Prevalence and factors associated with the coexistence of overweight/obesity and anaemia among women of reproductive age in Guinea

Djiba Diakité^{1,*}, Sidikiba Sidibé², Fassou Kolié¹, Facely Camara¹, Djiki Mounir Camara¹, Mory Kourouma¹ and Alexandre Délamou² ¹Gamal Abdel Nasser University of Conakry, Conakry, Guinea: ²CEA-PCMT_Faculty of Sciences and Health Techniques, Gamal Abdel Nasser University of Conakry, Conakry, Guinea

Submitted 5 January 2023: Final revision received 7 September 2023: Accepted 6 October 2023: First published online 19 October 2023

Abstract

Objective: To determine the prevalence and associated factors of the coexistence of overweight or obesity (OWOB) and anaemia among non-pregnant Guinean women aged 15–49 years.

Design: The analysis was performed using data from the 2018 Guinean Demographic and Health Survey. Multivariate logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia (OWOB + anaemia) among non-pregnant Guinean women.

Setting: Guinea

Participants: A total of 4783 non-pregnant women aged 15–49 years with valid data on the nutritional status (BMI and Hb level) were included in the analysis. *Results:* The prevalence of coexistence of OWOB and anaemia among non-pregnant women was $11\cdot16\%$ (95% CI: $10\cdot05$, $12\cdot37$). The following variables were associated with OWOB + anaemia in multivariate models (adjusted OR (AOR) 95% CI: higher wealth index (AOR = $4\cdot69$; 95% CI: $2\cdot62$, $8\cdot39$), middle wealth index (AOR = $1\cdot96$; 95% CI: $1\cdot31$, $2\cdot93$), four or more antenatal visits (AOR = $1\cdot62$; CI: $1\cdot16$, $2\cdot28$), having four or more children (AOR = $2\cdot47$; 95% CI: $1\cdot37$, $4\cdot43$) and the rural areas (AOR = $0\cdot59$; 95% CI: $0\cdot37$, $0\cdot95$).

Conclusion: The current study's findings reveal that OWOB + anaemia concerned one-tenth of non-pregnant women. Associated factors were household wealth index, multiparity, antenatal visits and rural areas. Thus, there is a need to design specific interventions to prevent the double burden of malnutrition among women of reproductive age. Interventions should include promoting physical exercise, family planning, healthy eating and raising awareness of behavioural change.

Keywords Overweight or obesity Anaemia Coexistence Women Guinea

Malnutrition is a real global public health problem affecting young children and women. According to the Global Nutrition Report 2021, 40.8% of all women worldwide were overweight and 29.9% of all girls and women aged 15–49 years had anaemia⁽¹⁾. Maternal anaemia is associated with maternal and newborn mortality and morbidity. Therefore, one of the global nutrition targets is the 50% reduction in anaemia among women of reproductive age by 2025⁽²⁾. Malnutrition is widespread in the African region, with a high prevalence of undernutrition, overweight and diet-related non-communicable diseases⁽³⁾. According to the WHO, the coexistence of undernutrition and overweight/ obesity (OWOB) or diet-related non-communicable

diseases is called the double burden of malnutrition. The double burden of malnutrition can exist within individuals, households, populations and across the life course⁽⁴⁾. At an individual level, the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia is one of the forms of the double burden of malnutrition. On the one hand, this can be explained by the dietary and nutritional transition resulting from societal changes characterised in particular by high energy intakes, low micronutrient intakes and a reduction in physical activity⁽⁵⁾. On the other hand, the rise in hepcidin levels in obese individuals is thought to reduce iron absorption and lead to anaemia^(6,7).

The coexistence of OWOB and anaemia is influenced by economic growth, globalisation, urbanisation and the

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the same Creative Commons licence is used to distribute the re-used or adapted article and the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use.



^{*}Corresponding author: Email djibadiakite943@gmail.com

nutritional transition^(4,8–10). It negatively impacts individuals, increasing health expenditures, reducing productivity and slowing economic growth⁽⁴⁾.

The coexistence of OWOB and anaemia varies considerably from country to country. A study conducted among Mexican women aged 15–49 found a prevalence of coexistence of overweight and anaemia of $7.4 \%^{(11)}$. The study conducted among non-pregnant Colombian women aged 13–49 found a prevalence of coexistence of OWOB and anaemia of $12.8 \%^{(12)}$.

In Africa, the prevalence of the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia among women aged 15–49 was 6.7% in a study combining data from recent demographic surveys of twenty-three countries in sub-Saharan Africa⁽¹³⁾. In Ghana, a study conducted on women aged 15–49 using data from the 2014 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) found a prevalence of the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia of $12.4\%^{(14)}$. Various studies have found a positive association between household wealth index^(14,15), multiparity^(12,16,17) and the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia among women.

Guinea is not on track to meet global nutrition target 2025. According to the results of the 2018 DHS, 27% of women of childbearing age were overweight and 46% suffered from anaemia⁽¹⁸⁾. It is possible that both types of malnutrition can coexist in the same women. To our knowledge, no study has yet explored the factors associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia in women of childbearing age. To fill these knowledge gaps, this study was conducted to examine the factors associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia in women aged 15–49 in Guinea.

Methods

Study design and population

The present study is based on an analysis of Guinea DHS-2018 data. The DHS-2018 was a nationally representative household survey. The survey collected data on the fertility, socioeconomic status, health status and nutritional status of participating households and individuals. It was carried out by the National Institute of Statistics with the technical support of the World Program of DHS (Program DHS, ICF/ USAID). Two-stage stratified cluster sampling was used based on a list of enumeration areas from the 2014 census. In each region, apart from Conakry, two strata have been formed: the urban environment and the rural environment. In total, fifteen sampling strata were formed and 401 enumeration areas were drawn proportionally to size, including 138 in urban areas and 263 in rural areas. All women aged 15-49 years from the selected households in the eight administrative regions of Guinea (Conakry, Boké, Faranah, Kankan, Kindia, Labé, Mamou and N'zérékoré) were eligible to be interviewed for the household survey. The total sample was 10 874 women aged 15-49 in the 2018 DHS. A nationally representative household sub-sample was drawn for anthropometric measurements and Hb levels⁽¹⁸⁾. All women aged 15–49 (n 5237) in this sub-sample were eligible for Hb and anthropometric (weight and height) measurements (Fig. 1).

