



COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Constancy of generalized Hodge–Tate weights of a local system

Koji Shimizu

Compositio Math. **154** (2018), 2606–2642.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X1800742X](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X1800742X)



FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY
EST. 1865



Constancy of generalized Hodge–Tate weights of a local system

Koji Shimizu

ABSTRACT

Sen attached to each p -adic Galois representation of a p -adic field a multiset of numbers called generalized Hodge–Tate weights. In this paper, we discuss a rigidity of these numbers in a geometric family. More precisely, we consider a p -adic local system on a rigid analytic variety over a p -adic field and show that the multiset of generalized Hodge–Tate weights of the local system is constant. The proof uses the p -adic Riemann–Hilbert correspondence by Liu and Zhu, a Sen–Fontaine decompletion theory in the relative setting, and the theory of formal connections. We also discuss basic properties of Hodge–Tate sheaves on a rigid analytic variety.

1. Introduction

In the celebrated paper [Tat67], Tate studied the Galois cohomology of p -adic fields and obtained the so-called Hodge–Tate decomposition of the Tate module of a p -divisible group with good reduction. The paper has been influential in the developments of p -adic Hodge theory, and one of the earliest progresses was done by Sen. In [Sen81], he attached to each p -adic Galois representation of a p -adic field k a multiset of numbers that are algebraic over k . These numbers are called generalized Hodge–Tate weights, and they serve as one of the basic invariants in p -adic Hodge theory, especially for the study of Galois representations that may not be Hodge–Tate (e.g. Galois representations attached to finite slope overconvergent modular forms).

In this paper, we study how generalized Hodge–Tate weights vary in a geometric family. To be precise, we consider an étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on a rigid analytic varieties over k and regard it as a family of Galois representations of residue fields of its classical points. Here is one of the main theorems of this paper.

THEOREM 1.1 (Corollary 4.9). *Let X be a geometrically connected smooth rigid analytic variety over k and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on X . Then the generalized Hodge–Tate weights of the p -adic Galois representations $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{x}}$ of $k(x)$ are constant on the set of classical points x of X .*

The theorem gives one instance of the rigidity of a geometric family of Galois representations. It is worth noting that arithmetic families of Galois representations do not have such rigidity; consider a representation of the absolute Galois group of k with coefficients in some \mathbb{Q}_p -affinoid algebra. One can associate to each maximal ideal a Galois representation of k . In such a situation, the generalized Hodge–Tate weights vary over the maximal ideals.

Received 17 November 2017, accepted in final form 13 July 2018, published online 6 November 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 14G22 (primary).

Keywords: rigid analytic geometry, p -adic Hodge theory.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2018.

To explain ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.1 as well as other results of this paper, let us recall the work of Sen mentioned above. For each p -adic Galois representation V of k , we set

$$\mathcal{H}(V) := (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{C}_p)^{\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k_\infty)},$$

where \mathbb{C}_p is the p -adic completion of \bar{k} and $k_\infty := k(\mu_{p^\infty})$ is the cyclotomic extension of k . This is a vector space over the p -adic completion K of k_∞ equipped with a continuous semilinear action of $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$ and satisfies $\dim_K \mathcal{H}(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$. Sen developed a theory of decompletion; he found a natural k_∞ -vector subspace $\mathcal{H}(V)_{\text{fin}} \subset \mathcal{H}(V)$ that is stable under $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$ -action and satisfies $\mathcal{H}(V)_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{k_\infty} K = \mathcal{H}(V)$. He then defined a k_∞ -endomorphism ϕ_V on $\mathcal{H}(V)_{\text{fin}}$, called the Sen endomorphism of V , by considering the infinitesimal action of $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$. The generalized Hodge–Tate weights are defined to be eigenvalues of ϕ_V .

Therefore, the first step toward Theorem 1.1 is to define generalizations of $\mathcal{H}(V)$ and ϕ_V for each \mathbb{Q}_p -local system. For this, we use the p -adic Simpson correspondence by Liu and Zhu [LZ17]; based on recent developments in relative p -adic Hodge theory by Kedlaya–Liu and Scholze, Liu and Zhu associated to each \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} on X a vector bundle $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ of the same rank on X_K equipped with a $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$ -action and a Higgs field, where X_K is the base change of X to K . When X is a point and \mathbb{L} corresponds to V , this agrees with $\mathcal{H}(V)$ as the notation suggests. Following Sen, we will define the arithmetic Sen endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ of \mathbb{L} by decompleting $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ and considering the infinitesimal action of $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$. Then Theorem 1.1 is reduced to the following.

THEOREM 1.2 (Theorem 4.8). *The eigenvalues of $\phi_{\mathbb{L},x}$ for $x \in X_K$ are algebraic over k and constant on X_K .*

Before discussing ideas of the proof, let us mention consequences of Theorem 1.2. Sen proved that a p -adic Galois representation V is Hodge–Tate if and only if ϕ_V is semisimple with integer eigenvalues. In the same way, we use $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ to study Hodge–Tate sheaves. We define a sheaf $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ on the étale site $X_{\text{ét}}$ by

$$D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) := \nu_*(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\text{BHT}}),$$

where \mathcal{O}_{BHT} is the Hodge–Tate period sheaf on the pro-étale site $X_{\text{proét}}$ and $\nu : X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$ is the projection (see § 5). A \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} is called *Hodge–Tate* if $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a vector bundle on X of rank equal to $\text{rank } \mathbb{L}$.

THEOREM 1.3 (Theorem 5.5). *The following conditions are equivalent for a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} on X :*

- (i) \mathbb{L} is Hodge–Tate;
- (ii) $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ is semisimple with integer eigenvalues.

The study of the Sen endomorphism for a geometric family was initiated by Brinon as a generalization of Sen’s theory to the case of non-perfect residue fields [Bri03]. Tsuji obtained Theorem 1.3 in the case of schemes with semistable reduction [Tsu11].

Using this characterization, we prove the following basic property of Hodge–Tate sheaves.

THEOREM 1.4 (Theorem 5.10). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth proper morphism between smooth rigid analytic varieties over k and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Z}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Then if \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$, $R^i f_* \mathbb{L}$ is a Hodge–Tate sheaf on $Y_{\text{ét}}$.*

Hyodo introduced the notion of Hodge–Tate sheaves and proved Theorem 1.4 in the case of schemes [Hyo86]. Links between Hodge–Tate sheaves and the p -adic Simpson correspondence can be seen in his work and were also studied by Abbes–Gros–Tsuji [AGT16] and Tsuji [Tsu18]. In fact, they undertook a systematic development of the p -adic Simpson correspondence started by Faltings [Fal05] and their focus is much broader than ours. Andreatta and Brinon also studied Higgs modules and Sen endomorphisms in a different setting [AB10]. In these works, one is restricted to working with schemes or log schemes, whereas we work with rigid analytic varieties.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2. The key idea to obtain such constancy is to describe $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ as the residue of a certain formal integrable connection. Such an idea occurs in the work [AB10] of Andreatta and Brinon. Roughly speaking, they associated to \mathbb{L} a formal connection over some pro-étale cover of X_K when X is an affine scheme admitting invertible coordinates. In our case, we want to work over X_K , and thus we use the geometric p -adic Riemann–Hilbert correspondence by Liu and Zhu [LZ17] and Fontaine’s decompletion theory for the de Rham period ring $B_{\text{dR}}(K)$ in the relative setting.

Liu and Zhu associated to each \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} on X a locally free $\mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} B_{\text{dR}}(K)$ -module $\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})$ equipped with a filtration, an integrable connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_X^1,$$

and a $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$ -action (see §4.1 for the notation). To regard $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ as a residue, we also need a connection in the arithmetic direction $B_{\text{dR}}(K)$. For this we use Fontaine’s decompletion theory [Fon04]; recall the natural inclusion $k_\infty((t)) \subset B_{\text{dR}}(K)$ where t is the p -adic analogue of the complex period $2\pi i$. Fontaine extended the work of Sen and developed a decompletion theory for $B_{\text{dR}}(K)$ -representations of $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$. We generalize Fontaine’s decompletion theory to the relative setting, i.e. that for $\mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} B_{\text{dR}}(K)$ -modules (Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 2.24), which yields an endomorphism $\phi_{\text{dR},\mathbb{L}}$ on $\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})_{\text{fin}}$ satisfying

$$\phi_{\text{dR},\mathbb{L}}(t^n v) = nt^n v + t^n \phi_{\text{dR},\mathbb{L}}(v)$$

and $\text{gr}^0 \phi_{\text{dR},\mathbb{L}} = \phi_{\mathbb{L}}$. Informally, this means that we have an integrable connection

$$\nabla + \frac{\phi_{\text{dR},\mathbb{L}}}{t} \otimes dt : \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes ((\mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} B_{\text{dR}}(K)) \otimes \Omega_X^1 + (\mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} B_{\text{dR}}(K)) \otimes dt)$$

over $X \hat{\otimes} B_{\text{dR}}(K)$ whose residue along $t = 0$ coincides with the arithmetic Sen endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$. We develop a theory of formal connections to analyze our connection and prove Theorem 1.2.

Finally, let us mention two more results in this paper. The first result is a rigidity of Hodge–Tate local systems of rank at most two.

THEOREM 1.5 (Theorem 5.12). *Let X be a geometrically connected smooth rigid analytic variety over k and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Assume that $\text{rank } \mathbb{L}$ is at most two. If $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{x}}$ is a Hodge–Tate representation at a classical point $x \in X$, then \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf. In particular, $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{y}}$ is a Hodge–Tate representation at every classical point $y \in X$.*

Liu and Zhu proved such a rigidity for de Rham local systems [LZ17, Theorem 1.3]. We do not know whether a similar statement holds for Hodge–Tate local systems of higher rank.

The second result concerns the relative p -adic monodromy conjecture for de Rham local systems; the conjecture states that a de Rham local system on X becomes semistable at every classical point after a finite étale extension of X (cf. [KL15, §0.8], [LZ17, Remark 1.4]). This is a relative version of the p -adic monodromy theorem proved by Berger [Ber02], and it is a

major open problem in relative p -adic Hodge theory. We work on the case of de Rham local systems with a single Hodge–Tate weight, in which case the result follows from a theorem of Sen (Theorem 5.13).

THEOREM 1.6 (Theorem 5.15). *Let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over k and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Z}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Assume that \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf with a single Hodge–Tate weight. Then there exists a finite étale cover $f : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $(f^*\mathbb{L})_{\bar{y}}$ is semistable at every classical point y of Y .*

This is the simplest case of the relative p -adic monodromy conjecture. In [Col08], Colmez gave a proof of the p -adic monodromy theorem for de Rham Galois representations using Sen’s theorem mentioned above. It is an interesting question whether one can adapt Colmez’s strategy to the relative setting using Theorem 1.6.

The organization of the paper is as follows: §2 presents Sen–Fontaine’s decompletion theory in the relative setting. In §3, we review the p -adic Simpson correspondence by Liu and Zhu, and define the arithmetic Sen endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$. Section 4 discusses a Fontaine-type decompletion for the geometric p -adic Riemann–Hilbert correspondence by Liu and Zhu, and develops a theory of formal connections. Combining them together we prove Theorem 1.1. Section 5 presents applications of the study of the arithmetic Sen endomorphism including basic properties of Hodge–Tate sheaves, a rigidity of Hodge–Tate sheaves, and the relative p -adic monodromy conjecture.

Conventions. We will use Huber’s adic spaces as our language for non-Archimedean analytic geometry. In particular, a rigid analytic variety over \mathbb{Q}_p will refer to a quasi-separated adic space that is locally of finite type over $\text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. See [Hub94, §4], [Hub96, 1.11.1].

We will use Scholze’s theory of perfectoid spaces and pro-étale site. For the pro-étale site, we will use the one introduced in [Sch13, Sch16].

2. Sen–Fontaine’s decompletion theory for an arithmetic family

2.1 Set-up

Let k be a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 with perfect residue field of characteristic p . We set $k_m := k(\mu_{p^m})$ and $k_{\infty} := \varinjlim_m k_m$. Let K denote the p -adic completion of k_{∞} . We set $\Gamma_k := \text{Gal}(k_{\infty}/k)$. Then Γ_k is identified with an open subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} via the cyclotomic character $\chi : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ and it acts continuously on K .

Let L_{dR}^+ (respectively L_{dR}) denote the de Rham period ring $B_{\text{dR}}^+(K)$ (respectively $B_{\text{dR}}(K)$) introduced by Fontaine. We fix a compatible sequence of p -power roots of unity (ζ_{p^n}) and set $t := \log[\varepsilon]$ where $\varepsilon = (1, \zeta_p, \zeta_{p^2}, \dots) \in \mathcal{O}_{K^{\flat}}$. Then Γ_k acts on t via the cyclotomic character and the \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule $\mathbb{Z}_p t \subset L_{\text{dR}}^+$ does not depend on the choice of (ζ_{p^n}) . Note that L_{dR} is a discrete valuation ring with residue field K , fraction field L_{dR} , and uniformizer t , and that $k_{\infty}[[t]]$ is embedded into L_{dR}^+ .

We now recall the Sen–Fontaine’s decompletion theory [Sen81, Theorem 3], [Fon04, Théorème 3.6].

THEOREM 2.1. (i) (*Sen*) *Let V be a K -representation of Γ_k . Denote by V_{fin} the union of finite-dimensional k -vector subspaces of V that are stable under the action of Γ_k . Then the natural map*

$$V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{k_{\infty}} K \rightarrow V$$

is an isomorphism.

(ii) (Fontaine) Let V be an L_{dR}^+ -representation of Γ_k and set

$$V_{\text{fin}} := \varprojlim_n (V/t^n V)_{\text{fin}},$$

where $(V/t^n V)_{\text{fin}}$ is defined to be the union of finite-dimensional k -vector subspaces of $V/t^n V$ that are stable under the action of Γ_k . Then the natural map

$$V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{k_\infty[[t]]} L_{\text{dR}}^+$$

is an isomorphism.

Using this theorem, Sen defined the so-called Sen endomorphism ϕ_V on V_∞ for a K -representation V of Γ_k (cf. [Sen81, Theorem 4]), and Fontaine defined a formal connection on V_{fin} for an L_{dR}^+ -representation V of Γ_k (cf. [Fon04, Proposition 3.7]).

We now turn to the relative setting. Let A be a Tate k -algebra that is reduced and topologically of finite type over k . It is equipped with the supremum norm and we use this norm when we regard A as a Banach k -algebra. We further assume that (A, A°) is smooth over (k, \mathcal{O}_k) . We set

$$A_{k_m} := A \hat{\otimes}_k k_m, \quad A_\infty := \varinjlim_m A_{k_m} \quad \text{and} \quad A_K := A \hat{\otimes}_k K.$$

Here we use a slightly heavy notation A_{k_m} to reserve A_m for a different ring in a later section. Since A , k_m , and K are all complete Tate k -algebras, the completed tensor product is well-defined (or one can use Banach k -algebra structures). Note that A_{k_m} (respectively A_K) is a complete Tate k_m -algebra (respectively K -algebra), that A_∞ is a Tate k_∞ -algebra and that A_K is the completion of A_∞ .

We introduce the relative versions of $k_\infty[[t]]$, L_{dR}^+ , and L_{dR} over A . We set

$$A_\infty[[t]] := \varprojlim_n A_\infty[t]/(t^n),$$

and equip $A_\infty[[t]]$ with the inverse limit topology of Tate k_∞ -algebras $A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$. We also set

$$A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+ := \varprojlim_n A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n),$$

and equip $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ with the inverse limit topology. We finally set

$$A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}} = (A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+)[t^{-1}]$$

and equip $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}$ with the inductive limit topology. Note that Γ_k acts continuously on these rings (cf. [Bel15, Appendix]).

DEFINITION 2.2. In this paper, an $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation of Γ_k is an $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -module V that is isomorphic to either $(A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+)^r$ or $(A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n))^r$ for some r and n , equipped with a continuous $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -semilinear action of Γ_k . We denote the category of $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representations of Γ_k by $\text{Rep}_{\Gamma_k}(A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+)$. An $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation of Γ_k that is annihilated by t is also called an A_K -representation of Γ_k .

