

Review article

Impact of ethnic density on adult mental disorders: narrative review

Richard J. Shaw, Karl Atkin, Laia Bécaries, Christo B. Albor, Mai Stafford, Kathleen E. Kiernan, James Y. Nazroo, Richard G. Wilkinson and Kate E. Pickett

Background

The 'ethnic density hypothesis' is a proposition that members of ethnic minority groups may have better mental health when they live in areas with higher proportions of people of the same ethnicity. Investigations into this hypothesis have resulted in a complex and sometimes disparate literature.

Aims

To systematically identify relevant studies, summarise their findings and discuss potential explanations of the associations found between ethnic density and mental disorders.

Method

A narrative review of studies published up to January 2011, identified through a systematic search strategy. Studies included have a defined ethnic minority sample; some measure of ethnic density defined at a geographical scale smaller than a nation or a US state; and a measure ascertaining mental health or disorder.

Results

A total of 34 papers from 29 data-sets were identified.

Protective associations between ethnic density and diagnosis of mental disorders were most consistent in older US ecological studies of admission rates. Among more recent multilevel studies, there was some evidence of ethnic density being protective against depression and anxiety for African American people and Hispanic adults in the USA. However, Hispanic, Asian-American and Canadian 'visible minority' adolescents have higher levels of depression at higher ethnic densities. Studies in the UK showed mixed results, with evidence for protective associations most consistent for psychoses.

Conclusions

The most consistent associations with ethnic density are found for psychoses. Ethnic density may also protect against other mental disorders, but presently, as most studies of ethnic density have limited statistical power, and given the heterogeneity of their study designs, our conclusions can only be tentative.

Declaration of interest

None.

Research has shown that mental health and the use of mental health services frequently varies by ethnic origin. Studies of depression and anxiety^{1–3} and psychoses, such as schizophrenia,^{3–6} and suicide^{7,8} reflect this. The causes of these differences are controversial, but are mainly attributed to inadequate access to health and social services,^{3,9,10} low socioeconomic resources, poor standards of living^{3,9,10} and the overrepresentation of minorities in deprived areas characterised by poor educational, housing and employment conditions.^{11–14}

However, as early as 1939, Faris & Dunham¹⁵ reported that the Black population living in Chicago had unexpectedly low rates of admission when living in predominately Black areas, despite the fact that these areas were particularly deprived, and counter to the fact that admissions rates for White people in these areas were high. The phenomenon whereby the health of ethnic minority individuals is better when they live in areas with more people of the same ethnicity is known as the 'ethnic density effect'. A positive, or 'protective', effect of ethnic density on mental health may indicate the power of beneficial psychosocial influences derived from enhanced social capital, better social integration, mitigated racism and diminished stigma, to override the detrimental effects of material deprivation at the area level.

The evidence for or against ethnic density effects seems to vary depending on the ethnic minority group analysed, and on the measure of mental health used. Previous reviews of ethnic density effects on health have not systematically searched for studies^{16,17} and therefore their conclusions may be biased by the selection of studies. In this narrative review we aim to systematically identify all of the literature that has investigated the mental health of ethnic minorities in relation to the ethnic density of the areas in which they reside, in order to answer the question: do individuals

from ethnic minority groups have better mental health when they live in areas with a higher density of people of the same ethnicity?

Method**Data sources and search terms**

The following databases were searched from their earliest date (given in parentheses) until January 2011: Medline (1950), PsycINFO (1806), Sociological Abstracts (1952), and the Science (1900) and Social Science Citation (1996) indices of the Web of Science. To ensure no key papers were missed, we also searched through the reference lists of papers we identified.

Our search strategy was developed after a preliminary pilot search. To optimise the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity, different terms were used for different databases. For Medline and PsychINFO, which have a specific focus on health, we searched only for ethnic density-related phrases, such as 'ethnic enclave', 'racial segregation' and combinations of neighbourhood and ethnicity terms such as 'ethnic*' OR 'Hispanic'. For the other databases that were not health focused, we included health terms such as 'Depression' or 'Distress' to improve specificity (see the online supplement for a full list of terms).

Study inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were: (a) the study was published in a journal or book; (b) the sample contained an ethnic minority group of adults or adolescents; (c) the study included a measure of ethnic density, measured at a geographical scale smaller than a US state or a nation state; (d) the study included a measure of mental health, disorder or illness as an outcome. In order to

accommodate variations in the definitions of mental disorders and illnesses across time and cultures, the eligibility of an outcome was dependent on the concepts and definitions utilised by the authors who developed or validated the instrument or methodology used to assess an outcome.

Procedures and data extraction

The results of the search were downloaded into Procite 5 (Thompson Scientific, Stamford, Connecticut, USA; www.procite.com/pcinfo.asp) on Windows. To handle the large number of hits, titles and abstracts were viewed on screen (by R.J.S.). Those that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded. Copies were obtained of the remaining papers. These papers were then reviewed (R.J.S.) and doubts about inclusion referred for a second opinion (K.E.P.).

