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#### Abstract

Order components of a finite simple group were introduced in [4]. It was proved that some non-abelian simple groups are uniquely determined by their order components. As the main result of this paper, we show that groups $P S U_{11}(q)$ are also uniquely determined by their order components. As corollaries of this result, the validity of a conjecture of J. G. Thompson and a conjecture of W. Shi and J. Bi both on $P S U_{11}(q)$ are obtained.


## 1 Introduction

For an integer $n$, let $\pi(n)$ be the set of prime divisors of $n$. If $G$ is a finite group then $\pi(G)$ is defined to be $\pi(|G|)$. The prime graph $\Gamma(G)$ of a group $G$ is a graph whose vertex set is $\pi(G)$, and two distinct primes $p$ and $q$ are linked by an edge if and only if $G$ contains an element of order $p q$. Let $\pi_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, t(\Gamma(G))$ be the connected components of $\Gamma(G)$. For $|G|$ even, $\pi_{1}$ will be the connected component containing 2. Then $|G|$ can be expressed as a product of some positive integers $m_{i}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, t(\Gamma(G))$, with $\pi\left(m_{i}\right)=\pi_{i}$. The integers $m_{i}$ are called the order components of $G$. The set of order components of $G$ will be denoted by $O C(G)$. If the order of $G$ is even, it is assumed that $m_{1}$ is the even order component and $m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t(\Gamma(G))}$ are the odd order components of $G$. The order components of non-abelian simple groups having at least three prime graph components are obtained by G. Y. Chen [8, Tables 1-3]. The order components of non-abelian simple groups with two order components can be obtained according to [19, 25; see also 12, 13]. The following groups are uniquely determined by their order components: $G_{2}(q)$ where $q \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)[2]$, sporadic simple groups [3], Suzuki-Ree groups [6], $E_{8}(q)$ [7], $P S L_{2}(q)$ [8], $A_{p}$ where $p$ and $p-2$ are primes [10], $\operatorname{PSL}(3, q)[12,13], \operatorname{PSL}(5, q)[11], F_{4}(q)$ [14,17], $C_{2}(q)$ where $q>5$ [15], $\operatorname{PSU}(3, q)$ for $q>5$ [18] and $\operatorname{PSU}_{5}(q)$ [16].

In this paper, we prove that the groups $P S U_{11}(q)$, for any prime power $q$, are also uniquely determined by their order components, that is we have:

The Main Theorem Let $G$ be a finite group, $M=P S U_{11}(q)$ with $O C(G)=O C(M)$. Then $G \cong M$.

## 2 Preliminary Results

In order to prove the main theorem, we present some lemmas.

[^0]Definition 2.1 ([9]) A finite group $G$ is called a 2-Frobenius group if it has a normal series $G>K>H>1$, where $K$ and $G / H$ are Frobenius groups with kernels $H$ and $K / H$, respectively.

Lemma 2.2 ([25, Theorem A]) If $G$ is a finite group with prime graph of more than one component, then $G$ is one of the following groups:
(a) a Frobenius or 2-Frobenius group;
(b) a simple group;
(c) an extension of a $\pi_{1}$-group by a simple group;
(d) an extension of a simple group by a $\pi_{1}$-solvable group;
(e) an extension of a $\pi_{1}$-group by a simple group by a $\pi_{1}$-group.

Lemma 2.3 ([25, Lemma 3]) If $G$ is a finite group with more than one prime graph component and has a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that $H$ and $G / K$ are $\pi_{1}$-groups and $K / H$ is simple, then $H$ is a nilpotent group.

The next lemma follows from [1, Theorem 2]:
Lemma 2.4 Let $G$ be a Frobenius group of even order and let $H, K$ be Frobenius complement and Frobenius kernel of $G$, respectively. Then $t(\Gamma(G))=2$, and the prime graph components of $G$ are $\pi(H), \pi(K)$, and $G$ has one of the following structures:
(a) $2 \in \pi(K)$ and all Sylow subgroups of $H$ are cyclic.
(b) $2 \in \pi(H), K$ is an abelian group, $H$ is a solvable group, the Sylow subgroups of odd order of H are cyclic groups and the 2-Sylow subgroups of H are cyclic or generalized quaternion groups.
(c) $2 \in \pi(H), K$ is an abelian group and there exists $H_{0} \leq H$ such that $\left|H: H_{0}\right| \leq 2$, $H_{0}=Z \times \operatorname{SL}(2,5),(|Z|, 2.3 .5)=1$ and the Sylow subgroups of $Z$ are cyclic.

