Canad. J. Math. Vol. 69 (4), 2017 pp. 767-789 http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2016-037-4 © Canadian Mathematical Society 2016



Wedge Operations and Torus Symmetries II

Suyoung Choi and Hanchul Park

Abstract. A fundamental idea in toric topology is that classes of manifolds with well-behaved torus actions (simply, toric spaces) are classified by pairs of simplicial complexes and (non-singular) characteristic maps. In a previous paper, the authors provided a new way to find all characteristic maps on a simplicial complex K(J) obtainable by a sequence of wedgings from K. The main idea was that characteristic maps on K theoretically determine all possible characteristic maps on a wedge of K.

We further develop our previous work for classification of toric spaces. For a star-shaped simplicial sphere *K* of dimension n - 1 with *m* vertices, the Picard number Pic(*K*) of *K* is m - n. We call *K* a *seed* if *K* cannot be obtained by wedgings. First, we show that for a fixed positive integer ℓ , there are at most finitely many seeds of Picard number ℓ supporting characteristic maps. As a corollary, the conjecture proposed by V. V. Batyrev in 1991 is solved affirmatively.

Secondly, we investigate a systematic method to find all characteristic maps on K(J) using combinatorial objects called (realizable) *puzzles* that only depend on a seed K. These two facts lead to a practical way to classify the toric spaces of fixed Picard number.

1 Introduction

A toric variety of dimension n is a normal algebraic variety with an algebraic action of torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ with a dense orbit. A compact smooth toric variety is called a *toric* manifold. One of the most important results for toric varieties, known as the fundamental theorem for toric geometry, is that there is a bijection between the family of toric varieties and the family of fans. In particular, each toric manifold corresponds to a complete non-singular fan. Recall that an (n-1)-dimensional simplicial complex *K* is *star-shaped* if there is a geometric realization of *K* into \mathbb{R}^n such that every ray in \mathbb{R}^n starting from the origin meets K once and only once. A complete non-singular fan can be regarded as a pair of a star-shaped simplicial sphere and the data of rays satisfying the non-singularity condition. Such a pair is called a *fan-giving* \mathbb{Z} *-characteristic map.* Not only toric manifolds, but also the classes of manifolds equipped with wellbehaved torus actions (simply, toric spaces), are also classified by the corresponding characteristic maps. The family of toric spaces includes toric manifolds and contains several families such as quasitoric manifolds and topological toric manifolds. Furthermore, there are real analogues of toric spaces, called *real toric spaces*, which are classified by \mathbb{Z}_2 -characteristic maps. We refer to [4, Introduction] for the summary of these classifications. See Definition 2.2 for the definition of characteristic maps.

Received by the editors January 27, 2016; revised October 5, 2016.

Published electronically November 29, 2016.

Author S. C. was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (NRF-2012R1A1A2044990).

AMS subject classification: 57S25, 14M25, 52B11, 13F55, 18A10.

Keywords: puzzle, toric variety, simplicial wedge, characteristic map.

Remark 1.1 In the sequel, unless otherwise stated, we fix our interests on one of the following Davis–Januszkiewicz (D-J) classes of characteristic maps:

- (1) The \mathbb{Z} -characteristic maps.
- (2) The positively oriented \mathbb{Z} -characteristic maps.
- (3) The fan-giving \mathbb{Z} -characteristic maps.
- (4) The \mathbb{Z}_2 -characteristic maps.

That is, we choose one of (1), (2), (3), or (4) and we understand the terms characteristic map and D-J class to correspond to the chosen one. Each choice corresponds to the following toric spaces:

- (1') The omnioriented topological toric manifolds.
- (2') The almost complex topological toric manifolds.
- (3') The toric manifolds.
- (4') The real topological toric manifolds.

Refer to [4] for the definitions of positive orientedness of characteristic maps. Moreover, one can deal with quasitoric manifolds or small covers by assuming the further condition that the underlying simplicial complex *K* is polytopal, not only star-shaped.

It is natural to ask for an explicit classification of characteristic maps of (1), (2), (3), or (4), although it is very complicated and, to date, only a few cases have been classified. Because the family of toric spaces is too large to handle, one reasonable approach would be to restrict our attention to a family of simplicial spheres with few vertices.

Let *K* be a star-shaped simplicial sphere of dimension n - 1 with *m* vertices. The *Picard number* Pic(*K*) of *K* is defined by Pic(*K*) := m - n. If Pic(*K*) = 1, then *K* is the boundary complex of the *n*-simplex. The term Picard number is used here because, if *X* is a toric manifold whose corresponding fan has the face complex *K*, then the Picard number of *X* is just Pic(*K*). It is known that $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is the only toric space supported by *K*. If Pic(*K*) = 2, then *K* is the join of boundaries of two simplices (see [7]), and all characteristic maps over *K* have been classified by Kleinschmidt [8]. The classification of characteristic maps corresponding to toric manifolds over *K* with Pic(*K*) = 3 is attributable to Batyrev [2], who used the fact that every toric manifold of Picard number 3 is projective in his proof. Since a non-projective toric manifold of Picard number 4 was constructed by Oda [11], Batyrev's method is not applicable to larger Picard numbers.

Our previous research [4] established a new way to classify characteristic maps as follows. Let *K* be a star-shaped simplicial sphere with *m* vertices and fix a vertex *v*. Consider a 1-simplex *I* whose vertices are labeled v_1 and v_2 and denote by ∂I the 0-skeleton of *I*. Now let us define a new simplicial complex on m + 1 vertices, called the (*simplicial*) wedge (or wedging) of *K* at *v*, denoted by wed_v(*K*) as

wed_v(K) = (I * Lk_K{v})
$$\cup$$
 (∂I * (K \setminus {v})),

where $K \setminus \{v\}$ is the induced subcomplex with m - 1 vertices except v, the $Lk_K\{v\}$ is the link of v in K, and \star is the join operation of simplicial complexes. One notes that the resulting simplicial complex, denoted by K(J), obtained from K by a sequence of

wedgings is determined by a positive integer tuple $J = (j_1, ..., j_m)$. Details will be given in Section 2.

Let (K, λ) be a characteristic map of dimension n and σ a face of K. Then a characteristic map $(Lk_K \sigma, Proj_{\sigma} \lambda)$, referred to as the *projected characteristic map*, is defined by the following map $(Proj_{\sigma} \lambda)(v) = [\lambda(v)] \in \mathbb{Z}^n / \langle \lambda(w) | w \in \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-|\sigma|}$. We showed in [4] that λ on wed_v K is uniquely determined by the projections $Proj_{v_1} \lambda$ and $Proj_{v_2} \lambda$, see Proposition 4.5. In other words, roughly speaking, characteristic maps on K theoretically determine all possible characteristic maps on a wedge of K. We note that the wedge operation preserves the Picard number. Using this method, we succeeded in reproving Batyrev's classification without using the projectivity of toric manifolds [4].

However, the following difficulties remain in terms of applying this method to general cases.

- (1) A star-shaped simplicial sphere is called a *seed* if it cannot be written as a simplicial wedge. In order to find all characteristic maps over K with a fixed Picard number, we have to consider all seeds which support characteristic maps. The number of seeds supporting characteristic maps should be sufficiently small for the method in [4] to be practical.
- (2) Even if we know every characteristic map over K, it is not easy to find all characteristic maps over wed_ν(K) because there exists a pair (λ₁, λ₂) of characteristic maps over K such that there is no λ over wed_ν(K) such that Proj_{ν1} λ = λ₁ and Proj_{ν2} λ = λ₂. Hence, to achieve our goal, *i.e.*, to classify all characteristic maps on K(J) for any J, we would have to determine which pair (λ₁, λ₂) of characteristic maps over K produces a characteristic map λ over wed_ν(K), and we would have to repeat this procedure for every stage in the sequence of wedgings. This would be very difficult or practically impossible.