For each article meeting the inclusion criteria, two reviewers (R.J.S. and either L.B. or C.B.A.) extracted data on study population (age, sample size, location, recruitment method), ethnicity, ethnic density (geographic area size, classification of ethnicity, range), mental health outcome, confounding factors, statistical methods and results.

Measurement of ethnic density

Measures of ethnic density fell into two categories. First, researchers analysed the impact of 'same-ethnic density' on the health of specified ethnic groups. This included, for example, examining the association between the proportion of African American residents in a neighbourhood and the health of African American individuals. Second, researchers used measures of ethnic density that combined multiple ethnic groups (in other words 'overall-ethnic density') such as the proportion of Black and minority ethnic residents or the proportion of people belonging to 'visible minorities' (in the text of our review, where it is not ambiguous, we retain the terminology used to categorise race and ethnicity in the original research papers). In UK-based studies, the proportion of South Asian residents was a measure of ethnic density frequently used to examine effects on individuals of Pakistani, Indian and Bangladeshi origin.

Analysis

Results from a single data-set that were presented in more than one published paper were considered to be from the same study. However, within each study, there were often multiple independent analyses of ethnic density associations. For example, if a study analysed two different ethnic minority groups separately, and further stratified analyses by gender, then repeated analyses for two different outcomes, its findings are represented in figures for eight separate analyses. In total, there were 137 independent analyses. These independent analyses varied on over 30 characteristics that from theory we expected might explain the study results (Appendix). These characteristics included a wide range of ethnic and demographic groups, measurement of ethnic density (and ethnicity) in different ways and at different area levels, a use of a wide variety of statistical methodology and adoption of different approaches to adjust for confounding variables, in addition to employing many different definitions of mental health disorders. It soon became apparent that many aspects of the study confounded each other and a narrative synthesis was the most appropriate way to analyse the data.

We have supplemented our narrative synthesis with figures of 'tree maps'. These tree maps are semi-quantitative visual syntheses of the independent analyses. In these figures each analysis is represented by a box that is shaded depending on result, which

indicates whether the analysis finds a significant association with ethnic density that is adverse, protective or neutral (no significant association). The size of the box reflects the approximate size of the ethnic minority sample, specifically whether the sample size is more than 4000, 1000–4000, 500–1000, or less than 500 (analyses with unclear sample sizes are represented in the same way as those with a sample size under 500). These figures may aid the reader in understanding the weight of the evidence, but it is not our intention for them to be taken as equivalent to quantitative meta-analysis techniques, as they are used here predominantly to simplify the complexity of the information for the reader.

Given the lack of clarity in how data were collected, the broad and sometimes inconsistent use of terms when describing mental disorders, ethnic density and more generally, ethnicity, we decided it would be inappropriate, and potentially misleading, to present this as a systematic review. Our intent, therefore, is to offer a more contextual analysis with the aid of semi-quantitative visualisations in the form of tree maps.

Results

The electronic search identified 7378 titles. From these, 365 papers were obtained for further inspection (2 papers were excluded as they could not be obtained via the British Library) and 6 papers that were not detected by the electronic search were identified from references. The analytic sample included 34 papers based on 29 studies (Fig. 1). In total, seven ecological studies^{18–24} were found, all of which examined the association of ethnic density with the use of mental health services or admittance to hospital for mental health conditions. Among the studies using multilevel data, 16 studies (19 papers) focused on depression and anxiety,^{25–43} 5 studies (7 papers) examined psychoses,^{29,44–49} and 2 studies investigated suicide or self-harm.^{50,51} One study included both anxiety and psychoses as outcomes.^{29,37} The number of studies and types of outcome measures used are reported in Table 1.

The results of all of the independent analyses are grouped by sample size and presented together in Fig. 2. The sample size refers to the individual-level analytic sample for each ethnic minority group investigated. Ecological studies and other studies that did not report sample size at the level of the individual are included in the unclear category. Results are most likely to be neutral when sample sizes are smaller. For example, a large majority of analyses have neutral findings when the sample size is smaller than 500. In contrast, when sample sizes are between 1000 and 4000, there are an almost equal number of analyses that have results supporting neutral and protective ethnic density associations. For sample sizes over 4000, the majority of analyses support protective ethnic density effects. Although this figure does not reveal other methodological differences between analyses, it appears that statistical power may be particularly important in identifying significant associations between ethnic density and mental health measures, possibly because of the subtlety of such associations.

What follows is our narrative synthesis of results supplemented with tree maps, and organised by the type of mental health measure: ecological studies, depression and anxiety, psychoses, and suicide and self-harm.

Ecological studies

Among the ecological studies, all analyses examined the association between ethnic density and area-level rates of hospital admissions. Five studies looked at general psychiatric admissions,^{19–21,23,24} two looked at admissions for schizophrenia,^{18,22} and one also looked at admissions for bipolar disorder.²² There was great variability between the studies in population, study design and methods




Fig. 1 Flow diagram showing review process.

