



Long-term chronic caloric restriction alters miRNA profiles in the brain of ageing mice

Umit Ozorhan^{1,2†}, Bilge G. Tuna^{3†}, Munevver B. Cicekdal^{1,4}, Aysegul Kuskucu⁵, Omer F. Bayrak⁵, Bayram Yilmaz⁶, Pinar B. Demirel⁷, Margot P. Cleary⁸ and Soner Dogan^{1*}

¹Department of Medical Biology, School of Medicine, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey

²Institute of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany

³Department of Biophysics, School of Medicine, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey

⁴Center for Medical Genetics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁵Department of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey

⁶Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey

⁷Department of Medical Biology and Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Maltepe University, Istanbul, Turkey

⁸Hormel Institute Medical Research Center, University of Minnesota, Austin, MN, USA

(Submitted 8 November 2020 – Final revision received 5 March 2021 – Accepted 22 March 2021)

Abstract

Calorie restriction (CR) has been shown to be one of the most effective methods in alleviating the effects of ageing and age-related diseases. Although the protective effects of CR have been reported, the exact molecular mechanism still needs to be clarified. This study aims to determine differentially expressed (DE) miRNAs and altered gene pathways due to long-term chronic (CCR) and intermittent (ICR) CR in the brain of mice to understand the preventive roles of miRNAs resulting from long-term CR. Ten weeks old mice were enrolled into three different dietary groups; *ad libitum*, CCR or ICR, and fed until 82 weeks of age. miRNAs were analysed using GeneChip 4.1 microarray and the target of DE miRNAs was determined using miRNA target databases. Out of a total 3,163 analysed miRNAs, 55 of them were differentially expressed either by different CR protocols or by ageing. Brain samples from the CCR group had increased expression levels of mmu-miR-713 while decreasing expression levels of mmu-miR-184-3p and mmu-miR-351-5p compared to the other dietary groups. Also, current results indicated that CCR showed better preventive effects than that of ICR. Thus, CCR may perform its protective effects by modulating these specific miRNAs since they are shown to play roles in neurogenesis, chromatin and histone regulation. In conclusion, these three miRNAs could be potential targets for neurodegenerative and ageing-related diseases and may play important roles in the protective effects of CR in the brain.

Key words: Intermittent calorie restriction: miRNA: Ageing: Neurodegeneration: Neurogenesis: Brain

Ageing is an event characterised by deterioration of the physiological functions of the cell and organisms due to progressive accumulation of changes over time that lead to loss of physiological homeostasis and organismal integrity⁽¹⁾. Calorie restriction (CR) is known to be one of the effective methods to delay ageing and ageing-related diseases including neurodegeneration by modifying metabolic processes, satiety, energy balance and promoting cognitive function^(2,3). CR ameliorates the effects of ageing by inhibiting cell proliferation, glycolysis, inflammation and increasing autophagy, antioxidant expression as well as improving mitochondrial physiology in animal models and

human^(4–9). Studies have reported that people who consumed lower calories than their required daily intake had lower neurological disease incidence rates and other chronic diseases compared with the control group^(5,6,10,11). For example, Rubotovich and colleagues showed that short term CR and intermittent fasting (less than 12 months) eliminated the negative effects of traumatic brain injury and protected against neuronal damage in a traumatic brain injury mouse model⁽¹²⁾. Although the roles of various molecular pathways such as leptin/adiponectin, Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and cytokines have been investigated in attempts to understand these responses, recent

Abbreviations AL, *ad libitum*; CCR, chronic calorie restriction; CR, calorie restriction; DE, differentially expressed; FE, functional enrichment; GO, gene ontology; ICR, intermittent calorie restriction; ICR-R, intermittent calorie restriction-restricted; ICR-RF, intermittent calorie restriction-refeeding; miRNA, microRNA.

* **Corresponding author:** Soner Dogan, email dogansoner@yahoo.com

† These authors contributed equally to this work.



studies have focused on the roles of epigenetic modifications including microRNA (miRNA) and/or DNA methylation to understand the exact protective mechanisms of CR^(13–16).

miRNA, 18–25 nucleotides in length, are non-coding RNA that regulate gene expression by inhibiting mRNA activity by base-pairing at the 3'UTR region in eukaryotes. One-third of all human protein expression is suggested to be regulated by miRNA^(17,18). Regulation of gene expression via miRNA is reported to be important in several biological processes related to health and lifespan including neurogenesis, neuroprotection, neurodegeneration and cognition through regulating synaptic plasticity, neural differentiation, nutrient sensing, mitochondrial function and DNA repair mechanisms^(19–21). For example, expression of let-7b, miR-9, miR-34a, miR-145 inhibits and miR-137, miR-25b promotes activation and proliferation of neural stem cells⁽¹⁹⁾. Moreover, miR-29a/b, miR-34a, miR-137 expression is shown to regulate neuronal apoptosis⁽²²⁾.

Physiologically, CR improves nutrient sensing, cognitive function, neuronal network activity, Ca homeostasis and redox status and inhibits neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation via different signalling pathways in the brain^(2,23). In addition, CR plays roles in ageing and ageing-related diseases by modulating certain miRNA levels in blood, liver, brain tissues of mice, rat and rhesus monkeys^(13,24–28). For example, it was reported that 40% chronic calorie restriction (CCR) for 2 years decreased expression levels of miR-34a, miR-30e and miR-181a* in brain of Bcl-2 overexpressed mouse model in an age-dependent manner⁽²⁹⁾. The researchers concluded that CR could mediate neuronal survival via the regulation of miRNA in long-lived CR mice⁽²⁹⁾. In addition, Wood *et al.* reported that 45% CR of male Brown Norway rats for up to 26 months decreased expression of miR-98-3p that is important in regulation of histone deacetylase and histone acetyltransferase activity in brain samples⁽¹³⁾. Wood *et al.* claimed that CR increased longevity and promoted neuroprotection via histone deacetylase/histone acetyltransferase homeostasis⁽¹³⁾. Although the roles of numerous molecules have been investigated in the preventive effects of CR in ageing, there are limited reports on the roles of miRNA in the brain of mice with intermittent CR (ICR). Thus, the aim of the present study was to investigate and compare the effects of long-term application of two different types of CR (ICR *v.* CCR) on the miRNA profile in the brain of ageing mice.

Materials and methods

Animals

In the present study, Dr. Margot Cleary from Hormel Institute Medical Research Center, University of Minnesota kindly donated MMTV-TGF α (Mouse Mammary Tumor Virus-Tumor Growth Factor- α) (C57BL/6) mice strain to form a breeding colony at Yeditepe University Animal Facility (YUDETAM). MMTV-TGF α positive male mice were bred with TGF α negative female mice (C57BL/6) to obtain heterozygote MMTV-TGF α female offspring⁽³⁰⁾. All mice had free access to water and were accommodated in conditioned environment at room temperature (20–25 °C) and 12 h light/dark cycle. The present study was conducted under the guidelines of Turkish Ministry of

Forestry and Water Affairs and approved by Yeditepe University Animal Care and Use Committee. Health status of all animals was checked by the researchers and a veterinarian regularly.

