

HOMOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTY FOR BANACH SPACES

by YU. V. SELIVANOV

(Received 1 March, 1991)

0. Let E be a Banach space, and let $N(E)$ be the Banach algebra of all nuclear operators on E . In this work, we shall study the homological properties of this algebra. Some of these properties turn out to be equivalent to the (Grothendieck) approximation property for E . These include:

- (i) biprojectivity of $N(E)$;
- (ii) biflatness of $N(E)$;
- (iii) homological finite-dimensionality of $N(E)$;
- (iv) vanishing of the three-dimensional cohomology group, $H^3(N(E), N(E))$.

This adds another property to the wide range of concepts in functional analysis and topology that can be characterized in terms of topological homology (see [1, Preface, §6]): the approximation property for Banach spaces. This property is discussed in [1], [2], [3], and [4].

Let A be a Banach algebra, not necessarily with an identity, and let A_+ be its unitization. All homological concepts to be used below (the cohomology groups of A , the groups "Ext", the homological dimension $\text{dh}_A X$ of a (left Banach) A -module X , the (left) global dimension, $\text{dg } A$, and the cohomological dimension (otherwise called bidimension), $\text{db } A$, of A , (bi)projectivity, (bi)flatness and others) are assumed to be known; they are set out in detail in A. Ya. Helemskii's book [1] (see also [5]).

Let $B(E)$ (respectively $K(E)$) be the Banach algebra of all continuous (respectively all compact) linear operators on E . We recall that the algebra $N(E)$ of all nuclear operators on E consists of those elements $T \in B(E)$ which can be represented as an absolutely convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ of one-dimensional operators, and that

$$\|T\|_{N(E)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|S_n\|_{B(E)} : T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n \right\}.$$

As usual, any subalgebra of $B(E)$ that is a Banach algebra with respect to a certain norm $\|\cdot\| \geq \|\cdot\|_{B(E)}$ will be called an *operator Banach algebra* on E . We recall (see [6, Corollary 3.2]) that, if an operator Banach algebra A contains all finite-rank operators on E , then it contains $N(E)$ as a continuously embedded bi-ideal of A and is itself a Banach $N(E)$ -bimodule in the natural sense. In particular, we can speak about the $N(E)$ -bimodules $B(E)$, $K(E)$, and $N(E)$.

Let E^* be the dual space of E , and let $A(E) = E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ be the tensor algebra generated by the duality $\langle x, f \rangle = f(x)$ ($x \in E, f \in E^*$) (see [6]), with multiplication given by

$$(x_1 \otimes f_1)(x_2 \otimes f_2) = \langle x_2, f_1 \rangle x_1 \otimes f_2.$$

Here $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the projective tensor product of Banach spaces (see [2]). We recall that there exists a homomorphism of Banach algebras $\tau: A(E) \rightarrow B(E)$ defined by $\tau(x \otimes f)(y) = \langle y, f \rangle x$. The image of this homomorphism is the set of operators that can

be represented in the form

$$Ty = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle y, f_n \rangle x_n,$$

where $x_n \in E$, $f_n \in E^*$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\| \|x_n\| < \infty$, i.e., it coincides with $N(E)$. The co-restriction of τ to $N(E)$ is denoted by $\theta : A(E) \rightarrow N(E)$. It is clear that

$$\|\theta(a)\|_{N(E)} \leq \|a\|_{A(E)} \quad (a \in A(E)).$$

Let $L_E = \ker \theta$. Then L_E is a closed bi-ideal of $A(E)$, and the operator

$$\bar{\theta} : A(E)/L_E \rightarrow N(E)$$

generated by θ is an isometric isomorphism of Banach algebras. It is known (see [2, I, §5, Proposition 35]) that $L_E = 0$ if and only if E has the approximation property (AP for short); in this case the Banach algebras $A(E)$ and $N(E)$ are isometrically isomorphic.

By Lemma 2.2 of [6], the algebra $A(E)$ is always biprojective, and therefore (see [1, Theorem V.2.28]) for $A = A(E)$ (and also for $A = N(E)$ in the case where E has AP) we have $H^n(A, X) = 0$ for all A -bimodules X and for all $n \geq 3$. In particular, $\text{dg } A \leq 2$ and $\text{dh}_A \mathbb{C} \leq 1$, where $\mathbb{C} = A_+/A$ is the one-dimensional annihilator A -module.

