Bowlby, J. (1958) The nature of the child's tie to his mother. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis*, **39**, 350–373

Crow, T. J. (1995) A Darwinian approach to the origins of psychosis. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 167, 12–25.

Gilbert, P. (1992) Depression: The Evolution of Powerlessness. Hove: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Price, J. S. (1967) Hypothesis: the dominance hierarchy and the evolution of mental illness. *Lancet*, **ii**, 243–246

Stevens, A. & Price, J. (2000a) Evolutionary Psychiatry: A New Beginning (2nd edn). London: Routledge.

___ & ___ (2000b) Prophets, Cults and Madness. London: Duckworth.

A. Stevens, J. Price Address supplied.

Correspondence c/o The British Journal of Psychiatry, I7 Belgrave Square, London SWIX 8PG

Near-fatal methylphenidate misuse

We report a near fatality of a 15-year-old girl who took one 10 mg tablet of methylphenidate supplied to her by a boy who was legitimately receiving it for hyperkinetic disorder. Both were residents in a local secure unit. Later investigation revealed that the boy would accept his supervised dose and then secrete it into his palm. After ingestion of the tablet the girl described seeing birds and stars. She suddenly collapsed and developed cyanosis. Her breathing stopped and artificial respiration was required for several minutes before spontaneous respiration resumed. There was difficulty finding her pulse and her blood pressure and temperature were elevated. She was hospitalised and recovered fully within 24 hours. She was amnesic of the episode but did recall receiving a tablet from the boy concerned. Blood investigations were normal. Urine toxicology, including a specialised test requested for methylphenidate, revealed no methylphenidate or other drugs including 3,4methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA; 'ecstasy'). This was consistent with a small methylphenidate dose, rather than an overdose, having been taken.

The most comfortable way of interpreting this incident was that it was a dangerous idiosyncratic reaction to methylphenidate and that these do occur. Also, the clinical signs of methylphenidate poisoning (i.e. hyperpyrexia, delirium, respiratory depression, convulsions and cardiac arrhythmias) are similar to those of ecstasy poisoning (Solowy, 1993). The latter was ruled out by appropriate tests.

This incident demonstrates that methylphenidate, contrary to some popular assertions, can be a drug of abuse. Oral misuse has rarely been reported, as this medication is not particularly effective in producing a 'high' (Garland, 1998). However, there have been reports of methylphenidate-induced euphoria in children misusing it (Corrigall & Ford, 1996). Increasing use of methylphenidate makes it likely that misuse of this nature will occur. Methylphenidate and ecstasy toxicity have been found to have similar manifestations. Education of clinicians and families in awareness of these risks and the resulting effects is important.

Corrigall, R. & Ford, T. (1996) Methylphenidate euphoria. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 35, 1421.

Garland, E. J. (1998) Intranasal abuse of prescribed methylphenidate. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, **37**, 573–574.

Solowy, N. (1993) Ecstasy (3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine). *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*. **6.** 411–415.

J. S. Talbot, A. S. Ahuja Swansea Child and Family Clinic, "Trehafod", Waunarlwydd Road, Cockett, Swansea SA2 0GB

National Service Framework and suicide

The National Service Framework (NSF) for Mental Health has identified that the reduction of suicide by one-fifth by 2010 is both a national priority and a high-level performance indicator (Department of Health, 1999). However, relying on suicide rate as a parameter, and in isolation, could be criticised for being inappropriate as a performance indicator of mental health, and for being difficult to monitor and interpret at local level because of the small number of such events.

Counting the number of occurrences of an event that happens relatively infrequently, such as suicide, given the number of times that it could happen, follows a Poisson distribution. A Poisson distribution is specified by just one parameter – the mean. So, for example, if we know that in North Cheshire (population 350 000) the suicide rate is 11 per 100 000 with an annual average of 39 reported incidents, we can calculate the probability that there will be no suicides or a certain number of suicides within a year (Ryan et al, 1985) (Table 1).

Table I Cumulative distribution function for suicides in North Cheshire¹

Suicides in region (n)	P
≤ 15	< 0.000 I
≼20	0.0006
≤25	0.0113
≼30	0.1472
≤35	0.2939
≼40	0.6446
≤ 45	0.8508
≤50	0.9633

I. Assuming Poisson distribution, mean 39, base population 350 000.

According to Table 1, the probability of there being ≤35 suicides in a year within North Cheshire is P=0.29, meaning that there is a 29% chance that the number of suicides could be reduced from 39 to 35 (10% reduction) by chance alone. On the other hand, the probability of having 45 suicides or more in North Cheshire in a given year is P=(1-0.85)=0.15, which means that there is a 15% chance that there could be an increase in the number of suicides from 39 to 45 (15%) per year by chance alone. Neither the increase nor the reduction in the probability of suicide depends on any specific changes in the locally available mental health services.

The NSF target of 20% reduction in suicide rate means that in North Cheshire the rate will come down from 11 per $100\,000$ (i.e. a reduction of 8 suicides per year from 39 to 31) by 2010. Again, looking at the Poisson probability function, one can expect a 15% chance (P=0.147) of 31 suicides or fewer occurring by chance alone. This means that we have a 15% chance of achieving the NSF target in reduction of suicide by good luck alone and without any effective psychosocial intervention or change in practice.

One may conclude that some change in suicide rate is expected to occur, and indeed does occur, within the same locality and independently of the mental health services, and is of no real significance. This could plausibly imply that a reduced suicide rate may be, at least partly, a statistical illusion due to reasons totally unrelated to the effectiveness or quality of psychiatric care. What is needed is a valid quality control model (similar to 'control charts' used so effectively in industry), and not just a single parameter, in order to reflect the complexity of suicide. Variables such as staffing