At 10 weeks of age, female MMTV-TGF- α mice were randomly enrolled into three different dietary groups: *ad libitum* (AL), or ICR. Mice were fed with Altromin TPF1414 diet (Kobay A.Ş.). Food access of mice was determined according to the diet regimens throughout the study. Mice assigned to AL group had free access to food and weekly average food consumption per mouse was calculated in an age-matched manner. Compared with the AL group, 15 % daily CR was applied to mice in the CCR group and these mice daily consumed 85 % of food consumed by AL mice. In the ICR group, 60 % CR was applied for one week, followed by three weeks of AL consumption also resulting in 15 % CR over each of the 4-week intervals. This feeding regimen was applied in a cyclic manner until mice were killed at designated age points. The ICR group was divided into two groups according to feeding periods. ICR mice killed after three weeks of AL feeding were referred to as ICR-refed (ICR-RF) and those killed after one week of 60 % CR were referred to as ICR-restricted (ICR-R). AL feeding was chosen for mice in the ICR group for three weeks and 60 % CR or one week since this protocol would more closely mirror a human dietary feeding style. In our previous studies (Margot Cleary's group), two weeks of AL followed by two weeks of 50 % CR or three weeks AL and three weeks of 50 % CR was used for approximately 75 weeks in mice^(31–33). We hypothesised that 60 % CR for one out of every four weeks in a cyclical manner could be more applicable to human dietary patterns than the previous protocols. All mice were sacrificed after overnight fasting at designated ages: 10 or 81/82 weeks of mouse age. For the AL and CCR groups, body weight, physiological and other conditions of the mice did not differ between week 81 and 82, thus samples ($n=3$ per group) obtained at these weeks were combined as one-time point (week 81/82)^(33–36). The reason the 81/82 weeks were decided is because we wanted to apply the longest possible CR application for the mice without having any serious health problems or loss of life.

Homogenisation and total RNA isolation

Brain samples were cut into pieces and washed three times with PBS to remove residues and debris. Then, whole brain samples were homogenised in sterile PBS buffer with 0.5 mm zirconium oxide beads using a bullet blender (Next Advance, BBX24B). Total RNA was extracted from 50 μ l homogenised samples using Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo Research, R2052) according to manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher, ND2000) and integrity of total RNA was checked by 1 % agarose gel electrophoresis. Then, isolated RNA samples were stored at -86 °C freezer until its use.

Detection of microRNA transcriptome profile in brain samples

In the present study, miRNA profiles in brain samples were done in three different mice ($n=3$). Affymetrix GeneChip miRNA 4.1

Array strips (Applied Biosystems, 902404) were used to profile miRNA in whole brain samples. This array strip includes 30 424 mature miRNA probes from 203 organisms according to miRBase v20 (www.mirbase.org). This probe includes 1908 mature miRNA and 1255 pre-miRNA for mouse. Briefly, a total of about 500 ng RNA samples from three different mice for each dietary group was used (n 3). Samples were incubated in 37 °C for 15 min for poly(A) tailing and then labelled using FlashTag Biotin HSR RNA Labelling Kit (Applied Biosystems, 901911). After the biotin HSR ligation, samples were denaturalised in Hybridisation Master Mix at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by an incubation at 45 °C for 5 min. Denaturalised and labelled RNA samples were randomly hybridised using the GeneChip miRNA 4.1 array strips for 20 h at 48 °C at the GeneAtlas Hybridization Station. Array strips were then washed and imaged on Affymetrix GeneAtlas Imaging Station. Results were obtained using Transcriptome Analysis Console 4.0.1 using miRNA-4_1 array type, Expression (Gene) analysis type and RMA + DABG (Mouse Only) summarisation. Differentially expressed (DE) miRNA with higher than 2-fold change and with P -values smaller than 0.05 were included in the analysis.

Analyses of target prediction, gene ontology enrichment, KEGG pathway and functional enrichment analysis

Target prediction analyses were performed to identify the predictive and validated target genes of the DE miRNA. Validated targets of DE miRNA were determined using multiMiR R package using Tarbase, miRTarbase and miRecords databases⁽³⁷⁾. Predicted targets of DE miRNA were determined using Diana microT CDS 5, TargetsScan Mouse 7.2 and miRDB 6.0 databases^(38–40). Genes which were common in the three different databases were considered as predictive targets.

To reveal the biological significance of predicted target genes, gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed using Cytoscape plug-in ClueGO v2.5.4 and Cluepedia 1.5.4^(41,42). Predictive target genes were analysed in the plug-in using *Mus Musculus* (10090) marker list. Biological process, cellular component, immune system and molecular function ontologies were selected to assess GO functions of predicted targets. Analysis was performed using enrichment/depletion (two-sided hypergeometric test) with Bonferroni step-down P value correction, and corrected P values < 0.05 were considered as significantly enriched. GO terms were grouped and leading group term was determined according to the highest significance. Data were obtained and central nervous system-related target genes of DE miRNA in GO were determined.

KEGG pathway analysis was performed using DAVID 6.8 to identify the pathways of predictive targets⁽⁴³⁾. List of terms in functional annotation of predictive targets was observed, and Bonferroni corrected P value < 0.05 was considered as significantly enriched. Data were obtained and neurogenesis-related target genes of DE miRNA in KEGG pathway were determined.

ClueGO functional enrichment (FE) analysis was performed using GO biological process, cellular component, immune system process and molecular function ontologies UniProt gene ontology annotation, KEGG, proteome pathway and Wikipathways databases. DAVID FE analysis of predicted target

genes was performed using default settings of DAVID 6.8. Enrichment scores higher than 2 considered as significantly enriched in common groups.

K means clustering and diagram generation

Morpheus matrix visualisation software was used to visualise DE miRNA in comparisons and form K means cluster^(40,44). Venny Venn diagram maker tool was used to create Venn diagram between DE miRNA and their targets in comparisons⁽⁴⁵⁾.

Validation of microRNA microarray

cDNA synthesis was performed using 100 ng total RNA and pre-amplified with miRCURY LNA RT Kit (Qiagen, 339340) according to the manufacturer's protocol. 3 µl of preamplified cDNA template for each replicate was loaded into a 96-well plate. 10 µl of qRT-PCR reaction was performed in duplicate using miRCURY LNA SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, 339347) and read using qRT-PCR (BioRad, CFX96 Touch) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Primers for mmu-miR-713 (MIMAT0003504) were designed and selected using miRprimer2 programme⁽⁴⁶⁾. Then, results were normalised via Δ Ct method using Qiagen 5S rRNA (YP00203906) as housekeeping. Expression data were calculated using BioRad CFX Maestro software.

Statistical analysis

Microarray results were normalised, and quality controlled with miRNA QC tool of Affymetrix Expression Console 1.4 software. Samples were analysed with eBayes ANOVA and results were filtered with fold changes bigger than 2 and P -values smaller than 0.05 parameters in the Affymetrix Transcriptome Analysis Console 4.0.1 software. In summary, mice only RMA-DABG with default TAC 4.0.1 preferences were used. Targets of DE miRNA were transferred into Cytoscape plugin ClueGO 2.5.4 and Cluepedia 1.5.4 and GO analysis was performed with enrichment/depletion (two-sided hypergeometric test) with Bonferroni step-down P value correction which were accessed in May 2019. For KEGG analysis, DAVID 6.8 was used which was accessed in May 2019. Quantitative real-time PCR expression data were analysed by Δ Ct method with Excel 2019 and GraphPad Prism 7 using one-way ANOVA and Tukey *post hoc* test. Outliers were removed using ROUT method with 2 % Q value. P value smaller than 0.05 accepted as significant. Graphics were represented as mean values with their standard error of means.