The content of the paper is as follows. The key result of Section 1 (Theorem 1) is that, if E does not have AP, then, for $A = N(E)$, we have $\text{dh}_A A = \infty$. It follows (Corollaries 1 and 2) and $\text{dg } A = \text{dh}_A \mathbb{C} = \infty$, and A is neither biprojective nor biflat. In Corollaries 3 and 4, Theorem 1 is used to study the cohomology groups $H^n(A, X)$ and singular extensions of the algebra $A = N(E)$ by X , where X is a right-annihilator A -bimodule. In Section 2, the global and cohomological dimensions (and other characteristics) of the algebras $A(E)$ and $N(E)$ are calculated for each infinite-dimensional Banach space E . We show (Theorem 2 and Corollary 5) that $\text{dg } A(E) = \text{db } A(E) = 2$, and the condition that $\text{dg } N(E) = 2$ ($\text{db } N(E) = 2$) is equivalent to the approximation property for E . Section 3 studies the cohomology groups of the algebra $N(E)$ with coefficients in annihilator and some other bimodules. In particular, Theorem 6 shows that $H^2(N(E), N(E)) = 0$ for each Banach space E , and that $H^3(N(E), N(E)) = 0$ if and only if E has AP.

1. Homological finite-dimensionality for the algebra of nuclear operators. We shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let E be a Banach space, and let $A = N(E)$. Then*

$$\text{dh}_A A = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \text{ has AP,} \\ \infty & \text{if } E \text{ does not have AP.} \end{cases}$$

We preface to the proof of Theorem 1 a number of simple lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let E be a Banach space. Then, for each $a, b \in A(E) = E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ and each $L \in B(E)$, the following equalities hold:*

- (i) $ab = (\tau(a) \hat{\otimes} 1_{E^*})(b) = (1_E \hat{\otimes} (\tau(b))^*)(a)$;
- (ii) $\tau(a)L = \tau((1_E \hat{\otimes} L^*)(a))$ and $L\tau(a) = \tau((L \hat{\otimes} 1_{E^*})(a))$.

If, in addition, $x_1 \otimes f_1, x_2 \otimes f_2 \in A(E)$, then we have:

- (iii) $a(x_1 \otimes f_1) = \tau(a)(x_1) \otimes f_1$ and $(x_1 \otimes f_1)a = x_1 \otimes (\tau(a))^*(f_1)$;
- (iv) $(x_1 \otimes f_1)a(x_2 \otimes f_2) = \langle \tau(a)(x_2), f_1 \rangle x_1 \otimes f_2$;
- (v) $a(x_1 \otimes f_1)b = \tau(a)(x_1) \otimes (\tau(b))^*(f_1)$.

Proof. Formulae (ii) were established in [6] (see the proof of Theorem 3.2). The equalities (i) and (iii) are easy to check on elementary tensors. The formulae (iv) and (v) follows from (iii). ■

For an algebra A , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lan}(A) &= \{a \in A : ab = 0 \text{ for all } b \in A\}, \\ \text{Ann}(A) &= \{a \in A : ab = ba = 0 \text{ for all } b \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. Let E be a Banach space, and let $A = A(E)$. Then $L_E = \text{Ann}(A) = \text{Lan}(A)$.

Proof. We recall that $L_E = \text{Ker } \theta = \text{Ker } \tau$. Let $a \in L_E$. Then $\tau(a) = 0$ and, by Lemma 1(i), $ab = ba = 0$ for $b \in A$. Hence $a \in \text{Ann}(A)$. Thus $L_E \subset \text{Ann}(A)$. Since always $\text{Ann}(A) \subset \text{Lan}(A)$, it remains to prove that $\text{Lan}(A) \subset L_E$.

Let $a \in \text{Lan}(A)$. Then for $b = x \otimes f \in A(E)$ we have $ab = 0$, where $ab = \tau(a)(x) \otimes f$, by Lemma 1(iii). Since $x \in E$ and $f \in E^*$ are arbitrary, we find that $\tau(a) = 0$ i.e., $a \in \text{Ker } \tau = L_E$. ■

It is clear that every Banach space E is a left Banach $N(E)$ -module provided that the outer multiplication is defined as the action of the operator on the vector. The space E^* is now regarded as the right Banach $N(E)$ -module dual to E . Let $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ be the $N(E)$ -bimodule obtained from E and E^* by the tensor product bifunctor (see [1, II, §5.3]). It is obvious that the outer multiplications of $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ by elements of $N(E)$ are given by the formulae

$$L \cdot u = (L \hat{\otimes} 1_{E^*})(u), \quad u \cdot L = (1_E \hat{\otimes} L^*)(u),$$

where $L \in N(E)$ and $u \in E \hat{\otimes} E^*$. By Lemma 1(ii), the operator $\theta : E \hat{\otimes} E^* \rightarrow N(E)$ is a morphism of $N(E)$ -bimodules.