(online Table DS1). All but one study (published in 1988)¹⁸ were published before 1980 and only one²² adjusted for area-level socioeconomic status.²²

As can be inferred from Fig. 3, US studies provided the most consistent support for an ethnic density effect.^{19,21–24} All found a protective association of ethnic density with admission rates among the ethnic minority groups sampled in the studies, which included Black^{21,24} and Puerto Rican people,²⁴ groups of European origin^{22,23} and the ‘non-White’ population in general.¹⁹ Outside the USA, findings are less consistent. A UK-based study of ethnic density, exploring hospital admissions for schizophrenia of four different ethnic groups (Irish, Indian, Pakistani and Caribbean) found a significant protective association with higher ethnic density only for Irish men.¹⁸ Similarly, an Australian study of people of Greek and Italian origin in three time periods found a protective association with higher same-ethnic density only for Greek women between 1965 and 1970.²⁰ However, in the Australian study, the threshold for high same-ethnic density was > 5%, and the UK study did not report ethnic density levels. It

Table 1 Summary of measures used in included studies	
	Studies, <i>n</i>
Ecological hospital admission studies	
General psychiatric admissions	5
Admission for schizophrenia	2
Admission to hospital for bipolar disorder	1
Depression and anxiety	
Depression (items from Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale)	9
Diagnostic Interview Schedule/DSM-III	1
General Health Questionnaire – 12	3
Clinical Interview Schedule – Revised, neurotic symptoms	2
Rumbault’s Psychological Well-Being Scale	1
Self-report of clinical diagnosis	1
Self-report of sad or depression	2
Psychoses	
Clinical assessment of multiple sources	4
Psychosis Screening Questionnaire	1
Suicide and self-harm	
Suicide as most probable cause of unnatural death	1
Hospital attendance for self-harm	1




Fig. 2 Bar chart showing the number of independent analyses by direction of association and sample size of the analysis.

is, therefore, possible that neither study included samples living at same-ethnic densities high enough to offer any health benefit.

Depression and anxiety

Sixteen data-sets investigated the association between ethnic density and rates of depression or anxiety^{25–43} (online Table DS2). All these studies used multilevel data, however, four studies did not account for the multilevel structure or clustering of the data.^{28,29,33,37,42}



Fig. 3 Tree map showing all independent analyses from ecological studies as boxes, labelled with reference number of paper of origin, scaled by sample size and shaded by result of ethnic density association (details in text).

Among the 11 North American studies, 10 were of US populations,^{26,30–34,38–41,43} and 1 Canadian.²⁵ The majority of these studies investigated Black and Hispanic samples (Fig. 4). Of these North American studies that examined the association between overall-ethnic density and depression,^{25,30,33,39,40} two reported a significant association. Both of these were studies of adolescents aged between 12 and 19.^{25,39,40} In one of these studies, based in Canada, overall-ethnic density had an adverse association with the mental health of ‘visible minority’ adolescents.²⁵ A visible minority person is defined under Canada’s Employment Act as being a person who is neither White nor of aboriginal origin.²⁵ In the other study, based in the USA, overall-ethnic density also had non-significant adverse associations with the number of depressive symptoms of Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander adolescents,⁴⁰ but among African American adolescents, this association was reversed – overall-ethnic density was protective against depressive symptoms.^{39,40}

Among US adults, there is evidence that same-ethnic density had a protective association with depression and anxiety. Two^{38,43} out of seven studies of same-ethnic density among Black people in the USA found such protective associations. However, one of these studies found the reverse association.³² Two^{34,34} out

of five studies of same-ethnic density among Hispanic people found protective associations. In addition, there is some evidence that ethnic density may protect against depression for Hispanic people living in socioeconomically disadvantaged communities.⁴¹ A possible explanation for the lack of consistent protective associations for Hispanic people is sample size; two of the studies of Hispanic people included very small samples at the individual level,^{26,41,43} and a third study contained a large sample of individuals, but few areas.⁴⁰ It is less clear why there is some discrepancy in the findings among Black people, especially regarding the adverse association found in one study³² that contrasts with other studies that found protective associations.

The majority of UK-based studies investigated Black or South Asian samples (Fig. 4). Two studies that combined ethnic minority groups found protective associations with overall-ethnic density.^{27,29} Another similar study found that the adverse association between overall-ethnic density and common psychiatric symptoms among White people was not found among a mixed sample of ethnic minorities, although it was not clear whether the association was reversed.³⁶

Among studies that looked specifically at South Asian people, a study in Glasgow found that South Asian people were marginally




Fig. 4 Tree map showing all independent analyses from studies of depression and anxiety as boxes, labelled with reference number of paper of origin, scaled by sample size and shaded by result of ethnic density association (details in text).

less likely to have experienced depression and had fewer psychiatric symptoms when living in areas of higher same-ethnic density.²⁸ However, studies that looked at specific groups within the South Asian category revealed some inconsistencies. For example, one study found a significant protective association with same-ethnic density for people of Indian origin, a non-significant protective association for Bangladeshi and African-Asian people, and a non-significant adverse association for people of Pakistani origin.²⁹ In another study, protective associations were found for Bangladeshi, but not for Pakistani or Indian people.²⁷ In yet another study, protective associations were found for Pakistani and Indian mothers, but an adverse association was found among Bangladeshi mothers.³⁵ However, in this latter study, a very high proportion of the Bangladeshi sample was living in the most deprived areas in the UK. This may have rendered statistical adjustment for area deprivation less effective, or alternatively, the finding may indicate a deprivation threshold beyond which protective ethnic density effects are no longer important.

