}$. Define $j=\bigcup\left(c_{t}: t<r^{*}\right)$ and $m=\cap\left(d_{t}: r^{*}<t\right)$. Since the relation <is transitive, we have that $j \leqq m$. Define $d_{r^{*}}=j \cup(d \cap m)$. By modularity, we also have that $d_{r^{*}}=(j \cup d) \cap m$ which shows that $j \leqq d_{r^{*}} \leqq m$. Define $d_{r^{*}}=d_{r} \cup d_{r^{*}}$ and $c_{r^{*}}=c_{r} \cup d_{r^{*^{\prime}}}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{r^{*}} \rho=\left(d_{r} \cup j \cup(d \cap m)\right) \rho=d_{r} \rho \cup j \rho \cup\left(d_{\rho} \cap m \rho\right)= \\
& b_{r} \cup \cup\left(a_{t}: t<r^{*}\right) \cup\left(b_{r^{*}} \cap \cap\left(b_{t}: r^{*}<t\right)\right)=b_{r} \cup b_{r^{*}}=b_{r^{*}} \\
& c_{r^{*}} \rho=\left(c_{r} \cup d_{r^{*}}\right) \rho=c_{r} \rho \cup d_{r^{\prime}} \rho=a_{r} \cup b_{r^{*}}=a_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $c_{r^{*}}>d_{r^{*}}$ since $a_{r^{*}} \neq b_{r^{*}}$. Since $c_{r} \leqq m$ and $d_{r^{*}} \leqq m$, we have that $c_{r^{*}} \leqq m$. The essential part of the proof would be completed if $c_{r} / d_{r}$ and $c_{r^{*}} / d_{r^{*^{\prime}}}$ are transposed; but this need not be true. To obtain the desired quotient tree we have to change the $d_{i}$ 's. Since ( $T, \square$ ) is a tree, for each $t \in T-\left\{r^{*}\right\}$ there exists a unique element $t^{*} \in T$ such that $t \square t^{*}$, and there are $t_{0}, \ldots, t_{n} \in T$ with $t^{*}=t_{n} \square \ldots \square t_{0}=r^{*}$ and $t \neq t_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$. Now, we define by induction for $t \in T-\left\{r^{*}\right\}$ :

$$
d_{t}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}c_{t} \cap d_{t^{*^{\prime}}} & \text { if } t \tau \triangleleft t^{*} \tau, \\ d_{t} \cup d_{t^{*}} & \text { if } t \tau \triangleright t^{*} \tau .\end{cases}
$$

Claim 1. $d_{t}^{\prime} \rho=b_{t}$ for $t \in T$.
Proof by induction. The case $t=r^{*}$ is already proved. Assume that $d_{t^{*} \rho}=b_{t}$ for some $t \in T$. It follows that
if $t \tau \triangleleft t^{*} \tau$, then $d_{t}^{\prime} \rho=\left(c_{t} \cap d_{t^{*}}\right) \rho=c_{t} \rho \cap d_{t^{*} \rho}=a_{t} \cap b_{t^{*}}=b_{t}$;
if $t \tau \triangleright t^{*} \tau$, then $d_{t^{\prime}} \rho=\left(d_{t} \cup d_{t^{*}}\right) \rho=d_{t} \rho \cup d_{t^{*} \rho} \rho=b_{t} \cup b_{t^{*}}=b_{t}$.
Claim 2. $d_{t}^{\prime} \geqq d_{t}$ for $t \in T$.
Proof by induction. The case $t=r^{*}$ is obvious. If $t=r$, then

$$
d_{r}^{\prime}=c_{r} \cap d_{r^{*^{\prime}}}=c_{r} \cap\left(d_{r} \cup d_{r^{*}}\right)=\left(c_{r} \cap d_{r^{*}}\right) \cup d_{r} \geqq d_{r} .
$$

Assume that $d_{t^{*}} \geqq d_{t^{*}}$ for some $t \in T$ and $t \neq r$. It follows that
if $t \tau \triangleleft t^{*} \tau$, then $d_{t}^{\prime}=c_{t} \cap d_{t^{*}} \geqq c_{t} \cap d_{t^{*}}=d_{t}$;
if $t \tau \triangleright t^{*} \tau$, then $d_{t}^{\prime}=d_{t} \cup d_{t^{*}} \geqq d_{t}$.
Claim 3. $d_{t}{ }^{\prime}<c_{t}$ for $t \in T$.
Proof by induction. The case $t=r^{*}$ is already proved. Assume that $d_{t^{*}}<c_{t^{*}}$ for some $t \in T$. It follows that
if $t \tau \triangleleft t^{*} \tau$, then $d_{t}{ }^{\prime}=c_{t} \cap d_{t^{*}} \leqq c_{t}$;
if $t \tau \triangleright t^{*} \tau$, then $d_{t}^{\prime}=d_{t} \cup d_{t^{*}} \leqq d_{t} \cup c_{t^{*}}=c_{t}$.
Since $c_{t} \rho=a_{t}, d_{t}^{\prime} \rho=b_{t}$ by Claim 1 and $a_{t} \neq b_{t}$, we have that $c_{t} \neq d_{t}^{\prime}$ for $t \in T$.