We now recall (see [1, II, §5.3]) that there is the so-called reduced module $X_\Pi = A \hat{\otimes}_A X$ associated with any left A -module X . Let $\kappa : X_\Pi \rightarrow X$ be the morphism of A -modules defined by $\kappa(a \hat{\otimes}_A x) = a.x$. If $X = A$, then it is easy to turn $X_\Pi = A \hat{\otimes}_A A$ into A -bimodule (see [1, Proposition II.5.15]). In this case, $\kappa : A \hat{\otimes}_A A \rightarrow A$ becomes a morphism of A -bimodules.

LEMMA 3 (see [7, 4.6(i)]). Let E be a Banach space, and let $A = N(E)$. Then, up to an isometric isomorphism of A -bimodules, the reduced module $A_\Pi = A \hat{\otimes}_A A$ coincides with $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$, and the morphism $\kappa : A_\Pi \rightarrow A$ coincides with the epimorphism $\theta : E \hat{\otimes} E^* \rightarrow N(E)$.

We give a direct proof of this result.

Proof. Choose $x_0 \in E$ and $f_0 \in E^*$ such that $\langle x_0, f_0 \rangle = \|x_0\|_E = \|f_0\|_{E^*} = 1$. For $x \in E$, $f \in E^*$, let

$$\lambda(x \otimes f) = \theta(x \otimes f) \hat{\otimes}_A \theta(x_0 \otimes f_0).$$

Then λ is a continuous linear operator from $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ into $A \hat{\otimes} A$; it is clear that λ is a morphism of A -bimodules, and that $\|\lambda\| \leq 1$.

On the other hand, let $R: A \times A \rightarrow E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ be the bilinear operator given by $R(\theta u, \theta v) = uv$, where $u, v \in A(E)$. We shall prove that R is properly defined and continuous. Indeed, if $\theta u' = \theta u$ and $\theta v' = \theta v$, then, by Lemma 2, $u' - u, v' - v \in \text{Ker } \theta = L_E = \text{Ann}(A(E))$; hence $u'v' = uv$ and

$$\|uv\| \leq \|u + L_E\| \|v + L_E\| = \|\theta u\| \|\theta v\|.$$

It is easily verified that R is balanced (i.e., $R(ab, c) = R(a, bc)$ for any $a = \theta u, b = \theta v, c = \theta w$, where $u, v, w \in A(E)$). The operator from $A \hat{\otimes} A$ into $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ associated with R is denoted by μ . It is obvious that μ is a morphism of A -bimodules, that $\|\mu\| \leq 1$, and that $\mu \circ \lambda = 1_{E \hat{\otimes} E^*}$. We shall prove now that $\lambda \circ \mu$ is the identity on $A \hat{\otimes} A$, in which case $\lambda = \mu^{-1}$ and $\mu: A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ is an isometric isomorphism of A -bimodules.

Indeed, since $\theta: A(E) \rightarrow N(E)$ is a homomorphism of algebras, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \circ \mu)(\theta u \otimes \theta v) &= \lambda(uv) \\ &= \langle x_2, f_1 \rangle \lambda(x_1 \otimes f_2) \\ &= \langle x_2, f_1 \rangle \theta(x_1 \otimes f_0) \otimes \theta(x_0 \otimes f_2) \\ &= \theta(x_1 \otimes f_0) \otimes \theta((x_0 \otimes f_1)v) \\ &= \theta(x_1 \otimes f_0) \otimes \theta(x_0 \otimes f_1) \theta v \\ &= \theta(x_1 \otimes f_0) \theta(x_0 \otimes f_1) \otimes \theta v \\ &= \theta u \otimes \theta v \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary elementary tensors $u = x_1 \otimes f_1$ and $v = x_2 \otimes f_2$.

It remains only to note that $\kappa = \theta \circ \mu$, and the assertion is proved. ■

LEMMA 4. Let E be a Banach space, and set $A = N(E)$. Then the left A -module A_{Π} is projective and the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) the left A -module A is projective;
- (ii) the left A -module A is flat;
- (iii) E has AP.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in E$ and $f_0 \in E^*$ be such that $\langle x_0, f_0 \rangle = 1$. It is easy to see that the formula

$$\rho(x \otimes f) = \theta(x \otimes f_0) \otimes (x_0 \otimes f) \quad (x \in E, f \in E^*)$$

defines a morphism of left Banach A -modules

$$\rho: E \hat{\otimes} E^* \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} (E \hat{\otimes} E^*) \subset A_+ \hat{\otimes} (E \hat{\otimes} E^*)$$

such that $\pi \circ \rho$ is the identity on $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$, where $\pi: A \hat{\otimes} (E \hat{\otimes} E^*) \rightarrow E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ (the so-called *canonical morphism*) is defined by $\pi(a \otimes u) = a \cdot u$ ($a \in A, u \in E \hat{\otimes} E^*$). It follows that the A -module $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ is projective. Since, by Lemma 3, the A -module A_Π is isomorphic to $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$, A_Π is also projective.