The work we present in this paper aims to resolve the above two problems. First, in Theorem 2.6, we show that for a fixed number ℓ , there are at most finitely many seeds of Picard number ℓ supporting characteristic maps. Secondly, in Remark 5.6, we investigate a systematic way to find all characteristic maps on K(J) using *puzzles* that only depend on a seed K. For each $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m)$, an R-characteristic map over K(J)corresponds to a color-preserving graph homomorphism, called a realizable puzzle, from G(J) to D'(K) satisfying that the image of every square-shaped subgraph of G(J) is realizable. Here, R is either \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}_2 , G(J) is the 1-skeleton of a product of simplices $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Delta^{j_i-1}$ with the specific coloring, and D'(K) is the pre-diagram of K which contains all *R*-characteristic maps over *K* and the information of pairs $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ having λ such that $\operatorname{Proj}_{\nu_1} \lambda = \lambda_1$ and $\operatorname{Proj}_{\nu_2} \lambda = \lambda_2$. The pre-diagram D'(K) for K equipped with the set of realizable squares is called the *diagram* of K and is denoted by D(K). For given K and J, we only need to find realizable puzzles from G(J) to D'(K) and this would not involve the repetitive tasks in (2). The precise combinatorial interpretation of realizable puzzles will be given in Section 6. These two facts present a practical way to classify the toric spaces of fixed Picard number.

In addition, it is worth remarking that Theorem 2.6 easily implies the main conjecture proposed by Batyrev [2] whose proof can be found in Corollary 2.7. Let Σ be a complete fan and let $G(\Sigma)$ be the set of all generators of rays of Σ .

Definition 1.2 A nonempty subset $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subset G(\Sigma)$ is called a *primitive collection* if for each generator $x_i \in \mathcal{P}$ the elements of $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{x_i\}$ generate a (k - 1)-dimensional cone in Σ , while \mathcal{P} itself does not generate any *k*-dimensional cone in Σ .

Conjecture 1.3 ([2, Conjecture 7.1]) For any n-dimensional complete non-singular fan Σ with Picard number ℓ , there exists a constant $N(\ell)$ depending only on ℓ such that the number of primitive collections in $G(\Sigma)$ is always not more than $N(\ell)$.

In his paper, Batyrev needed the projectivity condition for the classification of toric manifolds of Picard number 3. But his conjecture is for general case, as we did in this paper.

2 Wedge Operation and Seed

First let us recall some notions about simplicial complexes. We note that every simplicial complex in this article is an abstract simplicial complex. Recall that for a face σ of a simplicial complex *K*, the *link* of σ in *K* is the subcomplex

$$\operatorname{Lk}_{K} \sigma \coloneqq \{ \tau \in K \mid \sigma \cup \tau \in K, \sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset \}$$

and the simplicial join of two disjoint simplicial complexes K₁ and K₂ is defined by

 $K_1 \star K_2 = \{ \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2 \mid \sigma_1 \in K_1, \sigma_2 \in K_2 \}.$

Let *K* be a simplicial complex with vertex set $[m] = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ and fix a vertex *v* in *K*. Consider a 1-simplex *I* whose vertices are v_1 and v_2 and denote by $\partial I = \{v_1, v_2\}$ the 0-skeleton of *I*. Now let us define a new simplicial complex on m + 1 vertices, called the *(simplicial) wedge* of *K* at *v*, denoted by wed_v *K*, by

wed_v
$$K = (I \star Lk_K\{v\}) \cup (\partial I \star (K \setminus \{v\})),$$

where $K \setminus \{v\}$ is the induced subcomplex with m - 1 vertices except v, see Figure 1.



Figure 1: Illustration of a wedge of K

The operation itself is called the *simplicial wedge operation* or (*simplicial) wedging*. Another description of simplicial wedging can be given using minimal non-faces. A subset σ of the vertex set of K is called a *minimal non-face* of K if $\sigma \notin K$, but every proper subset of σ is a face of K. Note that every simplicial complex is determined

Wedge Operations and Torus Symmetries II

by its minimal non-faces. Let $J = (j_1, ..., j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ be a vector of positive integers. Denote by K(J) the simplicial complex on vertices

$$\{\underbrace{1_1, 1_2, \ldots, 1_{j_1}, 2_1, 2_2, \ldots, 2_{j_2}, \ldots, m_1, \ldots, m_{j_m}}_{(m_1, \dots, m_{j_m})}\}$$

with minimal non-faces

$$\{\underbrace{(i_1)_1,\ldots,(i_1)_{j_{i_1}}}_{(i_1)_1,\ldots,(i_2)_{j_{i_2}}},\ldots,\underbrace{(i_k)_1,\ldots,(i_k)_{j_{i_k}}}_{(i_k)_1,\ldots,(i_k)_{j_{i_k}}}\}$$

for each minimal non-face $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ of *K*. It is an easy fact that wed_i K = K(J) where $J = (1, \ldots, 1, 2, 1, \ldots, 1)$ is the *m*-tuple with 2 as the *i*-th entry. It should be noted that K(J) can also be obtained from *K* by a sequence of wedgings. See [1] or [4] for details.

We present a useful lemma here. For a simplicial complex K, we denote by V(K) the set of vertices of K.

Lemma 2.1 We have the identity

$$\operatorname{wed}_{\nu}(K_{1} \star K_{2}) = \begin{cases} (\operatorname{wed}_{\nu} K_{1}) \star K_{2}, & \text{if } \nu \in V(K_{1}), \\ K_{1} \star (\operatorname{wed}_{\nu} K_{2}), & \text{if } \nu \in V(K_{2}). \end{cases}$$

Proof This lemma in fact holds for general K(J). The proof is obvious once one observes that a minimal non-face of $K_1 \star K_2$ is either that of K_1 or that of K_2 .

Let *R* be the ring \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Henceforth, let us assume that *K* is an (n-1)-dimensional star-shaped simplicial sphere whose vertex set is [m]. In this paper, we call a finite set of vectors $B = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ an *R*-basis or simply a basis of \mathbb{R}^n if either $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and *B* is unimodular in \mathbb{Z}^n , or $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and *B* is linearly independent in \mathbb{Z}_2^n .

Definition 2.2 A map λ : $[m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a (non-singular) *R*-characteristic map over *K*, or simply a characteristic map, if the following holds:

(*) if
$$\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \in K$$
, then $\lambda(i_1), \ldots, \lambda(i_n)$ forms an *R*-basis

The condition (*) is known as the *non-singularity condition*.

Every \mathbb{Z} -characteristic map $\lambda: [m] \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ induces a \mathbb{Z}_2 -characteristic map given by the composition $[m] \to \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, where the map $\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is the natural modulo map. This new characteristic map is frequently called the *mod 2 reduction* of λ .

According to [3, Corollary 7.33, Proposition 7.34], one concludes with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 The following are equivalent.

- (i) *K admits an R-characteristic map.*
- (ii) There exists a map $\phi: [m] \to \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ such that

$$\{\phi(i) \mid 1 \le i \le m, i \ne i_k \text{ for } 1 \le k \le n\}$$

is an R-*basis for every maximal face* $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \in K$.

Let us write Pic(K) := m - n and call it the *Picard number of K*. Further, we fix a positive integer ℓ and assume that $Pic(K) = \ell$. We additionally assume that K admits a \mathbb{Z}_2 -characteristic map λ . If $m \ge 2^{\ell}$, the map ϕ of Theorem 2.3 (ii) cannot be one-to-one. Suppose that $v, w \in [m]$ and $\phi(v) = \phi(w)$. Then every facet of K should contain either v or w. We need the following lemma at this point.

Lemma 2.4 Let v and w be distinct vertices of K. If every facet of K contains either v or w, then K is isomorphic to $L \star \partial I$ or wed_v L for some simplicial complex L.