The next lemma follows from [1, Theorem 2] and Lemma 2.3
Lemma 2.5 Let $G$ be a 2-Frobenius group of even order. Then $t(\Gamma(G))=2$ and $G$ has a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that
(a) $\pi_{1}=\pi(G / K) \cup \pi(H)$ and $\pi(K / H)=\pi_{2}$;
(b) $G / K$ and $K / H$ are cyclic, $|G / K|$ divides $|\operatorname{Aut}(K / H)|,(|G / K|,|K / H|)=1$ and $|G / K|<|K / H|$;
(c) $H$ is nilpotent and $G$ is a solvable group.

Lemma 2.6 ([5, Lemma 8]) Let $G$ be a finite group with $t(\Gamma(G)) \geq 2$ and let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. If $N$ is a $\pi_{i}$-group for some prime graph component of $G$ and $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}$ are some order components of $G$ but not a $\pi_{i}$-number, then $m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{r}$ is a divisor of $|N|-1$.

Lemma 2.7 ([4, Lemma 1.4]) Suppose $G$ and $M$ are two finite groups satisfying $t(\Gamma(M)) \geq 2, N(G)=N(M)$, where $N(G)=\{n \mid G$ has a conjugacy class of size $n\}$, and $Z(G)=1$. Then $|G|=|M|$.

The next lemma follows from [4, Lemma 1.5].
Lemma 2.8 Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be finite groups satisfying $\left|G_{1}\right|=\left|G_{2}\right|$ and $N\left(G_{1}\right)=$ $N\left(G_{2}\right)$. Then $t\left(\Gamma\left(G_{1}\right)\right)=t\left(\Gamma\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$ and $O C\left(G_{1}\right)=O C\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 2.9 Let $G$ be a finite group and let $M$ be a non-abelian simple group with $t(\Gamma(M))=2$ satisfying $O C(G)=O C(M)$. Let $|M|=m_{1} m_{2}, O C(M)=\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}$, and $\pi\left(m_{i}\right)=\pi_{i}$ for $i=1$ or 2 . Then $|G|=m_{1} m_{2}$ and one of the following holds:
(a) G is a Frobenius or a 2-Frobenius group;
(b) G has a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that $G / K$ is a $\pi_{1}$-group, $H$ is a nilpotent $\pi_{1}$-group, and $K / H$ is a non-abelian simple group. Moreover, $O C(K / H)=$ $\left\{m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{s}^{\prime}, m_{2}\right\},|K / H|=m_{1}^{\prime} m_{2}^{\prime} \cdots m_{s}^{\prime} m_{2}$ and $m_{1}^{\prime} m_{2}^{\prime} \cdots m_{s}^{\prime} \mid m_{1}$, where $\pi\left(m_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{j}(K / H), 1 \leq j \leq s . A l s o,|G / K|| | \operatorname{Out}(K / H) \mid$.

Proof The first part of the lemma follows from the above lemmas. Since $t(\Gamma(G)) \geq$ 2, we have $t(\Gamma(G / H)) \geq 2$. Otherwise, $t(\Gamma(G / H))=1$, hence $t(\Gamma(G))=1$, which is a contradiction, since $H$ is a $\pi_{1}$-group. Moreover, we have $Z(G / H)=1$. For any $x H \in G / H$ and $x H \notin K / H, x H$ induces an automorphism of $K / H$ and this automorphism is trivial if and only if $x H \in Z(G / H)$. Therefore, $G / K \leq \operatorname{Out}(K / H)$ and since $Z(G / H)=1$, it follows that $|G / K|||\operatorname{Out}(K / H)|$.

Lemma 2.10 Let $M=\operatorname{PSU}_{11}(q)$. Suppose $D(q)=\frac{q^{11}+1}{k(q+1)}$, where $k=(11, q+1)$.
(a) If $p \in \pi(M)$, then $\left|S_{p}\right| \leq q^{55}$ where $S_{p} \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(M)$;
(b) If $p \in \pi_{1}(M)$ and $p^{\alpha}| | M \mid$, then $p^{\alpha}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$ if and only if $p^{\alpha}=q^{22}$ or $q^{44}$;
(c) If $p \in \pi_{1}(M)$ and $p^{\alpha}| | M \mid$, then $p^{\alpha}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$ if and only if $p^{\alpha}=q^{11}$, $q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$.