The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from [5, Proposition 7.1.44].

Now suppose that (ii) holds. Let i be the natural embedding of A in A_+ . By [5, Theorem 7.1.42], the operator $\kappa = i \hat{\otimes} 1_A: A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A_+ \hat{\otimes} A = A$ is topologically injective. In particular, $\ker \kappa = 0$. By Lemma 3, $\ker \theta = 0$ and, consequently, (iii) holds.

The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from the facts that, for E having AP , $\theta: E \hat{\otimes} E^* \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism of left A -modules, and $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ is always projective. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. The case “ E has AP ” is contained in Lemma 4.

We now assume that E does not have AP . Set $n = \text{dh}_A A$, and suppose that $n < \infty$. By Lemma 4, $n \geq 1$. By Theorem [1, V.2.1], for some A -module W there exist short admissible complexes of A -modules

$$0 \leftarrow A \leftarrow U \leftarrow W \leftarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \leftarrow W \leftarrow V \xleftarrow{\Delta} A \hat{\otimes} A_\Pi \leftarrow 0, \tag{1}$$

where $U = (A_+ \hat{\otimes} A) \otimes A_\Pi$, $V = (A_+ \hat{\otimes} A_\Pi) \oplus (A \hat{\otimes} A)$,

$$\Delta(a \otimes x) = (i(a) \otimes x, a \otimes \kappa(x)) \quad (a \in A, x \in A_\Pi),$$

i is the natural embedding of A in A_+ , and $\kappa = i \hat{\otimes} 1_A: A_\Pi \rightarrow A$. By Lemma 4, $\text{dh}_A U = 0$ and, by [1, Proposition III.5.5],

$$\text{dh}_A W \leq \max\{\text{dh}_A U, \text{dh}_A A - 1\} \leq n - 1.$$

The short admissible complex (1) defines, for any A -module Y , an exact sequence of groups

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^n(W, Y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^n(V, Y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^n(A \hat{\otimes} A_\Pi, Y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{n+1}(W, Y) \rightarrow \dots \tag{2}$$

(see [1, Theorem III.4.4]). Since $\text{dh}_A W \leq n - 1$, we have $\text{Ext}_A^n(W, Y) = \text{Ext}_A^{n+1}(W, Y) = 0$. Since the A -module $A_+ \hat{\otimes} A_\Pi$ is projective, it follows that $\text{Ext}_A^n(V, Y) = \text{Ext}_A^n(A \hat{\otimes} A, Y)$, recalling that $n \geq 1$. Consequently, the segment (2) of the long exact sequence for the groups Ext takes the form

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^n(A \hat{\otimes} A, Y) \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{Ext}_A^n(A \hat{\otimes} A_\Pi, Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus the morphism of groups $\delta = \text{Ext}_A^n(1_A \hat{\otimes} \kappa, Y)$ is an isomorphism for any A -module Y .

Let

$$0 \leftarrow A \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} P_0 \xleftarrow{d_0} \dots \xleftarrow{d_{n-2}} P_{n-1} \xleftarrow{d_{n-1}} P_n \leftarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of length n for A -module A . (Such a resolution exists because

dh_A A = n.) Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longleftarrow & A \hat{\otimes} A_{\Pi} & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} 1} & \dots & \longleftarrow & P_{n-1} \hat{\otimes} A_{\Pi} & \xleftarrow{d_{n-1} \hat{\otimes} 1} & P_n \hat{\otimes} A_{\Pi} & \longleftarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow 1 \hat{\otimes} \kappa & & & & \downarrow 1 \hat{\otimes} \kappa & & \downarrow 1 \hat{\otimes} \kappa & & \\
 0 & \longleftarrow & A \hat{\otimes} A & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} 1} & \dots & \longleftarrow & P_{n-1} \hat{\otimes} A & \xleftarrow{d_{n-1} \hat{\otimes} 1} & P_n \hat{\otimes} A & \longleftarrow & 0.
 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

It is obvious that the upper and lower lines of this diagram are projective resolutions of the A-modules A ⊗ A_Π and A ⊗ A, respectively.