Proof Let σ be a subset of the vertex set of *K*, $v \notin \sigma$ and $w \notin \sigma$. Then we claim that

 $\sigma \in K \Leftrightarrow \sigma \cup \{v\} \in K \Leftrightarrow \sigma \cup \{w\} \in K.$

To prove the claim, suppose that $\sigma \in K$. Then we have a facet τ of K such that $\sigma \subseteq \tau$. If τ contains both v and w, it is done. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we can assume that $v \in \tau$. Then $\tau \cup \{w\} \setminus \{v\}$ is also a facet of K since K is a pseudomanifold and there are exactly two facets of K which are supersets of the (n - 2)-simplex $\tau \setminus \{v\}$. Therefore the claim is proved. Considering the minimal non-faces of K, one easily concludes that K is a suspension if $\{v, w\} \notin K$, or K is a wedge otherwise.

Definition 2.5 A star-shaped simplicial sphere is called a *seed* if it cannot be written as a simplicial wedge.

There are certainly many seeds in the family of star-shaped simplicial spheres: for example, a flag simplicial complex cannot be a wedge. In spite of this, the following theorem says that "good" seeds are very rare in a sense of toric topology.

Theorem 2.6 Let us fix a positive integer ℓ and let K be a seed of Picard number ℓ other than ∂I or $\partial I \star \partial I$, where ∂I is the boundary of the 1-simplex. If K admits a characteristic map, then $m \leq 2^{\ell} - 1$, where m is the number of vertices of K. As a corollary, there are only finitely many seeds with Picard number ℓ which admit a characteristic map.

Proof It is enough to prove the theorem for $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$. If *K* admits a \mathbb{Z} -characteristic map, then its mod 2 reduction will work. We shall use an induction on ℓ . The assertion holds for $\ell = 3$ as can seen in Table 1. Assume that the theorem holds for a seed of Picard number $\ell - 1$, *i.e.*, a seed of Picard number $\ell - 1$ has at most $2^{\ell-1} - 1$ vertices. Suppose $m \ge 2^{\ell}$. Since *K* is a seed, by Lemma 2.4, $K = L \star \partial I$ for some simplicial complex *L*. We note that *L* is also a seed because of Lemma 2.1. The number of vertices

$\operatorname{Pic}(K)$	K
1	I6
2	$I6 \star I6$
3	$\partial I \star \partial I \star \partial I, \partial P_5, \partial C^4(7)$

Table 1: List of all seeds admitting characteristic maps for small Picard numbers. Here, P_5 is the pentagon and $C^4(7)$ is the cyclic 4-polytope with 7 vertices.

of *L* is m - 2, and $Pic(L) = Pic(K) - 1 = \ell - 1$. We have two inequalities $m - 2 \le 2^{\ell-1} - 1$ by induction hypothesis, and $m \ge 2^{\ell}$ as we supposed before, which cause a contradiction.

In Table 1, the classification of simplicial spheres of Picard number 1 and 2 is well known. For Picard number 3, see [6,10]. For toric manifolds, see [9]. We remark that $\partial I \star \partial I \star \partial I$ and ∂P_5 do support toric and quasitoric manifolds, and $\partial C^4(7)$ supports quasitoric manifolds but not toric manifolds.

Theorem 2.6 easily gives an affirmative solution to Conjecture 1.3 originally proposed by Batyrev [2].

Corollary 2.7 For any n-dimensional complete non-singular fan Σ with Picard number ℓ , there exists a constant $N(\ell)$ depending only on ℓ such that the number of primitive collections in $G(\Sigma)$ is always not more than $N(\ell)$.

Proof Observe that the primitive collections of a complete simplicial fan Σ correspond one-to-one to the minimal non-faces of the underlying simplicial complex of Σ . Furthermore, the wedge operation does not change the number of minimal non-faces, recalling the definition of K(J). By Theorem 2.6, we have only finitely many seeds whose minimal non-faces need to be counted. Thus an upper bound exists for given Picard number ℓ .

3 Puzzle and Classification of Toric Spaces

We have studied classification of toric spaces over wedges of star-shaped simplicial spheres (see [4, §4]). In this section, we further improve Corollary 4.5 of [4] and try to provide a combinatorial and systematic way to classify toric spaces over K(J).

A characteristic map $\lambda: [m] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ can be represented by an $(n \times m)$ -matrix

$$(\lambda(1) \quad \lambda(2) \quad \cdots \quad \lambda(m))$$

where $\lambda(1), \ldots, \lambda(m)$ are column vectors. This matrix is called a *characteristic matrix* and also frequently denoted by λ .

Definition 3.1 Two characteristic maps $\lambda_1, \lambda_2: [m] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are said to be *Davis–Januszkiewicz equivalent* or *D-J equivalent* if they are the same up to change of basis of \mathbb{R}^n . That is, λ_1 and λ_2 are D-J equivalent if one of their corresponding characteristic matrices can be changed to the other by finite applications of any of the following (called *row operations*):

(1) Multiply a row by -1.

(2) Add a multiple of one row to another row.

The equivalence classes are called Davis-Januszkiewicz classes or D-J classes.

Remark 3.2 When $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the above definition corresponds to a D-J equivalence of omnioriented topological toric manifolds or real topological toric manifolds, respectively. If $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$, then the row operation (1) is redundant.

Definition 3.3 Let us fix a face $\sigma \in K$. Let $\lambda: V(K) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a map such that the vectors $\{\lambda(v)\}_{v\in\sigma}$ are unimodular. Then we define a map called the *projection of* λ *with respect to* σ by the following:

$$(\operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma} \lambda)(w) = [\lambda(w)] \in \mathbb{Z}^n / \langle \lambda(v) \mid v \in \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-|\sigma|}$$

for $w \in V(Lk_K \sigma)$. The map $\operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma} \lambda : Lk_K \sigma \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-|\sigma|}$ is defined up to a basis change of $\mathbb{Z}^{n-|\sigma|}$. When (K, λ) is a characteristic map, then $(Lk_K \sigma, \operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma} \lambda)$ is also a characteristic map, also called a *projected characteristic map*. When $\sigma = \{v\}$ is a vertex, one can simply write $\operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma} \lambda = \operatorname{Proj}_{\nu} \lambda$.

Remark 3.4 Fix a vertex 1 of *K* at which to be wedged. To study toric spaces over K(J), it is worth describing the projected characteristic map using matrices. The vertex set of its wedge wed₁ *K* can be written as $\{1_1, 1_2, 2, ..., m\}$. Let Λ be a characteristic map over wed₁ *K*. After row operations, one can assume that $\Lambda(1_1)$ is a coordinate vector. In other words, the matrix Λ can be written as

(3.1)
$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & \cdots & a_m \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \lambda & & \\ 0 & & & & \end{pmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(m+1)}$$

where the columns are labeled as $l_1, l_2, 2, ..., m$. Then the characteristic matrix for $\operatorname{Proj}_{l_1} \Lambda$ is the matrix λ , since the link $\operatorname{Lk}_{\operatorname{wed}_1 K}\{l_1\}$ is naturally isomorphic to *K*.

Recall that a *pseudograph* is a non-simple graph possibly with loops and/or multiple edges.

Definition 3.5 The pre-diagram of K, written as D'(K), is an edge-colored pseudograph (V, E) satisfying

- the vertex set V whose elements are the D-J classes over K,
- the edge set *E* is defined as follows: *E* is the collection of the sets $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, v\}$ where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in V$ and $v \in V(K)$ such that there exists a characteristic map over wed_v *K* whose two projections onto *K* are λ_1 and λ_2 . The element $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, v\}$ is called an *edge* colored *v* whose endpoints are λ_1 and λ_2 .