Anthropometric measurements and nutritional status

Data on anthropometry (weight and height) and Hb were gathered for women who consented. Weights were measured using SECA electronic scales. While height measurements were taken using measuring rods in standing position. Before blood collection, the finger was cleaned with an alcohol swab. The finger was dried in the open air, and then, the investigator performed a prick on the palmar surface of the end of the finger (or the heel) using a self-retracting, sterile and non-reusable lancet. A drop of blood was collected from a HemoCue microcuvette and inserted into the photometer which displayed the level of Hb. Hb level was adjusted for altitude^(19,20). Participants with Hb < 120 g/dl were classified as anaemic, while those with Hb > 120 g/dl were classified as not anaemic⁽²⁰⁾. BMI Z-scores for age were calculated for adolescent girls according to WHO standards. Scores less than two sD above the median but greater than or equal to one SD were classified as overweight, and those with Z-scores greater than or equal to two were classified as $obese^{(21,22)}$. Using the standard WHO's cut-off points, BMI categories were defined as underweight (< 18.5 kg/m²), normal weight $(18.5-24.99 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, OWOB (> 25.0 kg/m^2) for women 19 vears and older⁽²³⁾.

Outcome variable

The two components of malnutrition were anaemia and OWOB in women. The coexistence of OWOB and anaemia in women was coded '1' and the absence coded '0'.

Independent variables

These household and individual factors constituted the independent variables for the study. These included the woman's age, age at birth of first child, marital status, level of education, current working, husband's education level, parity, iron folic acid supplementation during pregnancy, delivered by caesarean, household size, household wealth index, currently breastfeeding, ethnicity, antenatal consultation, place of residence (rural and urban area) and administrative region. The household wealth index was calculated by assigning scores to households based on the number and type of assets consumer goods owned, goods ranging from a television to a bicycle or bicycle, and on dwelling characteristics such as source of drinking water, type of toilets used and flooring material. These scores were generated using principal component analysis. Economic welfare tertile was constructed by dividing the distribution into three equal categories.



Fig. 1 Flow chart for sample size selection

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted in Stata 14.2 software using survey weights 'svy' to generate results representative of women in Guinea. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies and percentages, were computed for all the variables. Cross-tabulations with chi-square tests were used to show the variation of underweight, normal weight, OWOB, anaemia and coexistence of OWOB and anaemia among women. Multicollinearity was checked using the variance inflation factor. The marital status variable was excluded because of its collinearity. To examine the independent effect of the covariates, we performed logistic regression. Crude OR and adjusted OR(AOR) were calculated to examine the probability of coexistence of OWOB and anaemia among women. The explanatory variables that had a *P*-value < 0.2 in the bivariate logistic regression analysis were reintegrated into the multivariate logistic regression. OR and their 95 % CI were reported. The significance level was set at a *P*-value < 0.05.

Results

Sociodemographic characteristics of participants

A total of 4783 women aged 15–49 years were selected for this study (Fig. 1). The weighted mean age of the women was 28.57 (± 0.29) years. Table 1 describes the sociodemographic characteristics of the participants. 21.34% of women are teenagers. About 41.52% of the women were under eighteen at the time of their first birth, 68.2% were married, the majority (67.7%) had no education and only 27.7% were breastfeeding at the time of the survey. Among the women, 63% lived in rural areas and 34% had at least four children.

Proportion of underweight, normal weight, OWOB, anaemia, coexistence of OWOB and anaemia

Table 2 presents the percentage distribution of underweight, normal weight and OWOB according to the characteristics of women in Guinea. The prevalence of the underweight, normal weight and OWOB was 6.7%(95 % CI: 5.8, 7.6), 66.7% (95 % CI: 64.8, 68.7) and 26.6%(95 % CI: 24.7, 28.5), respectively.

Table 3 presents the prevalence of the anaemia, coexistence OWOB and anaemia among the women. The prevalence of anaemia was 45.64 % (95 % CI: 43.8, 47.5). Women living in the Kindia region had a higher prevalence of the anaemia than women in other regions. The prevalence of the coexistence OWOB and anaemia was 11.16 % (95 % CI: 10.05, 12.37). The prevalence of the OWOB and anaemia increased with the woman's age, the husband's level of education. the household wealth index. the number of antenatal visits and parity. Women in couples had a higher prevalence of the OWOB and anaemia than women not in couples. Working women had a higher prevalence of the OWOB and anaemia than nonworking women. Women who had given birth by caesarean section had a higher prevalence of the OWOB and anaemia than women who had given birth vaginally. Women living in urban areas had a higher prevalence of the OWOB and anaemia than women living in rural areas. Women living in Conakry had a higher prevalence of the OWOB and anaemia than women living in other regions.

Factors associated with the coexistence of the coexistence OWOB and anaemia

Table 4 presents the factors associated with the coexistence OWOB and anaemia among women aged 15–49 years. In this study, women's age, currently working, husband's level of education, ethnicity, household wealth index, parity, number of antenatal visits, currently breastfeeding, caesarean delivery section, place of residence and region showed an association in bivariate logistic analysis and were reintroduced in multivariate logistic regression. The presence of the coexistence OWOB and anaemia showed a statistically significant positive association with higher wealth index (AOR = 4.69; 95% CI: 2.62, 8.39), middle wealth index (AOR = 1.96; 95% CI: 1.31, 2.93), four or more antenatal visits (AOR = 1.62; CI: 1.16, 2.28) and having four or more children (AOR = 2.47; 95% CI: 1.37, 4.43).

In addition, the rural area (AOR = 0.59; 95 % CI: 0.37, 0.95), the region of Faranah (AOR = 0.37; 95 % CI: 0.20, 0.70), Labe (AOR = 0.45; 95 % CI: 0.22, 0.92) and Mamou (AOR = 0.48; 95 % CI: 0.23, 0.99) were found to be protective against the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia.