## Proof

(a) We know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|M|= & q^{55}(q+1)^{10}(q-1)^{5}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)^{3}\left(q^{2}+1\right)^{2}\left(q^{4}-q^{3}+q^{2}-q+1\right)^{2} \\
& \times\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)\left(1-q+q^{2}-q^{3}+q^{4}-q^{5}+q^{6}\right)\left(q^{4}+1\right)\left(q^{6}-q^{3}+1\right) \\
& \times\left(q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1\right) \times \frac{\left(q^{11}+1\right)}{k(q+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By easy calculations we determine the greatest common divisors of any two factors of $|M|$. For example, $(q-1, q+1)\left|2,\left(q+1, q^{2}-q+1\right)\right| 3,\left(q+1, q^{2}+1\right) \mid 2$, $\left(q+1, q^{4}-q^{3}+q^{2}-q+1\right)\left|5,\left(q+1, q^{6}-q^{5}+q^{4}-q^{3}+q^{2}-q+1\right)\right| 7,\left(q+1, q^{4}+1\right) \mid 2$, $\left(q+1, q^{6}-q^{3}+1\right) \mid 3$ and $q+1$ is coprime with respect to other factors of $|M|$. So if $p^{\alpha}| | M \mid$ and $p \in \pi_{1}$, then one of the following occurs: $p^{\alpha}$ is a divisor of $q^{55}$, $2^{8} 3^{4} 5^{2} 7(q+1)^{10}, 2^{13} 5^{2} 3(q-1)^{5}, 3^{11}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)^{3}, 2^{16}\left(q^{2}+1\right)^{2}, 5^{10}\left(q^{4}-q^{3}+q^{2}-q+1\right)^{2}$,
$3^{5}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right), 7^{10}\left(1-q+q^{2}-q^{3}+q^{4}-q^{5}+q^{6}\right), 2^{17}\left(q^{4}+1\right), 3^{13}\left(q^{6}-q^{3}+1\right)$ or $5^{5}\left(q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1\right)$. Therefore, (a) follows.
(b) Now let there exist $p \in \pi_{1}(M), p^{\alpha}| | M \mid$ and $p^{\alpha}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$. It is obvious that $p^{\alpha}>D(q)$.

For $q \leq 32$ numerical calculations show that there is no $p^{\alpha}$ such that (b) holds. Hence let $q>32$. We consider the following possible cases:
(1) If $p^{\alpha} \mid 2^{8} 3^{4} 5^{2} 7(q+1)^{10}$, then we consider the following subcases:
(1.1) Let $p \neq 2,3,5,7$ and $p^{\alpha} \mid(q+1)^{10}$ and $p^{\alpha}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$. Then $p^{\alpha}-1=s D(q)$ for some $s>0$. But $(q+1)^{10} / 20<D(q)$, which implies that $p^{\alpha}=(q+1)^{10} / t$, where st $\leq 20$. Now numerical calculation shows that these equations have no solution and hence there can not exist any $p$, $\alpha$ such that the above relations holds.
(1.2) If $p=2$, then $2^{\alpha} \mid 2^{8}(q+1)^{10}$. Since $2^{8}(q+1)^{10} / 4000<D(q)$ for $q>32$, we have $2^{8}(q+1)^{10} / t-1=s D(q)$, where $s t \leq 4000$. Now by using mathematical software (for example Maple), we can check all of these equations and see that there exists no $\alpha$ such that (b) holds.
(1.3) If $p=3,5$ or 7 , then we get a contradiction similar to subcase (1.2).
(2) If $p^{\alpha} \mid 2^{13} 5^{2} 3(q-1)^{5}$, then $p^{\alpha}$ divides $2^{13}(q-1)^{5}, 5^{2}(q-1)^{5}$ or, $3(q-1)^{5}$. But in each case $p^{\alpha}<D(q)$ which implies that $p^{\alpha}-1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$.
(3) If $p^{\alpha} \mid 3^{11}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)^{3}, 2^{16}\left(q^{2}+1\right)^{2}, 5^{10}\left(q^{4}-q^{3}+q^{2}-q+1\right)^{2}, 3^{5}\left(q^{2}+q+1\right)$, $7^{10}\left(1-q+q^{2}-q^{3}+q^{4}-q^{5}+q^{6}\right), 2^{17}\left(q^{4}+1\right), 3^{13}\left(q^{6}-q^{3}+1\right)$ or, $5^{5}\left(q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1\right)$, then in each case $p^{\alpha}<D(q)$ which implies that $p^{\alpha}-1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$.
(4) If $p^{\alpha} \mid q^{55}$, then we consider two subcases, namely $k=1, k=11$. Since the proofs are similar we state only the case $k=1$.