Remark 3.6 For every D-J class λ over K and a vertex v of K, there is a loop of D'(K) starting at λ and colored v because every loop indicates a canonical extension (see [4, 4.2]). Hence the loops are usually omitted when a drawing of the pre-diagram is made.

Remark 3.7 One should take care that the definition of the pre-diagram depends on the choice of Remark 1.1. The same goes for Definition 5.5.

The pre-diagram is easily computable. Let $\lambda: [m] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a characteristic map and fix a number $1 \le \nu \le m$. Then we obtain a matrix $Pr(\lambda, \nu)$ such as in Remark 3.4. To be more precise, after applying suitable row operations to λ , we can assume that

the v-th column is a coordinate vector. Then

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_m \\ \hline 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & A & \\ 0 & & & & \end{pmatrix}_{n \times m}$$

(when v = 1, for example). Then we define $Pr(\lambda, v) = A$. Note that $Pr(\lambda, v)$ is defined up to row operations.

Lemma 3.8 For two D-J classes over K and a vertex v of K, the set $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, v\}$ is an edge of D'(K) if and only if $Pr(\lambda_1, v)$ and $Pr(\lambda_2, v)$ are the same after row operations.

Proof In (3.1), one can further assume that $\Lambda(1_2)$ is a coordinate vector, since $\{1_1, 1_2\}$ is a face of wed₁ *K* and thus $\{\Lambda(1_1), \Lambda(1_2)\}$ is a unimodular set. Therefore, after row operations, one obtains

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_2 & \cdots & a_m \\ 0 & 1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_m \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & A & \\ 0 & 0 & & & & \end{pmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(m+1)}$$

and $Pr(\lambda_1, \nu) = Pr(\lambda_2, \nu) = A$. The converse is similar.

Example 3.9 (see [5, Example 2.8]) In this example, we compute $D'(\partial P_4)$ where P_4 is a quadrangle with four vertices 1, 2, 3, and 4 and four edges 12, 23, 34, and 41. We deal with the family of toric manifolds and fan-giving \mathbb{Z} -characteristic maps. Up to D-J equivalence, every fan-giving characteristic map over P_4 has the form either

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & d & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, which we call α_d and β_d , respectively. They are all distinct, except $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$. For example, we present the computation of $Pr(\alpha_d, 4)$. We perform a row operation

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and delete the fourth column and the second row to get

$$\Pr(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_d, 4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, we obtain all $Pr(\lambda, v)$ as follows:

$\Pr(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_d, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\Pr(\boldsymbol{\beta}_d, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\Pr(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_d, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & d \end{pmatrix}$	$\Pr(\boldsymbol{\beta}_d, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\Pr(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_d, 3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\Pr(\boldsymbol{\beta}_d, 3) = \begin{pmatrix} d & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\Pr(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_d, 4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\Pr(\boldsymbol{\beta}_d, 4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$

Then by Lemma 3.8, we conclude that the edge set *E* is

$$E = \{ \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_d, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_e, 1\}, \{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_d, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_e, 3\}, \{\boldsymbol{\beta}_d, \boldsymbol{\beta}_e, 2\}, \{\boldsymbol{\beta}_d, \boldsymbol{\beta}_e, 4\} \mid d, e \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$



Figure 2: Some edges of $D'(\partial P_4)$.

Figure 2 shows some of the edges of $D'(\partial P_4)$.

Let $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ be an *m*-tuple of positive integers. We consider a colored graph G(J) with *m* colors constructed as follows: G = G(J) is the graph determined by the 1-skeleton of the simple polytope $\Delta^{j_1-1} \times \Delta^{j_2-1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{j_m-1}$, where Δ^j is the *j*-dimensional simplex. One remarks that each edge *e* of *G* can be uniquely written as

$$p_1 \times p_2 \times \cdots \times p_{\nu-1} \times e_{\nu} \times p_{\nu+1} \times \cdots \times p_m$$

where p_i is a vertex of Δ^{j_i-1} , $1 \le i \le m$, $i \ne v$, and e_v is an edge of Δ^{j_v-1} . Then we color $v \in [m]$ on the edge e.

Definition 3.10 A graph homomorphism $p: G(J) \to D'(K)$ that preserves the edge coloring is called a *puzzle* of the pair (K, J). An edge $e = \{\alpha, \alpha'\}$ of G(J) is called *trivial* in a puzzle p if $p(\alpha) = p(\alpha')$. A puzzle is said to be *reducible* if it contains a trivial edge, or *irreducible* otherwise.

Definition 3.11 Let $p:G(J) \to D'(K)$ be a puzzle. If a subgraph G' of G(J) corresponds to a face of $\Delta^{j_1-1} \times \Delta^{j_2-1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{j_m-1}$, the graph homomorphism $p|_{G'}: G' \to D'(K)$ is called a *subpuzzle* of p. If G' is the 1-skeleton of a hypercube $(\Delta^1)^d$, then the corresponding subpuzzle is called a *subcube*. In particular, when d = 2, it is also called a *subsquare*. We remark that a subpuzzle can be regarded as a puzzle so that $G' \cong G(J')$ for some *m*-tuple J'.

For $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, let us denote by I(J) the index set

$$I(J) = \{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m \mid 1 \le \alpha_i \le j_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le m \}.$$

Wedge Operations and Torus Symmetries II

For an index $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in I(J)$, we consider a face of the simplicial complex K(J)

(3.2)
$$\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \coloneqq V(K(J)) \setminus \{1_{\alpha_1}, 2_{\alpha_2}, \dots, m_{\alpha_m}\}.$$

Observe that $\sigma(\alpha)$ is indeed a face since it does not contain any minimal non-faces of K(J): see the definition of K(J) in Section 2. Furthermore, the complex $Lk_{K(J)} \sigma(\alpha)$ is naturally isomorphic to K. Denote by V(G(J)) the vertex set of the graph G(J). Then there is an obvious identification $I(J) \rightarrow V(G(J))$ by labeling the vertices of Δ^{j_i-1} by $i_1, \ldots, i_{j_i}, 1 \le j \le m$. Using this identification, for any characteristic map Λ over K(J), we obtain a puzzle of (K, J) by assigning to the vertex α the characteristic map $Proj_{\sigma(\alpha)} \Lambda$. Puzzles constructed in this way are said to be *realizable*.

Remark 3.12 It is easy to verify that a realizable puzzle is indeed a puzzle. More precisely, let $p: G(J) \to D'(K)$ be a realizable puzzle defined by λ . Then it is necessary to show that every edge of G(J) maps to an edge of D'(K). For any subgraph G' corresponding to a face of $\Delta^{j_1-1} \times \Delta^{j_2-1} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{j_m-1}$, it can be shown that the map $p|_{G'}: G' \to D'(K)$ is a realizable puzzle defined by a projection of λ . In particular, when G' is an edge of G(J), this argument guarantees that the image of an edge of G(J) is indeed an edge of D'(K), thereby completing the proof.