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents(n 4783). Data from the Guinea Demographic and Health Survey2018

Characteristics	Number	$(\%)^{*}$
15–18	988	21.34
19–34	2309	48.32
35 and over	1486	30.34
Age at birth of first child (year)		
Under 18	1445	41.52
18–29	1919	55.94
30 and over	87	2.54
Marital status		
Married/cohabiting	3318	68.23
Single	1465	31.77
Level of education		
No education	3290	67.74
Primary	581	12.28
Secondary or more	912	19.98
	1021	27.02
Vec	2052	62.08
Husband's level of education	2352	02.30
No education	2397	72.37
Primary	251	7.45
Secondary or more	630	20.17
Number of antenatal visits		
Less than 4	1628	67.54
At least 4	788	32.46
Ethnic group		
Soussou	914	20.24
Fulani	1914	35.54
Malinke	1300	27.65
Foresters/other	655	16.57
Parity		
0-1	1913	41.6
2-3 4 au marc	1149	23.77
4 or more	1/21	34.63
No	2380	07.1/
Vee	2300	2.86
Number IFA taking during pregnancy	71	200
Less than 90	1295	67.27
At least 90	620	32.73
Currently breastfeeding		
No	3413	72·25
Yes	1370	27.75
Household size		
1–4	848	17.93
5–9	2625	54.52
10 or more	1310	27.55
Household Wealth Index	4505	
Poorer	1595	32.9
Middle	1594	33.11
Righer Right	1594	33.99
	1747	26.7
Dural	2026	62.2
Administrative region	5050	00.0
Boké	609	16.69
Conakry	666	10.45
Faranah	610	9.82
Kankan	501	11.67
Kindia	595	13.97
Labé	532	10.25
Mamou	574	9.36
N'zérékoré	696	17.78

IFA: Iron folic acid.

*(%): Weighted percentage.

Discussion

This study explored the prevalence and factors associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia among women aged 15-49 years in Guinea. The prevalence of anaemia and OWOB was 45.6 % and 26.6 %, respectively. The prevalence of the coexistence OWOB and anaemia among women was 11.16%. The transition from a traditional local diet to a diet characterised by the consumption of energy-dense foods, the rapid urbanisation of the population and the lack of physical activity have contributed to increased overweight among women of reproductive age in sub-Saharan Africa^(4,8-10). Low consumption of iron-rich foods, increased requirements during pregnancy, iron losses during menstruation, poor hygiene, sanitary conditions and malaria are determining factors in the occurrence of anaemia in sub-Saharan Africa^(2,13,24). Various studies on the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia in women have been conducted and the prevalence ranges from one region to another. A study on the extent and determinants of the double burden of malnutrition at the individual level in a rural population in southern India found a prevalence of the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia of 23.1% among non-pregnant women over the age of $19^{(25)}$. These results are not directly comparable, as this study was carried out only in rural areas and used BMI thresholds for Asian populations (overweight for BMI ≥ 23 kg/m²) that are different from the thresholds used in our study⁽²⁵⁾. Another study conducted in women of childbearing age with data from seventeen national surveys spanning low- and middleincome countries and high-income countries from the 'Biomarkers Reflecting Inflammation and Nutritional Determinants of Anaemia' project found a prevalence of the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia of $8.6\%^{(15)}$. In addition, this study grouped together surveys carried out in high-, middle- and low-income countries, but only took into account the median prevalence of the different surveys. A national micronutrient survey conducted among non-pregnant women aged 15-49 years in Malawi from 2015-2016 showed a prevalence of coexistence of OWOB and anaemia of 3.4 %⁽²⁶⁾. It is not surprising that the prevalence of OWOB and anaemia is low in Malawi compared to Guinea, as only 19.9% of women in Malawi suffered from anaemia and 14.5 % were OWOB⁽²⁶⁾.

The link between obesity and anaemia is still controversial in the literature. The results of a meta-analysis showed that the prevalence of iron deficiency was higher in OWOB populations than in non-overweight populations⁽²⁷⁾. According to certain studies, the increase in hepcidin levels in obese people leads to a disturbance in iron homeostasis and reduces its absorption, resulting in anaemia^(6,7,28).

Public Health Nutrition

2752

 Table 2
 Proportion of underweight, normal weight and overweight/obesity among women aged 15–49 (n 4783). Data from the Guinea

 Demographic and Health Survey 2018

Characteristics	Underweight (%)	Normal weight (%)	Overweight/obese (%)	Р
Age group (year)				< 0.001
15–18	3.4	83.5	13.1	
19–34	8.04	66.19	25.76	
35 and over	6.81	55.83	37.36	
Age at birth of first child (year)				0.007
Under 18	5.31	67.01	27.61	
18–29	7.76	61.11	31.13	
30 and over	6.8	63·91	29.3	
Marital status				< 0.001
Married/cohabiting	6.72	63.49	29.79	
Single	6.59	73.72	19.68	
_evel of education				0.029
No education	6.7	68.1	25.2	
Primary	7.68	65.72	26.6	
Secondary or more	5.98	62.78	31.24	
Currently working				< 0.001
No	8.31	72	19.69	
Yes	5.72	63.65	30.63	
Husband's level of education				< 0.001
No education	7.35	67.07	25.58	
Primary	7	56.6	36.41	
Secondary or more	4.25	53.94	41.81	
Number of antenatal visits				< 0.001
Less than 4	7.63	70.84	21.53	
At least 4	6.3	59.02	34.69	
Ethnic group				< 0.001
Soussou	3.46	61.82	34.71	
Fulani	10.5	68.65	20.86	
Malinke	4.39	67.76	27.84	
Foresters/other	6.22	66.98	26.8	
Parity				< 0.001
0–1	6.42	73.01	20.57	
2–3	6.69	67.14	26.17	
4 or more	6.98	58.94	34.08	
Delivered by caesarean				< 0.001
No	7.31	67.56	25.13	
Yes	1.08	53.2	45.71	
Number IFA taking during pregnancy		00 -		0.641
Less than 90	6.97	66.99	24.05	
At least 90	6.71	64.9	28.4	
Currently breastfeeding	011	010	201	< 0.001
No	6.67	64.88	28.45	0001
Yes	6.71	71.59	21.7	
Household size	071	11.66	217	0.555
1_4	7.58	66.3	26.11	0 000
5_9	6.64	65.97	27.4	
10 or more	6.17	68.57	25.26	
Household Wealth Index	0.17	00.97	25-26	< 0.001
Poorer	8.81	75.85	15.35	< 0.001
Middlo	6.56	60.06	24.20	
	0.30	09·00	24.39	
Higher Diago of regidence	4.73	55.08	39.59	< 0.001
	4.66	F7 10	20.14	< 0.001
Dipan	4.00	57.19	30.14	
Rural	7.85	72-28	19.87	0.004
Administrative region		00.00	00.00	< 0.001
Boke	6.99	62.02	30.99	
Conakry	5.13	51.62	43.25	
Faranah	6.73	76.52	16.75	
Kankan	3.75	71.78	24.46	
Kindia	4.6	70.3	25.1	
Labé	12.54	70.06	17.4	
Mamou	8.71	72.21	19.09	
N'zérékoré	7.02	67.42	25.55	
Overall	6.7	66.7	26.6	

IFA: Iron folic acid.