We can see easily that $q=p^{n}$ for some $n>0$. First we prove that if $p^{\beta} \mid q^{11}$ and $p^{\beta}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$, then $p^{\beta}=q^{11}$. We have

$$
p^{\beta}+1=s . D(q)=s \cdot \frac{q^{11}+1}{q+1}=s\left(q^{10}-\cdots+q^{2}-q+1\right),
$$

and $1 \leq s \leq q+1$. Also since $q \mid p^{\beta}$ we have $q \mid s-1$ which implies that $q \leq s-1$. Therefore, $q+1=s$ and hence $p^{\beta}=q^{11}$.

Now we prove that if $p^{\alpha} \mid q^{22}$ and $p^{\alpha}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$, then $p^{\alpha}=q^{22}$. If we assume that $p^{\alpha} \leq q^{11}$ and $p^{\alpha}+1=s \cdot D(q)$, then $s<q+1$. Since $q \mid p^{\alpha}$ we have $q \mid s+1$ and hence $q \leq s+1$. Thus $s=q$ or $s=q-1$. But easy calculation shows that $p^{\alpha}-1 \neq s \cdot D(q)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $p^{\alpha}>q^{11}$ and hence $p^{\alpha}=q^{11} p^{m}$ for some $m>0$. Now we have

$$
p^{\alpha}-1=q^{11} p^{m}-1=p^{m}\left(q^{11}+1\right)-p^{m}-1 .
$$

Therefore, $D(q) \mid p^{m}+1$ which implies that $p^{m}=q^{11}$, by the above statement and hence $p^{\alpha}=q^{22}$. If $p^{\alpha}>q^{22}$ and $p^{\alpha} \mid q^{55}$, then by a similar method we conclude that $p^{\alpha}=q^{44}$.
(c) Similar to part (b), we conclude that $p^{\alpha}$ must be equal to $q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$ and the proof is complete.

Remark For convenience let $X=\left\{q^{11}, q^{33}, q^{55}\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{q^{22}, q^{44}\right\}$.
Lemma 2.11 Let $G$ be a finite group, $M=P S U_{11}(q)$ with $O C(G)=O C(M)$. Then $G$ is neither a Frobenius group nor a 2-Frobenius group.

Proof $G$ is not a Frobenius group otherwise by Lemma 2.4, OC $(G)=\{|H|,|K|\}$ where $K$ and $H$ are the Frobenius kernel and the Frobenius complement of $G$, respectively. Since $|H| \mid(|K|-1)$, we have $|H|<|K|$. So $|H|=\frac{q^{11}+1}{(q+1)(11, q+1)},|K|=|G| /|H|$. There exists a prime $p$ such that $p^{\alpha} \mid 3(q-1)^{5}$. If $P$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $K$, then since $K$ is nilpotent, $P \triangleleft G$ and hence $D(q)||P|-1$ by Lemma 2.6, which implies that $p^{\alpha} \in Y$ by Lemma $2.10(\mathrm{~b})$. Then $3(q-1)^{5} \geq q^{22}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $G$ is not a Frobenius group.

Let $G$ be a 2-Frobenius group. By Lemma 2.5, there is a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that $|K / H|=\frac{q^{11}+1}{(q+1)(11, q+1)}<2^{8}(q+1)^{10}$ and $|G / K|<|K / H|$. Thus there exists a prime $p$ such that $p \mid 2^{8}(q+1)^{10}$ and $p||H|$. If $P$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $H$, since $H$ is nilpotent, $P$ must be a normal subgroup of $K$ with $P \subseteq H$ and $|K|=\frac{q^{11}+1}{k(q+1)}|H|$. Therefore, $\left.\frac{q^{11}+1}{k(q+1)} \right\rvert\,(|P|-1)$ by Lemma 2.6 and hence $q^{22}| | P \mid$, which is impossible since $|P| \leq 2^{8}(q+1)^{10}$. Therefore, $G$ is not a 2-Frobenius group.

Lemma 2.12 Let $G$ be a finite group. If the order components of $G$ are the same as those of $M=P S U_{11}(q)$, then $G$ has a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that $H$ and $G / K$ are $\pi_{1}$-groups and $K / H$ is a simple group. Moreover, the odd order component of $M$ is equal to one of those of $K / H$, and in particular, $t(\Gamma(K / H)) \geq 2$.

Proof The first part of the Lemma follows from the above lemmas since the prime graph of $M$ has two components. For primes $p$ and $q$, if $K / H$ has an element of order $p q$, then $G$ has one. Hence, by the definition of prime graph component, the odd order component of $G$ must be an odd order component of $K / H$.

