

Proof that every rational algebraic equation has a root

By PROFESSOR A. C. DIXON

(Read 10th January, 1908. Received, same date.)

The following arrangement of the proof of this theorem could, I think, be given at a comparatively early stage, even if the necessary case of De Moivre's theorem had to be proved as an introductory lemma.

Let u, v be two rational integral algebraic functions of x, y with real coefficients, and let c be a simple closed contour in the plane. As the point (x, y) travels round c let those changes in the sign of u that take place when v is positive be marked and let $(u, v; c)$ denote the excess in number among these of changes from $+$ to $-$ over changes from $-$ to $+$.*

If c is deformed continuously, $(u, v; c)$ will not be changed except (1), when c passes over a point where u, v both vanish, (2), when there is a change in the number of points where c meets one of the curves $u=0, v=0$. In case (1) there will generally be a change in the value of $(u, v; c)$ since a change of sign in u on c will pass from the part of c where v is negative to that where v is positive, or conversely.

In case (2) suppose c to be deformed so that the number of its intersections with the curve $u=0$ is increased. The increase must be an even number since both curves are continuous and endless. The sign of v is constant in the neighbourhood unless we are dealing with a case under (1) and since the new changes in sign of u are alternately $+$ $-$ and $-$ $+$ there is no effect on $(u, v; c)$: similarly if the number of intersections with $u=0$ is decreased.

If the number of intersections of c with the curve $v=0$ is altered, it must again be by an even number and there will be no change in $(u, v; c)$ unless $u=0$ at the same place as v when the case falls under (1).

Hence the deformation of c produces no effect on $(u, v; c)$ unless c passes over a point where $u=0=v$. In particular, if c does not contain such a point, it can be made to shrink up to a small contour in a neighbourhood where u, v are of constant signs and $(u, v; c)$ being unaffected by this process must be 0 throughout.

* It is not hard to see that $(v, u; c) = (-u, v; c) = -(u, v; c)$ etc.

Now take u, v to be given by the equation $f(z) = u + iv$ where $z = x + iy$ and $f(z) = z^n + (a_1 + ib_1)z^{n-1} + (a_2 + ib_2)z^{n-2} + \dots$: let c be a circle with centre at the origin and radius R , so that on c $z = R(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)$, and θ runs from 0 to 2π .

We have by De Moivre's theorem

$$u = R^n \cos n\theta + R^{n-1}(a_1 \cos n - 1\theta - b_1 \sin n - 1\theta) + \text{lower powers of } R,$$

$$v = R^n \sin n\theta + R^{n-1}(a_1 \sin n - 1\theta + b_1 \cos n - 1\theta) + \text{lower powers of } R.$$

The sum of all the terms after the first, either in u or v , is not greater than the sum of $a_1 R^{n-1}, b_1 R^{n-1}, a_2 R^{n-2} \dots$ all taken positively and a value of R may be chosen so great that this sum does not exceed kR^n where k is any finite quantity. Thus the sign of u will be that of its first term if $\cos^2 n\theta > k^2$ and similarly for v : we shall take $k = \frac{1}{2}$.

Divide c into $4n$ parts at the points where

$$\theta = (2r + 1)\pi/4n \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, 4n - 1)$$

In the part of c when θ rises from $(8m - 1)\pi/4n$ to $(8m + 1)\pi/4n$ $\cos n\theta < 1/\sqrt{2}$ and thus u is positive while the sign of v is at first - and at last +.

When θ rises from $(8m + 1)\pi/4n$ to $(8m + 3)\pi/4n$, v is positive, but u begins + and ends -.

When θ rises from $(8m + 3)\pi/4n$ to $(8m + 5)\pi/4n$, u is always -.

When θ rises from $(8m + 5)\pi/4n$ to $(8m + 7)\pi/4n$, v is always -.

Only in the second case is there any contribution to $(u, v; c)$ and as this case occurs n times contributing 1 each time we have

$$(u, v; c) = n.$$

Hence c must contain a point where u, v vanish together, and the equation $f(z) = 0$ must have a root.

The proof that there are exactly n roots is now easy.