For example, when J = (2, 3, 1, ..., 1), then a realizable puzzle $p: G(J) \rightarrow D'(K)$ will look like



where $\lambda_{\alpha} = \operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma(\alpha)} \Lambda$ with the subscripts α abbreviated so that

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$$

Conversely, every realizable puzzle of (K, J) determines a unique characteristic map over K(J) up to Davis–Januszkiewicz equivalence. To prove this, assume that (K, λ) is a characteristic map and observe that

(3.3)
$$\operatorname{Proj}_{f \sqcup g} \lambda = \operatorname{Proj}_{f} (\operatorname{Proj}_{g} \lambda)$$

for any face *f* and *g* in *K* such that $f \cap g = \emptyset$ and $f \cup g \in K$, by Definition 3.3. Let $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m)$. The proof is by induction on $|J| := j_1 + \cdots + j_m - m$. When |J| = 0,

it is trivial. Now suppose that there are two characteristic maps Λ and Λ' over K(J). Without loss of generality, we can assume that $j_1 \ge 2$. Consider the two characteristic maps $\operatorname{Proj}_{I_1} \Lambda$ and $\operatorname{Proj}_{I_1} \Lambda'$. Put $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in I(J)$ so that $\alpha_1 \ne 1$. Let us compute the realizable puzzle of $\operatorname{Proj}_{I_1} \Lambda$. To avoid confusion with (3.2), we use the notation $\sigma'(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ which is a face of the underlying complex of $\operatorname{Proj}_{I_1} \Lambda$:

$$\sigma'(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \coloneqq V(K(J)) \smallsetminus \{1_1, 1_{\alpha_1}, 2_{\alpha_2}, \ldots, m_{\alpha_m}\}.$$

By (3.3), $\operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma'(\alpha)}(\operatorname{Proj}_{I_1}\Lambda) = \operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma'(\alpha)\cup\{I_1\}}\Lambda = \operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma(\alpha)}\Lambda = \lambda_{\alpha}$, where λ_{α} is the characteristic map assigned at the vertex α of G(J). The same calculation shows that $\operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma'(\alpha)}(\operatorname{Proj}_{I_1}\Lambda') = \lambda_{\alpha}$. In other words, $\operatorname{Proj}_{I_1}\Lambda$ and $\operatorname{Proj}_{I_1}\Lambda'$ have the same realizable puzzle. By induction hypothesis, they are D-J equivalent. The same holds for $\operatorname{Proj}_{I_2}\Lambda$ and $\operatorname{Proj}_{I_2}\Lambda'$. Then, by the uniqueness of the characteristic maps over wedges (see Proposition 4.5), Λ and Λ' are D-J equivalent.

In conclusion, we have a bijection

$$\{D\text{-}J \text{ classes over } K(J)\} \to \{\text{realizable puzzles of } (K, J)\}.$$
$$\Lambda \quad \mapsto \qquad p(\Lambda).$$

Therefore, for the classification of toric spaces over K(J), the main challenge is to determine whether a given puzzle is realizable or not. For example, the puzzle



is not realizable whenever $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ (see Proposition 4.3).

4 Criterion on the Realizability of Puzzles

To determine whether a given puzzle p is realizable or not, let us first consider subcubes of p.

Theorem 4.1 A puzzle $G(J) \rightarrow D'(K)$ is realizable if and only if all of its subcubes are realizable.

Before proving the above theorem, we first start from an edge. Let

$$\lambda_1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda_2}$$

be an edge *e* of the pre-diagram D'(K). This edge can be regarded as a realizable puzzle corresponding to λ over wed_v *K* whose two projections are λ_1 and λ_2 .

Lemma 4.2 In the setting above, assume that the characteristic matrix for λ_1 is

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \end{pmatrix}_{n \times m},$$

where \mathbf{a}_i denotes the *i*-th column vector of λ_1 . Then the D-J class λ can be expressed by a matrix of the form

(4.1)
$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & v - 1 & v_1 & v_2 & v + 1 & \cdots & m \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{\nu-1} & \mathbf{a}_\nu & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{\nu+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \\ e_1 & \cdots & e_{\nu-1} & -1 & 1 & e_{\nu+1} & \cdots & e_m \end{pmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(m+1)}$$

for appropriate integers e_i for $i \neq v$. The numbers above the horizontal line are indicators for vertices of simplicial complexes. If every e_i is zero, then the characteristic map is a canonical extension.

Proof The proof is easy once the basis of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} is appropriately selected. More precisely, we select $\lambda(v_2)$ such that it is a coordinate vector. Since $\operatorname{Proj}_{v_2} \lambda = \lambda_1$, λ must be of the form

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{\nu-1} & \mathbf{a}_\nu & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{\nu+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \\ * & \cdots & * & x & 1 & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(m+1)}$$

recalling that $\operatorname{Proj}_{v_2} \lambda$ is obtained from λ by deleting the column v_2 and the (n+1)-th row. As \mathbf{a}_v is a primitive vector, by adding to the last row a suitable linear combination of the first n rows, it can be assumed that x = -1.

The matrix (4.1) is called a *standard form* for the edge *e* centered at λ_1 . If every e_i is zero, then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and λ is simply a canonical extension of λ_1 . In this case, the edge *e* is a loop in D'(K). Note that two standard forms (of the same center) for the same edge can be changed to each other by using row operations. The standard form is unique in this sense.

Let $p: G(J) \to D'(K)$ be a puzzle. Recall that the vertex set V(G(J)) is identified with the index set I(J) and thus $p(\alpha)$ is a D-J class over K for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \in$ I(J). We fix an element $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in I(J)$ and let $p(\mathbf{1})$ be the characteristic matrix $p(\mathbf{1}) = (\mathbf{a}_1 \cdots \mathbf{a}_m)$. In the graph G(J), suppose that $\mathbf{1}$ and α are connected by an edge colored v. Then $\alpha_i = 1$ for $i \neq v$. We label the edge connecting $\mathbf{1}$ and α as $e_v^{\alpha_v}$. For each edge $e_v^{\alpha_v}$, we fix a standard form of $e_v^{\alpha_v}$ centered at $p(\mathbf{1})$ like the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & v-1 & v_1 & v_2 & v+1 & \cdots & m \\ \hline \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{v-1} & \mathbf{a}_v & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{v+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \\ e_{v,1}^{\alpha_v} & \cdots & e_{v,v-1}^{\alpha_v} & -1 & 1 & e_{v,v+1}^{\alpha_v} & \cdots & e_{v,m}^{\alpha_v} \end{pmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(m+1)}$$

For convenience of notation of block matrices, we use the following notations:

$$A_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{i} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times j_{i}},$$

$$S_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{(j_{i}-1) \times j_{i}},$$

$$e_{k,i} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{k,i}^{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ e_{k,i}^{3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{k,i}^{j_{k}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(j_{k}-1) \times j_{i}}.$$

and

Proposition 4.3 If the puzzle $p: G(J) \to D'(K)$ is realizable, the following matrix

(4.2)
$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & \cdots & A_m \\ S_1 & e_{1,2} & e_{1,3} & \cdots & e_{1,m} \\ e_{2,1} & S_2 & e_{2,3} & \cdots & e_{2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ e_{m-1,1} & \cdots & S_{m-1} & e_{m-1,m} \\ e_{m,1} & \cdots & e_{m,m-1} & S_m \end{pmatrix}$$

becomes a characteristic matrix over K(J) where the columns are labeled as

$$1_1, \ldots, 1_{j_1}, 2_1, \ldots, 2_{j_2}, \ldots, m_1, \ldots, m_{j_m}$$

from left to right. Furthermore, the realizable puzzle p is uniquely determined by p(1) and $p(\alpha)$ for vertices α adjacent to 1.

We call (4.2) a *standard form* of the characteristic matrix Λ centered at 1.

Proof Since the puzzle *p* is realizable, there already exists a unique corresponding characteristic matrix Λ' over K(J) up to row operations. The only thing to show is that Λ' is equivalent to Λ of (4.2) up to row operations. Consider the face

$$\sigma(\mathbf{1}) = V(K(J)) \setminus \{\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{2}_1, \dots, \mathbf{m}_1\} = \{\mathbf{1}_2, \dots, \mathbf{1}_{j_1}\} \cup \dots \cup \{\mathbf{m}_2, \dots, \mathbf{m}_{j_m}\}.$$

After row operations, we can assume that every column of Λ' belonging to $\sigma(1)$ is a coordinate vector. Therefore, after exchanging some rows, Λ' should have the following form:

1	\mathbf{b}_1	0		0	\mathbf{b}_2	0	•••	0	b ₃	0	•••	0	···· /
	*	1			*				*				
	÷		۰.		÷		0		:		0		
	*			1	*				*				
	*				*	1			*				
	÷		0		÷		·.		:		0		
	*				*			1	*				
	*				*				*	1			
	÷		0		÷		0		÷		·.		
	*				*				*			1	
ľ	:		÷		:		÷		÷		÷		·. /

where \mathbf{b}_i is a column vector of *n* entries. Furthermore recall that the projection $\operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma(1)} \Lambda'$ is given by the matrix minor $(\mathbf{b}_1 \cdots \mathbf{b}_m)$, and $\operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma(1)} \Lambda' = p(\mathbf{1})$ is given by the matrix $(\mathbf{a}_1 \cdots \mathbf{a}_m)$. Thus the two matrices above are equivalent up to row operations, and hence we can replace \mathbf{b}_i by \mathbf{a}_i for all *i* after row operations concerning only first *n* rows of Λ' .