If V is isomorphic to either $(A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+)^r$ or $(A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n))^r$ then V admits a topology by taking a basis and the topology is independent of the choice of the basis. Thus the continuity condition of the action of Γ_k makes sense. Note that if V is an $A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation of Γ_k , then so are $t^n V$ and $V/t^n V$.

We are going to discuss the relative version of Sen–Fontaine’s theory. Namely, we will work on A_K -representations of Γ_k and $A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representations of Γ_k . Note that Sen’s theory in the relative setting is established by Sen himself [Sen88, Sen93] and that Fontaine’s decompletion theory in the relative setting is established by Berger–Colmez and Bellovin for representations which come from A -representations of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ via the theory of (φ, Γ) -modules [BC08, Bel15]. Since we need a Fontaine-type decompletion theory for arbitrary $A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representations of Γ_k , we give detailed arguments; we will discuss the decompletion theory in the next subsection, and define Sen’s endomorphism and Fontaine’s connection in § 2.3.

We end this subsection with establishing basic properties of the rings we have introduced.

PROPOSITION 2.3.

- (i) For each $n \geq 1$, $A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$ is Noetherian and faithfully flat over $A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$.
- (ii) $A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+$ is a t -adically complete flat L_{dR}^+ -algebra with $(A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+)/(t^n) = A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$.

Proof. For (i), the first assertion is proved in [BMS18, Lemma 13.4]. We prove that $A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$ is faithfully flat over $A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$.

First we deal with the case $n = 1$, i.e. faithful flatness of A_K over A_∞ . The proof is similar to that of [AB10, Lemme 5.9]. Recall $A_\infty = \varinjlim_n A_{k_m}$. Since k_m and K are both complete valuation fields, $A_K = A_{k_m} \hat{\otimes}_{k_m} K$ is faithfully flat over A_{k_m} (e.g. use [BGR84, Proposition 2.1.7/8 and Theorem 2.8.2/2]).

We prove that A_K is flat over A_∞ . For this it suffices to show that for any finitely generated ideal $I \subset A_\infty$, the map $I \otimes_{A_\infty} A_K \rightarrow A_K$ is injective. Take such an ideal I . As I is finitely generated, there exist a positive integer m and a finitely generated ideal $I_m \subset A_{k_m}$ such that $I = \text{Im}(I_m \otimes_{A_{k_m}} A_\infty \rightarrow A_\infty)$. Since A_K is flat over A_{k_m} , the map $I_m \otimes_{A_{k_m}} A_K \rightarrow A_K$ is injective. On the other hand, this map factors as $I_m \otimes_{A_{k_m}} A_K \rightarrow I \otimes_{A_\infty} A_K \rightarrow A_K$ and the first map is surjective by the choice of I_m . Hence the second map $I \otimes_{A_\infty} A_K \rightarrow A_K$ is injective.

For faithful flatness, it remains to prove that the map $\text{Spec } A_K \rightarrow \text{Spec } A_\infty$ is surjective. Assume the contrary and take a prime ideal $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec } A_\infty$ that is not in the image of the map. Set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap A \in \text{Spec } A$. Note that the prime ideals of A_∞ above \mathfrak{p} are conjugate to each other by the action of Γ_k . From this we see that no prime ideal of A_∞ above \mathfrak{p} is in the image of $\text{Spec } A_K \rightarrow \text{Spec } A_\infty$. Hence \mathfrak{p} does not lie in the image of $\text{Spec } A_K \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$, which contradicts that A_K is faithfully flat over A .

Next we deal with the general n . By the local flatness criterion [Mat89, Theorem 22.3] applied to the nilpotent ideal $(t) \subset A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$, the flatness follows from the case $n = 1$. Moreover, since $\text{Spec } A_K \rightarrow \text{Spec } A_\infty$ is surjective, so is $\text{Spec } A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$. Hence $A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$ is faithfully flat over $A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$.

Assertion (ii) is proved in [BMS18, Lemma 13.4]. Note that the proof of [BMS18, Lemma 13.4] works in our setting since we assume the smoothness of A . □

2.2 Sen–Fontaine’s decompletion theory in the relative setting

DEFINITION 2.4. For an $A \hat{\otimes}_k L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation V of Γ_k , we define the subspace V_{fin} as follows.

- If V is annihilated by t^n for some $n \geq 1$, then V_{fin} is defined to be the union of finitely generated A -submodules of V that are stable under the action of Γ_k .

– In general, define

$$V_{\text{fin}} := \varprojlim_n (V/t^n V)_{\text{fin}}.$$

If V is killed by t^n , then V_{fin} is an $A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$ -module. In general, V_{fin} is an $A_\infty[[t]]$ -module equipped with a semilinear action of Γ_k .

The following theorem is the main goal of this subsection.

THEOREM 2.5. *For an $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation V of Γ_k that is finite free of rank r over $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$, the $A_\infty[[t]]$ -module V_{fin} is finite free of rank r . Moreover, the natural map*

$$V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{A_\infty[[t]]} (A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+) \rightarrow V$$

is an isomorphism, and $V_{\text{fin}}/t^n V_{\text{fin}}$ is isomorphic to $(V/t^n V)_{\text{fin}}$ for each $n \geq 1$.

The key tool in the proof is the Sen method, which is axiomatized in [BC08, § 3]. We review parts of the Tate–Sen conditions that are used in our proofs. For a thorough treatment, we refer the reader to [BC08, § 3].

Consider Tate’s normalized trace map

$$R_{k,m} = R_m : K \rightarrow k_m.$$

On $k_{m+m'} \subset K$, this map is defined as

$$[k_{m+m'} : k_m]^{-1} \text{tr}_{k_{m+m'}/k_m} : k_{m+m'} \rightarrow k_m,$$

and it extends continuously to $R_{k,m} : K \rightarrow k_m$. We denote the kernel $\text{Ker } R_{k,m}$ by X_m . The map $R_{k,m}$ extends A -linearly to the map $R_{A,m} : A_K \rightarrow A_{k_m}$. Fix a real number $c_3 > 1$. By work of Tate and Sen [BC08, Propositions 3.1.4 and 4.1.1], $G_0 = \Gamma_k$, $\tilde{\Lambda} = A_K$, R_m , and the valuation val on A_K satisfy the Tate–Sen axioms in [BC08, § 3] for any fixed positive numbers c_1 and c_2 .

In particular, $X_{A,m} := A \hat{\otimes}_k X_m$ is the kernel of $R_{A,m}$, and we have topological splitting $A_K = A_{k_m} \oplus X_{A,m}$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$, let $m(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the valuation of $\chi(\gamma) - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then there exists a positive integer $m(k)$ such that for each $m \geq m(k)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$ with $m(\gamma) \leq m$, $\gamma - 1$ is invertible on $X_{A,m}$ and

$$\text{val}((\gamma - 1)^{-1}a) \geq \text{val}(a) - c_3$$

for each $a \in A_K$.

Finally, for each matrix $U = (a_{ij}) \in M_r(A_K)$, we set $\text{val } U := \min_{i,j} \text{val } a_{ij}$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Each finitely generated A -submodule of A_K that is stable under the action of an open subgroup of Γ_k is contained in A_∞ .*

Proof. We follow the proof of [Sen81, Proposition 3]. By [BC08, Corollaire 2.1.4], there exist complete discrete valuation fields E_1, \dots, E_s and an isometric embedding $A \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^s E_i$. Then extending the scalar yields an isometric embedding

$$A_K = A_{k_m} \oplus X_{A,m} \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^s E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K = \prod_{i=1}^s (E_i \hat{\otimes}_k k_m \oplus E_i \hat{\otimes}_k X_m)$$

preserving the topological splittings.

Let Γ'_k be an open subgroup of Γ_k and W a finitely generated A -submodule of A_K that is stable under the action of Γ'_k . Let W_i be the finite-dimensional E_i -vector subspace of $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K$ generated by the image of W under the map $A_K \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^s E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K \rightarrow E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K$. To prove that W is contained in $A_\infty = \bigcup_m A_{k_m}$, it suffices to prove that for each i , there exists a large integer m such that W_i is contained in $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k k_m$.

Replacing Γ'_k by a smaller open subgroup if necessary, we may assume that there exists a topological generator γ of Γ'_k . Replacing E_i by a finite field extension, we may also assume that all the eigenvalues of the E_i -endomorphism γ on W_i lie in E_i .

Let $w \in W_i$ be an eigenvector for γ and let $\lambda_i \in E$ be its eigenvalue. Note that Γ'_k acts continuously on W_i . When j goes to infinity, γ^{p^j} approaches 1 and thus λ^{p^j} approaches 1. This implies that λ is a principal unit, i.e. $|\lambda - 1|_{E_i} < 1$.

LEMMA 2.7. *The eigenvalue λ is a p -power root of unity.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [Tat67, Proposition 7(c)]. Assume the contrary. We will prove that $\gamma - \lambda : E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K \rightarrow E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K$ is bijective, which would contradict that the non-zero element $w \in W_i \subset E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K$ satisfies $(\gamma - \lambda)w = 0$.

Let m be the integer such that k_m is the fixed subfield of k_∞ by γ . Consider the map $\gamma - 1 : E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K \rightarrow E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K$. This map preserves the decomposition $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K = E_i \hat{\otimes}_k k_m \oplus E_i \hat{\otimes}_k X_m$. Moreover, it is zero on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k k_m$ and bijective on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k X_m$ with continuous inverse. Denote the inverse by ρ . Then ρ is a bounded $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k k_m$ -linear operator with operator norm at most p^{c_3} . Since $\lambda \in E_i$ and $\lambda \neq 1$, the map $\gamma - \lambda$ is bijective on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k k_m$. So it suffices to prove that $\gamma - \lambda$ is bijective on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k X_m$.

As operators on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k X_m$, we have

$$(\gamma - \lambda)\rho = ((\gamma - 1) - (\lambda - 1))\rho = 1 - (\lambda - 1)\rho.$$

Thus if $|\lambda - 1|_{E_i} p^{c_3} < 1$, then $1 - (\lambda - 1)\rho$ has an inverse on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k X_m$ given by a geometric series, and hence $\gamma - \lambda$ admits a continuous inverse on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k X_m$. If $|\lambda - 1|_{E_i} p^{c_3} \geq 1$, first take a large integer j with $|\lambda^{p^j} - 1|_{E_i} p^{c_3} < 1$. Then we can prove that $\gamma^{p^j} - \lambda^{p^j}$ has a bounded inverse on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k X_m$. Hence so does $\gamma - \lambda$. □

We continue the proof of the proposition. Since each eigenvalue of γ on W_i is a p -power root of unity, we replace γ by a higher p -power and may assume that γ acts on W_i unipotently. Thus $\gamma - 1$ acts on W_i nilpotently.

Let m be the integer such that k_m is the fixed subfield of k_∞ by γ . Then the map $\gamma - 1 : E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K \rightarrow E_i \hat{\otimes}_k K$ is zero on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k k_m$ and bijective on $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k X_m$. This implies that the nilpotent endomorphism $\gamma - 1$ on W_i is actually zero and thus W_i is contained in $E_i \hat{\otimes}_k k_m$. □

Example 2.8. For the trivial A_K -representation $V = A_K$ of Γ_k , we have $V_{\text{fin}} = A_\infty$ by Proposition 2.6.

The following theorem describes V_{fin} for a general A_K -representation V of Γ_k , and it was first proved by Sen [Sen88, Sen93].

THEOREM 2.9. *For an A_K -representation V of Γ_k , the A_∞ -module V_{fin} is finite free. Moreover, the natural map*

$$V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{A_\infty} A_K \rightarrow V$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. First we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.10. *There exist an A_K -basis $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V$ and a large positive integer m such that the transformation matrix of γ with respect to this basis has entries in A_{k_m} for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$.*

Proof. This follows from the Tate–Sen method for Γ_k -representations in the relative setting. By [Che09, Lemme 3.18], V has a Γ_k -stable A_K° -lattice. Note that [Che09, Lemme 3.18] only concerns reduced affinoid algebras over a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p but the same proof works for A_K since one can apply Raynaud’s theory to A_K .

By [BC08, Corollaire 3.2.4], there exist an A_K -basis $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V$, a large positive integer m , and an open subgroup Γ'_k of Γ_k such that the transformation matrix of γ with respect to this basis has entries in A_{k_m} for each $\gamma \in \Gamma'_k$. By shrinking Γ'_k if necessary, we may also assume that Γ'_k acts trivially on A_{k_m} .

For each $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$, we denote by $U_\gamma \in \text{GL}_r(A_K)$ the transformation matrix of γ with respect to v_1, \dots, v_r . Note that $U_{\gamma\gamma'} = U_\gamma\gamma'(U_{\gamma'})$ for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_k$.

Take a set $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s\}$ of coset representatives of Γ_k/Γ'_k and let W be the finitely generated A_{k_m} -submodule of A_K generated by the entries of $U_{\gamma_1}, \dots, U_{\gamma_s}$. Since $U_{\gamma_i\gamma'} = U_{\gamma_i}\gamma'(U_{\gamma'})$ and $\gamma_i(U_{\gamma'})$ has entries in A_{k_m} for $\gamma' \in \Gamma'_k$ by our construction, it follows that W is independent of the choice of the representatives $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$. Moreover, we have $\gamma'(U_{\gamma_i}) = U_{\gamma'}^{-1}U_{\gamma'\gamma_i}$ for $\gamma' \in \Gamma'_k$. From this we see that W is stable under the action of Γ'_k .

Proposition 2.6 implies that $W \subset A_\infty$, namely, $U_{\gamma_1}, \dots, U_{\gamma_s} \in \text{GL}_r(A_\infty)$. Thus if we increase m so that $U_{\gamma_1}, \dots, U_{\gamma_s} \in \text{GL}_r(A_{k_m})$, then $U_\gamma \in \text{GL}_r(A_{k_m})$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$. □

We keep the notation in the proof of the lemma. From the lemma, we see that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_\infty v_i \subset V_{\text{fin}}$. So it suffices to prove that this is an equality.

Take any $v \in V_{\text{fin}}$. Let W_v be the A_{k_m} -submodule of A_K generated by the coordinates of γv with respect to the basis v_1, \dots, v_r where γ runs over all elements of Γ_k . Since $v \in V_{\text{fin}}$, this is a finitely generated A_{k_m} -module.

Write $v = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i v_i$ with $a_i \in A_K$ and denote the column vector of the a_i by \vec{a} . Then it is easy to see that W_v is generated by the entries of $U_\gamma\gamma(\vec{a})$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma_k$). Since $U_{\gamma'\gamma} = U_{\gamma'}\gamma'(U_\gamma)$ for $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_k$, we compute

$$\gamma'(U_\gamma\gamma(\vec{a})) = U_{\gamma'}^{-1}U_{\gamma'\gamma}(\gamma'\gamma(\vec{a})).$$

From this we see that W_v is stable under the action of Γ_k .

By Proposition 2.6, we have $W_v \subset A_\infty$. In particular, $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A_\infty$ and thus $v \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_\infty v_i$. □

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let V be an $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation of Γ_k . If V is finite free of rank r over $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$, then V_{fin} is finite free of rank r over $A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$. Moreover, the natural map*

$$V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{A_\infty[[t]]} (A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+) \rightarrow V$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We prove this proposition by induction on n . When $n = 1$, this is Theorem 2.9. So we assume $n > 1$.

Set $V' := t^{n-1}V$ and $V'' := V/V'$. They are $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representations of Γ_k and V'' is finite free of rank r over $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^{n-1})$. By induction hypothesis, V''_{fin} is finite free of rank r over $A_\infty[t]/(t^{n-1})$ and $V''_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{A_\infty[t]/(t^{n-1})} A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^{n-1}) \cong V''$.