Let Y be an arbitrary A-module. Applying the functor _Ah(?, Y) to the diagram (3), we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & {}_A h(P_{n-1} \hat{\otimes} A_{\Pi}, Y) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\Pi}} & {}_A h(P_n \hat{\otimes} A_{\Pi}, Y) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \lambda & & \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow & {}_A h(P_{n-1} \hat{\otimes} A, Y) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & {}_A h(P_n \hat{\otimes} A, Y) & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

It is obvious that

$$\text{Ext}_A^n(A \hat{\otimes} A_{\Pi}, Y) = {}_A h(P_n \hat{\otimes} A_{\Pi}, Y) / \text{Im } \psi_{\Pi}$$

and

$$\text{Ext}_A^n(A \hat{\otimes} A, Y) = {}_A h(P_n \hat{\otimes} A, Y) / \text{Im } \psi,$$

and that the operator λ = _Ah(1 ⊗ κ, Y) generates the morphism δ considered above. As was shown, δ is an isomorphism.

Now set Y = P_n ⊗ A_Π, and consider the element of the group Ext_Aⁿ(A ⊗ A_Π, Y) = _Ah(Y, Y)/Im ψ_Π defined by 1_Y ∈ _Ah(Y, Y). This element belongs to Im δ. It follows that there exist morphisms of A-modules ξ: P_{n-1} ⊗ A_Π → Y and η: P_n ⊗ A → Y such that

$$1_Y = \psi_{\Pi}(\xi) + \lambda(\eta).$$

But ψ_Π(ξ) = ξ ∘ (d_{n-1} ⊗ 1) and λ(η) = η ∘ (1 ⊗ κ), and hence

$$x \otimes y = \xi(d_{n-1}(x) \otimes y) + \eta(x \otimes \kappa(y)) \quad (x \in P_n, y \in A_{\Pi}). \tag{4}$$

Since E does not have AP, by Lemma 3, ker κ ≠ 0. Let y₀ ∈ ker κ \ {0} and f₀ ∈ (A_Π)^{*} be such that f₀(y₀) = 1. Set

$$\zeta(z) = (1 \hat{\otimes} f_0)\xi(z \otimes y_0) \quad (z \in P_{n-1}).$$

Then clearly ζ: P_{n-1} → P_n is a morphism of A-modules. From (4) for y = y₀, we see that

$$x = (1 \hat{\otimes} f_0)(x \otimes y_0) = \zeta(d_{n-1}(x))$$

for all x ∈ P_n, and hence ζ ∘ d_{n-1} is the identity on P_n. Consequently the morphism d_{n-1}: P_n → P_{n-1} is a coretraction. But then obviously dh_A A < n. Since n = dh_A A, we obtain a contradiction. Thus dh_A A = ∞, and the theorem is proved. ■

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 1, [1, Theorem V.2.28] and [6, Lemma 2.2].

COROLLARY 1. *Let E be a Banach space, and let A = N(E). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) A is biprojective;
- (ii) H³(A, X) = 0 for all A-bimodules X;
- (iii) dg A < ∞;
- (iv) dh_A C < ∞;
- (v) E has AP.

We recall (see [1, Proposition VII.2.2]) that, if A is a biflat Banach algebra, then the left A -module A is flat. On the other hand, every biprojective Banach algebra is biflat. From Lemma 4 and Corollary 1 we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2. *Let E be a Banach space. The Banach algebra $N(E)$ is biflat if and only if E has AP.*

We recall that an A -bimodule X is called *right-annihilator* if $x \cdot a = 0$ for all $x \in X$, $a \in A$. Each right-annihilator A -bimodule X can be regarded as the A -bimodule $B(\mathbb{C}, X)$ (see [1, Proposition 0.4.5]). Theorem 1 and the formula

$$H^n(A, B(\mathbb{C}, X)) = \text{Ext}_A^n(\mathbb{C}, X)$$

(see [1, Theorem III.4.12]) yield the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3. *Let E be a Banach space, and let $A = N(E)$.*

(i) *If E has AP, then $H^n(A, X) = 0$ for all right-annihilator A -bimodules X and for all $n \geq 2$.*

(ii) *If E does not have AP, then, for each n , there exists a right-annihilator A bimodule X such that $H^n(A, X) \neq 0$.*

As is well known (see [1, I, §1.2]), the question of the triviality of two-dimensional cohomology groups of a Banach algebra A with coefficients in A -bimodules is closely connected with the question of the splitting of singular extensions of this algebra. In particular, we obtain the next corollary from Corollary 3 and [1, Corollary I.1.11].

COROLLARY 4. *Let E be a Banach space, and let $A = N(E)$.*

(i) *If E has AP, then any singular extension of the algebra A by a right-annihilator A -bimodule splits.*

(ii) *If E does not have AP, then there exists an unsplitable singular extension of the algebra A by a right-annihilator A -bimodule.*

2. The global dimension of the algebra of nuclear operators. The first theorem is related to [8, Theorem 5], which was stated without proof.