Four papers investigated outcomes related to depression and anxiety among Black people in the UK. Only one of these studies found that ethnic density was protective.²⁹ It must be noted, however, that investigations of the ethnic density effect among Black people in the UK should not be directly compared with US studies. This is because the levels of Black ethnic density in the UK are typically very low, in contrast to US levels. If there is such a threshold of ethnic density where protective effects start to be measurable, it may be the case that UK levels for Black people are below this threshold.

Psychoses

Five studies investigated the association between ethnic density and psychoses,^{29,44–49} using four data-sets from the UK and one from The Netherlands (online Table DS3). All but one study⁴⁸ included analyses of the association between overall-ethnic minority density and psychoses among a combined sample of ethnic minority groups. Two studies^{46,47,49} also investigated overall-ethnic density associations for specific ethnic minority groups, and another two^{29,44,48} investigated same-ethnic density associations for specific ethnic minority groups.

Overall-ethnic density was consistently protective for the combined ethnic minority samples, although in one study, the protective associations were restricted to schizophrenia and not found among non-affective psychoses.^{46,47} The two studies that investigated overall-ethnic density associations for specific groups had different results. In the UK study no ethnic density associations were found for any of the specific ethnic minority groups, which included ‘Black – Caribbean’, ‘Black – African’, ‘Asian’, ‘Mixed ethnicity’, ‘White – other’ and ‘other ethnic groups.’⁴⁶ However, in the Dutch study, higher overall-ethnic density was protective for psychoses among those of Moroccan ethnicity but not those of Surinamese or Turkish origin.⁴⁹ The studies that investigated same-ethnic density associations for specific ethnic minority groups found similar results.^{29,44,48} Ethnic density was found to be protective for people of Indian and Bangladeshi origin,^{29,44} as well as for Black people.⁴⁸ The one contrasting finding was that, in one study, ethnic density was associated with increased risk of psychotic symptoms among Pakistani people (indicated by the single light-blue box in Fig. 5).⁴⁴ However, as with the study of depression and anxiety in the Bangladeshi sample,³⁵ the Pakistani sample in this contrasting study of psychoses was mostly living in the most deprived category of neighbourhood.

Suicide and self-harm

One paper investigated the association between ethnic density and suicide⁵⁰ and another on self-harm⁵¹ (Fig. 6 and online Table




Fig. 5 Tree map showing all independent analyses from studies of psychoses as boxes, labelled with reference number of paper of origin, scaled by sample size and shaded by result of ethnic density association (details in text).

DS4). Both studies were conducted in south London, and both included samples of African-Caribbean and Asian ancestry. Higher ‘non-White’ density was protective against suicide for the two ethnic groups combined, and similar associations were found for same-ethnic density, although these associations were not statistically significant.⁵⁰ In the study of self-harm, higher ‘non-White’ density was associated with an increased risk of self-harm in one hospital catchment area but not another.⁵¹ For those of African-Caribbean origin, higher same-ethnic density was also associated with self-harm in one hospital catchment area, but for Asian people higher same-ethnic density was protective in both areas.

Discussion

Older ecological studies from the USA provide the most consistent evidence of a protective association between ethnic density and mental health as can be quickly ascertained by visually inspecting Figs 3 and 7. Findings are less consistent in more recent multilevel studies. Among multilevel studies of depression and anxiety in




Fig. 6 Tree map showing all independent analyses from studies of suicide and self-harm as boxes, labelled with reference number of paper of origin, scaled by sample size and shaded by result of ethnic density association (details in text).

the USA, there is some evidence for a protective association with ethnic density among Black and Hispanic adults and among Black adolescents. However, Hispanic and East Asian adolescents in the USA, and those belonging to ‘visible minorities’ in Canada, seem to have higher levels of depression at higher ethnic densities. Studies of depression and anxiety in the UK also have somewhat mixed results. Despite this, the majority of analyses of depression and anxiety in both the UK and the USA had results that either supported neutral or protective ethnic density effects, as can be inferred by visual inspection of Fig. 7. This is an especially

important finding when considering that statistical power may have been an issue in most of the studies owing to the typically small sample sizes of ethnic minority groups. Among the analyses of psychoses, sample sizes are, on average, larger than among analyses of depression and anxiety. This may be a reason why analyses of psychoses more consistently find a protective association with ethnic density. Analyses of suicide and self-harm also found protective associations, although with only two studies, both from south London, the evidence from this particular mental health measure must be interpreted with caution.

