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We call a semigroup $S$ transitive if $S$ is isomorphic to a semigroup $T$ of transformations of some set $M$ into itself, where $T$ acts on $M$ transitively, that is in such a manner that for all $x, y \in M$ we have $x \pi=y$ for some transformation $\pi \in T$. In [4] the author showed that $S$ is transitive if and only if there exists a right congruence $\sigma$ (i.e., an equivalence relation for which $a \sigma$ b always implies $a c \sigma$ bc for all $c \in S$ ) on S, satisfying:
(1) There exists a left identity modulo $\sigma$, that is an element $e$ such that ea $\sigma$ a for all $a \in S$.
(2) Each $\sigma$-class meets each right ideal, or, equivalently, for all $a, b \in S$ we have $a c \sigma b$ for some $c \in S$.
(3) The relation $\sigma$ contains (i.e., is less fine than) no left congruence except the identity relation (in which each class consists of a single element).

This was used to obtain a much simpler condition [4, Theorem 3.4, p.538] for the special case where $S$ contains a minimal right ideal.

The purpose of the present note is to obtain a somewhat complicated necessary and sufficient condition, which does not involve right congruences, for the transitivity of an arbitrary semigroup. This condition asserts that there exists a subset $A$ of $S$ which meets each right ideal, such that every pair $a, b$ of
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distinct elements of $S$ has a left multiple consisting of a pair $\mathrm{ca}, \mathrm{cb}$ which cannot be joined to each other by successive left multiplications and divisions by elements of $A$. This is then used to obtain a simpler sufficient condition for transitivity.

LEMMA. Suppose $\sigma$ is a right congruence on a semigroup $S$, and (1) is satisfied. Then (3) is equivalent to
(3') For every pair $a, b$ of distinct elements of $S$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $x a$ and $x b$ are in different $\sigma$ classes.

Proof. First suppose (3') holds, and (3) is false. Then there is a left congruence $\rho$ contained in $\sigma$, with $a \rho b$ for some $a \neq b$. Let $x$ be as in (3'). Then $x a p b$, and hence xa $\sigma$ xb. This contradicts ( $3^{\prime}$ ). Conversely, suppose (3) holds, and ( $3^{\prime}$ ) is false. Then for some $a \neq b$ we have $x a \operatorname{xb}$ for all $x$. Define $\rho$ to be the smallest left congruence for which $a \rho b$. Explicitly, $\rho$ is given by: $c \rho d$ if and only if either $c=d$ or there exist $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} \in S$ with $x_{0}=c, x_{n}=d$, and, for each $i$, either $\left\{x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right\}=\{a, b\}$ or $\left\{x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right\}=\left\{y_{i}, y_{i} b\right\}$ for some $y_{i} \in S$. Now $\rho$ is contained in $\sigma$. For $y_{i} a \sigma y_{i} b$ by the falsity of ( $3^{\prime}$ ), and, using (1), we can obtain a $\sigma$ ea $\sigma$ eb $\sigma$ b. But $\rho$ is not the identity relation. This contradicts (3).

THEOREM. A semigroup $S$ is transitive if and only if there exists a subset $A$ of $S$ which meets each rightideal, and satisfies
(4) If $a, b \in S$, and for each $c \in S$ there exist $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} \in S$ with $x_{0}=c a, x_{n}=c b$, and, for each $i$, either $x_{i-1}=y_{i} x_{i}$ or $x_{i}=y_{i} x_{i-1}$ for some $y_{i} \in A$, then $a=b$.

Proof. First suppose that $S$ is transitive. Let $\sigma$ be a right congruence satisfying (1), (2) and (3'). Choose A to be a $\sigma$-class containing a left identity modulo $\sigma$. It is easy to see that every element of A is a left identity modulo $\sigma$. By (2), A meets each right ideal. We now prove (4). Suppose each left multiple $\mathrm{ca}, \mathrm{cb}$ of a pair $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ could be joined by a chain as in (4). Then, for all $i, x_{i} \sigma x_{i-1}$, since $y_{i}$ is a leftidentity modulo $\sigma$. Hence $\mathrm{ca} \sigma \mathrm{cb}$ for all c . $\mathrm{By}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$.

Conversely, suppose such a subset A exists. Define a right congruence $\sigma$ by: $\mathrm{x} \sigma \mathrm{y}$ if and only if there exist $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} \in S$ with $x_{0}=x, x_{n}=y$, and, for each $i$, either $x_{i-1}=y_{i} x_{i}$ or $x_{i}=y_{i} x_{i-1}$ for some $y_{i} \in A$. Any element of $A$ is clearly a left identity modulo $\sigma$. Now let $a, b \in S$ be given. Since A meets each right ideal, we have ay $\in A$ for some $y \in S$. Hence ayb $\sigma$ b. This proves (2). Finally, (3') clearly reduces in the present context to (4). Thus $\sigma$ satisfies (1), (3) and (3'), so that $S$ is transitive.

Following Dubreil [1], we call a subsemigroup $T$ of $S$ left unitary if $a, a b \in T$ implies $b \in T$. It is easy to see from the preceding proof, that we could, in the statement of the theorem, impose upon $A$ the additional requirement that it be a left unitary subsemigroup of $S$.

COROLLARY. Suppose $S$ contains a left unitary subsemigroup T which meets each right ideal, and satisfies
(5) If $a, b \in S$ and $a \neq b$, then there exist $x, y \in S$ such that either xay $\in T$, $x b y \notin T$ or $x a y \notin T$, $x b y \in T$.

Then $S$ is transitive.

Proof. We need only show that $T$ satisfies (4). Suppose $a \neq b$, and each pair $c a, c b$ can be joined by a chain as in (4). Let $x, y$ be as in (5). Then, in particular, $x a, x b$ can be joined by a chain as in (4). Hence, xay, xby can also be joined by such a chain. Since $T$ is a left unitary subsemigroup, this implies that xay, xby are either both in $T$ or both outside $T$, contradicting (5).

Teissier [2] and the present author [3,5] have studied the following condition on a subset $A$ of a semigroup:
(5') If $a, b \in S$ and $a \neq b$, then there exist $x, y \in S^{\prime}$ such that either xay $\in A$, xby $\notin A$ or xay $\notin A$, $x b y \in A$, where $S^{\prime}$ denotes $S$ with an identity element adjoined.

Teissier [2] showed that ( $5^{\prime}$ ) is equivalent to the assertion that the identity relation is the only (two-sided) congruence for which A is a union of congruence classes. Condition (5') is slightly weaker than (5). However, if desired, (5) in the corollary could, by a slight modification of the proofs, be replaced by ( $5^{\prime}$ ).

As an example of the application of the corollary, let $S$ be the free semigroup with two generators, so that $S$ consists essentially of all finite sequences of 0 's and 1 's, with juxtaposition as the semigroup operation. It is already known [4, ex. 2, p. 540] that $S$ is transitive. Let $T$ be the subsemigroup generated by the sequence 0 together with all sequences consisting of n 1's, followed by 0 , followed by $\mathrm{n}-1$ entries chosen arbitrarily. (For example, $00111011 \in T, 11100 \notin \mathrm{~T}$. ) Then $T$ is left unitary, meets each right ideal, and satisfies (5). This supplies a new proof of the transitivity of $S$.
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