THEOREM 2. *Let E be an infinite-dimensional Banach space, and let $A = A(E)$. Then $\text{dh}_A K(E) = \text{dh}_A B(E) = 2$, and $\text{dg } A = \text{db } A = 2$.*

To prove Theorem 2, we need a lemma.

LEMMA 5. *Let E be a Banach space, and set $A = A(E)$. Suppose also that $X = K(E)$ or $B(E)$. Then, up to an isometric isomorphism of A -bimodules, the reduced modules $A \hat{\otimes}_A X$ and $X \hat{\otimes}_A A$ coincide with $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$.*

The proof of this lemma is analogous to the proof of Lemma 3; the isomorphism $\mu : A \hat{\otimes}_A X \rightarrow E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ is defined by

$$\mu((x \otimes f) \otimes_A L) = x \otimes L^*f \quad (x \in E, L \in X, f \in E^*).$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $X = K(E)$ or $B(E)$. By [1, Theorem V.2.1], there exists a resolution for the A -module X of the form

$$0 \leftarrow X \leftarrow U \leftarrow V \xleftarrow{\Delta} A \hat{\otimes} X_{\Pi} \leftarrow 0, \tag{5}$$

where $U = (A_+ \hat{\otimes} X) \oplus X_{\Pi}$, $V = (A_+ \hat{\otimes} X_{\Pi}) \oplus (A \hat{\otimes} X)$,

$$\Delta(a \otimes x) = (i(a) \otimes x, a \otimes \kappa(x)) \quad (a \in A, x \in X_{\Pi}),$$

i is the natural embedding of A in A_+ , and $\kappa = i \hat{\otimes}_A 1_X : X_{\Pi} = A \hat{\otimes}_A X \rightarrow X$. By Lemma 5, $X_{\Pi} = A$ up to an isomorphism. Since the algebra A is projective, it is clear that the complex (5) is a projective resolution. Its length is equal to 2. To obtain a contradiction, suppose that $\text{dh}_A X < 2$. Then obviously the morphism Δ is a coretraction, i.e., there exists a morphism of A -modules $\nabla : V \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} X_{\Pi}$ such that $\nabla \circ \Delta$ is the identity on $A \hat{\otimes} X_{\Pi}$. Set $\Delta_1 = 1_X \hat{\otimes}_A \Delta$ and $\nabla_1 = 1_X \hat{\otimes}_A \nabla$. Then, by Lemma 5,

$$\Delta_1 : A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow (X \hat{\otimes} A) \oplus (A \hat{\otimes} X)$$

and

$$\Delta_1(a \otimes b) = (\tau(a) \otimes b, a \otimes \tau(b)) \quad (a, b \in A).$$

Since obviously $\nabla_1 \circ \Delta_1$ is the identity on $A \hat{\otimes} A$, we have for each $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|^2 &= \|a \otimes a\| = \|\nabla_1(\Delta_1(a \otimes a))\| \\ &\leq C(\|\tau(a) \otimes a\| + \|a \otimes \tau(a)\|) \\ &\leq 2C \|a\| \|\tau(a)\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\|a\| \leq 2C \|\tau(a)\|_X$, where $C = \|\nabla_1\|$. It follows that $L_E = \ker \tau = 0$ and that the norms of the spaces $E \hat{\otimes} E^* = A$ and $E \hat{\otimes} E \subset X$ are equivalent. (Here, $\hat{\otimes}$ is the symbol for the weak tensor product of Banach spaces (see [2], [5]).) In other words, $E \hat{\otimes} E^*$ and $E \hat{\otimes} E$ are canonically isomorphic. As Grothendieck showed (see [2, I, §4, Corollary 2 on p. 153]), the latter implies that E is finite-dimensional. But we have assumed that $\dim E = \infty$, and so we have a contradiction.

Consequently, $\text{dh}_A X = 2$ and $\text{db } A \geq \text{dg } A \geq 2$. Since the algebra A is biprojective ([6, Lemma 2.2]), [1, Theorem V.2.28] implies that $\text{db } A \leq 2$. Hence $\text{dg } A = \text{db } A = 2$. The proof is complete. ■

Corollary 1 and Theorem 2 yield the following corollary.