## 3 Proof of the Main Theorem

By Lemma 2.12, $G$ has a normal series $1 \unlhd H \unlhd K \unlhd G$ such that $H$ and $G / K$ are $\pi_{1}$-groups, $K / H$ is a non-abelian simple group, $t(\Gamma(K / H)) \geq 2$ and the odd order component of $M$ is an odd order component of $K / H$. We now proceed the proof of the main theorem in the following steps:
Step 1 If $K / H \cong A_{n}$ where $n=p, p+1, p+2$ and $p \geq 5$ is a prime number. Then we have two cases:

Case 1.1: $k=1$. In this case $p$ or $p-2$ is equal to $\frac{q^{11}+1}{q+1}$. If $p=\frac{q^{11}+1}{q+1}$, then $p-1=q(q-1)\left(q^{4}+q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1\right)\left(q^{4}-q^{3}+q^{2}-q+1\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p-2=q^{10}-q^{9}+q^{8}-q^{7}+q^{6}-q^{5}+q^{4}-q^{3}+q^{2}-q-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

But easy calculation shows that $(p-2,|G|) \mid 3^{5} \times 5^{2} \times 7 \times 43$ and hence $p-2 \mid 3^{5} \times$ $5^{2} \times 7 \times 43$. So $p=3,5,7, \ldots$ But $D(2)=683, D(3)=44287, D(4)=838861$ and hence equation (1) is not satisfied in each case. If $p-2=q^{10}-q^{9}+\cdots-q+1$, then we proceed similarly for $p-4$ since $p>5$.

Case 1.2: $k=11$. Then $p$ or $p-2$ is equal to $\frac{q^{11}+1}{11(q+1)}$ and $p-2$ or $p-4$ must be equal to $\frac{q^{10}-q^{9}+\cdots-q-21}{11}$, respectively. Now we proceed similarly to the last case and get a contradiction.
Step 2 If $K / H$ is a sporadic simple group, then $D(q)$ must be equal to $5,7,11,13$, $17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47,59,67,71$, which has no solution, since $D(2)=683$. Therefore, $K / H$ is a simple group of Lie type.
Step 3 If $K / H \cong E_{6}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then $D(q)=\left(q^{\prime 6}+q^{\prime 3}+1\right) /\left(3, q^{\prime}-1\right)$ and hence $q^{\prime 9} \in Y$, which implies that $q^{\prime 9}=q^{22}$ or $q^{44}$. But $q^{136}>q^{55}$ which is a contradiction, by Lemma 2.10(a).

Step 4 If $K / H \cong{ }^{2} E_{6}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then $D(q)=\left(q^{\prime 6}-q^{\prime 3}+1\right) /\left(3, q^{\prime}+1\right)$ and hence $q^{\prime 9} \in X$, which implies that $q^{{ }^{9}}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. If $q^{\prime 9}=q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$ then $q^{1^{36}}>q^{55}$ which is a contradiction. If $q^{\prime 9}=q^{11}$ then the equations $\left(q^{\prime 3}+1\right)\left(3, q^{\prime}+1\right)=(q+1)(11, q+1)$, and $q^{\prime 9}=q^{11}$ have no common solution in $\mathbb{Z}$, which is a contradiction.
Step 5 If $K / H \cong A_{r}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then we distinguish the following 6 cases:
Case 5.1: $K / H \cong A_{p^{\prime}-1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right) \neq(3,2),(3,4)$. Then $q^{\prime p^{\prime}}-1 \equiv$ $0(\bmod D(q))$ from which by Lemma $2.10(\mathrm{~b})$ we have $q^{\prime p^{\prime}} \in Y$. This implies that $q^{\prime p^{\prime}}=q^{22}$ or $q^{44}$. Now if $p^{\prime}>5$, then $\frac{q^{\prime p^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime}-1\right)}}{2}>q^{55}$, which is impossible by Lemma 2.10(a). If $p^{\prime}=3$ and $q^{\prime 3}=q^{22}$, then

$$
\left(q^{11}-1\right)(q+1)(11, q+1)=\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)\left(3, q^{\prime}-1\right), \quad q^{\prime 3}=q^{22}
$$

But these equations have no common solution in $\mathbb{Z}$, and hence this case is also impossible. If $p^{\prime}=3$ and $q^{\prime 3}=q^{44}$ or if $p^{\prime}=5$, then we get a contradiction similarly.

Case 5.2: $K / H \cong A_{p^{\prime}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $\left(q^{\prime}-1\right) \mid\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)$. Then $q^{\prime p^{\prime}} \in Y$, which implies that $q^{\prime p^{\prime}}=q^{22}$ or $q^{44}$. But if $p^{\prime}>3$, then $q^{\frac{p^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)}{2}}>q^{55}$, which is impossible. If $p^{\prime}=3$, then $q^{\prime}-1 \mid 4$, which implies that $q^{\prime} \leq 5$. But $q^{22} \mid q^{\prime 3}$ and $q>1$ which is impossible.