Next, we pick a vertex v_j of K(J) so that $2 \le j \le j_v$, or equivalently, we pick a vertex

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (1, \ldots, 1, \ldots, \alpha_{\nu} = j, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 1) \in I(J)$$

a ₁	0	• • •	0	\mathbf{a}_2	0	•••	0	\mathbf{a}_3	0	•••	0	•••)
$f_{1,1}^2$	1			$f_{2,1}^2$				$f_{3,1}^2$				
÷		·.		÷		0		÷		0		
$f_{1,1}^{j_1}$			1	$f_{2,1}^{j_1}$				$f_{3,1}^{j_1}$				
$f_{1,2}^2$				$f_{2,2}^2$	1			$f_{3,2}^2$				
÷		0		÷		·.		÷		0		
$f_{1,2}^{j_2}$				$f_{2,2}^{j_2}$			1	$f_{3,2}^{j_2}$				
$f_{1,3}^2$				$f_{2,3}^2$				$f_{3,3}^2$	1			
:		0		÷		0		÷		·.		
$f_{1,3}^{j_3}$				$f_{2,3}^{j_3}$				$f_{3,3}^{j_3}$			1	
(i		÷		÷		÷		÷		÷		·.)

of G(J) connected to 1 by an edge. Now Λ' should look like the following:

where $f_{*,*}^*$ are integers. Observe that the minor of Λ' corresponding to the projection with respect to the face $\sigma(\mathbf{1}) \setminus \{v_i\}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{\nu-1} & \mathbf{a}_{\nu} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{\nu+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \\ f_{\nu,1}^j & \cdots & f_{\nu,\nu-1}^j & f_{\nu,\nu-1}^j & 1 & f_{\nu,\nu+1}^j & \cdots & f_{\nu,m}^j \end{pmatrix},$$

and it is equivalent to the standard form of the edge e_v^j

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{\nu-1} & \mathbf{a}_{\nu} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{\nu+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{m} \\ e_{\nu,1}^{j} & \cdots & e_{\nu,\nu-1}^{j} & -1 & 1 & e_{\nu,\nu+1}^{j} & \cdots & e_{\nu,m}^{j} \end{pmatrix}$$

by a sequence of row operations. Observe that there is the corresponding sequence of row operations in Λ' , which results in an addition to the *i*-th row, i > n, of a linear combination of the first *n* rows. In conclusion, after all possible choices of v_j and the corresponding row operations, we can assume that

$$f_{\nu,i}^{j} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \nu = i, \\ e_{\nu,i}^{j}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof.

Two edges

$$e = p_1 \times \cdots \times p_{\nu-1} \times e_{\nu} \times p_{\nu+1} \times \cdots \times p_m,$$

$$e' = p'_1 \times \cdots \times p'_{\nu-1} \times e'_{\nu} \times p'_{\nu+1} \times \cdots \times p'_m$$

of G(J) that are colored v are said to be *parallel* if $e_v = e'_v$.

Corollary 4.4 A realizable puzzle corresponds to a canonical extension if and only if the puzzle is reducible. Furthermore, if an edge e of a realizable puzzle is trivial, then the edges parallel to e are also trivial.

Proof If a trivial edge *e* exists, we may assume that *e* is colored *v* and its endpoints are 1 and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m)$ so that $p(\alpha) = p(1)$. Then we have observed that the edge corresponds to a canonical extension and every e_i is zero in the standard form

Λ in (4.1) for the edge. That means there is a row of the matrix of (4.2) such that its *v*₁-th entry is -1, *v*_{αν}-th entry is 1, and the remaining entries are zero ($e_{v,i}^{\alpha_v} = 0$ for all *i*). Therefore, by Lemma 4.2, it immediately follows that Λ also represents a canonical extension such that $\operatorname{Proj}_{v_1} \Lambda = \operatorname{Proj}_{v_{\alpha_v}} \Lambda$. Let *e'* be an edge parallel to *e* whose endpoints are *β* and *β'*. Then there is a face *σ* of *K*(*J*) such that $\sigma \cup \{v_1\}$ and $\sigma \cup \{v_2\}$ are also faces of *K*(*J*) and $p(\beta) = \operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma \cup \{v_1\}} \Lambda$ and $p(\beta') = \operatorname{Proj}_{\sigma \cup \{v_2\}} \Lambda$. Therefore they are equal.

For later use, we state a version of a result in [4].

Proposition 4.5 ([4, Proposition 4.4]) Let λ : $V(\text{wed}_{v} K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a map which is not necessarily a characteristic map. Label the two new vertices as v_1 and v_2 . Moreover, assume that the set $\{\lambda(v_1), \lambda(v_2)\}$ is unimodular. Then λ is a characteristic map if and only if $\text{Proj}_{v_1} \lambda$ and $\text{Proj}_{v_2} \lambda$ are characteristic maps over K.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 One direction is straightforward by Remark 3.12. To prove the converse, assume that every subcube of $p: G(J) \to D'(K)$ is realizable and assume that $j_v \ge 2$ for all v (if not, some canonical extensions may be used). At this time we are not sure whether p is realizable, but we can still follow the construction of Proposition 4.3 and obtain the matrix Λ in (4.2). We recursively apply Proposition 4.5 to show that Λ is non-singular. Observe that in (4.2), $\{\Lambda(v_2), \Lambda(v_3), \ldots, \Lambda(v_{j_v})\}$ is a unimodular set for any v such that $1 \le v \le m$ because all vectors are coordinate vectors. Apply Proposition 4.5 to two vertices v_a and v_b of K(J) other than v_1 . By recalling that the projection simply involves the deletion of a column and a row, we obtain two "smaller" standard forms. Continuing this process, we reach matrices of the following form:

a_1	0	\mathbf{a}_2	0	\mathbf{a}_3	•••	\mathbf{a}_m	0)	
-1	1	*	0	*		*	0	
*	0	-1	1	*		÷	0	
:	÷			۰.				
* /	0	*	0			-1	1)	

Here we terminate our process since the available pair of coordinate vectors is not found. However, this matrix is simply a standard form of a subcube, which is realizable by assumption. In particular, it is non-singular. Finally, a recursive application of Proposition 4.5 shows that Λ is non-singular, proving that the puzzle *p* is realizable.

5 Squares to Cubes

Definition 5.1 Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}_2 . A marked row vector in R^m is a pair (\mathbf{r}, v) where $1 \le v \le m$ and $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_m) \in R^m$ is a row vector. The number v is called the marking of the marked row vector. When there is no danger of confusion, the markings can simply be omitted to write $(\mathbf{r}, v) = \mathbf{r}$. When $r_v = 0$, the marked row vector is called *reduced*.