Results

To compare the effects of two different types of CR protocols, ICR and CCR, on the miRNA profile of brain tissue, a total of 1237 pre-miRNA (precursors) and 1926 mature miRNA were analysed. Among these 1237 pre-miRNA (precursors) and 1926 mature miRNA, we found that fifty-five of them were DE (> 2-fold, P < 0.05) either by different CR protocols or by ageing. Average expression (\log_2) of these DE miRNA in all comparisons was given in five sub-grouped K means clusters in online Supplementary Fig. S1. These five sub-groups were baseline



(week 10), AL, CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF at week 81/82 of mouse age (n 3 per group).

Effects of calorie restriction or ageing on microRNA profile in brain

Effects of calorie restriction on microRNA profile in brain. A total of twenty-eight unique miRNA and two unique precursors were DE in comparisons of the AL, CCR and ICR groups (Table 1A). Compared with the AL mice, CCR mice had four miRNA which were up-regulated and two miRNA were down-regulated, while in the ICR-R group, two miRNA were up-regulated and two miRNA were down-regulated. In the ICR-RF group, three miRNA were up-regulated and nine miRNA were down-regulated compared with the AL group (Table 1A). In addition, compared with the CCR group, one miRNA was up-regulated and seven miRNA and one precursor were down-regulated in the ICR-R group while, in ICR-RF, only two miRNA were down-regulated. Moreover, compared with the ICR-R group, one miRNA and one precursor were up-regulated while one miRNA and one precursor were down-regulated in the ICR-RF group at week 81/82. Names of DE miRNA and expression levels compared with different dietary groups are provided in Fig. 1(a).

Common miRNA were determined between or among the dietary groups at week 81/82 in Fig. 2(a) and (b). Compared with the AL group, there were no common miRNA found among CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups; however, mmu-miR-6900-5p was common between CCR and ICR-RF compared with the AL mice (Fig. 2(a)). Specifically, compared with the AL group, mmu-miR-6900-5p levels were significantly higher in CCR and ICR-RF groups (Fig. 1(a)). Compared with the CCR mice, mmu-miR-713 was common between AL, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups (Fig. 2(a)). Level of mmu-miR-713 was significantly higher in the CCR group compared with the AL group (Fig. 1(a)). Also, the level of mmu-miR-713 was significantly lower in ICR-R and ICR-RF groups compared with the CCR group (Fig. 1(a)). In addition, mmu-miR-3075-5p was common between AL and ICR-R groups compared with the CCR group (Fig. 2(b)). Compared with the AL group, the expression level of mmu-miR-3075-5p was significantly higher in CCR mouse brain samples (Fig. 1(a)). Compared with the brain of ICR-R mice, there were no common miRNA between AL, CCR and ICR-RF groups, however, mmu-miR-503-5p was common between AL and CCR compared with the ICR-R mice. Expression of mmu-miR-503-5p was significantly lower in ICR-R mice compared with the AL and CCR groups (Fig. 1(a)). Furthermore, mmu-miR-1983 was similar between CCR and ICR-RF groups compared with the ICR-R mice and its expression was significantly lower in ICR-R mice compared with the CCR group (Fig. 1(a)). Compared with ICR-RF mice, only mmu-miR-380-5p was similar between AL and CCR mice (Fig. 2) and the expression level of mmu-miR-380-5p was significantly lower in the ICR-RF group compared with the AL and CCR groups (Fig. 1(a)).

Effects of ageing on microRNA profile in brain. Compared with week 10, a total of twenty-nine miRNA and one precursor miRNA were DE in all dietary groups at week 81/82 (Table 1B). Due to ageing, nearly all DE miRNA expression levels were

decreased compared with week 10. Specifically, compared with week 10, three miRNA and one precursor were down-regulated in the older AL group, while two miRNA were up-regulated and five miRNA were down-regulated in the CCR group at week 81/82. In addition, thirteen miRNA and one precursor were down-regulated in the ICR-R group while one miRNA was up-regulated and ten miRNA were down-regulated in the ICR-RF group (Table 1B). Names of DE miRNA and their expression levels for each dietary group are given in Fig. 1(b).

Comparisons of common miRNA between specific dietary groups with ageing are shown in Fig. 2(c). Compared with week 10, there was no common miRNA found between AL, CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups (Fig. 2(c)). However, at week 81/82 mmu-miR-1983 precursor miRNA was common between AL and ICR-R groups compared with their respective week 10 group (Fig. 2(c)), while its expression level was significantly lower in AL or ICR-R compared with week 10 (Fig. 1(b), $P < 0.05$). In addition, mmu-miR-184-3p and mmu-miR-351-5p were common between CCR and ICR-R mice compared with week 10 (Fig. 2(c)). Both mmu-miR-184-3p and mmu-miR-351-5p levels were significantly lower in CCR or ICR-R groups compared with week 10 (Fig. 1(b), $P < 0.05$). Moreover, mmu-miR-7019-3p expression was similar between CCR and ICR-RF groups with significantly higher expression levels compared with week 10 (Fig. 1(b), $P < 0.01$). Furthermore, mmu-miR-1983 and mmu-miR-7071-5p expression levels were similar between ICR-R and ICR-RF groups with significantly lower expression levels compared with their respective week 10 levels (Fig. 1(b), $P < 0.05$).

Targets of microRNA changed with the application of calorie restriction or by ageing

Detailed information about the number of genes targeted by DE miRNA including their validated and predicted target numbers is summarised in online Supplementary Table S1.

Targets of microRNA changed with the application of calorie restriction. Expression of several miRNA was differentially changed in both the CCR and ICR groups compared with the AL group. However, for better understanding the effects of DE miRNA in mice subjected to either ICR or CCR, it is critical to determine the targets of these miRNA. Compared with the AL group, fifty-six validated targets were identified in the CCR group. In ICR-R mice, 211 different validated targets were determined compared with the AL mice (calculated by subtracting the common miRNA from total up-regulated and down regulated miRNA) and fourteen of these were common in up-regulated and down-regulated validated targets. In ICR-RF, 104 validated targets were determined compared with the AL mice (online Supplementary Table S1A). Compared with the CCR mice, seventy-seven and thirty-one validated targets were determined in the ICR-R and ICR-RF groups, respectively (online Supplementary Table S1A). Compared with the ICR-R group, seventeen validated targets were determined in the ICR-RF mice (online Supplementary Table S1A).