Case 5.3: $K / H \cong A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, where $4 \mid\left(q^{\prime}+1\right)$. If $D(q)=\frac{q^{\prime}-1}{2}$, then $q^{\prime} \in Y$, which implies that $q^{\prime}=q^{22}$ or $q^{44}$. But then $2=\left(q^{11}-1\right)(q+1)(11, q+1)$, and it is impossible, since $q>1$. If $D(q)=q^{\prime}$, then we consider two cases:

Case 5.3.a: If $k=1$ then $q^{\prime}=\left(q^{11}+1\right) /(q+1)$ and since $q^{\prime}+1| | K / H\left|=\left|A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right|\right.$, we have $q^{\prime}+1| | G \mid$. But $\left(q^{\prime}+1,|G|\right) \mid 2^{18} \times 3^{5} \times 19 \times 43$. Since $|K / H|||G|$ and $q^{\prime}+1 \mid 2^{18} \times 3^{5} \times 19 \times 43$, the only possible case is $q=2$ and $K / H=A_{1}(683)$. Hence $|G / K| \cdot|H|=2^{3} \times 3^{2} \times 11 \times 19 \times 31$. Since $\left|\operatorname{Out}\left(A_{1}(683)\right)\right|=1$ and by Lemma $2.9(2),|G / K|| | \operatorname{Out}\left(A_{1}(683) \mid\right.$ we conclude that $|H|=2^{3} \times 3^{2} \times 11 \times 19 \times 31$. Let $P$ be the 3-Sylow subgroup of $H$. Since $H$ is nilpotent, $P \triangleleft G$ and hence $683=$ $D(2) \mid(|P|-1)=8$, by Lemma 2.6, which is a contradiction.

Case 5.3.b: If $D(q)=q^{\prime}$ and $k=11$, then $q^{\prime}+1=\left(q^{11}+1\right) /(11(q+1))+1$ and we get a contradiction similarly.

Case 5.4: $K / H \cong A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $4 \mid\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)$. Since the possibility $D(q)=q^{\prime}$ was discussed in case 5.3 , we assume that $D(q)=\frac{q^{\prime}+1}{2}$. Then $q^{\prime} \in X$, which implies that $q^{\prime}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. Obviously $q^{\prime}=q^{11}$ implies that $q=1$, therefore, $q^{\prime}=q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. If $q^{\prime}=q^{33}$, then $k\left(q^{22}-q^{11}+1\right)(q+1)=2$ which is impossible. If $q^{\prime}=q^{55}$, then we proceed similarly.

Case 5.5: $K / H \cong A_{1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $4 \mid q^{\prime}$. If $D(q)=q^{\prime}-1$, then $q^{\prime} \in Y$, which implies that $q^{\prime}=q^{22}$ or $q^{44}$. But for example if $q^{\prime}=q^{22}$, then $1=\left(q^{11}-1\right)(q+1)(11, q+1)$ which is impossible. If $D(q)=q^{\prime}+1$, then $q^{\prime} \in X$, which implies that $q^{\prime}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. Now proceed similarly to Case 5.4.

Case 5.6: $K / H \cong A_{2}(2)$ or $K / H \cong A_{2}(4)$. Then $D(q)$ must be equal to $3,5,7,9$ which is impossible, since $D(q)>683$.

Step 6 If $K / H \cong B_{r}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ or $C_{r}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ or $D_{r}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, by a similar method we get contradictions. For example, suppose $K / H \cong B_{r}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then we consider two cases:

Case 6.1: $K / H \cong B_{m}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $m=2^{k} \geq 4$ and $q^{\prime}$ is odd. Then $q^{m} \in X$, which implies that $q^{\prime m}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. If $m=4$ and $q^{\prime 4}>q^{11}$ or if $m>4$, then $q^{\prime m^{2}}| | K / H \mid$ and hence $q^{\prime m^{2}}>q^{55}$, which is a contradiction. If $q^{\prime m}=q^{11}$ and $m=4$,i.e., $q^{\prime 4}=q^{11}$, then $2=(q+1)(11, q+1)$ which is a contradiction, since $q>1$.

Case 6.2: $K / H \cong B_{p}(3)$. Then $3^{p} \in Y$ and therefore $3^{p}=q^{22}$ or $q^{44}$ which is a contradiction, since $p$ is a prime number and can not be equal to 22 or 44 .