Take lifts v_1, \dots, v_r of a basis of V''_{fin} to V . Then v_1, \dots, v_r form an $A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$ -basis of V . We will prove that after a suitable modification of v_1, \dots, v_r the transformation matrix of γ on V with respect to the new basis has entries in $A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$.

Suppose that we are given an element γ of Γ_k . For each $1 \leq j \leq r$, write $\gamma v_j = \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ij} v_i$ with $a_{ij} \in A \hat{\otimes} L^+_{\text{dR}}/(t^n)$. Then the $r \times r$ matrix $T := (a_{ij})$ is invertible since it is invertible modulo t^{n-1} . By the property of V''_{fin} , we can write

$$a_{ij} = a^0_{ij} + t^{n-1} a^1_{ij}, \quad a^0_{ij} \in A_\infty[t]/(t^n), \quad a^1_{ij} \in A_K = A \hat{\otimes} L^+_{\text{dR}}/(t).$$

Set $U := (a^0_{ij} \bmod t) \in M_r(A_\infty)$. This is invertible. In fact, U is the transformation matrix of γ acting on V/tV with respect to the basis $(v_i \bmod t)$.

Since Γ_k acts continuously on V/tV , $\text{val}(U - 1) > c_3$ and $m(\gamma) > \max\{c_3, m(k)\}$ for some $\gamma \neq 1$ close to 1. From now on, we fix such γ .

CLAIM 2.12. *There exists an element in $\text{GL}_r(A \hat{\otimes} L^+_{\text{dR}}/(t^n))$ of the form $1 + t^{n-1}M$ with $M \in M_r(A_K)$ such that the $r \times r$ matrix*

$$(1 + t^{n-1}M)^{-1}T\gamma(1 + t^{n-1}M)$$

lies in $\text{GL}_r(A_\infty[t]/(t^n))$.

Proof. Noting that every element in $A \hat{\otimes} L^+_{\text{dR}}/(t^n)$ is annihilated by t^n , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + t^{n-1}M)^{-1}T\gamma(1 + t^{n-1}M) &= (1 - t^{n-1}M)T(1 + \chi(\gamma)^{n-1}t^{n-1}\gamma(M)) \\ &= T - t^{n-1}(MT - \chi(\gamma)^{n-1}T\gamma(M)) \\ &\quad - t^{2(n-1)}\chi(\gamma)^{n-1}MT\gamma(M) \\ &= T - t^{n-1}(MU - \chi(\gamma)^{n-1}U\gamma(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $T = (a^0_{ij}) + t^{n-1}(a^1_{ij})$ with $(a^0_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_r(A_\infty[t]/(t^n))$, it suffices to find $M \in M_r(A_K)$ such that

$$(a^1_{ij}) - (MU - \chi(\gamma)^{n-1}U\gamma(M)) \in M_r(A_\infty).$$

We will apply Lemma 2.13 below to U , $U' = U^{-1}$ and $s = n - 1$. Take $m \geq m(\gamma)$ large enough so that U and U^{-1} lie in $\text{GL}_r(A_{k_m})$. Recall the normalized trace map $R_{A,m} : A_K \rightarrow A_{k_m}$ with kernel $X_{A,m}$. Since $R_{A,m}$ is A_{k_m} -linear, we see that $((1 - R_{A,m})(a^1_{ij}))U^{-1} \in M_r(X_{A,m})$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.13, there exists $M_0 \in M_r(X_{A,m})$ such that

$$((1 - R_{A,m})(a^1_{ij}))U^{-1} = M_0 - \chi(\gamma)^{n-1}U\gamma(M_0)U^{-1}.$$

From this we have

$$(a^1_{ij}) - (M_0U - \chi(\gamma)^{n-1}U\gamma(M_0)) = R_{A,m}(a^1_{ij}) \in M_r(A_{k_m}),$$

and the matrix $1 + t^{n-1}M_0$ satisfies the condition of the lemma. □

We continue the proof of the proposition. We replace the basis v_1, \dots, v_r by the one corresponding to the matrix $1 + t^{n-1}M$ in the lemma. Then the transformation matrix of our fixed γ with respect to the new v_1, \dots, v_r has entries in $A_{k_m}[t]/(t^n)$. Thus for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, the $\gamma^{\mathbb{Z}^p}$ -orbit of v_i is contained in a finitely generated $A_{k_m}[t]/(t^n)$ -submodule of V that is stable under $\gamma^{\mathbb{Z}^p}$. Since $\gamma^{\mathbb{Z}^p}$ is of finite index in Γ_k , the Γ_k -orbit of v_i is also contained in a finitely

generated $A_{k_m}[t]/(t^n)$ -submodule of V that is stable under Γ_k . This means that $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V_{\text{fin}}$. Hence $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_\infty[t]/(t^n)v_i \subset V_{\text{fin}}$.

It remains to prove that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_\infty[t]/(t^n)v_i = V_{\text{fin}}$. Since $A_\infty[t]/(t^n) \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$ is faithfully flat and $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)v_i$, it is enough to show that the natural map $V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{A_\infty[t]/(t^n)} A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n) \rightarrow V$ is injective. Note that $V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{A_\infty[t]/(t^n)} A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n) = V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{A_\infty[[t]]} A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$.

Recall the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V \rightarrow V'' \rightarrow 0$. From this we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V'_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow V''_{\text{fin}}$, and it yields the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & V'_{\text{fin}} \otimes (A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+) & \longrightarrow & V_{\text{fin}} \otimes (A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+) & \longrightarrow & V''_{\text{fin}} \otimes (A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & V' & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & V'' \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where the tensor products in the first row are taken over $A_\infty[[t]]$. By induction hypothesis, the first and the third vertical maps are isomorphisms. Hence the second vertical map is injective and this completes the proof. \square

The following lemma is used in the proof of Proposition 2.11.

LEMMA 2.13. *Let s be a positive integer. Let U, U' be elements in $M_r(A_\infty)$ satisfying $\text{val}(U - 1) > c_3$ and $\text{val}(U' - 1) > c_3$. Take a positive integer m such that $m > \max\{m(k), c_3\}$ and $U, U' \in M_r(A_{k_m})$. Then for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$ with $c_3 < m(\gamma) \leq m$, the map*

$$f : M_r(A_K) \rightarrow M_r(A_K), \quad M \mapsto M - \chi(\gamma)^s U \gamma(M) U'$$

is bijective on the subset $M_r(X_{A,m})$ consisting of the $r \times r$ matrices with entries in the kernel $X_{A,m}$ of $R_{A,m} : A_K \rightarrow A_{k_m}$.

Proof. The proof of [BC09, Lemma 15.3.9] works in our setting. For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce their proof here.

We first check that f restricts to an endomorphism on $M_r(X_{A,m})$. This follows from the fact that the map $R_{A,m}$ is A_{k_m} -linear and Γ_k -equivariant and thus $X_{A,m}$ is an A_{k_m} -module stable under the action of Γ_k .

We define a map $h : M_r(A_K) \rightarrow M_r(A_K)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(N) &:= N - \chi(\gamma)^s U N U' \\
 &= (N - \chi(\gamma)^s N) + \chi(\gamma)^s ((N - U N) + U N (1 - U')).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the same argument as above shows that h restricts to an endomorphism on $M_r(X_{A,m})$. We also have $f(M) = (1 - \gamma)M + h(\gamma M)$.

Recall that the map $1 - \gamma : M_r(X_{A,m}) \rightarrow M_r(X_{A,m})$ admits a continuous inverse with operator norm at most p^{c_3} . We denote this inverse by ρ . Since $(f \circ \rho - \text{id})M = h(\gamma \rho(M))$, it suffices to prove that the operator norm of h is less than p^{-c_3} ; this will imply that the operator norm of $h \circ \gamma \circ \rho$ is less than 1. Thus $f \circ \rho$ admits a continuous inverse given by a geometric series and hence f is bijective on $M_r(X_{A,m})$.

By the second expression of h , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{val}(h(N)) &\geq \min\{\text{val}((1 - \chi(\gamma)^s)N), \text{val}((U - 1)N), \text{val}(U N (1 - U'))\} \\
 &\geq \min\{\text{val}((1 - \chi(\gamma))N), \text{val}((U - 1)N), \text{val}(N(1 - U'))\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From this we have

$$\text{val}(h(N)) \geq \text{val}(N) + \delta,$$

where $\delta := \min\{m(\gamma), \text{val}(U - 1), \text{val}(U' - 1)\}$. Thus the operator norm of h is at most $p^{-\delta}$. Since $\delta > c_3$ by assumption, this completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. For each $n \geq 1$, put $V_n := V/t^n V$. This is an $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation of Γ_k that is finite free of rank r over $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$. Thus by Proposition 2.11, $(V_n)_{\text{fin}}$ is finite free of rank r over $A_\infty[t]/(t^n)$, and $(V_n)_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{A_\infty[[t]]} (A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+) \rightarrow V_n$ is an isomorphism.

By definition, we have $V_{\text{fin}} = \varprojlim_n (V_n)_{\text{fin}}$. Since the natural map $V_{n+1} \rightarrow V_n$ is surjective, so is the map $(V_{n+1})_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow (V_n)_{\text{fin}}$ by the faithfully flatness of $A_\infty[t]/(t^{n+1}) \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^{n+1})$. Thus lifting a basis of $(V_n)_{\text{fin}}$ gives a basis of V_{fin} and we see that V_{fin} is finite free of rank r over $A_\infty[[t]]$. The remaining assertions also follow from this. \square

PROPOSITION 2.14. *For an $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation V of Γ_k that is finite free of rank r over $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$, the $A_\infty[[t]]$ -module V_{fin} is the union of finitely generated $A_\infty[[t]]$ -submodules of V that are stable under the action of Γ_k . In particular, the natural inclusion*

$$(V_{\text{fin}})^{\Gamma_k} \hookrightarrow V^{\Gamma_k}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let V'_{fin} denote the union of finitely generated $A_\infty[[t]]$ -submodules of V that are stable under the action of Γ_k . Then $V_{\text{fin}} \subset V'_{\text{fin}}$ by Theorem 2.5. So it remains to prove the opposite inclusion. For this it suffices to prove $V'_{\text{fin}}/t^n V'_{\text{fin}} \subset V_{\text{fin}}/t^n V_{\text{fin}}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Since $V_{\text{fin}}/t^n V_{\text{fin}} = (V/t^n V)_{\text{fin}}$ by Theorem 2.5, the desired inclusion follows from the definition of $(V/t^n V)_{\text{fin}}$ noting $A_\infty[t]/(t^n) = \bigcup_m A_{k_m}[t]/(t^n)$. The second assertion follows from the first. \square

Example 2.15. For the trivial $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation $V = A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ of Γ_k , we have $V_{\text{fin}} = A_\infty[[t]]$.

Finally, we discuss topologies on V_{fin} and the continuity of the action of Γ_k .

LEMMA 2.16. *Let W be a finite free $A_\infty[[t]]/(t^n)$ -module equipped with an action of Γ_k . Then Γ_k -action is continuous with respect to the topology on W induced from the product topology on $A_\infty[[t]]/(t^n) \cong A_\infty^n$ if and only if it is continuous with respect to the topology on W induced from the subspace topology on $A_\infty[[t]]/(t^n) \subset A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$.*

Proof. For each of the two topologies on W , the continuity of Γ_k implies that there exist an $A_\infty[[t]]/(t^n)$ -basis w_1, \dots, w_r of W and a large positive integer m such that $W_m := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_{k_m}[[t]]/(t^n)w_i$ is stable under Γ_k and its action on W_m is continuous with respect to the induced topology $W_m \subset W$. Conversely, if the Γ_k -action on W_m is continuous with respect to the induced topology $W_m \subset W$ for such Γ_k -stable $A_{k_m}[[t]]/(t^n)$ -submodule W_m with $W_m \otimes_{A_{k_m}[[t]]/(t^n)} A_\infty[[t]]/(t^n) = W$, the Γ_k -action on W is continuous.

The subspace topology on $A_{k_m}[[t]]/(t^n)$ from $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$ coincides with the product topology on $A_{k_m}[[t]]/(t^n) \cong A_{k_m}^n$. From this we find that the continuity conditions on the action of Γ_k on W_m with respect to the two topologies coincide. Hence the two continuity properties of the action of Γ_k on W are equivalent. \square

DEFINITION 2.17. Let V be an $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation of Γ_k .

- If V is finite free over $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+/(t^n)$ for some $n \geq 1$, we equip V_{fin} with the topology acquired from topologizing $A_\infty[[t]]/(t^n)$ with the product topology of the p -adic topology on A_∞ . Then Γ_k acts continuously on V_{fin} by Lemma 2.16.
- If V is finite free over $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$, we equip V_{fin} with the inverse limit topology via $V_{\text{fin}} = \varprojlim_n (V/t^n V)_{\text{fin}}$. Then Γ_k acts continuously on V_{fin} .

DEFINITION 2.18. An $A_\infty[[t]]$ -representation of Γ_k is an $A_\infty[[t]]$ -module W that is isomorphic to either $(A_\infty[[t]])^r$ or $(A_\infty[[t]]/(t^n))^r$ for some r and n , equipped with a continuous $A_\infty[[t]]$ -semilinear action of Γ_k (here the topology on W is acquired from the p -adic topology on A_∞ by considering the product topology and the inverse limit topology as before). We denote the category of $A_\infty[[t]]$ -representations of Γ_k by $\text{Rep}_{\Gamma_k}(A_\infty[[t]])$. An $A_\infty[[t]]$ -representation of Γ_k that is annihilated by t is also called an A_∞ -representation of Γ_k .

THEOREM 2.19. *The decompletion functor*

$$\text{Rep}_{\Gamma_k}(A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\Gamma_k}(A_\infty[[t]]), \quad V \mapsto V_{\text{fin}}$$

is an equivalence of categories. A quasi-inverse is given by $W \mapsto W \otimes_{A_\infty[[t]]} (A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, Proposition 2.11, and Lemma 2.16, the functor is well-defined and essentially surjective. The full faithfulness follows from Proposition 2.14. \square

2.3 Sen’s endomorphism and Fontaine’s connection in the relative setting

PROPOSITION 2.20. *Let W be an A_∞ -representation of Γ_k . Then there exists a unique A_∞ -linear map $\phi_W : W \rightarrow W$ satisfying the following property: for any $w \in W$, there exists an open subgroup $\Gamma_{k,w}$ of Γ_k such that*

$$\gamma w = \exp(\log(\chi(\gamma))\phi_W)(w)$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma_{k,w}$. Here \log (respectively \exp) is the p -adic logarithm (respectively exponential). Moreover, ϕ_W is Γ_k -equivariant and functorial with respect to W .

Remark 2.21. The proposition says that the endomorphism ϕ_W is computed as

$$\phi_W(w) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \frac{\gamma w - w}{\log \chi(\gamma)}$$

for $w \in W$.

Proof. This is standard; arguments in [Sen81, Theorem 4] also work in our setting. See also [Sen93, § 2], [Sen88, Proposition 4], [Fon04, Proposition 2.5], and [BC09, § 15.1]. \square

The following lemma is also proved by standard arguments.

LEMMA 2.22. *Let W_1 and W_2 be A_∞ -representations of Γ_k . Then we have the following equalities:*

- $\phi_{W_1 \oplus W_2} = \phi_{W_1} \oplus \phi_{W_2}$ on $W_1 \oplus W_2$;
- $\phi_{W_1 \otimes W_2} = \phi_{W_1} \otimes \text{id}_{W_2} + \text{id}_{W_1} \otimes \phi_{W_2}$ on $W_1 \otimes W_2$;
- $\phi_{\text{Hom}(W_1, W_2)}(f) = \phi_{W_2} \circ f - f \circ \phi_{W_1}$ for $f \in \text{Hom}(W_1, W_2)$.

DEFINITION 2.23. Let V be an A_K -representation of Γ_k . We denote by ϕ_V the A_K -linear endomorphism $\phi_{V_{\text{fin}}} \otimes \text{id}_{A_K}$ on $V = V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{A_\infty} A_K$.