Pearson's chi-square test was used for multiple comparisons.

 Table 3
 Prevalence of anaemia and OWOB + anaemia according to categories in women aged 15–49 years (n 4783). Data from the 2018

 Guinea Demographic and Health Survey

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline P & \hline (b)' & P & \hline (b)' & P \\ \hline (b)' & P & \hline (b)' & P \\ \hline (b)' & P & \hline (b)' & P \\ \hline (b)' & P & \hline (b)' & P \\ \hline (b)' & P & \hline (b)' & P \\ \hline (b)' & P & \hline (b)' & P & \hline (b)' & P \\ \hline (b)' & P & \hline (b)' & $		Anae	emia	Anaemia +	OWOB
Apg group (year) 47.35 6.41 15-16 19-34 44.467 0.449 10.66 < 0.001 35 and over 45.66 15.28 11.38 Age at birth of first child (year) 11.38 13.13 0.200 Under 18 47.32 0.278 13.13 0.200 Stand over 39.85 7.51 0.200 0.001 0.001 0.001 <th>Characteristics</th> <th>(%)*</th> <th>Р</th> <th>(%)*</th> <th>Р</th>	Characteristics	(%)*	Р	(%)*	Р
15-16 47.35 6.41 19-34 44.87 0.49 10.66 <0.001	Age group (year)				
19-34 4487 0.449 10.66 <0.01	15–18	47.35		6.41	
35 and over 45-66 15.28 Under 18 47.32 11.98 16-29 13.13 13.13 16-29 200 7.5 0.200 Mardial Status 39.86 7.61 0.200 Mardial Chabling 46-05 12.67 5 Single 44.75 0.452 7.91 < 0.001	19–34	44.87	0.449	10.66	< 0.001
Age at birth of tirst child (year) 11.98 11.98 1B-29 44.30 0.278 13.13 1B-29 44.30 0.278 13.13 So and over 39.85 7.51 0.200 Marriad Cohabiling 46.05 12.67 Single 44.75 0.452 11.61 Level of education 46.05 11.61 45.05 No education 46.26 10.70 Primary 47.05 11.61 No education 46.32 0.241 13.10 < 0.001	35 and over	45.66		15.28	
Under 18 47.32 11.98 1B-29 44.90 0.278 13.13 30 and over 39.85 7.51 0.200 Mini status 7.91 < 0.020	Age at birth of first child (year)	47.00		11.00	
10-23 49-30 0-276 15-13 0.200 Marriad/Cababing 46-05 12-67 5 Single 44-75 0-452 7-51 0.200 Level of education 7 0 40-05 11-61 No education 42-64 0-166 12-42 0-416 Secondary or more 42-64 0-166 12-42 0-416 Currently working	Under 18	47.32	0.078	11.98	
Matrial Status 0.00 1.01 0.00 Married/Cohabing 46.05 12.67 Single 44.75 0.452 7.91 < 0.001	10-29	44·90 39.85	0.210	7.51	0.200
Marriel/Cobabiling 46-05 12-67 Single 44.75 0.452 7.91 < 0.001	Marital status	85.65		7.51	0.200
Single * 44.75 0.452 7.91 < 0.001 Ne ducation 45.26 0.70 11.61 1 Secondary or more 42.64 0.166 12.42 0.418 Currently working 7.86 7.86 0.001 Ne ducation 60.8 10.91 7.86 Ne ducation 60.8 10.91 7.86 Primary 46.67 19.30 2 0.001 No education 86.0 0.788 16.57 < 0.001	Married/Cohabiting	46.05		12.67	
Level of aducation 46.26 10.70 Primary 47.05 11.61 Secondary or more 42.64 0.166 12.42 0.418 Currently working 44.8 7.86 Yes 46.32 0.241 3.10 < 0.001 Husband's level of education 46.08 10.91 Primary 46.67 19.30 Secondary or more 45.94 0.984 16.52 < 0.001 Number of antenatal visits 4 Less than 4 46.63 86.0 10.91 Finary 86.00 At least 4 45.69 0.788 16.57 < 0.001 Elice group 40.99 At least 4 45.69 0.788 16.57 < 0.001 Elice group 7 Foresters/other 41.98 0.056 10.73 < 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.71 < 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.73 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.73 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.73 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.73 0.001 No 40.69 0.058 10.73 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.73 0.001 Number 10.077 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.73 0.001 Number 10.77 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.73 0.001 Number 10.77 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.73 0.000 Number 10.77 0.001 Parity 0.55 0.058 10.73 0.000 Number 10.70 0.001 0.058 0.058 0.058 10.077 0.001 Parity 0.558 10.101 0.058 0.058 10.077 0.000 Number 10.0058 0.058 0.058 0.058 10.076 0.001 Number 10.0058 0.058 0.058 0.058 10.05 0.001 Number 10.0058 0.058 0.058 0.058 10.05 0.001 Parity 0.058 0.058 0.058 0.058 10.05 0.001 No 46.99 0.085 0.058 0.058 0.058 10.05 0.001 No 46.59 0.065 0.065 0.058 0.058 10.05 0.001 No 46.59 0.065 0.065 0.069 10.05 0.001 No 46.59 0.065 0.067 0.001 Parity 0.058 0.001 No 46.59 0.067 0.001 Parity 0.058 0.0	Single	44.75	0.452	7.91	< 0.001
No education 46-26 11-61 Primary 47-05 11-61 Secondary or more 42-64 0-166 12-42 0-418 Currently working	Level of education				
Primary 47.05 11.61 Secondary or more 42.64 0.166 12.42 0.418 Currently working 7.86 7.86 7.86 Yes 46.32 0.241 13.10 < 0.001	No education	46.26		10.70	
Secondary or more 42-54 0-16b 12.42 0.41b No 44.48 7.86 - Yes 46.532 0.241 13.10 < 0.001	Primary	47.05	0.400	11.61	0.440
Currently Working 7.86 Yes 46.32 0.241 13.10 < 0.001	Secondary or more	42.64	0.166	12.42	0.418
Yes 46.52 0.241 1.30 < 0.001 Husband's level of education 0.91 19.30 19.30 19.30 Primary 46.67 19.30<	No	44.48		7.86	
Hubbard's level of educationHubbard's level of educationHubbard's level of educationHubbard's level of educationHubbard's level of educationNo education46.0819.30Secondary or more45.940.98416.32< 0.001	Yes	46.32	0.241	13.10	< 0.001
No education 46-08 10-91 Pimany 46-67 19-30 Secondary or more 45-94 0.984 16-32 < 0.001	Husband's level of education	10 02	0211	10 10	
Primary 46.67 19:00 Secondary or more 45:594 0.984 16:32 < 0.001	No education	46.08		10.91	
Secondary or more 45.94 0.984 16.32 < 0.001 Number of antenatal visits 46.37 8.60	Primary	46.67		19.30	
Number of antenatal visits 46.37 8.60 At least 4 45.69 0.788 16.57 < 0.001	Secondary or more	45.94	0.984	16.32	< 0.001
Less than 4 46-37 8-60 At least 4 45-69 0.788 16-57 < 0.001	Number of antenatal visits	40.07		0.00	
All least 44-690-78516-57< 0-001Soussou49-4914-55Fulani44-067-59Malinke47-0513-53Foresters/other41-980-05610-71< 0-001	Less than 4	46.37	0 700	8.60	. 0.001
Linking group 49.49 14.55 Fulani 44.06 7.59 Malinke 47.05 13.53 Foresters/other 41.98 0.056 10.71 < 0.001	At least 4	45.69	0.788	16.57	< 0.001
Duban44.067.59Malinke47.0513.53Foresters/other41.980.05610.71< 0.001	Soussou	49.49		14.55	
Malinke 47.05 13.53 Foresters/other 41.98 0.056 10.71 < 0.01	Fulani	40.40		7.59	
Foresters/other 41:98 0.056 10.71 < 0.001 Parity	Malinke	47.05		13.53	