Possible mechanisms of the ethnic density effect

Our aim for undertaking this review was to determine the current state of understanding of ethnic density effects, initially to see whether there is supporting evidence for protective effects. Having found considerable support for such protective ethnic density effects, albeit mostly in the form of significant cross-sectional associations and interaction terms, it is also of interest to explore how ethnic minority groups might benefit from ethnic density. This is because making sense of ethnic density effects may provide further insight into psychosocial pathways, as these studies offer a unique way in which to disentangle psychosocial influences from the effects of material factors.¹⁷ Countering the adverse material effects of deprived areas, often where ethnically dense communities are found, mental health benefits may be derived from enhanced social support, mitigated racism, positive identity and higher self-evaluation. However, it must be noted that these are theoretical mechanisms, and they have received very little investigation in the context of ethnic density.

Only two studies in this review explicitly examined the role of social support.^{27,43} For both studies, protective associations were found between ethnic density and mental health. In one,⁴³ taking into account social support partially attenuated the protective association with ethnic density. However, in the other,²⁷ social support did not attenuate the association, although the measure of social support in this study was not related to the




Fig. 7 Tree map showing all independent analyses from all studies as boxes, labelled with reference number of paper of origin, scaled by sample size, and shaded by result of ethnic density association (details in text).

neighbourhood. It would be of interest to find whether ethnic density effects can be attributed to improved area-level measures of social support, as indicated by such measures of social capital as neighbourhood trust, reciprocity and social networks.^{52,53}

In only one study⁴⁴ was it found that the protective association of ethnic density with mental health became non-significant when racism was taken into account. However, as there is a large body of evidence linking racism and health, this is an important finding.^{54,55} Importantly, the results of this review would suggest that ethnic density may reduce exposure to racism by dispelling prejudices rather than reducing contact between ethnic groups. This is because ethnic density was found to be protective not only in studies in which a high ethnic density indicated areas of homogenous ethnic composition^{19,21,24,34} but also in studies in which the highest ethnic densities were still less than 50%.^{22,28,29,50,51} It is also possible that ethnic density alters the processes through which racism affects health. One study indicated that the effects of experienced racism may be weaker in areas of high ethnic density,⁴⁴ and suggested that ethnic density may promote resilience to racism by providing appropriate social support with which to resist the psychosocial stresses of racism.

In addition to ethnicity there is evidence that other aspects of a person's identity including socioeconomic position,^{56–58} occupation⁵⁹ and religion,⁶⁰ may alter how people view and interact with the surrounding community, with health consequences. Even without the explicit experience of racism, having a marginalised or lower status identity may hinder the formation of a coherent sense of self, may lead to negative self-evaluation whereby an individual views themselves with lower esteem in their social interactions, and may create a conflict about where they fit in the world. Living in areas with people more like oneself may be more likely to reinforce one's own identity and allow an individual to view themselves with higher esteem, as it is widely acknowledged that identity and self-evaluation are both self-determined and shaped by the definitions of others, which may incorporate the perceived views of one's local community.⁶¹

Limitations

In interpreting the findings of the studies in this review, data and methodological factors might explain the variation in study results. However, for most study characteristics there were no clear trends and eliminating some elements of confounding using data was all but impossible. This provides further justification for our more narrative approach to synthesising findings. For example all but one study of psychoses and schizophrenia identified individuals with these conditions through contact with health services, so it is impossible to empirically distinguish whether the stronger association is due to true differences in prevalence or differences in care-seeking behaviour or diagnosis. Another example of a heterogeneous methodological characteristic was the geographical scale of areas. It is not clear either theoretically or empirically whether or not ethnic density operates at small or large scales.

Finally, studies varied widely in the levels and distribution of ethnic density across areas. Some studies may not have had sufficient variation in ethnic density between areas to permit meaningful analysis, and if there exists an ethnic density threshold below which protective effects do not take place, some studies may not have had enough areas beyond such a threshold to identify such effects. Again, with such a high level of heterogeneity, it was not possible to conclusively attribute the variation in results to any particular methodological differences between studies, or to contextual differences, such as the national setting or minority ethnic group analysed.

Implications

In conclusion, although the results of this review are not entirely consistent with the idea that ethnic density is protective for all ethnic minority groups across all mental health outcomes, a semi-quantitative assessment of the evidence suggests that ethnic density is more often beneficial to the mental health of ethnic minorities than detrimental. What is more, there is some indication that one reason why protective associations are not consistently found is because of a lack of power in statistical analyses. Nevertheless, making conclusive remarks is not possible as multiple methodological factors that may have influenced results varied markedly across studies. Further research with improved methods, such as larger sample sizes and longitudinal designs, may allow for stronger conclusions. However, the fact that we ever detected a positive association between ethnic density and mental health, given the strong associations between minority status, area-level material deprivation and low socioeconomic position, and the fact that many studies did not adjust for area-level deprivation tells us something about the potential importance of psychosocial benefits that may be derived from living among people like oneself.