Let us fix a characteristic map Λ over K and let (\mathbf{r}, ν) and (\mathbf{r}', ν) be two marked row vectors in \mathbb{Z}^m with the same markings. We write $\mathbf{r} \sim_{\Lambda} \mathbf{r}'$ if $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$ is a linear combination of row vectors of Λ . Assume that $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_m)$ and

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \end{pmatrix}_{n \times m}.$$

Let us use the notation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ (\mathbf{r}, \nu) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & \nu - 1 & \nu_1 & \nu_2 & \nu + 1 & \cdots & m \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{\nu-1} & \mathbf{a}_\nu & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{\nu+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \\ r_1 & \cdots & r_{\nu-1} & -1 + r_\nu & 1 & r_{\nu+1} & \cdots & r_m \end{pmatrix}_{(n+1)\times(m+1)}$$

Note that if the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r} \end{pmatrix}$ defines a characteristic map for wed_v *K*, it is simply a standard form if **r** is reduced. Observe that $\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ (\mathbf{r},v) \end{pmatrix}$ are D-J equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ (\mathbf{r}',v) \end{pmatrix}$ if and only if $\mathbf{r} \sim_{\Lambda} \mathbf{r}'$.

Consider its two projections over *K*. The projection $\operatorname{Proj}_{\nu_2}\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda\\\mathbf{r}\end{pmatrix}$ is simply Λ , whereas the other projection is denoted by $\Lambda^{\mathbf{r}} := \operatorname{Proj}_{\nu_1}\begin{pmatrix}\Lambda\\\mathbf{r}\end{pmatrix}$.

Let us calculate $\Lambda^{\mathbf{r}}$ explicitly. Without loss of generality, we assume that the marking of \mathbf{r} is 1. Then by definition,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & 0 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_3 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \\ -1 + r_1 & 1 & r_2 & r_3 & \cdots & r_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

In fact, one may further assume that **r** is reduced, that is, $r_1 = 0$ (see the proof of Lemma 4.2). After suitable row operations, it becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 + r_2 \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_3 + r_3 \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m + r_m \mathbf{a}_1 \\ -1 & 1 & r_2 & r_3 & \cdots & r_m \end{pmatrix}$$

Deleting the l₁-th column and the last row, we obtain the projection

(5.1)
$$\Lambda^{\mathbf{r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 + r_2 \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_3 + r_3 \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m + r_m \mathbf{a}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The notation $\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r} \end{pmatrix}$ can be extended in an obvious way. Let $\mathbf{r}^1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}^k$ be marked row vectors with mutually distinct markings. By relabeling the vertices of *K*, we may assume that $\mathbf{r}^v = (\mathbf{r}^v, v)$ has marking *v* for $v = 1, \ldots, k$. Then we define

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r}^{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}^{k} \end{pmatrix} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{1} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{2} & 0 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{k} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{k+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{m} \\ -1 + r_{1}^{1} & 1 & r_{2}^{1} & 0 & \cdots & r_{k}^{1} & 0 & r_{k+1}^{1} & \cdots & r_{m}^{1} \\ r_{1}^{2} & 0 & -1 + r_{2}^{2} & 1 & \cdots & r_{k}^{2} & 0 & r_{k+1}^{2} & \cdots & r_{m}^{2} \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots \\ r_{1}^{k} & 0 & r_{2}^{k} & 0 & \cdots & -1 + r_{k}^{k} & 1 & r_{k+1}^{k} & \cdots & r_{m}^{k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Again, if the above matrix defines a characteristic map over K(J), where the first k coordinates of J = (2, ..., 2, 1, ..., 1) are 2 and the remaining coordinates are 1, the matrix is a standard form if $\mathbf{r}^1, ..., \mathbf{r}^k$ are reduced.

Lemma 5.2 In the above settings, we have the following identity:

$$\operatorname{Proj}_{k_1}\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}^k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{\mathbf{r}^k} \\ (\mathbf{r}^1)^{\mathbf{r}^k} \\ \vdots \\ (\mathbf{r}^{k-1})^{\mathbf{r}^k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{r}^{s} = (\mathbf{r}, v)^{(s,w)}$ is a marked row vector in which marking v has the defining property

$$\operatorname{Proj}_{W_1} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{\mathbf{s}} \\ \mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{s}} \end{pmatrix}$$

To prove the lemma, it is necessary to show that \mathbf{r}^s is well defined up to the equivalence relation \sim_{Λ} . In the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{s} \end{pmatrix},$$

one can assume that $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{r}, 1)$ and $\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{s}, 2)$ and that \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{s} are reduced as before. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 & 0 & \mathbf{a}_2 & 0 & \mathbf{a}_3 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m \\ -1 & 1 & r_2 & 0 & r_3 & \cdots & r_m \\ s_1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & s_3 & \cdots & s_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

After a series of row operations, the matrix becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 + s_1 \mathbf{a}_2 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_3 + s_3 \mathbf{a}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_m + s_m \mathbf{a}_2 \\ -1 + s_1 r_2 & 1 & 0 & r_2 & r_3 + s_3 r_2 & \cdots & r_m + s_m r_2 \\ s_1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & s_3 & \cdots & s_m \end{pmatrix}$$

after which the 21-th column and the last row are deleted to obtain

$$\operatorname{Proj}_{2_{1}}\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_{1} + s_{1}\mathbf{a}_{2} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{3} + s_{3}\mathbf{a}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{m} + s_{m}\mathbf{a}_{2} \\ -1 + s_{1}r_{2} & 1 & r_{2} & r_{3} + s_{3}r_{2} & \cdots & r_{m} + s_{m}r_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

From (5.1) and the above formula, one concludes that

1...

 $\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 r_2 & r_2 & r_3 + s_3 r_2 & r_4 + s_4 r_2 & \cdots & r_m + s_m r_2 \end{pmatrix}.$

Care should be taken that \mathbf{r}^s itself is not reduced if $s_1r_2 \neq 0$. If we want \mathbf{r}^s to be reduced, then we can select a row vector \mathbf{r}' such that $\mathbf{r}' \sim_{\Lambda} \mathbf{r}^s$.

Proof of Lemma 5.2 The proof is a straightforward calculation once one assumes that $\mathbf{r}^1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}^k$ are reduced.

Now we are ready to prove the following.

Lemma 5.3 *A cube is realizable if and only if all of its subsquares are realizable.*

Proof In this proof, we assume that J = (2, ..., 2, 1, ..., 1) has k twos in its coordinates. The set I(J) of vertices of the corresponding cube is the same as $\{1, 2\}^k$. To simplify the notation, we omit the last (m-k) ones of $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k, 1, ..., 1) \in I(J)$ and write $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k)$.

For the "only if" part, we have a stronger fact that every subpuzzle of a realizable puzzle is again realizable (see Remark 3.12). For the "if" part, we use induction on the dimension k of the cube. When k = 2, it is a square and thus we are done. For v = 1, ..., k, let us denote $\mathbf{h}_{v} = (1, ..., 1, 2, 1, ..., 1) \in I(J)$ the vertex of the k-dimensional cube whose v-th coordinate is 2. There are exactly k edges connected to the center

vertex 1. Recall that every edge of a puzzle produces a characteristic map over a wedge of *K*. Therefore if $p(1) = \Lambda$, then one can assume that the edge connecting 1 and \mathbf{h}_{ν} corresponds to the standard form $\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r}^{\nu} \end{pmatrix}$, where \mathbf{r}^{ν} is a reduced marked row vector with marking ν for $\nu = 1, ..., k$. By uniqueness of standard forms, the proof is complete if it is shown that the matrix

$$\phi := \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}^k \end{pmatrix}$$

provides a realization of the cube, *i.e.*, it represents a characteristic map. By induction hypothesis, the facet p_1 determined by $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_{k-1}$ and its opposite facet p_2 are realizable. Let us denote by $\mathbf{h}_{v,k}$ the fourth vertex of the square determined by $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{h}_v, \mathbf{h}_k$. Then p_2 is the cube determined by $\mathbf{h}_k, \mathbf{h}_{1,k}, \mathbf{h}_{2,k}, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_{k-1,k}$. We study the edges connecting \mathbf{h}_k and $\mathbf{h}_{v,k}$. The square determined by $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{h}_v, \mathbf{h}_k, \mathbf{h}_{v,k}$ is realizable by the hypothesis and its characteristic map is