Step 7 If $K / H \cong F_{4}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then we consider 2 cases:
Case 7.1: If $D(q)=q^{\prime 4}-q^{\prime 2}+1$, then $q^{\prime 6} \in X$, which implies that $q^{\prime 6}=q^{11}$, $q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. If $q^{\prime 6}>q^{11}$, then $q^{\prime 24}>q^{55}$ which is a contradiction. If $q^{\prime 6}=q^{11}$, then $q^{\prime 2}+1=(q+1)(11, q+1)$. But these equations have no common solution in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Case 7.2: If $D(q)=q^{\prime 4}+1$, then $q^{\prime 4} \in X$, which implies that $q^{\prime 4}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. But then $q^{\prime 24}>q^{55}$ which is impossible.

Step 8 If $K / H \cong E_{7}(2)$ or $E_{7}(3)$ or ${ }^{2} E_{6}(2)$ or ${ }^{2} F_{4}(2)^{\prime}$, then $D(q)$ must be equal to 13, 17, 19, 73, 127, 757, 1093 which is impossible.
Step 9 If $K / H \cong G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then we consider 3 cases:
Case 9.1: $K / H \cong G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $2<q^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Then $D(q)=q^{\prime 2}-q^{\prime}+1$ and hence $q^{\prime 3} \in X$, which implies that $q^{\prime 3}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. If $q^{\prime 3}=q^{11}$, then $q^{\prime}+1=(q+1)(11, q+1)$. But these equations have no common solution in $\mathbb{Z}$. If $q^{\prime 3}=q^{n}$ where $n=33$ or 55 , then we get a contradiction similarly.

Case 9.2: $K / H \cong G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $2<q^{\prime} \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. Then $D(q)=q^{\prime 2}+$ $q^{\prime}+1$ and hence $q^{\prime 3}=q^{22}$ or $q^{44}$. Now we can proceed similarly to 9.1 and get contradictions.

Case 9.3: $K / H \cong G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $3 \mid q^{\prime}$. Then $q^{\prime 2} \pm q^{\prime}+1=D(q)$. This is similar to cases 9.1 and 9.2.

Step 10 If $K / H \cong{ }^{3} D_{4}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then $D(q)=q^{\prime 4}-q^{\prime 2}+1$, and hence $q^{\prime^{6}}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. If $q^{\prime 6}>q^{11}$, then $q^{\prime 12}>q^{55}$ which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.10(a). If $q^{\prime 6}=q^{11}$, then $q^{\prime 2}+1=(q+1)(11, q+1)$, which have no a common solution in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Step 11 If $K / H \cong E_{8}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ or $K / H \cong{ }^{2} G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $q^{\prime}=3^{2 r+1}$, then we get a contradiction similarly. For example if $K / H \cong{ }^{2} G_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ then $D(q)=q^{\prime} \pm \sqrt{3 q^{\prime}}+1$. Thus $q^{\prime 3} \in X$ and we get a contradiction similar to the last steps.

Step 12 If $K / H \cong{ }^{2} F_{4}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $q^{\prime}=2^{2 r+1}>2$, then $D(q)=q^{\prime 2} \pm \sqrt{2 q^{\prime 3}}+q^{\prime} \pm$ $\sqrt{2 q^{\prime}}+1$. Therefore, $q^{\prime 6}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$ and hence $q^{\prime 6} \in X$. Now we get a contradiction similar to the last step.

Step 13 If $K / H \cong{ }^{2} B_{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $q^{\prime}=2^{2 t+1}>2$, then if $D(q)=q^{\prime}-1$ we get $q^{\prime} \in Y$ and if $D(q)=q^{\prime} \pm \sqrt{2 q^{\prime}}+1$, we get $q^{\prime 2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod D(q))$. Therefore, $q^{\prime 2} \in X$. Now we proceed similar to the last steps and get contradictions.

Step 14 If $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then we consider 6 cases:
Case 14.1: $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $r=2^{t} \geq 4$. Then $q^{\prime r} \in X$. If $r=4$ and $q^{\prime 4}=q^{11}$, then $\left(2, q^{\prime}+1\right)=k(q+1)$ which is impossible. Also in other cases if $r>4$ or if $r=4$ and $q^{\prime 4}>q^{11}$, then since $r-1 \geq 3, G$ has a subgroup of size $q^{n}>q^{55}$ which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.10(a).

Case 14.2: $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r}(2)$ where $r=2^{t}+1 \geq 5$. Then $2^{r-1} \in X$. But $r-1=2^{t} \geq 4$ and $11 \nmid 2^{t}$, which is a contradiction.