COROLLARY 5. *Let E be an infinite-dimensional Banach space, and let $A = N(E)$. Then*

$$\text{dg } A = \text{db } A = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } E \text{ has AP,} \\ \infty & \text{if } E \text{ does not have AP.} \end{cases}$$

The following corollary is a consequence of Lemmas 3 and 5, [1, Proposition II.3.13] and Grothendieck's results which was used in the proof of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 6. *Let E be a Banach space, and let $A = A(E)$ or $N(E)$. Then A has a left bounded approximate identity if and only if $\dim E < \infty$.*

THEOREM 3. Let E be a Banach space, and let $A = A(E)$ and $N = N(E)$. Then

$$\text{dh}_A N = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \text{ has AP,} \\ 2 & \text{if } E \text{ does not have AP.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of this theorem is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.

3. The cohomologies of the algebra of nuclear operators with special coefficients. We recall that an A -bimodule X is called *annihilator* if $a \cdot x = x \cdot a = 0$ for all $a \in A, x \in X$.

THEOREM 4. Let E be a Banach space, and set $N = N(E)$. Let $X \neq 0$ be an annihilator A -bimodule. Then:

- (i) $H^2(N, X) = 0$ if and only if E has AP;
- (ii) $H^3(N, X) = 0$.

Proof. The assertion (i) follows at once from [1, Theorem II.3.23] and Lemma 3.

Now let $f \in Z^3(N, X)$, i.e., let f be a continuous trilinear operator from $N \times N \times N$ to X such that the identity

$$f(a, bc, d) = f(ab, c, d) + f(a, b, cd) \quad (a, b, c, d \in N)$$

holds. Set $A = A(E)$ and set

$$f_1(a, b, c) = f(\theta a, \theta b, \theta c) \quad (a, b, c \in A).$$

Then f_1 is a continuous trilinear operator from $A \times A \times A$ to X . Since $\theta : A \rightarrow N$ is a homomorphism of Banach algebras, it is obvious that $f_1 \in Z^3(A, X)$. It follows from the biprojectivity of the algebra A that $H^3(A, X) = 0$. Hence $f_1 = \delta^2 g_1$ for some $g_1 : A \times A \rightarrow X$ which is a continuous bilinear operator, i.e., we have

$$f_1(a, b, c) = -g_1(ab, c) + g_1(a, bc) \quad (a, b, c \in A).$$

If $a \in L_E = \ker \theta$, then for any $b, c \in A$ we have $f_1(a, b, c) = 0$, and, by Lemma 2, $g_1(ab, c) = 0$. Hence $g_1(a, bc) = f_1(a, b, c) + g_1(ab, c) = 0$. Consequently, $g_1(L_E, A) = 0$. It is similarly shown that $g_1(A, L_E) = 0$.

It is obvious that the formula

$$g(\theta a, \theta b) = g_1(a, b) \quad (a, b \in A)$$

defines a continuous bilinear operator $g : N \times N \rightarrow X$ such that $f = \delta^2 g$. This completes the proof of (ii). ■

It follows from Theorem 4 that, if a Banach space E does not have AP, then, for any annihilator $N(E)$ -bimodule $X \neq 0$, there exists an unsplitable singular extension of $N(E)$ by X . On the other hand, if E has AP, then one can show the following: any extension of $N(E)$ by an annihilator $N(E)$ -bimodule splits, even if it is not a priori singular.

Now let E be a Banach space, and let j be the natural embedding of $L_E = \ker \theta$ in $A(E)$. Since

$$0 \rightarrow L_E \xrightarrow{j} A(E) \xrightarrow{\theta} N(E) \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of Banach algebras and $L_E = \text{Ann}(A(E))$, the triple $(A(E), \theta, j)$ is an extension of the algebra $N(E)$ by the annihilator $N(E)$ -bimodule L_E . It is not clear to

the author whether this extension is singular (i.e., whether L_E has, as a subspace, a Banach complement in $A(E)$ for any E). But one can show that whether or not it splits is equivalent to the approximation property for E .

We continue to study the cohomology groups of the algebra $N(E)$. The proof of the first lemma is analogous to the proof of [9, Theorem 1].

LEMMA 6. *Let E be a Banach space, and let A be $N(E)$ or $A(E)$. Then $H^n(A, B(E)) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

THEOREM 5. *Let E be a Banach space, and let A be $N(E)$ or $A(E)$. Let B be an operator Banach algebra on E containing all finite-rank operators on E . Then B is a Banach A -bimodule and $H^2(A, B) = 0$.*

Proof. According to [6, Corollary 3.2], $N(E) \subset B$ and the embedding of $N(E)$ in B is continuous. Using Lemma 1(ii), we deduce that

$$a \cdot L, L \cdot a \in N(E) \subset B$$

for all $a \in A$ and $L \in B(E)$, and that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \|a \cdot L\|_B &\leq C \|a \cdot L\|_{N(E)} \leq C \|a\|_A \|L\|_{B(E)}, \\ \|L \cdot a\|_B &\leq C \|L \cdot a\|_{N(E)} \leq C \|a\|_A \|L\|_{B(E)}. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{6}$$

It follows easily that B is a Banach A -bimodule.