Claim 4. $c_{t} / d_{t}^{\prime} \square c_{t^{*}} / d_{i^{*}}$ for $t \in T-\left\{r^{*}\right\}$.
Proof. If $t=r$, then $c_{t} \cap d_{t^{*}}=d_{t}^{\prime}$ and $c_{t} \cup d_{t^{*}}=c_{t}$ by definition. Take $t \neq r$. It follows that
if $t \tau \triangleleft t^{*} \tau$, then

$$
c_{t} \cap d_{t^{*^{\prime}}}=d_{t}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{t} \cup d_{t^{*^{\prime}}}=c_{t} \cup d_{t^{*}} \cup d_{t^{*^{\prime}}}=c_{t^{*}} \cup d_{t^{*^{\prime}}}=c_{t^{*}}
$$

if $t \tau \triangleright t^{*} \tau$, then

$$
d_{t}^{\prime} \cap c_{t^{*}}=\left(d_{t} \cup d_{t^{*}}\right) \cap c_{t^{*}}=d_{t^{*}} \cup\left(d_{t} \cap c_{t^{*}}\right)=d_{t^{*}} \cup d_{t^{*}}=d_{t^{*}}
$$

and $d_{t}^{\prime} \cup c_{t^{*}}=d_{t} \cup d_{i^{*}} \cup c_{i^{*}}=d_{t} \cup c_{i^{*}}=c_{i}$.
Claim 5. $a_{t_{1}} \leqq b_{t_{2}}$ if and only if $c_{t_{1}} \leqq d_{t_{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ for $t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$.
Proof. Since $a_{t_{1}} \leqq b_{t_{2}}$ implies $c_{t_{1}} \leqq d_{t_{2}}$ for $t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$, it follows by Claim 2 that $a_{t_{1}} \leqq b_{t_{2}}$ implies $c_{t_{1}} \leqq d_{t_{2}}{ }^{\prime}$ for $t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$. The converse is also true since $c_{t} \rho=a_{t}$ and $d_{t}^{\prime} \rho=b_{t}$ by Claim 1 for all $t \in T$.

Now we are ready to state the quotient tree $(S, \sigma)$ and the graph isomorphism $\alpha$. Define $S=S_{r} \cup\left\{r^{*}\right\}, r^{*} \alpha=r^{*}$ and $s \alpha=s \alpha_{r}$ for $s \in S_{r}$. Extend $\left(S_{r}, \square,<\right)$ to $(S, \square,<)$ in such a way that $\alpha$ becomes an isomorphism from $(S, \square,<)$ onto ( $T, \square,<$ ). Then we define $s \sigma=c_{s \alpha} / d_{s \alpha}$ ' for $s \in S$. Claims 3, 4, and 5 imply that $(S, \sigma)$ is a quotient tree of $K$. But we also have that $s \alpha \tau=s \sigma \hat{\rho}$ for all $s \in S$ by Claim 1 . Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete.

We shall call a function $\mu$ which assigns to each quotient tree $(T, \tau)$ a natural number $\mu(T, \tau)$ a QT-invariant if
(i) $(S, \square,<) \cong(T, \square,<)$ implies $\mu(S, \sigma)=\mu(T, \tau)$;
(ii) $(S, \sigma) \rho(T, \tau)$ implies $\mu(S, \sigma) \leqq \mu(T, \tau)$.