Case 14.3: $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{p}(3)$ where $5 \leq p \neq 2^{r}+1$. Then $3^{p}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$ and since $p$ is an odd prime number, $q=3$ and $p=11$. Then $3^{p(p-1)}>q^{55}$ which is a contradiction.

Case 14.4: $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{r}(3)$ where $r=2^{t}+1 \neq p, t \geq 2$. Then $3^{r-1} \in X$, hence $3^{r-1}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. Since $r>5$, we have $3^{r(r-1)}>q^{55}$ and hence $G$ has a subgroup of size $q^{n}>q^{55}$ which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.10(a).

Case 14.5: $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{p}(3)$ where $p=2^{t}+1, t \geq 2$. Then $3^{p-1}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. Therefore, $11 \mid p-1=2^{t}$ which is a contradiction.

Case 14.6: $K / H \cong{ }^{2} D_{p+1}(2)$ where $p=2^{r}-1, r \geq 2$. Then similar to (14.4) and (14.5) we get a contradiction.

Step 15 If $K / H \cong{ }^{2} A_{r}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, then we consider 3 cases:
Case 15.1: $K / H \cong{ }^{2} A_{3}(2)$ or $K / H \cong{ }^{2} A_{5}(2)$. Then $D(q)$ must be equal to $5,7,11$ which is impossible.

Case 15.2: $K / H \cong{ }^{2} A_{p^{\prime}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ where $\left(q^{\prime}+1\right) \mid\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)$ and $\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right) \neq(3,3),(5,2)$. Then $q^{\prime p^{\prime}}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. Let $q^{\prime p^{\prime}}>q^{11}$. If $p^{\prime}>3$, then $q^{\prime \frac{p^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)}{2}}>q^{55}$, which is impossible. If $p^{\prime}=3$, then $q^{\prime}=3$ but $\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right) \neq(3,3)$. If $q^{\prime p^{\prime}}=q^{11}$ and $p^{\prime}>5$ we do similarly. Also if $p^{\prime}=3$ or 5 and $q^{\prime p^{\prime}}=q^{11}$, then $q^{\prime}<10$, which is impossible.

Case 15.3: $K / H \cong{ }^{2} A_{p^{\prime}-1}\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. Then $q^{\prime p^{\prime}}=q^{11}, q^{33}$ or $q^{55}$. If $p^{\prime}>11$, then $q^{\frac{p^{\prime}\left(p^{\prime}-1\right)}{2}}>q^{55}$, which is impossible. If $p^{\prime}=3,5,7$, then

$$
\left(q^{\prime}+1\right)\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}+1\right)=(q+1)(11, q+1), \quad q^{\prime p^{\prime}}=q^{11} .
$$

But these equations have no common solution in $\mathbb{Z}$. If $p^{\prime}=11$, then $q=q^{\prime}$. Thus $|G|=\left|P S U_{11}(q)\right|=|K / H|=|K| /|H|$ which implies that $|H|=1$ and $|K|=|G|=$ $\left|P S U_{11}(q)\right|$. Therefore, $K=P S U_{11}(q)$ and hence $G=P S U_{11}(q)$.

The proof of the main theorem is now complete.
Remark 3.1 It is a well known conjecture of J. G. Thompson that if $G$ is a finite group with $Z(G)=1$ and $M$ is a non-abelian simple group satisfying $N(G)=N(M)$, then $G \cong M$. We can give a positive answer to this conjecture for the groups under discussion.

Corollary 3.2 Let $G$ be a finite group with $Z(G)=1, M=P S U_{11}(q)$ with $N(G)=$ $N(M)$, then $G \cong M$.

Proof By Lemma 2.8 if $G$ and $M$ are two finite groups satisfying the conditions of Corollary 3.2, then $O C(G)=O C(M)$. So the main theorem implies this corollary.

Remark 3.3 Wujie Shi and Bi Jianxing in [22] put forward the following conjecture:
Conjecture Let $G$ be a group, $M$ a finite simple group. Then $G \cong M$ if and only if
(i) $|G|=|M|$, and
(ii) $\pi_{e}(G)=\pi_{e}(M)$, where $\pi_{e}(G)$ denotes the set of orders of elements in $G$.

This conjecture is valid for sporadic simple groups [20], groups of alternating type [24], and some simple groups of Lie types [21-23]. As a consequence of the main theorem, we prove the validity of this conjecture for the groups under discussion.

Corollary 3.4 Let $G$ be a finite group and $M=P S U_{11}(q)$. If $|G|=|M|$ and $\pi_{e}(G)=$ $\pi_{e}(M)$, then $G \cong M$.

Proof The assumption implies that $O C(G)=O C(M)$, then the corollary follows by the main theorem.
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