PROPOSITION 2.24. Let W be an $A_\infty[[t]]$ -representation of Γ_k . Then there exists a unique A_∞ -linear map $\phi_{\text{dR},W} : W \rightarrow W$ satisfying the following property: for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in W$, there exists an open subgroup $\Gamma_{k,n,w}$ of Γ_k such that

$$\gamma w \equiv \exp(\log(\chi(\gamma))\phi_{\text{dR},W})(w) \pmod{t^n W}$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma_{k,n,w}$.

Proof. Note that $A_\infty[[t]]/(t^n)$ is a finite free A_∞ -module of rank n and thus $W/t^n W$ can be regarded an A_∞ -representation of Γ_k . So the proposition follows from Proposition 2.20. \square

DEFINITION 2.25. Set $A_\infty((t)) := A_\infty[[t]][t^{-1}]$. We denote by ∂_t the A_∞ -linear endomorphism

$$A_\infty((t)) \rightarrow A_\infty((t)), \quad \sum_{j \gg -\infty} a_j t^j \mapsto \sum_{j \gg -\infty} j a_j t^{j-1}.$$

The restriction of ∂_t to $A_\infty[[t]]$ is also denoted by ∂_t .

PROPOSITION 2.26. For an $A_\infty[[t]]$ -representation W of Γ_k , the endomorphism $\phi_{\text{dR},W} : W \rightarrow W$ satisfies

$$\phi_{\text{dR},W}(\alpha w) = t\partial_t(\alpha)w + \alpha\phi_{\text{dR},W}(w)$$

for every $\alpha \in A_\infty[[t]]$ and $w \in W$.

Proof. By the characterizing property of $\phi_{\text{dR},W}$, we may assume that W is annihilated by some power of t . In this case, it is enough to check the equality for $\alpha = t^j$ by A_∞ -linearity of $\phi_{\text{dR},W}$. By induction on j , we may further assume that $\alpha = t$.

So we need to show $\phi_{\text{dR},W}(tw) = tw + t\phi_{\text{dR},W}(w)$. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\text{dR},W}(tw) &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \frac{\gamma(tw) - tw}{\log \chi(\gamma)} \\ &= \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \frac{\chi(\gamma) - 1}{\log \chi(\gamma)} t\gamma(w) + t \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \frac{\gamma(w) - w}{\log \chi(\gamma)} \\ &= tw + t\phi_{\text{dR},W}(w). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

LEMMA-DEFINITION 2.27. Let W be a finite free $A_\infty[[t]]$ -representation of Γ_k . Then $W[t^{-1}] := W \otimes_{A_\infty[[t]]} A_\infty((t))$ is a finite free $A_\infty((t))$ -module equipped with Γ_k -action and Γ_k -stable decreasing filtration defined by $\text{Fil}^j W[t^{-1}] := t^j W$. Moreover, the A_∞ -linear endomorphism $\phi_{\text{dR},W[t^{-1}]} : W[t^{-1}] \rightarrow W[t^{-1}]$ sending $w \in \text{Fil}^j W[t^{-1}]$ to

$$\phi_{\text{dR},W[t^{-1}]}(w) := jw + t^j \phi_{\text{dR},W}(t^{-j}w)$$

is well-defined and satisfies $\phi_{\text{dR},W[t^{-1}]}|_W = \phi_{\text{dR},W}$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.26. \square

DEFINITION 2.28. Let V be a finite free $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}$ -module equipped with Γ_k -action and Γ_k -stable decreasing filtration $\text{Fil}^j V$ such that $\text{Fil}^0 V$ is a finite free $A \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+$ -representation of Γ_k and $\text{Fil}^j V = t^j \text{Fil}^0 V$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$V_{\text{fin}} := (\text{Fil}^0 V)_{\text{fin}}[t^{-1}].$$

By Lemma-Definition 2.27, V_{fin} is a finite free $A_{\infty}((t))$ -module equipped with Γ_k -action, Γ_k -stable decreasing filtration $\text{Fil}^j V_{\text{fin}}$, and $\phi_{\text{dR}, V_{\text{fin}}}$. Since $\phi_{\text{dR}, V_{\text{fin}}}$ preserves the filtration, it defines an A_{∞} -linear endomorphism on $\text{gr}^0 V_{\text{fin}}$, which we denote by $\text{Res}_{\text{Fil}^0 V_{\text{fin}}} \phi_{\text{dR}, V_{\text{fin}}}$. It follows from the definition that

$$\text{Res}_{\text{Fil}^0 V_{\text{fin}}} \phi_{\text{dR}, V_{\text{fin}}} = \phi_{\text{gr}^0 V}$$

as endomorphisms on the finite free A_{∞} -module $\text{gr}^0(V_{\text{fin}}) = (\text{gr}^0 V)_{\text{fin}}$.

3. The arithmetic Sen endomorphism of a p -adic local system

From this section, we study relative p -adic Hodge theory in geometric families. Let k be a finite field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let X be an n -dimensional smooth rigid analytic variety over $\text{Spa}(k, \mathcal{O}_k)$. Let K be the p -adic completion of $k_{\infty} := \bigcup_n k(\mu_{p^n})$ and let X_K denote the base change of X to $\text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$. We denote by Γ_k the Galois group $\text{Gal}(k_{\infty}/k)$.

Based on the recent progresses on relative p -adic Hodge theory [KL15, KL16, Sch12, Sch13], Liu and Zhu attached to an étale \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} a nilpotent Higgs bundle $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ on X_K equipped with Γ_k -action [LZ17]. Our goal is to define an endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ by decompleting the Γ_k -action. The endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$, which we will call the arithmetic Sen endomorphism, is a natural generalization of the Sen endomorphism of a p -adic Galois representation of k .

3.1 Review of the p -adic Simpson correspondence à la Liu and Zhu

First let us briefly recall the sites and sheaves that we use. Let $X_{\text{proét}}$ be the pro-étale site on X in the sense of [Sch13, Sch16]. The pro-étale site is equipped with a natural projection to the étale site on X

$$\nu : X_{\text{proét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}.$$

Let $\nu' : X_{\text{proét}}/X_K \rightarrow (X_K)_{\text{ét}}$ be the restriction of ν and we identify $X_{\text{proét}}/X_K$ with $(X_K)_{\text{proét}}$ (see a discussion before [Sch13, Proposition 6.10]).

We denote by $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ (respectively $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$) the constant sheaf on $X_{\text{proét}}$ associated to \mathbb{Z}_p (respectively \mathbb{Q}_p). For a \mathbb{Z}_p -local system \mathbb{L} (respectively \mathbb{Q}_p -local system) on $X_{\text{ét}}$, let $\hat{\mathbb{L}}$ denote the $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ -module (respectively $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -module) on $X_{\text{proét}}$ associated to \mathbb{L} (see [Sch13, § 8.2]).

We define sheaves on $X_{\text{proét}}$ as follows. We set

$$\mathcal{O}_X^+ := \nu^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}^+, \quad \mathcal{O}_X := \nu^* \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}}_X := \left(\varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_X^+ / p^n \right) [p^{-1}].$$

We also set $\Omega_X^1 = \nu^* \Omega_{X_{\text{ét}}}^1$ and we denote its i th exterior power by Ω_X^i . Moreover, Scholze introduced the de Rham period sheaves \mathbb{B}_{dR}^+ , \mathbb{B}_{dR} , $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}^+$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}$ on $X_{\text{proét}}$ in [Sch13, § 6] and [Sch16]. The structural de Rham sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}$ has the following properties: it is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras equipped with a decreasing filtration $\text{Fil}^{\bullet} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}$ and an integrable connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1$$

satisfying the Griffiths transversality. Since X is assumed to be smooth of dimension n , this gives rise to the following exact sequence of sheaves on $X_{\text{proét}}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^n \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, we set $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{C} := \text{gr}^0 \mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$. Taking the associated graded connection of ∇ on $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$ equips $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{C}$ with a Higgs field

$$\text{gr}^0 \nabla : \mathcal{O}\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(-1),$$

where (-1) stands for the (-1) st Tate twist.

We review the formulation of the p -adic Simpson correspondence by Liu and Zhu. Let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$ of rank r . We define

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) = \nu'_*(\hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{C}).$$

Then Liu and Zhu proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1 (Rough form of [LZ17, Theorem 2.1]). *$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ is a vector bundle on X_K of rank r equipped with a nilpotent Higgs field $\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}$ and a semilinear action of Γ_k . The functor \mathcal{H} is a tensor functor from the category of \mathbb{Q}_p -local systems on $X_{\text{ét}}$ to the category of nilpotent Higgs bundles on X_K . Moreover, \mathcal{H} is compatible with pullback and smooth proper pushforward.¹*

Remark 3.2. For our purpose, we use the p -adic Simpson correspondence formulated by Liu and Zhu as their output is a Higgs bundle over X_K with a Γ_k -action. See [Fal05] and [AGT16] for the p -adic Simpson correspondence by Faltings and Abbes–Gros–Tsuji in a more general setting, and see [AB08, AB10] for the one over a pro-étale cover of X_K by Andreatta and Brinon.

To define the arithmetic Sen endomorphism on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ and discuss its properties, let us recall Liu and Zhu’s arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

We follow the notation on base changes of adic spaces and rings in [LZ17]. We denote by \mathbb{T}^n the n -dimensional rigid analytic torus

$$\text{Spa}(k\langle T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_n^{\pm} \rangle, \mathcal{O}_k\langle T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_n^{\pm} \rangle).$$

For $m \geq 0$, we set

$$\mathbb{T}_m^n = \text{Spa}(k_m\langle T_1^{\pm 1/p^m}, \dots, T_n^{\pm 1/p^m} \rangle, \mathcal{O}_{k_m}\langle T_1^{\pm 1/p^m}, \dots, T_n^{\pm 1/p^m} \rangle).$$

We denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\infty}^n$ the affinoid perfectoid $\varprojlim_m \mathbb{T}_m^n$ in $X_{\text{proét}}$.

To study properties of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$, we introduce the following base \mathcal{B} for $(X_K)_{\text{ét}}$: objects of \mathcal{B} are the étale maps to X_K that are the base changes of standard étale morphisms $Y \rightarrow X_{k'}$ defined over some finite extension k' of k in K where Y is affinoid admitting a toric chart after some finite extension of k' . Recall that an étale morphism between adic spaces is called standard étale if it is a composite of rational localizations and finite étale morphisms and that a toric chart means a standard étale morphism to \mathbb{T}^n . Morphisms of \mathcal{B} are the base changes of étale morphisms over some finite extension of k in K . We equip \mathcal{B} with the induced topology from $(X_K)_{\text{ét}}$. Then the associated topoi $(X_K)_{\text{ét}}^{\sim}$ and \mathcal{B}^{\sim} are equivalent [LZ17, Lemma 2.5].

¹In the smooth proper pushforward case, we need to assume that \mathbb{L} admits a global \mathbb{Z}_p -lattice. See [LZ17, Theorem 2.1(v)] and [SW18, Theorem 10.5.1].

When $Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+)$ admits a toric chart over k , we use the following notation: we set

$$Y_m = \text{Spa}(B_m, B_m^+) := Y \times_{\mathbb{T}^n} \mathbb{T}_m^n.$$

Then $\tilde{Y}_\infty := Y \times_{\mathbb{T}^n} \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_\infty^n$ is the affinoid perfectoid in $Y_{\text{proét}}$ represented by the relative toric tower (Y_n) . We denote by $(\hat{B}_\infty, \hat{B}_\infty^+)$ the perfectoid affinoid completed direct limit of the affinoid rings (B_m, B_m^+) and set $\hat{Y}_\infty := \text{Spa}(\hat{B}_\infty, \hat{B}_\infty^+)$, the affinoid perfectoid space associated to Y_∞ . We also set $B_{k_m} = B \otimes_k k_m$ as in §2.1. When Y admits a toric chart over a finite extension of k in K , we similarly define these objects using the rigid analytic torus over the field.

Let $Y_{K,m} := \text{Spa}(B_{K,m}, B_{K,m}^+)$ be the base change of Y_m from k_m to K and let $\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty}$ be the affinoid perfectoid represented by the toric tower $(Y_{K,m})$. We denote the associated affinoid perfectoid space by $\hat{Y}_{K,\infty} = \text{Spa}(\hat{B}_{K,m}, \hat{B}_{K,m}^+)$. The cover $\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty}/Y$ is Galois. We denote its Galois group by Γ . Then Γ fits into a splitting exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{geom}} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1.$$

To prove Theorem 3.1, Liu and Zhu gave a simple description of

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K) = H^0(X_{\text{proét}}/Y_K, \hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}\mathcal{C})$$

for $(Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X_{k'}) \in \mathcal{B}$, which we recall now.

PROPOSITION 3.3 [LZ17, Proposition 2.8]. *Put $\mathcal{M} = \hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_X$. Then there exists a unique finite projective B_K -submodule $M_K(Y)$ of $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty})$, which is stable under Γ , such that*

- (i) $M_K(Y) \otimes_{B_K} \hat{B}_{K,\infty} = \mathcal{M}(\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty})$, and
- (ii) the B_K -linear representation of Γ_{geom} on $M_K(Y)$ is unipotent.

In addition, the module $M_K(Y)$ has the following properties.

- (P1) *There exist some positive integer j_0 and some finite projective $B_{k_{j_0}}$ -submodule $M(Y)$ of $M_K(Y)$ stable under Γ such that $M(Y) \otimes_{B_{k_{j_0}}} B_K = M_K(Y)$. Moreover, the construction of $M(Y)$ is compatible with base change along standard étale morphisms.*
- (P2) *The natural map*

$$M_K(Y)^{\Gamma_{\text{geom}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty})^{\Gamma_{\text{geom}}}$$

is an isomorphism.

Once this proposition is proved, we can describe $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$ in terms of $M_K(Y)$ as follows: the vanishing theorem on affinoid perfectoid spaces [Sch12, Proposition 7.13] implies the degeneration of the Cartan–Leray spectral sequence to the Galois cover $\{\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty} \rightarrow Y_K\}$ with Galois group Γ_{geom} , and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(\Gamma_{\text{geom}}, \mathcal{M}(\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty})) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H^i(X_{\text{proét}}/Y_K, \mathcal{M}), \\ H^i(\Gamma_{\text{geom}}, (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}\mathcal{C})(\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty})) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H^i(X_{\text{proét}}/Y_K, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we know that $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{C}|_{\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty}} \cong (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X|_{\tilde{Y}_{K,\infty}})[V_1, \dots, V_n]$, where $V_i = t^{-1} \log([T_i^{\flat}]/T_i)$ for a fixed compatible sequence of p -power roots of the coordinate $T_i^{\flat} = (T_i, T_i^{1/p}, \dots)$. It follows from these results and a simple argument on the direct limit of sheaves on $X_{\text{proét}}$ that the natural Γ_k -equivariant map

$$(M_K(Y)[V_1, \dots, V_n])^{\Gamma_{\text{geom}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$$

is an isomorphism. A simple computation shows that the map $M_K(Y)[V_1, \dots, V_n] \rightarrow M_K(Y)$ sending V_i to 0 induces a Γ_k -equivariant isomorphism

$$(M_K(Y)[V_1, \dots, V_n])^{\Gamma_{\text{geom}}} \xrightarrow{\cong} M_K(Y).$$

Thus we have a Γ_k -equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K) \cong M_K(Y).$$

The above discussion is summarized in the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_K(Y) \otimes_{B_K} \hat{B}_{K,\infty} & \hookrightarrow & (M_K(Y) \otimes_{B_K} \hat{B}_{K,\infty})[V_1, \dots, V_n] & & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ M_K(Y) & \hookrightarrow & M_K(Y)[V_1, \dots, V_n] & \xrightarrow{V_i=0} & M_K(Y) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\ M_K(Y)^{\Gamma_{\text{geom}}} & \hookrightarrow & (M_K(Y)[V_1, \dots, V_n])^{\Gamma_{\text{geom}}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_K(Y) \end{array}$$

Finally, we recall the Higgs field $\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}$. This is defined to be

$$\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}} := \nu'_*(\text{gr } \nabla : \hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \Omega_X^1(-1))$$

under the identification $\nu'_*(\hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \Omega_X^1(-1)) \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/k}^1(-1)$. Here $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/k}^1(-1)$ denotes the \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -module $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^1(-1) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_K}} \Omega_{X_K/K}^1(-1)$ equipped with a natural Γ_k -action.