We shall call $\mu$ a QT*-invariant if
(ii*) $(S, \sigma) \rho(T, \tau)$ implies $\mu(S, \sigma)=\mu(T, \tau)$.
Theorem. Let $\mu$ be a QT- or a QT*-invariant. For every modular lattice $L$ we define $\mu^{*}(L)=\sup \{\mu(T, \tau):(T, \tau)$ quotient tree of $L\}$. Then $\mu^{*}$ is a variety invariant of the variety of all modular lattices.
Proof. Let $L$ be a sublattice of the modular lattice $K$. Since every quotient tree of $L$ can be considered as a quotient tree of $K$, we have that $\mu^{*}(L) \leqq \mu^{*}(K)$. Let $L$ be a homomorphic image of the modular lattice $K$ and ( $T, \tau$ ) a quotient tree of $L$. By the lemma there is a quotient tree $(S, \sigma)$ of $K$ such that $(S, \square,<) \cong(T, \square,<)$ and $(S, \sigma) \rho(T, \tau)$. Hence, $\mu(S, \sigma)=\mu(T, \tau)$. Therefore, we have that $\mu^{*}(L) \leqq \mu^{*}(K)$. Let $L$ be the product of the modular lattices $K_{\omega}$ with $\omega \in \Omega$ and $(T, \tau)$ a quotient tree of $L$. Then there is a projection $\pi_{\omega_{0}}: L \rightarrow K_{\omega_{0}}$ such that $t \tau \hat{\pi}_{\omega_{0}}=a_{t} / b_{t}$ implies that $a_{t} \neq b_{t}$ for $t \in T$. Extend $(T, \square,<)$ to $\left(T_{0}, \square_{0},<_{0}\right)$, leaving $(T, \square)=\left(T_{0}, \square_{0}\right)$, such that $\left(T_{0}, \tau \hat{\pi}_{\omega_{0}}\right)$ becomes a quotient tree of $K_{\omega_{0}}$. Obviously, $(T, \tau) \pi_{\omega_{0}}\left(T_{0}, \tau \hat{\pi}_{\omega_{0}}\right)$. Hence, $\mu(T, \tau) \leqq \mu\left(T_{0}, \tau \hat{\pi}_{\omega_{0}}\right)$. Thus, for every quotient tree $(T, \tau)$ of $L$ there is an $\omega \in \Omega$ and a quotient tree $\left(T_{\omega}, \tau_{\omega}\right)$ of $K_{\omega}$ with $\mu(T, \tau) \leqq \mu\left(T_{\omega}, \tau_{\omega}\right)$. All together, this shows that the class of all modular lattices $L$ with $\mu^{*}(L) \leqq n$ for a natural number $n$ is closed under the formation of sublattices, homomorphic images and products. Therefore, $\mu^{*}$ is a variety invariant of the variety of all modular lattices.

Examples. (i) For a quotient tree $(T, \tau)$, the length $\lambda(T, \tau)$ is defined as the maximal cardinality of an index set $I$ such that there are $t_{i} \in T(i \in I)$ with $t_{i}<t_{j}$ or $t_{j}<t_{i}$ for $i, j \in I$ and $i \neq j$. Clearly, $(S, \square,<) \cong(T, \square,<)$ implies that $\lambda(S, \sigma)=\lambda(T, \tau)$. But we also have that $(S, \sigma) \rho(T, \tau)$ implies that $\lambda(S, \sigma) \leqq \lambda(T, \tau)$ since $\sigma \hat{\rho}$ preserves the relation $<$. Therefore, $\lambda$ is a QT-invariant. For every modular lattice $L$ let us define the primitive length $\lambda^{*}(L)=\sup \{\lambda(T, \tau):(T, \tau)$ a quotient tree of $L\}$. Then, by the theorem, the primitive length of modular lattices is a variety invariant. Obviously, the primitive length of a modular lattice $L$ is the supremum of all numbers $n$ such that there are $a_{i} / b_{i} \in Q(L)(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ with

$$
a_{i} / b_{i}<a_{i+1} / b_{i+1} \quad \text { and } \quad a_{i} / b_{i} \approx a_{i+1} / b_{i+1}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. For a simple modular lattice of finite length (in this case, every two prime quotients are projective), the length and the primitive length coincide.
(ii) For a quotient tree $(T, \tau)$, the width $\omega(T, \tau)$ is defined as the maximal cardinality of an index set $I$ such that there are $s_{i j}, t_{i j} \in T(i, j \in I$ and $i \neq j)$ with $s_{i j} \square t_{j i}$ and $s_{i j}<t_{i k}$ for $i, j, k \in I$. It easily follows that $\omega$ is a QT-
invariant. For every modular lattice $L$ let us define the primitive width $\omega^{*}(L)=\sup \{\omega(T, \tau):(T, \tau)$ a quotient tree of $L\}$. Then, by the theorem, the primitive width of modular lattices is a variety invariant. For the lattice $L_{n}(3 \leqq n<\infty)$ of subspaces of a projective line $P_{n}$ with $n$ points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ we obtain $\omega^{*}\left(L_{n}\right)=n$; the following quotient tree $(T, \tau)$ of $L_{n}$ has width $n$ :

$$
T=\left\{s_{i j}: 1 \leqq i, j \leqq n \quad \text { and } \quad i \neq j\right\} \cup\left\{t_{i j}: 1 \leqq i, j \leqq n \quad \text { and } \quad i \neq j\right\}
$$

$s_{i j} \square t_{j i}, s_{12} \square t_{i j}$ for $2 \leqq i \leqq n, s_{23} \square t_{1 j}, s_{i j}<t_{i k}, s_{i j} \tau=p_{i} / \emptyset$ and $t_{i j} \tau=$ $P_{n} / p_{i}$. Conversely, let ( $T, \tau$ ) be a quotient tree of $L_{n}$ with the desired $s_{i j}, t_{i j} \in T$ ( $i, j \in I$ and $i \neq j$ ); then $s_{i j} \tau=s_{k l} \tau\left(t_{i j} \tau=t_{k l} \tau\right.$, respectively) if and only if $i=k$; hence, $|I| \leqq n$, and therefore $\omega(T, \tau) \leqq n$.
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