Parity0-145.428.412-344.6410.744 or more0.64814.740 or more0.64814.740 or more0.64814.740 or more0.64814.74No44.6910.77Yes48.110.05823.180 outside line0.6481.07Yes48.110.05823.180 outside line0.6481.07At least 9045.5811.07Currently breastfeeding11.55No46.191.1.55Yes47.190.88210.15Yes47.190.88210.151-446.5210.585-944.0910.9810 or more48.230.08511.88Household size10.69216.4710 or more46.556.2010.63Higher44.780.69216.47Pacor fresidence11.63Urban43.5114.68Rural46.870.0679.12Urban43.626.26Kindia57.0612.21Eoké37.214.24Mamou46.697.82Nzérékoré43.8447.47Outon46.8312.62Kindia57.0612.21Labé37.214.24Mamou46.697.82Nzérékoré43.8447.47Outon7.82Nzérékoré<	Foresters/other	41.98	0.056	10.71	< 0.001
0-1 45.42 8.41 2-3 44.64 10.74 4 or more 46.59 0.648 14.74 < 0.001	Parity				
2-3 44.64 10.74 4 or more 46.59 0.648 14.74 < 0.001	0–1	45.42		8.41	
4 or more 4 or 59 0.646 14.74 < 0.001 Delivered by caesarean	2–3	44.64	0.040	10.74	. 0.001
No 44-69 10-77 Yes 48-11 0-058 23.18 0-005 Number IFA taking during pregnancy	4 or more Delivered by easeareap	46.59	0.048	14.74	< 0.001
Yes 48.11 0.058 23.18 0.005 Number IFA taking during pregnancy 45.58 11.07 41.83 0 0.834 13.57 0.200 Currently breastfeeding 46.29 0.834 13.57 0.200 Currently breastfeeding 46.19 11.55 0.194 Household size 1 0.882 10.15 0.194 Household size 1 1.4 6.52 0.198 0.194 Household size 1 1.4 46.52 10.58 5.5 0.194 Household wells index 10.98 0.085 11.88 0.695 0.085 0.085 0.085 0.085 0.085 0.001 0.0194 <td>No</td> <td>44.69</td> <td></td> <td>10.77</td> <td></td>	No	44.69		10.77	
Number IFA taking during pregnancy 45-58 11-07 Less than 90 45-58 11-07 At least 90 46-29 0-834 13-57 0-200 Currently breastfeeding 11-55 Yes 155 Yes No 46-19 11-55 Yes 0-194 Household size 10-15 0-194 1-4 46-52 10-15 0-194 Household size 10-15 0-194 10-15 0-194 Household size 10-4 46-52 10-58 0-194 Household Wealth Index 10-98 0-198 0-194 Poorer 46-55 6-20 Middle 45-61 10-63 Higher 45-61 10-63 14-68 10-10 14-68 Rural 46-87 0-067 9-12 < 0-001	Yes	48.11	0.058	23.18	0.005
Less than 9045.5811.07At least 90 46.29 0.834 13.57 0.200 Currently breastfeeding 11.55 0.9200 No 46.19 11.55 0.194 Household size $1-4$ 46.52 10.58 1-4 46.52 10.58 $5-9$ 1-4 46.52 10.98 5-9 44.09 10.98 10 or more 46.53 6.20 Household Wealth Index $Poorer$ 46.55 6.20 Poorer 46.55 6.20 Middle 45.61 10.63 Higher 44.78 0.692 16.47 Place of residence $Uthan$ 43.51 14.68 Rural 43.617 0.067 9.12 < 0.001 Administrative region 8.97 13.91 < 0.001 Boké 43.97 13.91 < 0.001 Conakry 48.79 16.78 6.26 Kankan 46.83 12.62 Kindia 57.06 12.21 Labé 37.21 4.24 Mamou 46.09 7.82 N'zérékoré 41.33 < 0.001 Overall prevalence 45.64 11.16 95% Cl $43.82, 47.47$ $10.05, 12.37$	Number IFA taking during pregnancy				
At least 90 46.29 0.834 13.57 0.200 Currently breastfeeding 11.55 1 No 46.19 11.55 0.194 Household size 1 1.4 0.882 10.15 0.194 Household size 1 1.4 6.52 10.58 0.194 1-4 46.52 0.085 11.88 0.695 10 or more 48.23 0.085 11.88 0.695 Household Wealth Index 10.63 0.067 0.0163 0.001 Poorer 46.55 6.20 0.001 0.002 0.001	Less than 90	45.58		11.07	
Currently breastfeedingNo46·1911.55Yes47.190.88210.15Household size -14 46·520.1941-446·5210.58 -59 10 or more48·230.08511.880.695Household Wealth Index -762 6.20 -762 Poorer46·556.20 -762 -762 Middle45·6110·63 -762 -762 Higher44·780.69216·47<0.001	At least 90	46.29	0.834	13.57	0.200
No46.1911.55Yes47.190.88210.150.194Household size1-446.5210.585-910.9810 or more44.0910.980.08511.880.695Household Wealth Index10.6310.6310.6310.63Poorer46.556.2010.6310.63Higher44.780.69216.47<0.001	Currently breastfeeding	10.10			
Yes 47.19 0.682 10.15 0.194 Household size 1-4 46.52 10.58 5-9 10.98 10.98 10.98 10.98 10.98 10.98 10.99 10.98 10.99 10.98 10.98 10.98 10.98 10.98 10.98 10.99 10.99 10.98 10.99 10.98 10.91 10.93 40.001 10.93 40.001 10.93 <0.001	No	46.19	0.000	11.55	0.104
1-4 46.52 10.58 5-9 44.09 10.98 10 or more 48.23 0.085 11.88 0.695 Household Wealth Index Poorer 46.55 6.20	Yes Household size	47.19	0.882	10:15	0.194
5-944.0910.0810 or more48.230.08511.880.695Household Wealth IndexPoorer46.556.20Middle45.6110.63Higher44.780.69216.47<0.001		46.52		10.58	
10 or more 48.23 0.085 11.88 0.695 Household Wealth Index -	5–9	44.09		10.98	
Household Wealth Index 46-55 6-20 Middle 45-61 10-63 Higher 44-78 0-692 16-47 < 0-001	10 or more	48.23	0.085	11.88	0.695
Poorer 46-55 6-20 Middle 45-61 10-63 Higher 44-78 0-692 16-47 < 0-001	Household Wealth Index				
Middle 45.61 10.63 Higher 44.78 0.692 16.47 < 0.001	Poorer	46.55		6.20	
Higher 44.78 0.692 16.47 < 0.001 Place of residence	Middle	45.61	0.000	10.63	0.004
Urban 43.51 14.68 Rural 46.87 0.067 9.12 < 0.001	Higher Blace of residence	44.78	0.692	16.47	< 0.001
Bordin 46.87 0.067 9.12 < 0.001	Lirban	13.51		14.68	
Administrative region Social Social Social Boké 43.97 13.91 Conakry 48.79 16.78 Faranah 43.62 6.26 Kankan 46.83 12.62 Kindia 57.06 12.21 Labé 37.21 4.24 Mamou 46.09 7.82 N'zérékoré 41.33 < 0.001	Bural	46.87	0.067	9.12	< 0.001
Boké 43.97 13.91 Conakry 48.79 16.78 Faranah 43.62 6.26 Kankan 46.83 12.62 Kindia 57.06 12.21 Labé 37.21 4.24 Mamou 46.09 7.82 N'zérékoré 41.33 < 0.001	Administrative region		0 001	0.12	
Conakry 48.79 16.78 Faranah 43.62 6.26 Kankan 46.83 12.62 Kindia 57.06 12.21 Labé 37.21 4.24 Mamou 46.09 7.82 N'zérékoré 41.33 < 0.001	Boké	43.97		13.91	
Faranah 43.62 6.26 Kankan 46.83 12.62 Kindia 57.06 12.21 Labé 37.21 4.24 Mamou 46.09 7.82 N'zérékoré 41.33 < 0.001	Conakry	48.79		16.78	
Kankan 46.83 12.62 Kindia 57.06 12.21 Labé 37.21 4.24 Mamou 46.09 7.82 N'zérékoré 41.33 < 0.001	Faranah	43.62		6.26	
Kinola 57.06 12.21 Labé 37.21 4.24 Mamou 46.09 7.82 N'zérékoré 41.33 < 0.001	Kankan	46.83		12.62	
Labe 37-21 4-24 Mamou 46.09 7.82 N'zérékoré 41.33 < 0.001	NINGIA Labá	57.06		12.21	
N'zérékoré 41.33 < 0.001 10.93 < 0.001 Overall prevalence 45.64 11.16 10.05, 12.37	Laue	31.51 46.00		4·∠4 7.80	
Overall prevalence 45.64 11.16 95 % Cl 43.82, 47.47 10.05, 12.37	N'zérékoré	41.33	< 0.001	10.93	< 0.001
95 % Cl 43.82, 47.47 10.05, 12.37	Overall prevalence	45.64		11.16	
	95 % CI	43.82, 47.47		10.05, 12.37	