On the other hand, 1036 different predicted targets were determined and 324 of these genes were up-regulated by down-regulation of miRNA and 757 were down-regulated by



Table 1 Numbers of DE miRNA among CR application (A) and ageing (B)

A		Compared with	CCR		ICR-R		ICR-RF		
Week 81/82	AL		4 ↑	2 ↓	2 ↑	2 ↓	3 ↑	9 ↓	miRNA
	CCR		-	-	-	-	-	-	Precursors
	ICR-R		-	-	1 ↑	7 ↓	-	2 ↓	miRNA
			-	-	-	1 ↓	-	-	Precursors
			-	-	-	-	1 ↑	1 ↓	miRNA
			-	-	-	-	1 ↑	1 ↓	Precursors

B		Compared with	AL	CCR	ICR-R	ICR-RF		
Baseline		-	3 ↓	2 ↑	5 ↓	-	miRNA	
		-	1 ↓	-	-	13 ↓	1 ↑	Precursors
						1 ↓	-	




Fig. 1 List of DE miRNA between CR (a) and ageing (b) groups. miRNA are listed alphabetically. Lowest and highest fold changes are -3.84 and 4.33 for CR, and -3.48 and 2.58 for ageing. Lowest and highest fold changes represented with blue and red colours, respectively. When the comparison is done between the two groups (Group 1 v. Group 2), the first written group (Group 1) is compared with the second group (Group 2). AL, *ad libitum*; CCR, chronic caloric restriction; ICR, intermittent caloric restriction; ICR-RF, intermittent caloric restriction-refeeding.

up-regulation of miRNA compared with the AL group. Forty-five of these predicted targets were common in up-regulated and down-regulated predicted targets. In the ICR-R mice, 1173 predicted targets were determined and 211 of which were up-regulated and 994 down-regulated compared with the AL mice. In the ICR-R group, thirty-two of these predicted targets were common in up-regulated and down-regulated targets in comparison with the AL group. For ICR-RF mice, a total of 1738 predicted targets were determined and 1210 of them were up-regulated, while 625 of them were down-regulated compared with the AL mice. In the ICR-RF group, ninety-seven of the predicted targets were common in up-regulated and down-regulated targets compared with the AL mice (online Supplementary Table S1A). Compared with the CCR mice, in ICR-R mice, a total of 1010 different predicted targets were

determined and 930 of them were up-regulated and eighty-four of them were down-regulated. Four of these predicted targets were common in the ICR-R group compared with the CCR mice (online Supplementary Table S1A). In the ICR-RF mice, 289 predicted targets were determined and all of them were up-regulated compared with CCR mice (online Supplementary Table S1A). Compared with the ICR-R group, a total of 257 different predicted targets were determined and 133 of them were up-regulated and 130 of them were down-regulated in the ICR-RF group. Six of these predicted targets were common in up-regulated and down-regulated predicted targets in the ICR-RF group compared with the ICR-R group (online Supplementary Table S1A).

Comparisons of common predicted targets are shown in Fig. 2(d) and (e). Specifically, compared with the AL mice,




Fig. 2 Venn schematics represents the numbers of miRNA (a), (b) and (c) and numbers of predicted targets (d), (e) and (f) compared with the AL, CCR and compared with baseline (week 10) among CR groups. (a) and (d) miRNA and their targets compared with AL, respectively. (b) and (e) miRNA and their targets compared with CCR, respectively. (c) and (f) miRNA and their targets compared with baseline respectively. AL, *ad libitum*; CCR, chronic calorie restriction; ICR, intermittent calorie restriction; ICR-RF, intermittent calorie restriction-refeeding; BL, baseline.

eighty-seven of the predicted targets were common among CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups and seventy-five of the predicted targets were common between CCR and ICR-R groups. In addition, 389 of the predicted targets were common between CCR and ICR-RF and 192 of the predicted targets were common between ICR-R and ICR-RF (Fig. 2(d)). Moreover, compared with the CCR group, 236 of the different predicted targets were the same among AL, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups. Two hundred and forty of these were common between AL and ICR-R groups. Three of them were common between AL and ICR-RF groups (Fig. 2(e)).

Targets of microRNA changed by ageing. Regarding the roles of DE miRNA in ageing, it is important to know their target genes in order to determine affected pathways. Compared with week 10, a total of 234 validated genes were targeted by a total of twenty-nine of the DE miRNA and one precursor in all dietary groups (online Supplementary Table S1B). Specifically, a total of two, fifty-three, 148 and thirty-one validated targets were determined to be up-regulated in AL, CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups, respectively (online Supplementary Table S1B). On the other hand, a total of 3220 predicted genes were targeted by twenty-nine DE miRNA and one precursor in all dietary groups (online Supplementary Table S1B). Specifically, 426, 1562, 1521 and 889 predicted targets were determined in AL, CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups, respectively (online Supplementary Table S1B).

Common predicted targets in comparisons are presented in Fig. 2(f). Compared with week 10 (BL, baseline), eleven of the predicted targets were common among the AL, CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups (Fig. 2(f)). A total of thirty-three of the predicted targets were common among the AL, CCR and ICR-R groups, while a total of eleven predicted targets were common among the AL, CCR and ICR-RF groups. In addition, twelve predicted targets were common among the AL, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups, while eighty-five of the predicted targets were common among the CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups (Fig. 2(f)). When two dietary groups were analysed to determine common predicted target genes, thirty-eight predicted targets were common between AL and CCR groups while thirty-seven were common between AL and ICR-R groups. On the other hand, twenty-one predicted targets were common between AL and ICR-RF groups and 394 were common between CCR and ICR-R groups (Fig. 2(f)). Moreover, 158 predicted targets were common between CCR and ICR-RF groups, while 132 of predicted targets were common between ICR-R and ICR-RF groups (Fig. 2(f)).

Gene ontology of predicted targets of microRNA with the application of calorie restriction or by ageing

In GO analysis, GO terms were grouped under biological processes, immune system process, cellular component and molecular function. Different types of CR application in mice changed

several GO terms. Ageing had also modified the miRNA profile in mice brain.

Gene ontology of predicted targets of microRNA dependent upon the type of calorie restriction. Compared with the AL group, 271, 420 and 568 GO terms were significantly enriched in CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups, respectively (online Supplementary Table S1C). Of these GO terms, 217 of them were common among the CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups compared with the AL which includes terms like nervous system development, neurogenesis and generation of neurons. On the other hand, compared with the CCR dietary group, 227 GO terms were significantly enriched in the ICR-R group while only fifteen GO terms were significantly enriched in the ICR-RF group. Of these GO terms, presynaptic membrane terms were common among AL, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups compared with the CCR mice. The top central nervous system-related GO terms are presented in Table 2 and online Supplementary Table S2.

Gene ontology of predicted targets of microRNA affected by ageing. MicroRNA expression of AL, CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF dietary groups was compared with week 10 results which was used as the baseline in the present study. In GO analysis, GO terms were grouped under biological processes, immune system process, cellular component and molecular function. Compared with week 10, at week 81/82 of age 20, 296, 552 and 218 GO terms were significantly enriched in AL, CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups, respectively (online Supplementary Table S1C). Of these GO terms, cell morphogenesis, cell morphogenesis involved in differentiation and plasma membrane bound cell projection morphogenesis terms were common in AL, CCR, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups compared with week 10. In addition, nine GO terms including neuron development and regulation of neuron differentiation were common among AL, CCR and ICR-R groups (data were not shown). On the other hand, 157 GO terms including nervous system development and neurogenesis were common between CCR and ICR groups, while there was no common term between AL and ICR groups compared with week 10. Top central nervous system-related GO terms are provided in online Supplementary Table S3.

Pathway analysis of predicted targets changed with calorie restriction or by ageing

KEGG pathway analysis of predicted targets of DE miRNA revealed several pathways in each comparison. Several KEGG terms were changed in mouse brains by either ICR or CCR.