$$\phi_{\nu} := \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r}^{\nu} \\ \mathbf{r}^{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Proj}_{k_1} \phi_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{\mathbf{r}^k} \\ (\mathbf{r}^{\nu})^{\mathbf{r}^k} \end{pmatrix}$$

defines the characteristic map corresponding to the edge connecting \mathbf{h}_k and $\mathbf{h}_{\nu,k}$. Thus the standard form for p_2 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{\mathbf{r}^{k}} \\ (\mathbf{r}^{1})^{\mathbf{r}^{k}} \\ \vdots \\ (\mathbf{r}^{k-1})^{\mathbf{r}^{k}} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is exactly $\operatorname{Proj}_{k_1} \phi$ by Lemma 5.2. As we already know, the standard form for p_1 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \mathbf{r}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and it is exactly $\operatorname{Proj}_{k_2} \phi$. Because the set of column vectors $\{\phi(k_1), \phi(k_2)\}$ is unimodular, we can apply Proposition 4.5 to show that ϕ is non-singular.

By combining Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 5.3, we obtain the main result.

Theorem 5.4 A puzzle is realizable if and only if all of its subsquares are realizable.

Definition 5.5 A subgraph of D'(K) that is the image of a realizable subsquare is called a *realizable square* of D'(K). The *diagram* for *K*, denoted by D(K), is the triple (V, E, S) where *V* and *E* are the set of vertices and edges of D'(K), respectively, and

S is the set of realizable squares of D'(K). As in Definition 3.5, a diagram D(K) depends on the choice of Remark 1.1.

Remark 5.6 The diagram D(K) provides a complete classification of toric spaces of the choice of Remark 1.1 over K(J) for any J.

Remark 5.7 Note that the square of the form

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda_1 & \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} & \lambda_2 \\ w \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \lambda_1 & \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} & \lambda_2 \end{array}$$

is always realizable, because it corresponds to a canonical extension of the edge

$$\lambda_1 \xrightarrow{\nu} \lambda_2.$$

In general, a reducible puzzle is realizable if and only if

- · every edge parallel to a trivial edge is trivial
- and a maximal irreducible subpuzzle is realizable.

6 Combinatorics of Puzzles

In this section, we discuss the combinatorial interpretation of realizable puzzles and provide a few examples. Let *K* be a star-shaped simplicial sphere on [m] and $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ an *m*-tuple of positive integers. A realizable puzzle $p: G(J) \rightarrow D'(K)$ can be interpreted as a way to fill a board with stones by obeying the following rules:

- **Stones**: all characteristic maps $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell$ up to D-J classes over *K*.
- Board G(J): a stone is placed on each vertex of G(J).
- Rules D(K): a diagram D(K) is a pre-diagram D'(K) equipped with the list of realizable squares.
 - Two stones λ_i and λ_j can be connected on G(J) by an edge colored v only when λ_i and λ_j are connected in D'(K) by an edge colored v.
 - Any square on G(J) of form

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \lambda_1 & \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} & \lambda_2 \\ w \\ \lambda_3 & \stackrel{\nu}{\longrightarrow} & \lambda_4 \end{array}$$

is realizable.

Now let us provide a few examples of puzzles for $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$ which correspond to real topological toric manifolds over *K*. The reader can find other cases in [5].

Example 6.1 Let *K* be the boundary complex of a cyclic 4-polytope with 7 vertices. It is easy to see that *K* is a seed. Fixing an order of vertices, it is known that there are

two \mathbb{Z}_2 -characteristic maps up to D-J equivalence

	(1	0	0	0	1	0	1)			1	0	0	0	1	1	1)	
1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	and	and $\lambda_2 =$	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	
$\lambda_1 =$	0	0	1	0	1	1	0			0	0	1	0	0	1	1	ŀ
	0	0	0	1	1	1	1)			0	0	0	1	1	1	0)	

It is clear that there is no λ on a wedge of K whose two projections are λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively, so its pre-diagram D'(K) is a discrete graph with two vertices. Since it has no edge, for any $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+^7$, each puzzle only contains stones of one particular kind. In conclusion, there are only two puzzles for any J.

Example 6.2 Let $K = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 23, 34, 45, 56, 61\}$ be the boundary complex of a hexagon on $[6] = \{1, ..., 6\}$. Then, there are eleven \mathbb{Z}_2 -characteristic maps, and they can be separated into four types up to their rotational symmetries.

• TYPE 1: ababab type

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• TYPE 2: abcbcb type

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{2,1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_{2,3} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_{2,5} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_{2,6} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_{2,6} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

• TYPE 3: abcacb type

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{3,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \lambda_{3,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_{3,3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

• TYPE 4 abcabc type

$$\lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Lemma 3.8, one can obtain the following pre-diagram D'(K)



where

- a thick edge represents triple edges each of which is colored 2,4, and 6, respectively;
- a dashed edge represents triple edges each of which is colored 1,3, and 5, respectively;
- an edge with {*i*, *j*} represents double edges each of which is colored *i* and *j*, respectively.

One can check that the all possible irreducible rectangles in D'(K) are realizable. The following are some examples:



The following is an example of realizable puzzles on G(2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1).



Indeed, the puzzle has two irreducible squares and one reducible square. Since all irreducible squares are on the list of realizable squares and a reducible square is automatically realizable by Remark 5.7, the above puzzle is a realizable puzzle by Theorem 5.4. Furthermore, one can check that there are 119 realizable puzzles on G(2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1). We leave it as an exercise.

We remark that there are finitely many realizable puzzles for given *K* when $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$; therefore, it would be interesting to enumerate the number of realizable puzzles over *K*(*J*).

Question 6.3 Count the realizable puzzles over K(J) where $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and K is an *n*-gonal simplicial complex.

References

- A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F. R. Cohen, and S. Gitler, Operations on polyhedral products and a new topological construction of infinite families of toric manifolds. Homology Homotopy Appl. 17(2015), no. 2, 137–160. http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/HHA.2015.v17.n2.a8
- [2] V. V. Batyrev, On the classification of smooth projective toric varieties. Tohoku Math. J. (2) 43(1991), no. 4, 569–585. http://dx.doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178227429
- [3] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*. University Lecture Series, 24. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [4] S. Choi and H. Park, Wedge operations and torus symmetries. Tohoku Math. J. (2), 68(2016), no. 1, 91–138. http://dx.doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1458248864
- [5] _____, Wedge operations and a new family of projective toric manifolds. To appear in Israel J. Math. arxiv:1507.08919.
- [6] N. Erokhovets, Buchstaber invariant of simple polytopes. Russian Math. Surveys 63(2008), no. 5, 962–964 (Russian). http://dx.doi.org/10.4213/rm9231
- [7] B. Grünbaum, *Convex polytopes*. Second ed. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 221. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [8] P. Kleinschmidt, A classification of toric varieties with few generators. Aequationes Math. 35(1988), no. 2-3, 254–266. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01830946
- [9] P. Kleinschmidt and B. Sturmfels, Smooth toric varieties with small Picard number are projective. Topology 30(1991), no. 2, 289–299. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(91)90015-V
- [10] P. Mani, Spheres with few vertices. J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A 13(1972), 346–352. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(72)90068-4
- [11] T. Oda, Convex bodies and algebraic geometry. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete(3), 15. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

Department of Mathematics, Ajou University, 206, World cup-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon, 443-749, Republic of Korea

e-mail: schoi@ajou.ac.kr

School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS), 85 Hoegiro Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Republic of Korea

e-mail: hpark@kias.re.kr