OWOB: Overweight/obesity; IFA: Iron folic acid. *(%): Weighted percentage. Pearson's chi-square test was used for multiple comparisons.

NS Public Health Nutrition

2754

Table 4 Bivariate and multivariate logistic regression of factors associated with OWOB + anaemia among women aged 15–49 (*n*4783). Data from the Guinea Demographic and Health Survey 2018

Characteristics	OR	95 % CI	AOR	95 % CI
Age group (year)				
15–18		Ref.		Ref.
19–34	1.74	1.28, 2.36	0.85	0.35, 2.07
35 and over	2.63	1.93, 3.59	0.99	0.39, 2.57
Age at birth of first child (year)				
Under 18		Ref.		
18–29	1.11	0.90, 1.38		
30 and over	0.60	0.29, 1.23		
Level of education				
No education		Ref.		
Primary	1.10	0.78, 1.54		
Secondary or more	1.18	0.92, 1.52		
Currently working				
No		Ref.		Ref.
Yes	1.77	1.40, 2.23***	1.20	0.85, 1.69
Husband's level of education				
No education		Ref.		Ref.
Primary	1.95	1.33, 2.86**	1.37	0.83, 2.27
Secondary or more	1.59	1.19, 2.14**	0.80	0.53, 1.22
Number of antenatal visits				,
Less than 4		Ref.		Ref.
At least 4	2.11	1.56, 2.86***	1.62	1.16, 2.28**
Ethnic group				,
Soussou		Ref.		Ref.
Fulani	0.48	0.36. 0.65***	0.87	0.53. 1.43
Malinke	0.92	0.67. 1.26	1.16	0.64, 2.09
Foresters/other	0.70	0.47, 1.06	0.82	0.37, 1.82
Parity		,		,
0-1		Ref.		Ref.
2–3	1.31	0.99. 1.73	1.72	0.96. 3.05
4 or more	1.88	1.50, 2.36***	2.47	1.37, 4.43**
Currently breastfeeding				,
No		Ref		Bef
Yes	0.86	0.69 1.08	0.90	0.66 1.23
Delivered by caesarean	0.00	0.00, 1.00	0.00	0 00, 1 20
No		Ref		Bef
Yes	2.50	1.30 4.79**	1.85	0.89 3.84
Number IFA taking during pregnancy	2 00	1 88, 4 78	1.00	0 00, 0 04
Less than 60		Bef		
At least 60	1.23	0.89 1.70		
Household size	1.20	0.03, 1.70		
1_4		Bef		
5_0	1.04	0.75 1.44		
10 or more	1.1/	0.83 1.57		
Household Wealth Index	1.14	0.03, 1.37		
Pooror		Pof		Pof
Middle	1 90		1.06	
Higher	1.00	1.34, 2.42	1.90	1.01, 2.90
Place of residence	2.90	2.25, 3.97	4.09	2.02, 0.39
		Def		Def
Dural	0.50		0.50	
Rural Administrative region	0.28	0.46, 0.74	0.59	0.37, 0.95
Auministrative region		Dof		Def
Doke	1.05		0.00	
Conakry	1.25	0.88, 1.78	0.80	0.44, 1.47
⊢aranan	0.41	0.27, 0.64	0.37	0.20, 0.70
Kankan	0.89	0.58, 1.38	0.72	0.36, 1.43
KINDIA	0.86	0.59, 1.26	0.70	0.42, 1.19
Labe	0.27	0.16, 0.48	0.45	0.22, 0.92
Mamou	0.53	0.35, 0.79	0.48	0.23, 0.99
IN ZEREKORE	0.76	0.49, 1.18	1.13	0.55, 2.31