Pathway analysis of predicted targets changed with the calorie restriction. Five KEGG pathways including glutamatergic synapse and circadian entrainment were significantly enriched according to Bonferroni *P* value correction when the brain samples from the CCR group were compared with those of the AL group (Table 3). In the ICR-R group, six KEGG pathways including signalling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells and axon guidance were significantly enriched while in ICR-RF, sixteen KEGG pathways including mTOR and AMPK signalling pathways were significantly enriched compared with the AL diet. On the other hand, compared with the CCR mice, Jak-STAT and sphingolipid signalling pathways were significantly enriched in the ICR-R group (online Supplementary Table S4). In ICR-RF, dopaminergic and glutamatergic synapse pathways were significantly enriched compared with ICR-R. Top KEGG pathways are shown in Table 3 and online Supplementary Table S4.

Pathway analysis of predicted targets changed by ageing. Compared with week 10, there were no significantly enriched pathways found in AL, CCR and ICR-RF groups at week 81/82. However, compared with week 10, a total of nineteen KEGG pathway terms including axon guidance and neurotrophin signalling pathways were significantly enriched according to Bonferroni *P* value correction in the ICR-R group at week 81/82. The top ten KEGG pathways for the ICR-R group are given in online Supplementary Table S5.

Functional enrichment analysis of common predicted targets changed with either calorie restriction or ageing

Functional enrichment analysis of common predicted targets changed with calorie restriction. Various miRNA and their predicted targets were common in each dietary group. There were 236 common predicted targets of miRNA among the dietary groups (Fig. 2(e)). Compared with the AL group, FE

Table 2 Gene ontology of predicted targets of miRNA in CCR group compared with the AL group. GO terms were given with their GO ids, sources, levels, percentage of associated genes and number of predicted genes that found in GO term

CCR v. AL						
GO ID	GO term	GO source	Bonferroni <i>P</i> values	GO levels	% Assoc. genes	Nr. genes
GO:0007399	Nervous system development	GO_BP	1.36E-14	4, 5	8.29	222.00
GO:0022008	Neurogenesis	GO_BP	1.77E-11	4, 5, 6	8.55	170.00
GO:0048699	Generation of neurons	GO_BP	2.01E-11	5, 6, 7	8.72	161.00
GO:0030182	Neuron differentiation	GO_BP	5.21E-10	4, 6, 7, 8	8.71	145.00
GO:0048666	Neuron development	GO_BP	2.27E-09	4, 5, 7, 8, 9	9.10	124.00
GO:0050767	Regulation of neurogenesis	GO_BP	4.64E-09	5, 6, 7, 8	9.69	104.00
GO:0045664	Regulation of neuron differentiation	GO_BP	1.56E-08	5, 6, 7, 8, 9	10.17	89.00
GO:0043005	Neuron projection	GO_CC	3.81E-08	3, 4, 5	8.29	143.00
GO:0031175	Neuron projection development	GO_BP	4.76E-08	5, 6, 8, 9, 10	9.11	110.00
GO:0097458	Neuron part	GO_CC	5.45E-08	2, 3	7.77	173.00

Table 3 KEGG pathway analysis of predicted targets of DE miRNA in CCR group compared with the AL group. KEGG pathways were given with their term ID, number of predicted genes that found in KEGG pathway, percentage of associated genes and genes

CCR v. AL					
Term ID	KEGG pathway	Count	%	Bonferroni <i>P</i> values	Genes
mmu05205	Proteoglycans in cancer	26	2.51	8.90E-04	CAV1, GRB2, PPP1R12C, RDX, SDC2, SRC, PDPK1, TIAM1, ITGAV, FASL, CAMK2B, MSN, PIK3R1, PIK3CG, FZD8, BRAF, PRKCG, DDX5, ARHGEF12, FZD7, ITPR1, EIF4B, FZD10, ITGA5, MAPK14, COL1A2
mmu04724	Glutamatergic synapse	17	1.64	1.19E-02	ADCY3, GNAI3, SLC38A2, ADCY7, PRKCG, GRIA3, GRIA4, KCNJ3, ITPR1, GRM4, SLC1A2, SLC17A6, GNAQ, GRIA2, DLG4, PPP3CA, PLA2G4C
mmu04713	Circadian entrainment	15	1.45	2.61E-02	ADCY3, GNAI3, ADCY7, CACNA1I, PRKCG, GRIA3, GRIA4, KCNJ3, ITPR1, FOS, GNAQ, GRIA2, GUCY1A2, PER2, CAMK2B
mmu04921	Oxytocin signalling pathway	19	1.83	2.92E-02	ADCY3, GNAI3, ADCY7, PPP1R12C, PRKCG, KCNJ2, CACNA2D2, KCNJ3, SRC, ITPR1, FOS, GNAQ, CAMK4, GUCY1A2, EEF2K, CAMK2B, PRKAA2, PPP3CA, PLA2G4C
mmu04510	Focal adhesion	23	2.22	3.30E-02	COL4A4, PIK3CG, IBSP, CAV1, BRAF, PDGFA, PGF, GRB2, PPP1R12C, ITGA10, PRKCG, SRC, CHAD, PDPK1, ITGA5, ITGAV, GSK3B, COL27A1, ITGA8, COL1A2, RAP1B, CRK, PIK3R1

analysis of common predicted targets between CCR and ICR-R groups revealed that the ones identified are mainly important in transcription regulation and dendritic synapses (online Supplementary Table S6). FE analyses revealed that predicted targets involved in transcription regulation and nervous system development were changed in brains of CCR mice compared with the AL, ICR-R or ICR-RF groups (online Supplementary Table S6). In addition, compared with the CCR and ICR-R groups, ClueGO enrichment on common predicted targets including regulation of dendritic spine development and Alzheimer's disease related terms and pathways was significantly enriched (online Supplementary Fig. S2) in the AL group. On the other hand, compared with the CCR group, ClueGO enrichment on common predicted targets among AL, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups revealed that there are seventeen different significantly enriched targets including dopaminergic synapse, regulation of dendrite development and Alzheimer's disease related terms and pathways which are listed in online Supplementary Fig. S3.

Functional enrichment analysis of common predicted targets changed by ageing. Regarding the ageing effect, numbers of miRNA and their predicted targets were common in each dietary group. FE of predicted targets was performed in common predicted targets of CCR and ICR-R dietary groups at week 81/82 compared with week 10 as indicated in Fig. 2(f) and revealed that these common predicted targets were involved in transcription regulation, Zn finger ion interaction, cell cycle, cell division, differentiation and chromatin regulation (online Supplementary Table S7). Additionally, ClueGO enrichment of common predicted targets of CCR and ICR-R dietary groups at week 81/82 compared with week 10 indicated that twenty-five different clusters including mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling, insulin and cytokine signalling related terms and pathways were significantly enriched (online Supplementary Fig. S4).

Functional enrichment analysis of mmu-miR-713

Both types of CR and ageing resulted in differential expression of fifty-three miRNA and two precursors in the present study. Of the fifty-three mature miRNA, twenty-eight of them and two

precursors were DE with CR (Table 1). In these twenty-eight miRNA, expression of mmu-miR-713 which had 233 predicted targets was significantly higher in the CCR group compared with the AL, ICR-R and ICR-RF groups at week 81/82 (Fig. 1, $P < 0.05$). In addition, the level of mmu-miR-713 in the CCR group at week 81/82 was similar to that of week 10 (Fig. 1, $P > 0.05$). In other words, mmu-miR-713 level in the CCR group was not altered by ageing.