Funding

This study was supported by grants to K.E.P. from the Medical Research Council and to M.S. from the Economic and Social Research Council. K.E.P. and M.S. are also supported by UK NIHR (National Institute of Health Research) Personal Awards. L.B. is supported by an ESRC/MRC Interdisciplinary Postdoctoral Fellowship.

Richard J. Shaw, PhD, School of Social Sciences, University of Southampton; **Karl Atkin**, Dphil, Department of Health Sciences, University of York; **Laia Bécarea**, PhD, School of Social Sciences, University of Manchester; **Christo B. Albor**, PhD, Department of Health Sciences, University of York; **Mai Stafford**, PhD, MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing, London; **Kathleen E. Kiernan**, PhD, Department of Social Policy and Social Work, University of York; **James Y. Nazroo**, PhD, Department of Sociology, School of Social Sciences, University of Manchester; **Richard G. Wilkinson**, MmedSci, Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, Community Health Sciences, Queens Medical Centre, Nottingham; **Kate E. Pickett**, PhD, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Correspondence: Richard J. Shaw, PhD, School of Social Sciences, Murray Building (58), University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 3BJ, UK. Email: dr.richard.shaw@gmail.com

First received 22 Jun 2010, final revision 27 Nov 2011, accepted 13 Feb 2012

Appendix

Characteristics on which analyses were classified

Study identifiers
 Author
 Publication year
 Data attributes
 National origin
 Year data collected
 Age
 Gender
 Ethnicity
 Size of area used to measure ethnic density
 Study design
 Ecological- or individual-level data
 Operationalisation of ethnic density
 Range of ethnic density
 Whether ethnicity coded as continuous or categorical measure
 Same ethnic density or overall minority density
 Individual sample size
 Area sample size
 Response rate

Confounding factors adjusted for:

- Age
 - Gender
 - Acculturation/language
 - Social support
 - Nativity/age at migration
 - Individual or household socioeconomic position
 - Marital status/family structure
 - Area socioeconomic position
 - Exposure to racism
- Health outcome
- Health outcome type
 - Measure used
 - Treated outcome or population sample
 - Direction of association and significance level
 - Investigation of non-linearity
 - Direction of effect with mediating factors removed