OR: Crude odds ratio; AOR: Adjusted OR; Ref.: Reference category; IFA: Iron folic acid.

Multivariate logistic regression: adjusted covariates are age group, currently working, husband's level of education, number of antenatal visits, ethnic group, parity, currently breastfeeding, delivered by caesarean, household Wealth Index, place of residence, administrative region.

Statistical significance:

**P* < 0.05.

***P*<0.01.

****P*<0.001.

RS Public Health Nutrition

In this study, the coexistence OWOB and anaemia among women was associated with household wealth index, parity, rural areas and administrative region. The risk of the double burden of malnutrition increased with household wealth index. Various studies have shown a positive association between the double burden of malnutrition and the higher wealth index. In high-income countries, high socioeconomic status is negatively associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia among women, while in low-income countries, high socioeconomic status is positively associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia among women⁽¹⁵⁾. Two studies conducted in Ghana and the fifteen countries in sub-Saharan Africa showed a positive association between the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia and household wealth quintile^(14,29). In low-income countries, wealthy households are at risk of obesity⁽³⁰⁾. Lack of physical activity and wealthy households' access to hearty meals that are high in fat, high in calories and low in micronutrients are determining factors⁽¹³⁾. Another factor related to OWOB among women would be their perception of body image, according to which overweight is a sign of well-being $^{(31-33)}$.

Women with four or more children were more likely to suffer from the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia than women with at most one child. This result corroborates those of other studies showing that multiparity increases the risk of suffering from OWOB^(12,16,17). Several studies have shown that the significant gestational weight gain may contribute to maternal weight retention in the long term. This gestational weight gain would be related to physiological changes, dietary behaviour and physical inactivity during pregnancy^(34–36).

Four or more antenatal visits were positively associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia in women. We did not find any data in the literature for a comparison. However, this result could be because overweight and anaemic women are more likely to keep appointments than those who are not.

In southern India, the rural area was a protective factor for the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia in women⁽²⁵⁾. The urban area is positively associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia in women in a context of deforestation in sub-Saharan Africa⁽²⁹⁾. The likelihood of a woman of childbearing age being simultaneously overweight and anaemic was higher in per-urban and urban areas than in rural area⁽³⁷⁾.

Women in the regions of Faranah, Labe and Mamou were less at risk of the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia. This region had the lowest prevalence of overweight among women aged 15–49 years compared to other regions⁽¹⁸⁾. These regions are among the poorest in Guinea, with a poverty line above the national average $(55 \cdot 2 \, \%)^{(38)}$.

The factors associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia in this study are the factors associated with OWOB. This can be explained by the fact that in our study, the prevalence of obesity varied according to social category, ranging from 13.1% to 45.7%. Apart from administrative region, the prevalence of anaemia was almost homogeneous across social categories, ranging from 39.5% to 49.5%. These results show that all social categories are highly exposed to anaemia and that integrated actions to prevent and combat anaemia should be carried out at population level.

This study has some limitations. Deficiencies of other micronutrients were not included in this study. Determinants such as dietary intake, physical activity, and socio-cultural influences were not assessed. Despite these limitations, the strengths of this study are the use of a representative sample of the population. This study contributes to the evolution of knowledge by being the first to study the factors associated with the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia in women in Guinea.

Conclusion

This study showed that the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia concerned one-tenth of women aged 15–49 years. Household wealth index, multiparity, antenatal visits, rural area and region were the associated factors.

The findings of this study reinforce that malnutrition prevention programmes should not ignore the nutritional concerns of women of reproductive age to prevent the coexistence of OWOB and anaemia. These programmes should be associated with the gradual introduction of physical exercise, while fighting against a widespread perception of being overweight as a symbol of well-being and social accomplishment. Then, these programmes should include family planning and awareness raising for behaviour change.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Guinea National Institute of Statistics and the DHS Program for making the data available.

Financial support

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Authorship

D.D., S.S. and F.K. designed and developed the study protocol. D.D., S.S., F.C. and A.D. designed the analytical

D Diakité et al.

2756

plan. D.D., S.S. and F.K. performed the data analyses, interpreted the results and drafted the manuscript with input from F.C., D.C., M.K. and A.D. All authors critically revised and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics of human subject participation

As we used publicly available data, ethical approval was not required. However, permission to use the datasets was obtained from DHS Program: https://www.dhsprogram. com. The datasets used during the current study are accessible on https://dhsprogram.com/data/availabledatasets.cfm