In this context, transcription regulation, Golgi apparatus, neurogenesis, endoplasmic reticulum and fibronectin functions were functionally enriched as predicted targets of mmu-miR-713. The top three enrichment results for mmu-miR-713 are listed in online Supplementary Table S8. In addition, predicted target genes for mmu-miR-713 that were functionally enriched in ClueGO revealed that regulation of axonogenesis, dopaminergic synapse, prolactin signalling, presynaptic membrane and several other pathways and terms were significantly enriched (online Supplementary Fig. S5).

In addition to mmu-miR-713, mmu-miR-184-3p and mmu-miR-351-3p expressions were significantly lowered with either CCR or ICR-R interventions compared with values obtained at week 10 (Fig. 1(b)). FE of these common predicted targets in CCR or ICR-R compared with week 10 indicated that transcription regulation, cell cycle, differentiation, chromatin regulator, axonogenesis, cytokine, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), MAPK, insulin signalling and several other pathways/terms were significantly enriched. Out of 394 targets, 359 common predicted targets were indicated for mmu-miR-184-3p and mmu-miR-351-5p. VEGF and insulin signalling were up-regulated by down-regulation of mmu-miR-184-3p and mmu-miR-351-5p in the CCR and ICR-R groups.

Validation of mmu-miR-713

Because results of FE analysis results showed that the target genes for mmu-miR-713 were related to neurogenesis, mmu-miR-713 was selected for miRNA validation. Results of miRNA array showed significantly higher mmu-miR-713 levels in the brain of CCR mice compared with any of AL, ICR-R or ICR-RF groups at week 81/82 (Fig. 3(a), $P < 0.05$). In the CCR group, mmu-miR-713 level was also increased with ageing while there




Fig. 3 Validation of miRNA array result for mmu-miR-713 levels using RT-PCR. Levels of mmu-miR-713 were measured using miRNA array (a, $n=3$) and RT-PCR (b, $n=3-5$) at 10 (baseline) and 81/82 weeks of mouse age. Results were given as mean values with their standard error of means, and $P < 0.05$, and < 0.01 were represented as * and **, respectively. AL, *ad libitum*; CCR, chronic calorie restriction; ICR, intermittent calorie restriction; ICR-RF, intermittent calorie restriction-refeeding.

was no change of its level in other dietary groups by ageing from week 10 to week 81/82 (Fig. 3(a), $P < 0.05$). In line with these results, validation performed by RT-PCR analyses confirmed that mmu-miR-713 levels were higher in the CCR group compared with the other dietary groups although it was not statistically significant (Fig. 3(b)).

Discussion

In the present study, the effects of two different long-term CR protocols (CCR or ICR) on miRNA profiles in mouse brain were studied to better understand the impact of CR on miRNA expression levels in this tissue. We also assessed genes and signalling pathways that might be involved in the protective mechanism of CR on brain functions. In addition, the expression of miRNA at a young adult age (10 weeks old) and an old age (81/82 weeks) was determined to understand possible mechanisms and regulated genes in mouse brain tissue due to ageing. Results from our group previously revealed that CCR displays better preventive effects than that of ICR for neurodegeneration⁽⁴⁷⁾. Similar results were reported in the literature. For example, research conducted in Brown Norway rats and C57BL/6 mice demonstrated that CR more than 50 weeks positively regulated neuroprotection and enhance lifespan by regulating chromatin and histone-related genes via miRNA including miR-98-3p and miR-34 in brain and as well as liver^(13,26). These researchers concluded that CR-regulated expression of miRNAs might be important for longevity and neural survival^(2,33,48,49). Furthermore, Csiszar *et al.* showed that 2 years of 40 % CR in male F344xBN rats decreased expression of miR-144 that targeted Nrf2 in cerebromicrovascular endothelial cells, thus preserving a young phenotype by retaining anti-inflammatory, antioxidative and pro-angiogenic effects⁽⁵⁰⁾. Finally, it has been shown that 2 years of 40 % CCR decreased expression of miR-34a, miR-30e and miR-181a* in an age-dependent manner in brain and mediated neuronal survival via regulation of these miRNA in long-lived CR mice⁽²⁹⁾. Although, recent studies suggested that CR has protective effects on ageing by regulating miRNA in brain,

which and how miRNA in brain are regulated due to the type of CR restriction has not been studied. In addition, our results suggest that CR, specifically 15 % CCR has better protective effects on whole brain compared with 15 % ICR implemented by 1 week of 60 % CR followed by AL feeding for 3 weeks in a cyclical manner from 10 to 81/82 weeks of age.

To investigate the effects of two types of CR in mouse brain on the mRNA profile and the correlation between miRNA and its target mRNA expression, Gene Expression Omnibus datasets were investigated. There is limited research using brain samples obtained from CR mice⁽⁵¹⁻⁵³⁾. Two of the previous studies used the hippocampus while the other one used neocortex⁽⁵¹⁻⁵³⁾. In C57BL/6 mice 25 % CR starting at 8 weeks of age for 6 six weeks followed by 40 % CR until 22 weeks of age did not change mRNA levels in neocortex compared with the control group⁽⁵¹⁾. However, in another study, mice were calorie restricted by 30 % by reducing carbohydrate consumption starting at two and a half months of age until 15 months of age. It was reported that 30 % CR modulated Ca signalling and axonal guidance signalling-related genes and suppressed age-dependent transcriptional changes by regulating transcription factors⁽⁵³⁾. Likewise, our study conducted in whole brain samples showed that CCR modulated expression of miRNA that target axonogenesis and Ca signalling-related pathways. In another study, CR increased neuroprotective factors, heat shock proteins and improved learning and memory-related genes. Moreover, it was reported that CR ameliorated and suppressed hippocampal ageing especially in the CA1 sector. In another study, 20 % CR in mice starting at 3 months until 15 months of age positively regulated longevity, dendrite morphogenesis, synapse functioning and neuronal development-related gene expressions with up-regulation of 237 genes and down-regulation of 238 genes in hippocampus of mice compared with those fed AL⁽⁵²⁾. In addition, CR had beneficial effects on nutrient sensing pathways with increasing SIRT1 expression and decreasing mTOR activation compared with AL fed C57BL/6 mice 12-15 months of age⁽⁵²⁾. Moreover, dendritic spine density in the dentate gyrus was increased and cognitive and behavioural functions were improved by CR⁽⁵²⁾. It should be noted that all these studies applied CCR, not the

ICR type. In the majority of studies, changes in hippocampal gene expression levels and positive regulation of neurogenesis and neuroprotection-related gene expressions following CR have been reported. These results are in line with our current findings which indicate CR regulates gene expression and ameliorates ageing effects by regulating the miRNA profile in the brain. We cannot compare the effects of ICR on the miRNA profile in brain in the present study with the previous findings because no study has been reported using this type of protocol. It should be also noted that there are no standard feeding protocols for the ICR application in studies. The better application protocol is still being investigated. However, to our knowledge, the present study is the first one to report the effects of ICR on the miRNA profile in brain.

In the present study, CCR mice had lower mammary tumour incidence rate, mammary tumour weight and higher survival rate compared with either the AL or ICR groups⁽³⁵⁾. Because of improved effects of CCR on animal health and longevity, we investigated whether the beneficial effects of CCR types of CR were related to miRNA regulation in the brain. It should be noted that in the present study brain samples from mice which did not develop mammary tumour were used. However, all these mice had overexpressed *TGF α* gene in their mammary tissue. Our results revealed that several miRNA including *mmu-miR-713* that target neurogenesis and synapse formation were higher in the CCR mice compared with the AL and ICR groups in the brain tissue. These DE miRNA and previous results indicated that CCR showed better protective effects compared with the ICR application.