We have another description under the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K) \cong M_K(Y)$, which proves that $\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}$ is nilpotent. Namely, let ρ_{geom} denote the action of Γ_{geom} on $M_K(Y)$ and let $\chi_i : \Gamma_{\text{geom}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ denote the composite of the natural identification and projection to the i th component. We can take the logarithm of ρ_{geom} on $M(Y) \subset M_K(Y)$ since the action is unipotent. Suppose the logarithm is written as

$$\log \rho_{\text{geom}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \vartheta_i \otimes \chi_i \otimes t^{-1},$$

where $\vartheta_i \in \text{End}(M(Y))$. Then ϑ_i can be regarded as an endomorphism on $M_K(Y)$ by extension of scalars and we define

$$\vartheta_{M_K(Y)} := \sum_{i=1}^n \vartheta_i \otimes d \log T_i \otimes t^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \vartheta_i \otimes \frac{dT_i}{T_i} \otimes t^{-1} \in \text{End}(M_K(Y)) \otimes_B \Omega_{B/k'}^1(-1). \quad (3.1)$$

We can check $\vartheta_{M_K(Y)} \wedge \vartheta_{M_K(Y)} = 0$ and this defines a Higgs field on $M_K(Y)$. It turns out that $\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}(Y_K) = \vartheta_{M_K(Y)}$ under the Γ_k -equivariant isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K) \cong M_K(Y)$. See [LZ17, §2] for the detail.

3.2 Definition and properties of the arithmetic Sen endomorphism

We will define the arithmetic Sen endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \in \text{End } \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the refinement of the base \mathcal{B} for $(X_K)_{\text{ét}}$ whose objects consist of $(Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X_{k'}) \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$ is a finite free B_K -module.

For $(Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X_{k'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{L}}$, $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$ is a B_K -representation of $\Gamma_{k'} := \text{Gal}(K/k')$ in the sense of Definition 2.2. Thus Proposition 2.20 and Definition 2.23 equip $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$ with the B_K -linear endomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)} : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K).$$

LEMMA-DEFINITION 3.4. *The assignment of endomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{L}} \ni (Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X_{k'}) \mapsto \phi_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)} \in \text{End}_{B_K} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$$

defines an endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ of the vector bundle $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{L}}$ on $(X_K)_{\text{ét}}$. We call $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ the arithmetic Sen endomorphism of \mathbb{L} .

Proof. We need to check the compatibility of $\phi_{\mathbb{L}, Y_K}$ via the pullback $Y''_K \rightarrow Y_K$ for

$$(Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X_{k'}), (Y'' = \text{Spa}(B'', B''^+) \rightarrow X_{k''}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{L}}.$$

For this it suffices to prove that

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{B_{\infty}} B''_{\infty} \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y''_K)_{\text{fin}}$$

as B''_{∞} -representation of $\text{Gal}(k_{\infty}/k'')$, where B_{∞} and B''_{∞} are defined as in § 2.1.

Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ is a vector bundle on X_K , we have the natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{B_{\infty}} B''_{\infty}) \otimes_{B''_{\infty}} B''_K &\cong (\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{B_{\infty}} B_K) \otimes_{B_K} B''_K \\ &\cong \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K) \otimes_{B_K} B''_K \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y''_K). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we see from definition $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{B_{\infty}} B''_{\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y''_K)_{\text{fin}}$. Hence the lemma follows from the faithful flatness of $B''_{\infty} \rightarrow B''_K$. □

PROPOSITION 3.5. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}} & \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega^1_{X/k}(-1) \\ \phi_{\mathbb{L}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \\ \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}} & \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega^1_{X/k}(-1) \end{array}$$

In particular, the endomorphisms $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - i(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})$ on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega^i_{X/k}(-i)$ give rise to an endomorphism on the complex of \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -modules on X_K

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega^1_{X/k}(-1) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega^2_{X/k}(-2) \longrightarrow \dots$$

induced by the Higgs field.

Proof. It is enough to check the commutativity of the diagram evaluated at Y_K for each $(Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X_{k'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{L}}$. In this setting, we can use the identification

$$(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K), \vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}(Y_K), \phi_{\mathbb{L}}(Y_K)) \cong (M_K(Y), \vartheta_{M_K(Y)}, \phi_{M_K(Y)}).$$

So it suffices to show the commutativity of the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_K(Y) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{M_K(Y)}} & M_K(Y) \otimes_B \Omega^1_{B/k'}(-1) \\ \phi_{M_K(Y)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{M_K(Y)} \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \\ M_K(Y) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{M_K(Y)}} & M_K(Y) \otimes_B \Omega^1_{B/k'}(-1) \end{array}$$

Moreover, since $M(Y) \otimes_{B_{k_{j_0}}} B_K = M_K(Y)$, we only need to check the commutativity on $M(Y) \subset M_K(Y)$.

We use the notation in (3.1). Then we have

$$\vartheta_{M_K(Y)} \circ \phi_{M_K(Y)} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\vartheta_i \circ \phi_{M_K(Y)}) \otimes \frac{dT_i}{T_i} \otimes t^{-1}$$

and

$$(\phi_{M_K(Y)} \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \otimes \text{id}) \circ \vartheta_{M_K(Y)} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\phi_{M_K(Y)} \circ \vartheta_i - \vartheta_i) \otimes \frac{dT_i}{T_i} \otimes t^{-1}.$$

Thus we need to show that $[\phi_{M_K(Y)}, \vartheta_i] = \vartheta_i$ for each i . To see this, take a topological generator γ_i of the i th component of $\Gamma_{\text{geom}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^n$. Let ρ_{geom} denote the action of Γ_{geom} on $M_K(Y)$ and write $\log \rho_{\text{geom}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \vartheta_i \otimes \chi_i \otimes t^{-1}$ as before. Since $\gamma \gamma_i \gamma^{-1} = \gamma_i^{\chi(\gamma)}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$, we have

$$\gamma(\log \rho_{\text{geom}}(\gamma_i))\gamma^{-1} = \log \rho_{\text{geom}}(\gamma \gamma_i \gamma^{-1}) = \chi(\gamma) \log \rho_{\text{geom}}(\gamma_i).$$

Hence $\gamma \vartheta_i = \chi(\gamma) \vartheta_i \gamma$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$.

For $m \in M(Y)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{M_K(Y)} \vartheta_i m &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log \chi(\gamma)} \frac{\gamma^{p^j} \vartheta_i m - \vartheta_i m}{p^j} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log \chi(\gamma)} \frac{(\chi(\gamma)^{p^j} - 1) \vartheta_i \gamma^{p^j} m + \vartheta_i (\gamma^{p^j} m - m)}{p^j} \\ &= \vartheta_i m + \vartheta_i \phi_{M_K(Y)} m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[\phi_{M_K(Y)}, \vartheta_i] = \vartheta_i$. □

Remark 3.6. Brinon generalized Sen’s theory to the case of p -adic fields with imperfect residue fields in [Bri03]. Analogues of $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ and ϑ_i have already appeared in his work.

We discuss properties of the arithmetic Sen endomorphism along the lines of Theorem 3.1 (i.e. [LZ17, Theorem 2.1]).

THEOREM 3.7. (i) *There are canonical isomorphisms*

$$(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_1 \otimes \mathbb{L}_2), \vartheta_{\mathbb{L}_1 \otimes \mathbb{L}_2}, \phi_{\mathbb{L}_1 \otimes \mathbb{L}_2}) \cong (\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_1) \otimes \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_2), \vartheta_{\mathbb{L}_1} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \vartheta_{\mathbb{L}_2}, \phi_{\mathbb{L}_1} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \phi_{\mathbb{L}_2})$$

and

$$(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}^\vee), \vartheta_{(\mathbb{L}^\vee)}, \phi_{(\mathbb{L}^\vee)}) \cong (\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})^\vee, (\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}})^\vee, (\phi_{\mathbb{L}})^\vee).$$

(ii) *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism between smooth rigid analytic varieties over k and \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$f^*(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}), \vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}, \phi_{\mathbb{L}}) \cong (f^*\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}), \vartheta_{f^*\mathbb{L}}, \phi_{f^*\mathbb{L}}).$$

Proof. Part (i) follows from [LZ17, Theorem 2.1(iv)] and Lemma 2.22. Part (ii) follows from [LZ17, Theorem 2.1(iii)] and Proposition 2.20 (functoriality of ϕ_W). □

By construction, we also have the following in the case of points.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *If X is a point, then $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ coincides with the Sen endomorphism attached to the Galois representation \mathbb{L} .*

For pushforwards, we have the following theorem (the notation is explained after the statement).

THEOREM 3.9. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth proper morphism between smooth rigid analytic varieties over k and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Z}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Then we have*

$$(\mathcal{H}(R^i f_* \mathbb{L}), \vartheta_{R^i f_* \mathbb{L}}) \cong R^i f_{\text{Higgs},*}(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(-\bullet), \bar{\vartheta}_{\mathbb{L}}).$$

Moreover, under this isomorphism, we have

$$\phi_{R^i f_* \mathbb{L}} = R^i f_{K,\text{ét},*}(\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \bullet(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})).$$

Let us explain the notation in the theorem. Recall the complex of \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -modules

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/k}^1(-1) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/k}^2(-2) \longrightarrow \dots$$

This has an \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -linear endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \bullet(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})$ by Proposition 3.5. The complex yields a complex of \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -modules

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\vartheta}_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^1(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\vartheta}_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^2(-2) \longrightarrow \dots$$

by composing with the projection $\Omega_{X/k}^i \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^i$. The new complex has an induced \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -linear endomorphism, which we still denote by $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \bullet(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})$.

We denote by $f_K : X_K \rightarrow Y_K$ the base change of f . Then $R^i f_{\text{Higgs},*}$ is the i th derived pushforward of the complex with the Higgs field. In particular, $R^i f_{\text{Higgs},*}(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(-\bullet), \bar{\vartheta}_{\mathbb{L}})$ is the $\mathcal{O}_{X_K,\text{ét}}$ -module $R^i f_{K,\text{ét},*}(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(-\bullet))$ together with a Higgs field.

Proof. The first part is [LZ17, Theorem 2.1(v)] (see Theorem 3.1). Note that [LZ17, Theorem 2.1(v)] has an additional assumption that $R^i f_* \mathbb{L}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -local system on $Y_{\text{ét}}$ for every i , but this is always the case; to see this, it suffices to check that $(R^i f_* \mathbb{L})|_{Y_{\bar{k},\text{ét}}}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -local system, which follows from [SW18, Theorem 10.5.1]. So we will prove the statement on arithmetic Sen endomorphisms.

Since the statement is local on Y , we may assume that Y is an affinoid $\text{Spa}(A, A^+)$ and that $\mathcal{H}(R^i f_* \mathbb{L})$ is a globally free vector bundle on Y_K . So $\mathcal{H}(R^i f_* \mathbb{L})$ is associated to a finite free A_K -module (say V). Then V is an A_K -representation of Γ_k and the endomorphism $\phi_{R^i f_* \mathbb{L}}$ is associated to ϕ_V .

Since X is quasi-compact, there exists a finite affinoid open cover $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U^{(i)}$ with $U^{(i)} = \text{Spa}(B^{(i)}, B^{(i),+})$ such that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})|_{U_K^{(i)}}$ is a globally finite free vector bundle for each i . So $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})|_{U_K^{(i)}}$ gives rise to a $B_K^{(i)}$ -representation of Γ_k and the latter is defined over $B_{k_m}^{(i)}$ for a sufficiently large m (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.9). Since the same holds for $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})|_{U_K^{(i)} \cap U_K^{(j)}}$, there exists a large integer m such that the complex of \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -modules

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\vartheta}_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^1(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\vartheta}_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^2(-2) \longrightarrow \dots$$

with the Γ_k -action and the endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \bullet(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})$ descends to a complex of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{k_m}}$ -modules

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{k_m} \xrightarrow{\bar{\vartheta}_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{k_m} \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^1(-1) \xrightarrow{\bar{\vartheta}_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{k_m} \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^2(-2) \longrightarrow \dots$$

on X_{k_m} equipped with Γ -action and an endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \bullet(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})$ such that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{k_m}|_{U_{k_m}^{(i)}}$ is a globally finite free vector bundle for each i . We denote by \mathcal{F}^\bullet the complex on X_{k_m} and by ϕ^\bullet the descended endomorphism.

Let $f_{k_m} : X_{k_m} \rightarrow Y_{k_m}$ denote the base change of f . Set

$$\mathcal{H}_{Y,k_m} := R^i f_{k_m, \text{ét}} \mathcal{F}^\bullet.$$

Since f_{k_m} is proper, this is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{k_m}}$ -module by Kiehl’s finiteness theorem.² We have

$$\mathcal{H}_{Y,k_m}|_{Y_K} = (R^i f_{k_m, \text{ét}} \mathcal{F}^\bullet)|_{Y_K} = R^i f_{K, \text{ét}}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet|_{X_K}) = R^i f_{K, \text{ét}}(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(-\bullet)),$$

and this is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(R^i f_* \mathbb{L})$ by the first assertion. Thus (after increasing m) \mathcal{H}_{Y,k_m} is globally finite free and associated to a finite free A_{k_m} -module (say V_{k_m}) with Γ_k -action satisfying $V_{k_m} \otimes_{A_{k_m}} A_K = V$. By construction, V_{k_m} is contained in V_{fin} and the A_K -linear endomorphism ϕ_V on V is uniquely characterized by the following property: for each $v \in V_{k_m}$, there exists an open subgroup $\Gamma'_k \subset \Gamma_k$ such that

$$\exp(\log \chi(\gamma)\phi_V)v = \gamma v$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'_k$.

We will show that $R^i f_{K, \text{ét},*}(\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \bullet(\text{id} \otimes \text{id}))$ defines an A_K -linear endomorphism on V with the same property. To see this, we compute V_{k_m} via the Čech-to-derived functor spectral sequence. Note that

$$V_{k_m} = \Gamma(Y_{k_m, \text{ét}}, \mathcal{H}_{Y,k_m}) = R^i \Gamma(X_{k_m, \text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$$

by definition.

Let us briefly recall the Čech-to-derived functor spectral sequence. Set $\mathcal{U} := \{U_{k_m}^{(i)}\}_{i \in I}$. For $i_0, \dots, i_a \in I$, we denote by $U_{k_m}^{(i_0 \dots i_a)}$ the affinoid open $U_{k_m}^{(i_0)} \cap \dots \cap U_{k_m}^{(i_a)}$. Consider the Čech double complex $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ associated to the complex \mathcal{F}^\bullet ; this is defined by

$$\check{C}^a(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^b) := \prod_{i_0, \dots, i_a \in I} \mathcal{F}^b(U_{k_m}^{(i_0 \dots i_a)}).$$

Let \underline{H}^b be the b th right derived functor of the forgetful functor from the category of abelian sheaves on $X_{k_m, \text{ét}}$ to the category of abelian presheaves on $X_{k_m, \text{ét}}$; for an abelian sheaf \mathcal{G} , $\underline{H}^b(\mathcal{G})$ associates to $(U \rightarrow X_{k_m})$ the abelian group $H^b(U, \mathcal{G})$. Then the Čech-to-derived functor spectral sequence is a spectral sequence with

$$E_2^{a,b} = H^a(\text{Tot}(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \underline{H}^b(\mathcal{F}^\bullet))))$$

converging to $R^{a+b}\Gamma(X_{k_m, \text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. Moreover, this is functorial in \mathcal{F}^\bullet .