References

- 1 Weich S, Nazroo J, Sproston K, McManus S, Blanchard M, Erens B, et al. Common mental disorders and ethnicity in England: the EMPIRIC Study. *Psychol Med* 2004; **34**: 1543–51.
- 2 Riolo SA, Nguyen TA, Greden JF, King CA. Prevalence of depression by race/ethnicity: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III. *Am J Public Health* 2005; **95**: 998–1000.
- 3 Nazroo JY. Rethinking the relationship between ethnicity and mental health: the British Fourth National Survey of Ethnic Minorities. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 1998; **33**: 145–8.
- 4 King M, Nazroo J, Weich S, McKenzie K, Bhui K, Karlson S, et al. Psychotic symptoms in the general population of England. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2005; **40**: 375–81.
- 5 Jarvis GE. The social causes of psychosis in North American psychiatry: a review of a disappearing literature. *Can J Psychiatry* 2007; **52**: 287–94.
- 6 Reeves SJ, Sauer J, Stewart R, Granger A, Howard RJ. Increased first-contact rates for very-late-onset schizophrenia-like psychosis in African- and Caribbean-born elders. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001; **179**: 172–4.
- 7 Raleigh VS. Suicide patterns and trends in people of Indian subcontinent and Caribbean origin in England and Wales. *Ethnic Health* 1996; **1**: 55–63.
- 8 McKenzie K, Bhui K, Nanchahal K, Blizard B. Suicide rates in people of South Asian origin in England and Wales: 1993–2003. *Br J Psychiatry* 2008; **193**: 406–9.
- 9 Williams D. Race, SES, and health; the added effects of racism and discrimination. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1999; **896**: 173–88.
- 10 Nazroo JY. South Asian people and heart disease: an assessment of the importance of socioeconomic position. *Ethnic Dis* 2001; **11**: 401–11.
- 11 Platt L. *Poverty and Ethnicity in the UK*. Policy Press, 2007.
- 12 Collins CA, Williams DR. Segregation and mortality: the deadly effects of racism? *Social Forum* 1999; **14**: 495–523.
- 13 LeClere FB, Rogers RG, Peters KD. Neighbourhood social context and racial differences in women's heart disease mortality. *J Health Soc Behav* 1998; **39**: 91–107.
- 14 Acevedo-Garcia D, Lochner K. Residential segregation and health. In *Neighborhoods and Health* (eds I Kawachi, L Berkman): 265–87. Oxford University Press, 2001.
- 15 Faris RE, Dunham HW. *Mental Disorders in Urban Areas: An Ecological Study of Schizophrenia and Other Psychoses*. University of Chicago Press, 1939.
- 16 Halpern D. Minorities and mental-health. *Soc Sci Med* 1993; **36**: 597–607.
- 17 Pickett KE, Wilkinson RG. People like us: ethnic group density effects on health. *Ethnic Health* 2008; **13**: 321–34.
- 18 Cochrane R, Bal SS. Ethnic density is unrelated to incidence of schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1988; **153**: 363–6.
- 19 Klee G, Spiro E, Bahm E, Gorwitz K. An ecological analysis of diagnosed mental illness in Baltimore. In *Psychiatric Epidemiology and Mental Health Planning. Psychiatric Research 22* (eds R Monroe, G Klee, E Brody): 107–48. American Psychiatric Association, 1967.
- 20 Krupinski J. Psychological maladaptations in ethnic concentrations in Victoria. In *Cultures in Collision* (ed. I Pilowsky): 49–58. Australian National Association for Mental Health, 1975.
- 21 Levy L, Rowitz L. *The Ecology of Mental Disorder*. Behavioral Publications, 1973.
- 22 Mintz NL, Schwartz DT. Urban ecology and psychosis: community factors in the incidence of schizophrenia and manic-depression among Italians in Greater Boston. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 1964; **10**: 101–18.
- 23 Muhlin GL. Mental hospitalisation of the foreign-born and the role of cultural isolation. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 1979; **25**: 595–605.
- 24 Rabkin JG. Ethnic density and psychiatric hospitalization: hazards of minority status. *Am J Psychiatry* 1979; **136**: 1562–66.
- 25 Abada T, Hou F, Ram B. Racially mixed neighborhoods, perceived neighborhood social cohesion, and adolescent health in Canada. *Soc Sci Med* 2007; **65**: 2004–17.
- 26 Aneshensel CS, Wight RG, Miller-Martinez D, Botticello AL, Karlamangla AS, Seeman TE. Urban neighborhoods and depressive symptoms among older adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol* 2007; **62**: S52–9.
- 27 Das-Munshi J, Becares L, Dewey ME, Stansfeld SA, Prince MJ. Understanding the effect of ethnic density on mental health: multi-level investigation of survey data from England. *BMJ* 2010; **324**: c5367.
- 28 Ecob R, Williams R. Sampling Asian minorities to assess health and welfare. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1991; **45**: 93–101.
- 29 Halpern D, Nazroo J. The ethnic density effect: results from a national community survey of England and Wales. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2000; **46**: 34–46.
- 30 Henderson C, Diez Roux AV, Jacobs DR, Kiefe CI, Williams DR. Neighbourhood characteristics, individual level socioeconomic factors, and depressive symptoms in young adults: the CARDIA study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2005; **59**: 322–8.
- 31 Hybels CF, Blazer DG, Pieper CF, Burchett BM, Hays JC, Fillenbaum GG, et al. Sociodemographic characteristics of the neighborhood and depressive symptoms in older adults: using multilevel modeling in geriatric psychiatry. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2006; **14**: 498–506.
- 32 Mair C, Diez Roux A, Osypuk T, Rapp S, Seeman T, Watson K. Is neighbourhood racial/ethnic composition associated with depressive symptoms? The multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Soc Sci Med* 2010; **71**: 541–50.
- 33 Oliver JE. Mental life and the metropolis in suburban America – the psychological correlates of metropolitan place characteristics. *Urban Aff Rev* 2003; **39**: 228–53.
- 34 Ostir GV, Eschbach K, Markides KS, Goodwin JS. Neighbourhood composition and depressive symptoms among older Mexican Americans. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2003; **57**: 987–92.
- 35 Pickett KE, Shaw RJ, Atkin K, Kiernan KE, Wilkinson RG. Ethnic density effects on maternal and infant health in the Millennium Cohort Study. *Soc Sci Med* 2009; **69**: 1476–83.
- 36 Propper C, Jones K, Bolster A, Burgess S, Johnston R, Sarker R. Local neighbourhood and mental health: evidence from the UK. *Soc Sci Med* 2005; **61**: 2065–83.
- 37 Shields MA, Wailoo A. Exploring the determinants of unhappiness for ethnic minority men in Britain. *Scot J Polit Econ* 2002; **49**: 445–66.
- 38 Tweed DL, Goldsmith HF, Jackson DJ, Stiles D, Rae DS, Kramer M. Racial congruity as a contextual correlate of mental disorder. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 1990; **60**: 392–403.
- 39 Wickrama KAS, Noh S, Bryant CM. Racial differences in adolescent distress: differential effects of the family and community for blacks and whites. *J Community Psychol* 2005; **33**: 261–82.
- 40 Wight RG, Aneshensel CS, Botticello AL, Sepulveda JE. A multilevel analysis of ethnic variation in depressive symptoms among adolescents in the United States. *Soc Sci Med* 2005; **60**: 2073–84.
- 41 Wight RG, Cummings JR, Karlamangla AS, Aneshensel CS. Urban neighborhood context and change in depressive symptoms in later life. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2009; **64**: 247–51.
- 42 Ying Y-W, Akutsu PD. Psychological adjustment of Southeast Asian refugees: the contribution of sense of coherence. *J Community Psychol* 1997; **25**: 125–39.
- 43 Yuan ASV. Racial composition of neighborhood and emotional well-being. *Social Spectr* 2008; **28**: 105–29.
- 44 Becares L, Nazroo J, Stafford M. The buffering effects of ethnic density on experienced racism and health. *Health Place* 2009; **15**: 700–8.
- 45 Boydell J, van Os J, McKenzie K, Allardyce J, Goel R, McCreddie RG, et al. Incidence of schizophrenia in ethnic minorities in London: ecological study into interactions with environment. *BMJ* 2001; **323**: 1336–8.
- 46 Kirkbride JB, Morgan C, Fearon P, Dazzan P, Murray RM, Jones PB. Neighbourhood-level effects on psychoses: re-examining the role of context. *Psychol Med* 2007; **37**: 1413–25.
- 47 Kirkbride JB, Boydell J, Plouhidis GB, Morgan C, Dazzan P, McKenzie K, et al. Testing the association between the incidence of schizophrenia and social capital in an urban area. *Psychol Med* 2008; **38**: 1083–94.