In a previous study, increased expression levels of *mmu-miR-713* were reported in serum samples of diet-induced obesity male mice fed a high-fat diet for 12 weeks compared with the normal diet fed C57BL/6 mice⁽⁵⁴⁾. Another study performed in cell culture reported a down-regulation of *mmu-miR-713* by oxidative stress in primary mouse hippocampal neuron culture and they indicated that *mmu-miR-713* could play an important role in neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease⁽⁵⁵⁾. However, the previous studies have been carried out with either neuronal cell culture or serum samples, not in tissue samples. Moreover, *mmu-miR-184-3p* and *mmu-miR-351-5p* were significantly lower in the CCR and ICR-R groups compared with the week 10 baseline values. It is reported that exosomal *mmu-miR-184-3p* levels were significantly increased in blood of 12-month-old compared with 3-month-old C57BL6 mice. These authors proposed that *mmu-miR-184-3p* is a candidate for ageing⁽⁵⁶⁾. However, in our research expression level of *mmu-miR-184-3p* was lower in both CCR and ICR-R mice compared with the young 10-week-old mice which could indicate a positive effect of CR on ageing. In addition, research conducted on intestinal ischaemia in Wistar rats reported that *mmu-miR351-5p* decreases expression of MAPK13 and sirtuin 6 and promotes inflammation and apoptosis as well as causing oxidative stress. Our results indicate that CCR and ICR-R could provide beneficial effects including anti-ageing effects by down-regulating *mmu-miR-184-3p* and *mmu-miR-351-5p*. On the other hand, results from the present study show that *mmu-miR-713*, *mmu-miR-184-3p* and *mmu-miR-351-5p* in brain could be important in neuroprotection by regulating neurogenesis and other related

pathways. Regulation of these miRNA may also play important roles in the protective effects of CR and also could be potential targets for the drug development for use in neurodegenerative and ageing-related diseases.

Conclusion

In conclusion, regulation of miRNA in brain may play important roles in the protective effects of CR for ageing and age-related health problems. Results from our group previously have revealed that CCR has a better preventive effect than that of ICR for neurodegeneration. In addition, the present study suggests that CCR may exert its protective effects by increasing expressions of *mmu-miR-713* and decreasing expression of *mmu-miR-184-3p* and *mmu-miR-351-5p*, since they are shown to play roles in neurogenesis, neuronal survival, axon guidance, chromatin and histone regulation. These three miRNA could be potential targets for drug development for use in neurodegenerative and ageing-related diseases. However, further studies are needed to confirm and elucidate the roles of these miRNAs in the protective effects of CR in brain, especially by ICR.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Emre C. Tuysuz for his technical support during miRNA analyses. The authors also thank Batuhan M. Kalkan, Burak Demir, Ozan Şen, Büşra T. Kazan, İlker Çoban, Göktug Karabıyık and Mustafa E. Özer for helping daily mice feeding and handling. Finally, the authors thank the veterinarian and animal technicians who handled the animals at Yeditepe University Animal Facility (YUDETAM).

This work was financially supported by Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK grant # 119S238). No other support from any commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

S. D., B. G. T., M. P. C., O. F. B., A. K. and P. B. D. conceived the study and designed the experiments. M. B. C., U. O. and S. D. performed experiments and acquired data. U. O., M. B. C., S. D., B. G. T., O. F. B. and B. Y. analysed and interpreted data. S. D., M. B. C., U. O., B. G. T. and O. F. B. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. P. B. D., A. K. and M. P. C. critically revised the manuscript. S. D. and B. G. T. supervised the project.

There were no conflict of interest to declare by any of the authors.

Supplementary material

For supplementary material referred to in this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114521001239>

References

1. Lopez-Otin C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, *et al.* (2013) The hallmarks of aging. *Cell* **153**, 1194–1217.
2. Hadem IKH, Majaw T, Kharbuli B, *et al.* (2019) Beneficial effects of dietary restriction in aging brain. *J Chem Neuroanat* **95**, 123–133.