In our case, \mathcal{F}^\bullet consists of coherent \mathcal{O}_{k_m} -modules and $U_{k_m}^{(i_0 \dots i_a)}$ are all affinoid. So $\underline{H}^b(\mathcal{F}^c)(U_{k_m}^{(i_0 \dots i_a)}) = 0$ for each $b > 0$ and any a and c by Kiehl’s theorem. Thus the spectral sequence yields an isomorphism

$$H^i(\text{Tot}(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet))) \xrightarrow{\cong} R^i \Gamma(X_{k_m, \text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = V_{k_m}.$$

Moreover, this isomorphism is Γ_k -equivariant as the construction is functorial in \mathcal{F}^\bullet .

² For a coherent \mathcal{O}_{k_m} -module \mathcal{F} , we have $(R^i f_{k_m} \mathcal{F})_{\text{ét}} = R^i f_{k_m, \text{ét}} \mathcal{F}_{\text{ét}}$ [Sch13, Proposition 9.2]. So we simply write \mathcal{F} for the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ét}}$ on $X_{k_m, \text{ét}}$.

Let us unwind the definition of $\check{C}^a(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^b)$:

$$\check{C}^a(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^b) = \prod_{i_0, \dots, i_a \in I} \Gamma(U_{k_m}^{(i_0 \cdots i_a)}, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{k_m} \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^b(-b)).$$

Set

$$W^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b} := \Gamma(U_K^{(i_0 \cdots i_a)}, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^b(-b))$$

and

$$W_{k_m}^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b} := \Gamma(U_{k_m}^{(i_0 \cdots i_a)}, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_{k_m} \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^b(-b)).$$

They have a natural Γ_k -action, and $W_{k_m}^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b}$ is contained in $(W^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b})_{\text{fin}}$. In particular, the restriction of $\phi_{W^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b}}$ to $W_{k_m}^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b}$ satisfies the following property: for each $w \in W_{k_m}^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b}$, there exists an open subgroup $\Gamma'_k \subset \Gamma_k$ such that

$$\exp(\log \chi(\gamma) \phi_{W^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b}})w = \gamma w$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'_k$.

It follows from our construction that under the isomorphism $H^i(\text{Tot}(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet))) \cong R^i \Gamma(X_{k_m, \text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = V_{k_m}$, the endomorphism $R^i f_{K, \text{ét}, *}(\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \bullet(\text{id} \otimes \text{id}))|_{V_{k_m}} = R^i f_{k_m, \text{ét}, *} \phi^\bullet$ corresponds to

$$H^i \left(\text{Tot} \left(\prod_{i_0, \dots, i_a \in I} \phi_{W^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b}} \right) \right).$$

Since differentials in the complex $\text{Tot}(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet))$ are all Γ_k -equivariant, we see that $H^i(\text{Tot}(\prod_{i_0, \dots, i_a \in I} \phi_{W^{(i_0 \cdots i_a), b}}))$ satisfies the above-mentioned characterizing property of ϕ_V . This completes the proof. □

4. Constancy of generalized Hodge–Tate weights

In this section, we prove the multiset of eigenvalues of $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ is constant on X_K (Theorem 4.8). For this we give a description of $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ as the residue of a formal connection in § 4.1. Then the constancy is proved by the theory of formal connections developed in § 4.2.

4.1 The decompletion of the geometric Riemann–Hilbert correspondence

We review the geometric Riemann–Hilbert correspondence by Liu and Zhu and discuss its decompletion.

Keep the notation in § 3. Let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$ of rank r . Following [LZ17], we define

$$\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}) = \nu'_*(\hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}}).$$

In order to state their theorem, let us recall a ringed space \mathcal{X} introduced in [LZ17, § 3.1]. Let L_{dR}^+ denote the de Rham period ring $\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+(K, \mathcal{O}_K)$ as before ([LZ17] uses B_{dR}^+ but we prefer to use L_{dR}^+). Define a sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} (L_{\text{dR}}^+/t^i)$ on $X_{K, \text{ét}}$ by assigning

$$(Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X_{k'}) \in \mathcal{B} \longmapsto B \hat{\otimes}_{k'} (L_{\text{dR}}^+/t^i).$$

This defines a sheaf by the Tate acyclicity theorem. We also set

$$\mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} L_{\text{dR}}^+ = \varprojlim_i \mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} (L_{\text{dR}}^+/t^i)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} L_{\mathrm{dR}} = (\mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} L_{\mathrm{dR}}^+)[t^{-1}].$$

We denote the ringed space $(X_K, \mathcal{O}_X \hat{\otimes} L_{\mathrm{dR}})$ by \mathcal{X} . We have a natural base change functor $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E} \hat{\otimes} L_{\mathrm{dR}}$ from the category of vector bundles on X to the category of vector bundles on \mathcal{X} . We set

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/L_{\mathrm{dR}}}^1 = \Omega_{X/k}^1 \hat{\otimes} L_{\mathrm{dR}}.$$

THEOREM 4.1 [LZ17, Theorem 3.8].

(i) $\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})$ is a filtered vector bundle on \mathcal{X} of rank r equipped with an integrable connection

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{L}} : \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/L_{\mathrm{dR}}}$$

that satisfies the Griffiths transversality. Moreover, $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ acts on $\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})$ semilinearly, and the action preserves the filtration and commutes with $\nabla_{\mathbb{L}}$.

(ii) There is a canonical isomorphism

$$(\mathrm{gr}^0 \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}), \mathrm{gr}^0(\nabla_{\mathbb{L}})) \cong (\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}), \vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}).$$

We want to consider a decompletion of $\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})$. Here we only develop an *ad hoc* local theory that is sufficient for our purpose.

Take $(Y = \mathrm{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X_{k'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{L}}$ and consider $\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$. Since $\mathrm{gr}^0 \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$ is a finite free B_K -module by the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{L}}$, the $B \hat{\otimes}_{k'} L_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -module $\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$ is also finite free. Thus $\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)$ is a $B \hat{\otimes}_{k'} L_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k')$, and $\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K) = (\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K))[t^{-1}]$.

Definition 2.28 yields the $B_{\infty}((t))$ -module $\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}}$ and the B_{∞} -linear endomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathrm{dR}, \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}}} : \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}} \rightarrow \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}}.$$

For simplicity, we denote $\phi_{\mathrm{dR}, \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}}}$ by $\phi_{\mathrm{dR}, \mathbb{L}, Y_K}$. It satisfies

$$\phi_{\mathrm{dR}, \mathbb{L}, Y_K}(\alpha m) = t \partial_t(\alpha) m + \alpha \phi_{\mathrm{dR}, \mathbb{L}, Y_K}(m)$$

for every $\alpha \in B_{\infty}((t))$ and $m \in \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}}$. Note that $\nabla_{\mathbb{L}}$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ -equivariant. Hence under the identification

$$\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/L_{\mathrm{dR}}}^1 \cong \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^1,$$

we have

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{L}, Y_K}(\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}}) \subset (\mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K) \otimes \Omega_{B/k'}^1)_{\mathrm{fin}} = \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}} \otimes \Omega_{B/k'}^1.$$

PROPOSITION 4.2. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}} & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathbb{L}, Y_K}} & \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}} \otimes_B \Omega_{B/k'}^1 \\ \phi_{\mathrm{dR}, \mathbb{L}, Y_K} \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_{\mathrm{dR}, \mathbb{L}, Y_K} \otimes \mathrm{id} \\ \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}} & \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\mathbb{L}, Y_K}} & \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}} \otimes_B \Omega_{B/k'}^1 \end{array}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathcal{RH}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\mathrm{fin}}} \phi_{\mathrm{dR}, \mathbb{L}, Y_K} = \phi_{\mathbb{L}, Y_K}.$$

Proof. The commutativity of the diagram follows from the fact that $\nabla_{\mathbb{L}}$ is $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -equivariant. The second assertion is a consequence of Theorem 4.1(ii) (cf. Definition 2.28). \square

Remark 4.3. In [AB10], Andreatta and Brinon developed a Fontaine-type decompletion theory in the relative setting. Roughly speaking, they associated to a local system on X a formal connection over the pro-étale cover $\tilde{X}_{K,\infty}$ over X_K when X is an affine scheme admitting a toric chart.

4.2 Theory of formal connections

To study ϕ_{dR,L,Y_K} in the previous subsection, we develop a theory of formal connections. We work on the following general setting: let R be an integral domain of characteristic 0 (e.g. $R = B_\infty$ in the previous subsection) and fix an algebraic closure of the fraction field of R . Consider the ring of Laurent series $R((t))$ and define the R -linear derivation $d_0 : R((t)) \rightarrow R((t))$ by

$$d_0\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j t^j\right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j a_j t^{j-1}.$$

Let M be a finite free $R((t))$ -module of rank r and let $D_0 : M \rightarrow M$ be an R -linear map which satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$D_0(\alpha m) = \alpha D_0(m) + d_0(\alpha)m \quad (\alpha \in R((t)), m \in M).$$

DEFINITION 4.4. A tD_0 -stable lattice of M is a finite free $R[[t]]$ -submodule Λ of M that satisfies

$$\Lambda \otimes_{R[[t]]} R((t)) = M \quad \text{and} \quad tD_0(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda.$$

For a tD_0 -stable lattice Λ of M , we have $tD_0(t\Lambda) \subset t\Lambda$ by the Leibniz rule. Thus $tD_0 : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ induces an R -linear endomorphism on $\Lambda/t\Lambda$. We denote this endomorphism by $\text{Res}_\Lambda D_0$. Since $\Lambda/t\Lambda$ is a finite free R -module of rank r , the endomorphism $\text{Res}_\Lambda D_0$ has r eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity) in the algebraic closure of the fraction field of R .

The following is known for tD_0 -stable lattices.

THEOREM 4.5. Assume that R is an algebraically closed field.

- (i) There exists a finite subset \mathcal{A} of R such that the submodule

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Ker}(tD_0 - \alpha)^r \otimes_R R[[t]]$$

is a tD_0 -stable lattice of M . In particular, the eigenvalues of $\text{Res}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}} D_0$ lie in \mathcal{A} .

- (ii) For any tD_0 -stable lattices Λ and Λ' of M , the eigenvalues of $\text{Res}_\Lambda D_0$ and those of $\text{Res}_{\Lambda'} D_0$ differ by integers. Namely, for each eigenvalue α of $\text{Res}_\Lambda D_0$, there exists an eigenvalue α' of $\text{Res}_{\Lambda'} D_0$ such that $\alpha - \alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}$.

See [DGS94, III.8 and V. Lemma 2.4] and [AB01, ch. 1, Proposition 3.2.2] for details.

We now turn to the following multivariable situation: Let R be an integral domain of characteristic 0 as before. Suppose that R is equipped with pairwise commuting derivations d_1, \dots, d_n ; this means that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the map $d_i : R \rightarrow R$ is additive and satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$d_i(ab) = d_i(a)b + ad_i(b) \quad (a, b \in R),$$

and $d_i \circ d_j = d_j \circ d_i$ for each i and j . Since R is an integral domain of characteristic 0, the derivations d_1, \dots, d_n extend uniquely over the algebraic closure of the fraction field of R .

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we extend $d_i : R \rightarrow R$ to an additive map $d_i : R((t)) \rightarrow R((t))$ by

$$d_i \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j t^j \right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} d_i(a_j) t^j.$$

Then endomorphisms d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n on $R((t))$ commute with each other. Moreover, d_1, \dots, d_n commute with $t d_0$.

Let M be a finite free $R((t))$ -module of rank r together with pairwise commuting additive endomorphisms $D_0, D_1, \dots, D_n : M \rightarrow M$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$D_i(\alpha m) = \alpha D_i(m) + d_i(\alpha) m \quad (\alpha \in R((t)), m \in M, 0 \leq i \leq n).$$

Note that D_0 is R -linear and D_1, \dots, D_n commute with $t D_0$.

The following proposition is the key to the constancy of generalized Hodge–Tate weights.

PROPOSITION 4.6. *With the notation as above, let Λ be a $t D_0$ -stable lattice of M . Then each eigenvalue α of $\text{Res}_\Lambda D_0$ in the algebraic closure of the fraction field of R satisfies*

$$d_1(\alpha) = \dots = d_n(\alpha) = 0.$$

Proof. By extending scalars from R to the algebraic closure of its fraction field, we may assume that R is an algebraically closed field. By Theorem 4.5(i), there exists a finite subset \mathcal{A} of R such that the submodule

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Ker}(t D_0 - \alpha)^r \otimes_R R[[t]]$$

is a $t D_0$ -stable lattice of M .

By Theorem 4.5(ii), the eigenvalues of $\text{Res}_\Lambda D_0$ and those of $\text{Res}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}} D_0$ differ by integers. Since every integer a satisfies $d_1(a) = \dots = d_n(a) = 0$, it suffices to treat the case where $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$.

LEMMA 4.7. *The finite free $R[[t]]$ -submodule $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ is stable under D_1, \dots, D_n .*

Note that Lemma 4.7 says that the connection $(\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}, D_0, \dots, D_n)$ is regular singular along $t = 0$. In this case, Proposition 4.6 is easy to prove. In fact, this is an algebraic analogue of the following fact: let X be the complex affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ and D the divisor $\{0\} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$. Consider a vector bundle Λ on X and an integrable connection ∇ on $\Lambda|_{X \setminus D}$ that admits logarithmic poles along D . Let T be the monodromy transformation of $(\Lambda|_{X \setminus D})^{\nabla=0}$ defined by the positive generator of $\pi_1(X \setminus D) = \mathbb{Z}$. Then T extends to an automorphism \tilde{T} of Λ and satisfies

$$\tilde{T}|_D = \exp(-2\pi i \text{Res}_D \nabla).$$

See [Del70, Proposition 3.11].

Proof of Lemma 4.7. This is [AB01, Lemma 3.3.2]. For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce the proof here. Fix $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. It is enough to show that for each $0 \leq j \leq r$,

$$D_i \text{Ker}(t D_0 - \alpha)^j \subset \text{Ker}(t D_0 - \alpha)^{j+1}.$$

We prove this inclusion by induction on j . The assertion is trivial when $j = 0$. Assume $j > 0$ and take $m \in \text{Ker}(tD_0 - \alpha)^j$. Then $(tD_0 - \alpha)m \in \text{Ker}(tD_0 - \alpha)^{j-1}$, and thus $D_i(tD_0 - \alpha)m \in \text{Ker}(tD_0 - \alpha)^j$ by the induction hypothesis. We need to show $(tD_0 - \alpha)^{j+1}D_im = 0$. Since D_i commutes with tD_0 and satisfies $D_i(\alpha m) = \alpha D_i(m) + d_i(\alpha)m$, we have

$$(tD_0 - \alpha)D_im = D_i(tD_0 - \alpha)m + d_i(\alpha)m.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (tD_0 - \alpha)^{j+1}D_im &= (tD_0 - \alpha)^j(tD_0 - \alpha)D_im \\ &= (tD_0 - \alpha)^jD_i(tD_0 - \alpha)m + (tD_0 - \alpha)^jd_i(\alpha)m \\ &= (tD_0 - \alpha)^jD_i(tD_0 - \alpha)m + d_i(\alpha)(tD_0 - \alpha)^jm. \end{aligned}$$

For the third equality, note that $d_i(\alpha) \in R$ and D_0 is R -linear. Since $D_i(tD_0 - \alpha)m \in \text{Ker}(tD_0 - \alpha)^j$ and $m \in \text{Ker}(tD_0 - \alpha)^j$, the last sum is zero. □

We continue the proof of Proposition 4.6. Fix an $R[[t]]$ -basis of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ and identify $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $R[[t]]^r$. Note that $R[[t]]^r$ has natural differentials $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n : R[[t]]^r \rightarrow R[[t]]^r$. Consider the map

$$t(D_0 - d_0) : R[[t]]^r \rightarrow R[[t]]^r.$$

This is $R[[t]]$ -linear. We denote the corresponding $r \times r$ matrix by $C_0 \in M_r(R[[t]])$.