References

- 1. Development Initiatives (2021) *The State of Global Nutrition*. Bristol: Development Initiatives; available at https://globalnutritionreport.org/reports/2021-global-nutrition-report/ (accessed December 2021).
- 2. World Health Organization (2014) *Global Targets 2025: Anaemia Guidance Note.* Geneva: World Health Organization; available at https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/ 10665/255725?locale-attribute=fr& (accessed October 2021).
- World Health Organization (2019) Strategic Plan to Reduce the Double Burden of Malnutrition in the African Region (2019–2025). Report of the Secretariat. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization (2017) The Double Burden of Malnutrition: Policy Brief. Geneva: World Health Organization; available at https://www.who.int/ publications/i/item/WHO-NMH-NHD-17.3 (accessed August 2023).
- World Health Organization (2003) Diet, Nutrition, and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases: Report of a Joint WHO and FAO Expert Consultation. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Wawer AA, Hodyl NA, Fairweather-Tait S et al. (2021) Are pregnant women who are living with overweight or obesity at greater risk of developing iron deficiency/anaemia? *Nutrients* 13, 1572.
- Cepeda-Lopez AC, Aeberli I & Zimmermann MB (2010) Does obesity increase risk for iron deficiency? A review of the literature and the potential mechanisms. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res* 80, 263–270.
- 8. Mbogori T, Kimmel K, Zhang M *et al.* (2020) Nutrition transition and double burden of malnutrition in Africa: a case study of four selected countries with different social economic development. *AIMS Public Health* **7**, 425–439.
- 9. Reardon T, Tschirley D, Liverpool-Tasie LSO *et al.* (2021) The processed food revolution in African food systems and the double burden of malnutrition. *Glob Food Sec* **28**, 100466.
- World Health Organization (2021) Fact Sheet: Obesity and Overweight. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/obesity-and-overweight (accessed October 2022).
- Jones AD, Mundo-Rosas V, Cantoral A *et al.* (2017) Household food insecurity in Mexico is associated with the co-occurrence of overweight and anemia among women of reproductive age, but not female adolescents. *Matern Child Nutr* 13, e12396.
- 12. Kordas K, Centeno ZYF, Pachón H et al. (2013) Being overweight or obese is associated with lower prevalence of

anemia among Colombian women of reproductive age. J Nutr 143, 175-181.

- Christian AK & Dake FAA (2021) Profiling household double and triple burden of malnutrition in sub-Saharan Africa: prevalence and influencing household factors. *Public Health Nutr* 25, 1563–1576.
- 14. Kushitor SB, Owusu L & Kushitor MK (2020) The prevalence and correlates of the double burden of malnutrition among women in Ghana. *PLoS One* **15**, e0244362.
- Williams AM, Guo J, Yaw Addo O *et al.* (2019) Intraindividual double burden of overweight or obesity and micronutrient deficiencies or anemia among women of reproductive age in 17 population-based surveys. *Am J Clin Nutr* **112**, 4688–4778.
- Das S, Fahim SM, Islam MS *et al.* (2019) Prevalence and sociodemographic determinants of household-level double burden of malnutrition in Bangladesh. *Public Health Nutr* 22, 1425–1432.
- 17. Li W, Wang Y, Shen L *et al.* (2016) Association between parity and obesity patterns in a middle-aged and older Chinese population: a cross-sectional analysis in the Tongji-Dongfeng cohort study. *Nutr Metab* **13**, 1–8.
- National Institute of Statistics (NIS) et ICF (2018) Guinean Demographic and Health Survey 2018. Conakry, Guinea and Rockville, MD: NIS and ICF.
- Cohen JH & Haas JD (1999) Hemoglobin correction factors for estimating the prevalence of iron deficiency anemia in pregnant women residing at high altitudes in Bolivia. *Rev Panam Salud Publica* 6, 392–399.
- 20. World Health Organization (2011) Haemoglobin Concentrations Used to Diagnose Anaemia and Assess its Severity. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- 21. De Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E *et al.* (2007) *Development* of a WHO Growth Reference for School-Aged Children and Adolescents. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- 22. World Health Organization (2007) Growth Reference Data for 5–19 Years. Application Tools. https://www.who.int/ tools/growth-reference-data-for-5to19-years/application-tools (accessed August 2022).
- 23. World Health Organization (2000) Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic: Report of a WHO Consultation. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- 24. Stevens GA, Finucane MM, De-Regil LM *et al.* (2013) Global, regional, and national trends in haemoglobin concentration and prevalence of total and severe anaemia in children and pregnant and non-pregnant women for 1995–2011: a systematic analysis of population-representative data. *Lancet Glob Healtb* **1**, e16–25.
- Little M, Humphries S, Dodd W *et al.* (2020) Sociodemographic patterning of the individual-level double burden of malnutrition in a rural population in South India: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* **20**, 1–14.
- Rhodes EC, Suchdev PS, Narayan KMV *et al.* (2020) The co-occurrence of overweight and micronutrient deficiencies or anemia among women of reproductive age in Malawi. *J Nutr* **150**, 1554–1565.
- 27. Zhao L, Zhang X, Shen Y *et al.* (2015) Obesity and iron deficiency: a quantitative meta-analysis. Obes Rev 16, 1081–1093.
- Ganz T & Nemeth E. (2006) Iron imports. IV. Hepcidin and regulation of body iron metabolism. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 290, G199–G203.
- Acharya Y, Naz S, Galway LP *et al.* (2020) Deforestation and household- and individual-level double burden of malnutrition in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Front Sustain Food Syst* 4, 33.
- Dinsa GD, Goryakin Y, Fumagalli E et al. (2012) Obesity and socioeconomic status in developing countries: a systematic review. Obes Rev 13, 1067–1079.
- 31. Okop KJ, Mukumbang FC, Mathole T *et al.* (2016) Perceptions of body size, obesity threat and the willingness

to lose weight among black South African adults: a qualitative study. *BMC Public Health* **16**, 365.

- 32. Chigbu CO, Aniebue UU, Berger U *et al.* (2021) Impact of perceptions of body size on obesity and weight management behaviour: a large representative population study in an African setting. *J Public Health* **43**, E54–E61.
- 33. Naigaga DA, Jahanlu D, Claudius HM *et al.* (2018) Body size perceptions and preferences favor overweight in adult Saharawi refugees. *Nutr J* **17**, 17.
- 34. McClure CK, Catov JM, Ness R *et al.* (2013) Associations between gestational weight gain and BMI, abdominal adiposity, and traditional measures of cardiometabolic risk in mothers 8 years postpartum. *Am J Clin Nutr* **98**, 1218–1225.
- Danilack VA, Brousseau EC & Phipps MG (2018) The effect of gestational weight gain on persistent increase in body mass index in adolescents: a longitudinal study. *J Womens Health* 27, 1456–1458.
- Nehring I, Schmoll S, Beyerlein A *et al.* (2011) Gestational weight gain and long-term postpartum weight retention: a meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 94, 1225–1231.
- 37. Jones AD, Acharya Y & Galway LP (2016) Urbanicity gradients are associated with the household- and individual-level double burden of malnutrition in Sub-Saharan Africa. *J Nutr* **146**, 1257–1267.
- 38. National Institute of Statistics (2012) *Final Report of the Light Survey for the Evaluation of Poverty (ELEP-2012)*. Guinea: National Institute of Statistics.