3. Morgan AH, Andrews ZB & Davies JS (2017) Less is more: Caloric regulation of neurogenesis and adult brain function. *J Neuroendocrinol* **29**, e12512.
4. Lopez-Lluch G & Navas P (2016) Calorie restriction as an intervention in ageing. *J Physiol* **594**, 2043–2060.
5. Flanagan EW, Most J, Mey JT, *et al.* (2020) Calorie restriction and aging in humans. *Annu Rev Nutr* **40**, 105–133.
6. Most J, Tosti V, Redman LM, *et al.* (2017) Calorie restriction in humans: an update. *Ageing Res Rev* **39**, 36–45.
7. Al-Regaiey KA (2016) The effects of calorie restriction on aging: a brief review. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* **20**, 2468–2473.
8. Cicekdal MB, Tuna BG, Charehsaz M, *et al.* (2019) Effects of long-term intermittent versus chronic calorie restriction on oxidative stress in a mouse cancer model. *IUBMB Life* **71**, 1973–1985.
9. Dogan S, Ray A & Cleary MP (2017) The influence of different calorie restriction protocols on serum pro-inflammatory cytokines, adipokines and IGF-I levels in female C57BL6 mice: short term and long term diet effects. *Meta Gene* **12**, 22–32.
10. Kagawa Y (1978) Impact of Westernization on the nutrition of Japanese: changes in physique, cancer, longevity and centenarians. *Prev Med* **7**, 205–217.
11. Hursting SD, Dunlap SM, Ford NA, *et al.* (2013) Calorie restriction and cancer prevention: a mechanistic perspective. *Cancer Metab* **1**, 10.
12. Rubovitch V, Pharayra A, Har-Even M, *et al.* (2019) Dietary energy restriction ameliorates cognitive impairment in a mouse model of traumatic brain injury. *J Mol Neurosci* **67**, 613–621.
13. Wood SH, van Dam S, Craig T, *et al.* (2015) Transcriptome analysis in calorie-restricted rats implicates epigenetic and post-translational mechanisms in neuroprotection and aging. *Genome Biol* **16**, 285.
14. Martin SL, Hardy TM & Tollefsbol TO (2013) Medicinal chemistry of the epigenetic diet and caloric restriction. *Curr Med Chem* **20**, 4050–4059.
15. O'Flanagan CH, Smith LA, McDonnell SB, *et al.* (2017) When less may be more: calorie restriction and response to cancer therapy. *BMC Med* **15**, 106.
16. Golbidi S, Daiber A, Korac B, *et al.* (2017) Health benefits of fasting and caloric restriction. *Curr Diab Rep* **17**, 123.
17. Lin S & Gregory RI (2015) MicroRNA biogenesis pathways in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **15**, 321–333.
18. Cui J, Zhou B, Ross SA, *et al.* (2017) Nutrition, microRNAs, and human health. *Adv Nutr* **8**, 105–112.
19. Bielefeld P, Mooney C, Henshall DC, *et al.* (2017) miRNA-Mediated regulation of adult hippocampal neurogenesis; implications for epilepsy. *Brain Plast* **3**, 43–59.
20. Sarkar SN, Russell AE, Engler-Chiurazzi EB, *et al.* (2019) MicroRNAs and the genetic nexus of brain aging, neuroinflammation, neurodegeneration, and brain trauma. *Ageing Dis* **10**, 329–352.
21. Reddy PH, Williams J, Smith F, *et al.* (2017) MicroRNAs, aging, cellular senescence, and Alzheimer's disease. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* **146**, 127–171.
22. Nampoothiri SS & Rajanikant GK (2017) Decoding the ubiquitous role of microRNAs in neurogenesis. *Mol Neurobiol* **54**, 2003–2011.
23. Morgan AH, Andrews ZB & Davies JS (2017) Less is more: Caloric regulation of neurogenesis and adult brain function. *J Neuroendocrinol* **29**, e12512.
24. Lee EK, Jeong HO, Bang EJ, *et al.* (2018) The involvement of serum exosomal miR-500-3p and miR-770-3p in aging: modulation by calorie restriction. *Oncotarget* **9**, 5578–5587.
25. Schneider A, Dhahbi JM, Atamna H, *et al.* (2017) Caloric restriction impacts plasma microRNAs in rhesus monkeys. *Ageing Cell* **16**, 1200–1203.
26. Green CD, Huang Y, Dou X, *et al.* (2017) Impact of dietary interventions on noncoding RNA Networks and mRNAs encoding chromatin-related factors. *Cell Rep* **18**, 2957–2968.
27. Victoria B, Dhahbi JM, Nunez Lopez YO, *et al.* (2015) Circulating microRNA signature of genotype-by-age interactions in the long-lived Ames dwarf mouse. *Ageing Cell* **14**, 1055–1066.
28. Makwana K, Patel SA, Velingkaar N, *et al.* (2017) Aging, calorie restriction regulate the expression of miR-125a-5p, its target genes Stat3, Casp2, Stard13. *Ageing* **9**, 1825–1843.
29. Khanna A, Muthusamy S, Liang R, *et al.* (2011) Gain of survival signaling by down-regulation of three key miRNAs in brain of calorie-restricted mice. *Ageing* **3**, 223–236.
30. Matsui Y, Halter SA, Holt JT, *et al.* (1990) Development of mammary hyperplasia and neoplasia in MMTV-TGF α transgenic mice. *Cell* **61**, 1147–1155.
31. Cleary MP, Ray A, Rogozina OP, *et al.* (2009) Targeting the adiponectin:leptin ratio for postmenopausal breast cancer prevention. *Front Biosci* **1**, 329–357.
32. Rogozina OP, Bonorden MJ, Seppanen CN, *et al.* (2011) Effect of chronic and intermittent calorie restriction on serum adiponectin and leptin and mammary tumorigenesis. *Cancer Prev Res* **4**, 568–581.
33. Dogan S, Johannsen AC, Grande JP, *et al.* (2011) Effects of intermittent and chronic calorie restriction on mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and IGF-I signaling pathways in mammary fat pad tissues and mammary tumors. *Nutr Cancer* **63**, 389–401.
34. Cicekdal MB, Kazan BT, Tuna BG, *et al.* (2020) Effects of two types of calorie restriction on methylation levels of adiponectin receptor 1 (AdipoR1) and leptin receptor overlapping transcript (Leptot) in a MMTV-TGF- α Breast Cancer Mouse Model. *Br J Nutr* **123**, 1079.
35. Dogan S, Cicekdal MB, Ozorhan U, *et al.* (2021) Roles of Adiponectin and Leptin Signaling Related microRNAs in The Preventive Effects of Calorie Restriction in Mammary Tumor Development. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33493087/>
36. Omeroglu Ulu Z, Ulu S, Dogan S, *et al.* (2018) Transcriptome analysis of the thymus in short-term calorie-restricted mice using RNA-seq. *Int J Genomics* **2018**, 7647980.
37. Ru Y, Kechris KJ, Tabakoff B, *et al.* (2014) The multiMiR R package and database: integration of microRNA–target interactions along with their disease and drug associations. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, e133.
38. Paraskevopoulou MD, Georgakilas G, Kostoulas N, *et al.* (2013) DIANA-microT web server v5.0: service integration into miRNA functional analysis workflows. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, W169–W173.
39. Agarwal V, Bell GW, Nam JW, *et al.* (2015) Predicting effective microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *Elife* **4**, e05005.
40. Liu W & Wang X (2019) Prediction of functional microRNA targets by integrative modeling of microRNA binding and target expression data. *Genome Biol* **20**, 18.
41. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, *et al.* (2003) Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* **13**, 2498–2504.
42. Bindea G, Mlecnik B, Hackl H, *et al.* (2009) ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinf* **25**, 1091–1093.
43. Huang da W, Sherman BT & Lempicki RA (2009) Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* **4**, 44–57.
44. Cakmak BD, Dundar B, Acikgoz AS, *et al.* (2017) The relationship between maternal and umbilical cord adipon levels with the presence and severity of preeclampsia. *J Perinat Med* **45**, 879–885.



45. Oliveros JC (2007) Venny. An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn's diagrams. <http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/> (accessed 27 May 2019).
46. Busk PK (2014) A tool for design of primers for microRNA-specific quantitative RT-qPCR. *BMC Bioinf* **15**, 29.
47. Atalay B (2020) *Neurodegeneration in the Mice Brain: effects of Calorie Restriction on Sirtuin Protein Levels*. Istanbul: Yeditepe University.
48. Shetty AK, Hattiangady B & Shetty GA (2005) Stem/progenitor cell proliferation factors FGF-2, IGF-1, and VEGF exhibit early decline during the course of aging in the hippocampus: role of astrocytes. *Glia* **51**, 173–186.
49. Victoria B, Nunez Lopez YO & Masternak MM (2017) MicroRNAs and the metabolic hallmarks of aging. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **455**, 131–147.
50. Csiszar A, Gautam T, Sosnowska D, *et al.* (2014) Caloric restriction confers persistent anti-oxidative, pro-angiogenic, and anti-inflammatory effects and promotes anti-aging miRNA expression profile in cerebrovascular endothelial cells of aged rats. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* **307**, H292–H306.
51. Barger JL, Vann JM, Cray NL, *et al.* (2017) Identification of tissue-specific transcriptional markers of caloric restriction in the mouse and their use to evaluate caloric restriction mimetics. *Aging Cell* **16**, 750–760.
52. Wahl D, Solon-Biet SM, Wang QP, *et al.* (2018) Comparing the effects of low-protein and high-carbohydrate diets and caloric restriction on brain aging in mice. *Cell Rep* **25**, 2234–2243 e2236.
53. Schafer MJ, Dolgalev I, Alldred MJ, *et al.* (2015) Calorie restriction suppresses age-dependent hippocampal transcriptional signatures. *PLoS One* **10**, e0133923.
54. Hsieh C-H, Rau C-S, Wu S-C, *et al.* (2015) Weight-reduction through a low-fat diet causes differential expression of circulating microRNAs in obese C57BL/6 mice. *BMC Genom* **16**, 699.
55. Xu S, Zhang R, Niu J, *et al.* (2012) Oxidative stress mediated-alterations of the microRNA expression profile in mouse hippocampal neurons. *Int J Mol Sci* **13**, 16945–16960.
56. Lee BR, Kim JH, Choi ES, *et al.* (2018) Effect of young exosomes injected in aged mice. *Int J Nanomed* **13**, 5335–5345.