Fix $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 4.7, the map D_i gives an endomorphism on $R[[t]]^r$ that satisfies the Leibniz rule, and thus $D_i - d_i$ is an $R[[t]]$ -linear endomorphism on $R[[t]]^r$. We denote the corresponding $r \times r$ matrix by $C_i \in M_r(R[[t]])$.

We have $[tD_0, D_i] = 0$ and $[td_0, d_i] = 0$ in $\text{End}(R[[t]]^r)$. Plugging $tD_0 = td_0 + C_0$ and $D_i = d_i + C_i$ into $[tD_0, D_i] = 0$ yields

$$[C_0, C_i] = d_iC_0 - td_0C_i, \tag{4.1}$$

where d_0 and d_i are derivatives acting on the matrices entrywise.

Consider the surjection $R[[t]] \rightarrow R$ evaluating t by 0. We denote the image of C_0 (respectively C_i) in $M_r(R)$ by \bar{C}_0 (respectively \bar{C}_i). By construction \bar{C}_0 is the matrix corresponding to $\text{Res}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}}D_0$. Thus it suffices to show that each eigenvalue of \bar{C}_0 is killed by d_i . This is standard. Namely, by (4.1), we have

$$[\bar{C}_0, \bar{C}_i] = d_i\bar{C}_0.$$

This implies that

$$d_i(\bar{C}_0^2) = \bar{C}_0d_i(\bar{C}_0) + d_i(\bar{C}_0)\bar{C}_0 = \bar{C}_0[\bar{C}_0, \bar{C}_i] + [\bar{C}_0, \bar{C}_i]\bar{C}_0 = [\bar{C}_0^2, \bar{C}_i].$$

Similarly, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d_i(\bar{C}_0^j) = [\bar{C}_0^j, \bar{C}_i].$$

In particular, we get

$$d_i(\text{tr}(\bar{C}_0^j)) = 0.$$

This implies that each eigenvalue of \bar{C}_0 is killed by d_i . □

4.3 Constancy of generalized Hodge–Tate weights

Here is the key theorem of this paper.

THEOREM 4.8. *Let k be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over k and \mathbb{L} a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Consider the arithmetic Sen endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}))$. Then eigenvalues of $\phi_{\mathbb{L},x} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})_x)$ for $x \in X_K$ are algebraic over k and constant on each connected component of X_K .*

We call these eigenvalues *generalized Hodge–Tate weights* of \mathbb{L} .

Proof. Since $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ is an endomorphism on the vector bundle $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ on X_K , it suffices to prove the statement étale locally on X . Thus we may assume that X is an affinoid $\text{Spa}(B, B^+)$ which admits a toric chart $X_{k'} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{k'}^n$ over some finite extension k' of k in K .

Take $(Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \rightarrow X_{k'}) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{L}}$. We may assume that B_{∞} is connected, hence an integral domain. Note that Y admits a toric chart

$$Y_{k''} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{k''}^n = \text{Spa}(k''\langle T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_n^{\pm} \rangle, \mathcal{O}_{k''}\langle T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_n^{\pm} \rangle)$$

after base change to a finite extension k'' of k' in K . Then the derivations $\partial/\partial T_1, \dots, \partial/\partial T_n$ on $k''\langle T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_n^{\pm} \rangle$ extends over B_{∞} . We also denote the extensions by $\partial/\partial T_1, \dots, \partial/\partial T_n$.

We set

$$R = B_{k_{\infty}}, \quad d_0 = \partial_t \quad \text{and} \quad d_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial T_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Consider the $R((t))$ -module

$$M = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K)_{\text{fin}}$$

equipped with endomorphisms

$$D_0 = t^{-1}\phi_{\text{dR}, \mathbb{L}, Y_K} \quad \text{and} \quad D_i = (\nabla_{\mathbb{L}, Y_K})_{\partial/\partial T_i} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

By Proposition 4.2, they satisfy the assumptions in the previous subsection.

Consider the $R[[t]]$ -submodule of M

$$\Lambda = (\text{Fil}^0 \mathcal{R}\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y_K))_{\text{fin}}.$$

Then Λ is tD_0 -stable, and $\text{Res}_{\Lambda} D_0$ is $\phi_{\mathbb{L}, Y_K}$. Thus by Proposition 4.6, each eigenvalue α of $\text{Res}_{\Lambda} D_0$ in an algebraic closure L of $\text{Frac } R$ satisfies

$$d_1(\alpha) = \dots = d_n(\alpha) = 0.$$

On the other hand, we can check that

$$L^{d_1=\dots=d_n=0} = \overline{(\text{Frac } k''\langle T_1^{\pm}, \dots, T_n^{\pm} \rangle)^{\partial/\partial T_1=\dots=\partial/\partial T_n=0}} = \bar{k}.$$

Therefore the eigenvalues of $\phi_{\mathbb{L}, Y_K}$ are algebraic over k and constant on Y_K . □

COROLLARY 4.9. *Let k be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let X be a geometrically connected smooth rigid analytic variety over k and \mathbb{L} a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on X . Then the multiset of generalized Hodge–Tate weights of the p -adic representations $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{x}}$ of $\text{Gal}(\overline{k(x)}/k(x))$ does not depend on the choice of a classical point x of X .*

In particular, if $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{x}}$ is presque Hodge–Tate for one classical point x of X (i.e. generalized Hodge–Tate weights are all integers), $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{y}}$ is presque Hodge–Tate for every classical point y of X .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.8. □

5. Applications and related topics

We study properties of Hodge–Tate sheaves using the arithmetic Sen endomorphism. We keep the notation in § 3.

Consider the Hodge–Tate period sheaf on $X_{\text{proét}}$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{HT}} := \text{gr}^\bullet \mathcal{O}_{\text{dR}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}\mathbb{C}(j).$$

For a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system \mathbb{L} on $X_{\text{ét}}$, we define a sheaf $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ on $X_{\text{ét}}$ by

$$D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) := \nu_* (\hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\text{HT}}).$$

PROPOSITION 5.1. *The sheaf $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}$ -module. Moreover, for every affinoid $Y \in X_{\text{ét}}$,*

$$\Gamma(Y, D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\Gamma_k, \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(Y)(j)).$$

Proof. This follows from the proof of [LZ17, Theorem 3.9(i)]. □

Remark 5.2. In [KL16, Theorem 8.6.2(a)], Kedlaya and Liu proved this statement for pseudocoherent modules over a pro-coherent analytic field.

We are going to study the relation between $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ and $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \in \text{End } \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}}=j} := \text{Ker}(\phi_{\mathbb{L}} - j \text{id} : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})).$$

This is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K,\text{ét}}}$ -module. We denote by $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_K}$ the coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X_{K,\text{ét}}}$ -module associated to the pullback of $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ on X to X_K as coherent sheaves.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system of rank r on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Assume that \mathbb{L} satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (i) $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}}=j}$ is a vector bundle on $X_{K,\text{ét}}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (ii) $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a vector bundle of rank r on $X_{\text{ét}}$.

Then we have

$$D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_{K,\text{ét}}} \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}(j))^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}(j)}=0}.$$

Moreover, this is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}}=j}$. In particular, $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a vector bundle on $X_{\text{ét}}$ and $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}}=j}$ is a vector bundle on $X_{K,\text{ét}}$.

Proof. The statement is local. So it suffices to prove that for each affinoid $Y = \text{Spa}(B, B^+) \in X_{\text{ét}}$ such that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})|_{Y_K}$ is associated to a finite free B_K -module (say V), we have

$$\Gamma(Y, D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})) \hat{\otimes}_B B_K \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V(j)^{\phi_{V(j)}=0}.$$

Note $\Gamma(Y, D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (V(j))^{\Gamma_k}$. Moreover, it follows from the Tate–Sen method [LZ17, Lemma 3.10] that

$$(V_{\text{fin}}(j))^{\Gamma_k} \xrightarrow{\cong} (V(j))^{\Gamma_k}.$$

LEMMA 5.4.

- (i) $(V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=0}) \otimes_{B_\infty} B_K \cong V^{\phi_V=0}$.
- (ii) *The natural map*

$$(V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k}) \otimes_B B_\infty \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=0}$$

is injective.

Proof. Part (i) follows from the flatness of $B_\infty \rightarrow B_K$ and $V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{B_\infty} B_K \cong V$.

We prove part (ii). By the definition of ϕ_V , the natural map

$$(V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k}) \otimes_B B_\infty \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}}$$

factors through $V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=0}$. So we show that the above map is injective.

We denote the total fraction ring of B (respectively B_∞) by $\text{Frac } B$ (respectively $\text{Frac } B_\infty$). We first claim that the natural map

$$V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B \text{Frac } B$$

is injective. To see this, note that V_{fin} is a finite free B_∞ -module. Hence the composite

$$V_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_B \text{Frac } B = V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{B_\infty} (B_\infty \otimes_B \text{Frac } B) \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{B_\infty} \text{Frac } B_\infty$$

is injective, and thus so is the first map. Since the composite

$$V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B \text{Frac } B \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_B \text{Frac } B$$

coincides with the composite of injective maps $V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}}$ and $V_{\text{fin}} \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_B \text{Frac } B$, the map $V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B \text{Frac } B$ is also injective.

By the above claim, it suffices to show the injectivity of the natural map

$$(V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B \text{Frac } B) \otimes_{\text{Frac } B} \text{Frac } B_\infty \rightarrow V_{\text{fin}} \otimes_{B_\infty} \text{Frac } B_\infty.$$

Now that $\text{Frac } B$ and $\text{Frac } B_\infty$ are products of fields, this follows from standard arguments; we may assume that $\text{Frac } B$ is a field. Replacing k by an algebraic closure in $\text{Frac } B$, we may further assume that $\text{Frac } B_\infty$ is also a field. Note that $\text{Frac } B_\infty = (\text{Frac } B) \otimes_k k_\infty$ and thus $(\text{Frac } B_\infty)^{\Gamma_k} = \text{Frac } B$.

Assume the contrary. Let $a > 0$ be the minimal positive integer such that there exist $v_1, \dots, v_a \in V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B \text{Frac } B$ that are linearly independent over $\text{Frac } B$ and non-zero $b_1, \dots, b_a \in \text{Frac } B_\infty$ satisfying $b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_a v_a = 0$. By replacing b_i by $b_1^{-1} b_i$, we may further assume $b_1 = 1$. Take any $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$. As $v_1, \dots, v_a \in V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B \text{Frac } B$, we have $v_1 + \gamma(b_2)v_2 + \dots + \gamma(b_a)v_a = 0$ and thus $(\gamma(b_2) - b_2)v_2 + \dots + (\gamma(b_a) - b_a)v_a = 0$. By the minimality, we have $\gamma(b_i) = b_i$ for each $2 \leq i \leq a$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$. Therefore we have $b_i \in \text{Frac } B$ for all i , which contradicts the linear independence of v_1, \dots, v_a over $\text{Frac } B$. \square

We continue the proof of Proposition 5.3. By Lemma 5.4 and discussions above, it is enough to show $V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B B_\infty \cong V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=0}$ assuming either condition (i) or (ii). In fact, the Tate twist of this isomorphism implies $(V(j))^{\Gamma_k} \hat{\otimes}_B B_K \cong (V(j))^{\phi_{V(j)}=0}$, and a choice of a generator of $\mathcal{O}_{X_K, \text{ét}}(j)$ yields $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}(j))^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}(j)}=0} \cong \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}}=-j}$.

We show that condition (ii) implies condition (i). For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $V_{\text{fin}}^{(j)}$ denote the generalized eigenspace of ϕ_V on V_{fin} with eigenvalue j . By the constancy of ϕ_V , $V_{\text{fin}}^{(j)}$ is a direct

summand of V_{fin} and thus a finite projective B_∞ -module. By Lemma 5.4(ii), we have injective B_∞ -linear maps

$$(V(j)_{\text{fin}})^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B B_\infty \hookrightarrow (V(j)_{\text{fin}})^{\phi_V(j)=0} \cong V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=-j} \hookrightarrow V_{\text{fin}}^{(-j)}$$

for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. From this we obtain

$$\text{rank } D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{rank}_B(V_{\text{fin}}(j))^{\Gamma_k} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{rank}_{B_\infty} V_{\text{fin}}^{(-j)} \leq \text{rank } \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) = r.$$

Hence it follows from condition (ii) that $(V_{\text{fin}}(j))^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B B_\infty$ and $V_{\text{fin}}^{(-j)}$ are finite projective B_∞ -modules of the same rank. This implies $V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=-j} = V_{\text{fin}}^{(-j)}$. So $V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=-j}$ is a finite projective B_∞ -module for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thus $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ satisfies condition (i).

From now on, we assume that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ satisfies condition (i). By condition (i) and Lemma 5.4(i), $V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=0}$ is finite projective over B_∞ . So shrinking Y if necessary, we may assume that $V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=0}$ is finite free over B_∞ . Note that we only concern the B_∞ -representation V_{fin} of Γ_k and we have $(V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=0})^{\Gamma_k} = V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k}$. Thus replacing V_{fin} by the subrepresentation $V_{\text{fin}}^{\phi_V=0}$, we may further assume $\phi_V = 0$ on V_{fin} . Under this assumption, it remains to prove $V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B B_\infty \cong V_{\text{fin}}$.

Fix a B_∞ -basis v_1, \dots, v_r of V_{fin} . Then there exists a large positive integer m such that for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$ the matrix of γ with respect to (v_i) has entries in $\text{GL}_r(B_{k_m})$. Since $\phi_V = 0$, by increasing m if necessary, we may further assume that $\gamma v_i = v_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma'_k := \text{Gal}(k_\infty/k_m) \subset \Gamma_k$. Set $V_{k_m} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} B_{k_m} v_i$. This is a B_{k_m} -representation of $\Gamma_k/\Gamma'_k = \text{Gal}(k_m/k)$ and satisfies $V_{\text{fin}} = V_{k_m} \otimes_{B_{k_m}} B_\infty$.

It follows from [BC08, Proposition 2.2.1] that $(V_{k_m})^{\Gamma_k/\Gamma'_k}$ is a finite projective B -module and that $(V_{k_m})^{\Gamma_k/\Gamma'_k} \otimes_B B_{k_m} \cong V_{k_m}$. As $V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} = (V_{k_m})^{\Gamma_k/\Gamma'_k}$, this yields

$$V_{\text{fin}}^{\Gamma_k} \otimes_B B_\infty \cong V_{\text{fin}}. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 5.5. *Let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system of rank r on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a vector bundle of rank r on $X_{\text{ét}}$;
- (ii) $\nu^* D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}} \cong \hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}}$;
- (iii) $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ is a semisimple endomorphism on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$ with integer eigenvalues;
- (iv) there exist integers $j_1 < \dots < j_a$ such that if we set $F(s) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq a} (s - j_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[s]$, then

$$F(\phi_{\mathbb{L}}) = 0$$

as an endomorphism of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$.

DEFINITION 5.6. A \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$ is a *Hodge–Tate sheaf* if it satisfies the equivalent conditions in Theorem 5.5.

Remark 5.7. Tsuji obtained Theorem 5.5 in the case of semistable schemes [Tsu11, Theorem 9.1]. He also gave a characterization of Hodge–Tate local systems in terms of restrictions to divisors. See [Tsu11, Theorem 9.1] for the detail.

Proof of Theorem 5.5. The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) is clear, and (iii) implies (i) by Proposition 5.3. Conversely, assume condition (i). Thus $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_K}$ is a vector bundle of rank r on $X_{K,\text{ét}}$. By Proposition 5.3, it is also isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}}=j}$. Thus $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}}=j} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$, and there exist integers $j_1 < \dots < j_a$ such that $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq a} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})^{\phi_{\mathbb{L}}=j_i} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})$. So $F(s) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq a} (s - j_i)$ satisfies $F(\phi_{\mathbb{L}}) = 0$, which is condition (iv).