- 48 Schoefield P, Ashworth M, Jones R. Ethnic isolation and psychosis: re-examining the ethnic density effect. *Psychol Med* 2010; **22**: 1–7.
- 49 Veling W, Susser E, van Os J, Mackenback JP, Selten JP, Hoek HW. Ethnic density of neighborhoods and incidence of psychotic disorders among immigrants. *Am J Psychiatry* 2008; **165**: 66–73.
- 50 Neeleman J, Wessely S. Ethnic minority suicide: a small area geographical study in south London. *Psychol Med* 1999; **29**: 429–36.
- 51 Neeleman J, Wilson-Jones C, Wessely S. Ethnic density and deliberate self harm; a small area study in south east London. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2001; **55**: 85–90.
- 52 Islam MK, Merlo J, Kawachi I, Lindström M, Gerdtham U. Social capital and health: does egalitarianism matter? A literature review. *Int J Equity Health* 2006; **5**: 3.
- 53 Walker A, Coulthard M. Developing and understanding indicators of social capital. In *Social Capital for Health: Issues of Definition, Measurement and Links to Health* (eds A Morgan, C Swann): 25–39. Health Development Agency, 2004.
- 54 Paradies Y. A systematic review of empirical research on self-reported racism and health. *Int J Epidemiol* 2006; **35**: 888–901.
- 55 Bécares L, Stafford M, Nazroo J. Fear of racism, employment and expected organizational racism: their association with health. *Eur J Public Health* 2009; **19**: 504–10.
- 56 Yen IH, Kaplan GA. Neighborhood social environment and risk of death: multilevel evidence from the Alameda County Study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999; **149**: 898–907.
- 57 Winkleby M, Cubbin C, Ahn D. Effect of cross-level interaction between individual and neighborhood socioeconomic status on adult mortality rates. *Am J Public Health* 2006; **96**: 2145–53.
- 58 Albor C, Pickett K, Wilkinson RG, Ballas D. Are poor mothers and their infants healthier in richer areas? The protective effect of area socio-economic density. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2009; **63**: 91.
- 59 Wechsler H, Pugh TF. Fit of individual and community characteristics and rates of psychiatric hospitalization. *Am J Sociol* 1967; **73**: 331–8.
- 60 Rosenberg M. The dissonant religious context and emotional disturbance. *Am J Sociol* 1962; **68**: 1–10.
- 61 Jenkins R. *Social Identity*. Routledge, 2004.



100
words

Bulimia

Janet Treasure, Gerald Russell

Why has bulimia emerged as a common symptom in many psychiatric disorders? Could the food environment be the explanation? Certainly, many changes have occurred. First, foods high in salt, sugar and fat as well as palatability are a widespread resource. Second, eating is less embedded into social routines. Third, the desire to control body size is fashionable. If animals are exposed to similar perturbations in their environment, they binge eat and changes in brain reward systems similar to those with substances of misuse occur. Thus, food addiction could be a cause of the obesity pandemic with implications for policy needed.

The British Journal of Psychiatry (2012)
201, 19. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.112.108514

100
words

Bulimia nervosa

Gerald Russell, Janet Treasure

Psychiatrists have generally reacted nonchalantly to the relatively sudden eruption of bulimia nervosa some 50 years ago. Admittedly, its clinical description in 1979 secured its place in the main systems of classification, a place which has stood the test of time. Effective treatments have also been developed. But little is known about the aetiology of bulimia nervosa even though there must have been powerful reasons for its appearance out of the blue. The clarification of its causes would certainly have been faster had psychiatrists and social scientists wakened sooner to the significance of bulimia nervosa as a new psychiatric disorder.

The British Journal of Psychiatry (2012)
201, 19. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.112.109645