Now let $f : A \times A \rightarrow B \subset B(E)$ be a continuous bilinear operator with $\delta^2 f = 0$. By Lemma 6, $f = \delta^1 g$ for some $g : A \rightarrow B(E)$. Hence

$$g(ab) = a \cdot g(b) - f(a, b) + g(a) \cdot b \quad (a, b \in A). \tag{7}$$

It follows from (6) and (7) that $g(ab) \in B$ for all $a, b \in A$, and that

$$\|g(ab)\|_B \leq (\|f\| + 2C \|g\|) \|a\|_A \|b\|_A.$$

Consequently the formula

$$h\left(a \underset{A}{\otimes} b\right) = g(ab) \quad (a, b \in A)$$

defines a continuous linear operator $h : A \underset{A}{\hat{\otimes}} A \rightarrow B$.

It is clear that

$$h(u) = g(\kappa(u)) \quad \left(u \in A \underset{A}{\hat{\otimes}} A\right),$$

where $\kappa : A \underset{A}{\hat{\otimes}} A \rightarrow A$ is the operator given by $\kappa(a \underset{A}{\otimes} b) = ab$ ($a, b \in A$). Hence

$h(\ker \kappa) = 0$. By Lemma 3, κ is an epimorphism. It follows that there exists a continuous linear operator $g_1 : A \rightarrow B$ such that $h = g_1 \circ \kappa$. It is obvious that $g_1(a) = g(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and that $f = \delta^1 g_1$. Consequently, $H^2(A, B) = 0$. The theorem is proved. ■

THEOREM 6. *Let E be a Banach space, and set $N = N(E)$. Then*

- (i) $H^2(N, N) = 0$;
- (ii) $H^3(N, N) = 0$ if and only if E has AP.

Proof. The equality (i) follows from Theorem 5. To prove (ii), consider the short complex of N -bimodules

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} N_+ \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbb{C} = N_+/N$, i is the natural embedding of N in N_+ , and σ is the natural projection. According to [1, Corollary III.4.1], the complex (8) defines the exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\dots \rightarrow H^2(N, N_+) \rightarrow H^2(N, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^3(N, N) \rightarrow \dots \tag{9}$$

Suppose that E does not have AP. By Theorem 5, $H^2(N, N_+) = 0$. But then β is an embedding, while the group being mapped is nontrivial, as shown in Theorem 4(i). Hence $H^3(N, N) \neq 0$.

On the other hand, if E has AP, the $H^3(N, N) = 0$, by Corollary 1. This completes the proof of (ii). ■

Finally, we note that, in the case where E is a Hilbert space, the triviality of the groups $H^2(N(E), N(E))$ and $H^3(N(E), N(E))$ was proved earlier in [10] by B. E. Johnson.

REFERENCES

1. A. Ya. Helemskii, *The homology of Banach and topological algebras* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989). (Russian original 1986).
2. A. Grothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16**, 1955.
3. P. Enflo, A counterexample to the approximation problem, *Acta Math.*, **130** (1973), 309–317.
4. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
5. A. Ya. Helemskii, *Banach and polynormed algebras: general theory, representations, homology*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989 (Russian). To appear in English, Oxford Univ. Press, 1992.
6. Yu. V. Selivanov, Biprojective Banach algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR ser. matem.*, **43** (1979), 1159–1174 (Russian); *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **15** (1980), 387–399.
7. M. Grosser, *Bidualräume und Vervollständigungen von Banachmoduln*, Lecture Notes in Math., **717**, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
8. Yu. V. Selivanov, Biprojective Banach algebras and their structure, cohomology, and relation with nuclear operators, *Funkc. anal. i pril.*, **10** (1976), 89–90 (Russian); *Funct. Analysis Appl.*, **10** (1976).
9. Sh. I. Kaliman and Yu. V. Selivanov, On the cohomology of operator algebras, *Vest. Mosk. Univ. ser. mat. meh.*, **29** (5) (1974), 24–27 (Russian); *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, **29** (1974).
10. B. E. Johnson, Perturbations of Banach algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **34** (1977), 439–458.

CHAIR OF HIGHER MATHEMATICS
 MOSCOW AIRCRAFT TECHNOLOGICAL INSTITUTE N.A.
 TSIOLKOVSKY
 PETROVKA 27, MOSCOW K-31
 103767, RUSSIA.