Next we show that condition (iv) implies (ii). Obviously, there is a natural morphism

$$\nu^* D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}} \tag{5.1}$$

on $X_{\text{proét}}$ and we will prove that this is an isomorphism. It is enough to check this on $X_{\text{proét}}/X_K \cong X_{K,\text{proét}}$. Recall a canonical isomorphism in [LZ17, Theorem 2.1(ii)]:

$$\nu'^* \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_K}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}} \cong (\hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}}.$$

Then the restriction of the morphism (5.1) to $X_{K,\text{proét}}$ is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu^* D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}} &\cong \nu^* D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_K}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}}|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}} \\ &\cong \nu'^*(D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_K}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_K}} \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(j) \right) \Big|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}} \\ &\cong \nu'^* \left(D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_K} \otimes \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{X_K}(j) \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_K}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}} \\ &\rightarrow \nu'^* \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(j) \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_K}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}} \\ &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(j) \right) \Big|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}} \cong (\hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}}. \end{aligned}$$

This can be checked by considering affinoid perfectoids represented by the toric tower, and the verification is left to the reader. It follows from condition (iii) and Proposition 5.3 that

$$\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_K}(j) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(j).$$

Hence $(\nu^* D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}} \cong (\hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}}$.

Finally we show that (ii) implies (i). By condition (ii), we have

$$\nu'_*((\nu^* D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}}) \cong \nu'_*((\hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}}).$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check

$$\nu'_*((\nu^* D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}}) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_K}(j).$$

Since $\nu'_*((\hat{\mathbb{L}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{HT}}})|_{X_{K,\text{proét}}}) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(j)$, we have

$$\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_K}(j) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L})(j).$$

In particular, $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})|_{X_K}$ is a vector bundle on $X_{K,\text{ét}}$, and thus $D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a vector bundle on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Moreover, condition (ii) implies $\text{rank } D_{\text{HT}}(\mathbb{L}) = r$. □

Example 5.8. Suppose that there exists a Zariski dense subset $T \subset X$ consisting of classical rigid points with residue field finite over k such that the restriction of \mathbb{L} to each $x \in T$ defines a Hodge–Tate representation. Then \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf by Theorems 4.8 and 5.5(iii). See [KL16, Theorem 8.6.6] for a generalization of this remark.

COROLLARY 5.9.

- (i) Hodge–Tate sheaves are stable under taking dual, tensor product, and subquotients.
- (ii) Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism between smooth rigid analytic varieties over k . If \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$, then $f^*\mathbb{L}$ is a Hodge–Tate sheaf on $Y_{\text{ét}}$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.20, Lemma 2.22, and Theorem 5.5(iii). □

We next turn to the pushforward of Hodge–Tate sheaves.

THEOREM 5.10. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth proper morphism between smooth rigid analytic varieties over k of relative dimension m and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Z}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$.

- (i) If $\alpha \in \bar{k}$ is a generalized Hodge–Tate for $R^i f_*\mathbb{L}$, then α is of the form $\beta - j$ with a generalized Hodge–Tate weight β of \mathbb{L} and an integer $j \in [0, m]$.
- (ii) If \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf on $X_{\text{ét}}$, then $R^i f_*\mathbb{L}$ is a Hodge–Tate sheaf on $Y_{\text{ét}}$.³

Remark 5.11. Theorem 5.10(ii) is proved by Hyodo [Hyo86, § 3, Corollary] when $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and \mathbb{L} are analytifications of corresponding algebraic objects.

Proof. Let $f_K : X_K \rightarrow Y_K$ denote the base change of f over K .

Part (i) easily follows from Theorem 3.9. In fact, we have the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}(R^i f_*\mathbb{L}) \cong R^i f_{K,\text{ét},*}(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(-\bullet)),$$

and under this identification $\phi_{R^i f_*\mathbb{L}}$ corresponds to $R^i f_{K,\text{ét},*}(\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \bullet(\text{id} \otimes \text{id}))$. Consider the spectral sequence with

$$E_1^{a,b} = R^b f_{K,\text{ét},*} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^a(-a)$$

converging to $\mathcal{H}(R^{a+b} f_*\mathbb{L})$. Then the endomorphism $R^b f_{K,\text{ét},*}((\phi_{\mathbb{L}} - a) \otimes \text{id})$ on $E_1^{a,b}$ converges to $\phi_{R^{a+b} f_*\mathbb{L}}$, and this implies part (i).

For part (ii), we need arguments similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9. We may assume that Y is affinoid. Take a finite affinoid covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_K^{(i)}\}$ of X_K . Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet denote the complex of \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -modules

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^1(-1) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{\mathbb{L}}} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^2(-2) \longrightarrow \dots$$

on X_K equipped with the natural Γ_k -action and the endomorphism $\phi_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet} = \phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - \bullet(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})$.

Recall also the Čech-to-derived functor spectral sequence with

$$E_2^{a,b} = H^a(\text{Tot}(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \underline{H}^b(\mathcal{F}^\bullet))))$$

converging to $R^{a+b}\Gamma(X_{K,\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. This spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 and yields

$$H^i(\text{Tot}(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet))) \xrightarrow{\cong} R^i\Gamma(X_{K,\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \Gamma(Y_K, \mathcal{H}(R^i f_*\mathbb{L})). \tag{5.2}$$

³ A \mathbb{Z}_p -local system \mathbb{L} is called Hodge–Tate if the \mathbb{Q}_p -local system $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is Hodge–Tate.

Note that both source and target in (5.2) have arithmetic Sen endomorphisms and they are compatible under the isomorphism.

Since \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf, there exist integers $j_1 < \dots < j_a$ such that $F(\phi_{\mathbb{L}}) = 0$ with $F(s) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq a} (s - j_i)$. Set $J := \{j_1 - m, j_1 - m + 1, \dots, j_a - 1, j_a\}$. This is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z} . We set $G(s) := \prod_{j \in J} (s - j) \in \mathbb{Z}[s]$. For each $0 \leq j \leq m$, the endomorphism $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - j(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})$ on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^j(-j)$ satisfies

$$G(\phi_{\mathbb{L}} \otimes \text{id} - j(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})) = 0.$$

This implies $G(\phi_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}) = 0$, and thus $G(\text{Tot}(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \phi_{\mathcal{F}^\bullet}))) = 0$. Therefore (5.2) yields

$$G(\phi_{R^i f_* \mathbb{L}}) = 0.$$

Hence $R^i f_* \mathbb{L}$ is a Hodge–Tate sheaf on $Y_{\text{ét}}$. □

We now turn to a rigidity of Hodge–Tate representations. Let us first recall Liu and Zhu’s rigidity result for de Rham representations [LZ17, Theorem 1.3]: let X be a geometrically connected smooth rigid analytic variety over k and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$. If $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{x}}$ is a de Rham representation at a classical point $x \in X$, then \mathbb{L} is a de Rham sheaf. In particular, $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{y}}$ is a de Rham representation at every classical point $y \in X$.

The same result holds for Hodge–Tate local systems of rank at most two. We do not know whether this is true for Hodge–Tate local systems of higher rank.

THEOREM 5.12. *Let k be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let X be a geometrically connected smooth rigid analytic variety over k and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Assume that $\text{rank } \mathbb{L}$ is at most two. If $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{x}}$ is a Hodge–Tate representation at a classical point $x \in X$, then \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf. In particular, $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{y}}$ is a Hodge–Tate representation at every classical point $y \in X$.*

Before the proof, let us recall a remarkable theorem by Sen on Hodge–Tate representations of weight 0.

THEOREM 5.13 [Sen81, § Corollary]. *Let k be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let $\rho : G_k \rightarrow \text{GL}_r(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be a continuous representation of the absolute Galois group G_k of k . Then ρ is a Hodge–Tate representation with all the Hodge–Tate weights zero if and only if ρ is potentially unramified, i.e. the image of the inertia subgroup of k is finite.*

Note that ρ being a Hodge–Tate representation with all the Hodge–Tate weights zero is equivalent to the Sen endomorphism of ρ being zero. Since potentially unramified representations are de Rham and de Rham representations are stable under Tate twists, Theorem 5.13 implies that a Hodge–Tate representation with a single weight is necessarily de Rham.

Proof of Theorem 5.12. We check condition (iii) in Theorem 5.5. By Theorem 4.8 and assumption, all the eigenvalues of $\phi_{\mathbb{L}}$ are integers. So the statement is obvious either when $\text{rank } \mathbb{L} = 1$ or when $\text{rank } \mathbb{L} = 2$ and two eigenvalues are distinct integers.

Assume that $\text{rank } \mathbb{L} = 2$ and two eigenvalues are the same integer. Then $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{x}}$ is de Rham by Theorem 5.13, and thus \mathbb{L} is de Rham by the above-mentioned rigidity theorem for de Rham representations by Liu and Zhu [LZ17, Theorem 1.3]. In particular, \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf. □

Remark 5.14. The proof shows that Theorem 5.12 holds for \mathbb{L} of an arbitrary rank if one of the following conditions holds.

- (i) $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{x}}$ is a Hodge–Tate representation with a single weight at a classical point $x \in X$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{L}_{\bar{x}}$ is a Hodge–Tate representation with rank \mathbb{L} distinct weights at a classical point $x \in X$.

We end with another application of Sen’s theorem in the relative setting.

THEOREM 5.15. *Let k be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let X be a smooth rigid analytic variety over k and let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Z}_p -local system on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Assume that \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf with a single Hodge–Tate weight. Then there exists a finite étale cover $f : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $(f^*\mathbb{L})_{\bar{y}}$ is semistable at every classical point y of Y .*

Proof. Since semistable representations are stable under Tate twists, we may assume that \mathbb{L} is a Hodge–Tate sheaf with all the weights zero. Let $\bar{\mathbb{L}}$ denote the \mathbb{Z}/p^2 -local system $\mathbb{L}/p^2\mathbb{L}$ on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Then there exists a finite étale cover $f : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f^*\bar{\mathbb{L}}$ is trivial on $Y_{\text{ét}}$. We will prove that this Y works.

Let y be a classical point of Y . We denote by k' the residue field of y . Let $\rho : G_{k'} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be the Galois representation of k' corresponding to the stalk $V := (f^*\bar{\mathbb{L}})_{\bar{y}}$ at a geometric point \bar{y} above y . By assumption, ρ is a Hodge–Tate representation with all the weights zero, and thus it is potentially unramified by Theorem 5.13. Hence if we denote the inertia group of k' by $I_{k'}$, $\rho(I_{k'})$ is finite.

By construction, the mod p^2 representation

$$G_{k'} \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{GL}(V) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V/p^2V)$$

is trivial. On the other hand, $\text{Ker}(\text{GL}(V) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V/p^2V))$ does not contain elements of finite order except the identity. Thus we see that $\rho(I_{k'})$ is trivial and hence ρ is an unramified representation. In particular, ρ is semistable. \square

Remark 5.16. As mentioned in the introduction, it is an interesting question whether one can extend Colmez’s strategy [Col08] to prove the relative p -adic monodromy conjecture using Theorem 5.15.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Mark Kisin for his constant encouragement and useful feedback. He also thanks Héctor Pastén and the referees for their helpful comments towards improving this paper.

REFERENCES

- AB01 Y. André and F. Baldassarri, *De Rham cohomology of differential modules on algebraic varieties*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 189 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001).
- AB08 F. Andreatta and O. Brinon, *Surconvergence des représentations p -adiques: le cas relatif*, Astérisque **319** (2008), 39–116; Représentations p -adiques de groupes p -adiques. I. Représentations galoisiennes et (ϕ, Γ) -modules.
- AB10 F. Andreatta and O. Brinon, *B_{dR} -représentations dans le cas relatif*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **43** (2010), 279–339.
- AGT16 A. Abbes, M. Gros and T. Tsuji, *The p -adic Simpson correspondence*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 193 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2016).
- BC08 L. Berger and P. Colmez, *Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p -adique*, Astérisque **319** (2008), 303–337; Représentations p -adiques de groupes p -adiques. I. Représentations galoisiennes et (ϕ, Γ) -modules.

- BC09 O. Brinon and B. Conrad, *CMI summer school notes on p -adic Hodge theory* (preliminary version), available at <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/notes.pdf>, 2009.
- Bel15 R. Bellovin, *p -adic Hodge theory in rigid analytic families*, *Algebra Number Theory* **9** (2015), 371–433.
- Ber02 L. Berger, *Représentations p -adiques et équations différentielles*, *Invent. Math.* **148** (2002), 219–284.
- BGR84 S. Bosch, U. Güntzer and R. Remmert, *Non-Archimedean analysis*, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, vol. 261 (Springer, Berlin, 1984); A systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry.
- BMS18 B. Bhatt, M. Morrow and P. Scholze, *Integral p -adic Hodge theory*. Preprint (2018), [arXiv:1602.03148v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03148v2).
- Bri03 O. Brinon, *Une généralisation de la théorie de Sen*, *Math. Ann.* **327** (2003), 793–813.
- Che09 G. Chenevier, *Une application des variétés de Hecke des groupes unitaires*, available at <http://gaetan.chenevier.perso.math.cnrs.fr/articles/famgal.pdf>, 2009.
- Col08 P. Colmez, *Espaces vectoriels de dimension finie et représentations de de Rham*, *Astérisque* **319** (2008), 117–186; Représentations p -adiques de groupes p -adiques. I. Représentations galoisiennes et (ϕ, Γ) -modules.
- Del70 P. Deligne, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 163 (Springer, Berlin–New York, 1970).
- DGS94 B. Dwork, G. Gerotto and F. J. Sullivan, *An introduction to G -functions*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 133 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994).
- Fal05 G. Faltings, *A p -adic Simpson correspondence*, *Adv. Math.* **198** (2005), 847–862.
- Fon04 J.-M. Fontaine, *Arithmétique des représentations galoisiennes p -adiques*, *Astérisque* **295** (2004), 1–115; Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques. III.
- Hub94 R. Huber, *A generalization of formal schemes and rigid analytic varieties*, *Math. Z.* **217** (1994), 513–551.
- Hub96 R. Huber, *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic varieties and adic spaces*, *Aspects of Mathematics*, E30 (Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1996).
- Hyo86 O. Hyodo, *On the Hodge–Tate decomposition in the imperfect residue field case*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **365** (1986), 97–113.
- KL15 K. S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, *Relative p -adic Hodge theory: foundations*, *Astérisque* **371** (2015).
- KL16 K. S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, *Relative p -adic Hodge theory, II: Imperfect period rings*. Preprint (2016), [arXiv:1602.06899v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.06899v2).
- LZ17 R. Liu and X. Zhu, *Rigidity and a Riemann–Hilbert correspondence for p -adic local systems*, *Invent. Math.* **207** (2017), 291–343.
- Mat89 H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, vol. 8, second edition (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989); translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.
- Sch12 P. Scholze, *Perfectoid spaces*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **116** (2012), 245–313.
- Sch13 P. Scholze, *p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties*, *Forum Math.* **1** (2013), e1, 77.
- Sch16 P. Scholze, *p -adic Hodge theory for rigid-analytic varieties, Corrigendum*, *Forum Math.* **4** (2016), e6, 4.
- Sen81 S. Sen, *Continuous cohomology and p -adic Galois representations*, *Invent. Math.* **62** (1980/81), 89–116.
- Sen88 S. Sen, *The analytic variation of p -adic Hodge structure*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **127** (1988), 647–661.
- Sen93 S. Sen, *An infinite-dimensional Hodge–Tate theory*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **121** (1993), 13–34.

- SW18 P. Scholze and J. Weinstein, *Berkeley lectures on p -adic geometry*, available at <http://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/scholze/Berkeley.pdf>, 2018.
- Tat67 J. T. Tate, *p -divisible groups*, in *Proc. conf. local fields (Driebergen, 1966)* (Springer, Berlin, 1967), 158–183.
- Tsu11 T. Tsuji, *Purity for Hodge–Tate representations*, *Math. Ann.* **350** (2011), 829–866.
- Tsu18 T. Tsuji, *Notes on the local p -adic Simpson correspondence*, *Math. Ann.* **371** (2018), 795–881.

Koji Shimizu shimizu@math.berkeley.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley,
Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA