The condition that the family of surfaces $\phi(x, y, z, \lambda)=0$ may be one of an orthogonal triad.

By F. E. EDWARDES.

(Received 7th October 1911. Read 12th January 1912).

Cayley has shown that if the family of surfaces $\phi(x, y, z) = \lambda$ is one of an orthogonal triad, ϕ satisfies a differential equation of the third order. If, however, the parameter λ is involved implicitly in the equation of the family, the condition requires modification: for example, although

$$\phi \equiv \frac{x^2}{a+\lambda} + \frac{y^2}{b+\lambda} + \frac{z^2}{c+\lambda} - 1 = 0$$

is one of an orthogonal triad, ϕ does not satisfy Cayley's equation.

In a previous paper* I have discussed a method of obtaining Cayley's equation. The first modification that is necessary occurs on p. 49. The condition that C may lie on the consecutive surface is now

$$\phi(x + L\rho, y + M\rho, z + N\rho, \lambda + d\lambda) = 0$$

$$R^{2}\rho + \phi'd\lambda = 0.$$

or

 ϕ' denoting $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda}$. Thus $R^2 \rho/\phi'$ is constant as we proceed along the surface λ , and so

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} + \frac{d(\mathbf{R}^2)}{\mathbf{R}^2} - \frac{d\phi'}{\phi'} = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{split} d\phi' = & \left(dx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + dy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + dz \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda} = dx \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \lambda} + dy \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \lambda} + dz \frac{\partial \mathbf{N}}{\partial \lambda} \\ &= dx \mathbf{L}' + dy \mathbf{M}' + dz \mathbf{N}'. \end{split}$$

^{*} Proc. Edin. Math. Soc., vol. xxix.

Also the value of a at the point C is

$$a + \rho \delta a + \frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda} d\lambda$$

or

$$a + \rho \left(\delta a - \frac{R^2 a'}{\phi'}\right).$$

In the conjugate relation on the consecutive surface (p. 50) we must therefore replace δa by $\delta a - \frac{\mathbf{R}^2 a'}{\phi'}$ and so on, and add new terms arising from the parts of $d_1 \rho$ and $d_2 \rho$ which involve ϕ' . The additional terms are

$$\begin{split} \frac{d_1\phi'}{\phi'}(d_2x\delta\mathbf{L}+d_2y\delta\mathbf{M}+d_2z\delta\mathbf{N}) + \frac{d_2\phi'}{\phi'}(d_1x\delta\mathbf{L}+d_1y\delta\mathbf{M}+d_1z\delta\mathbf{N}) \\ \textit{i.e.} \quad \frac{1}{\phi'}\{(d_1x\mathbf{L}'+d_1y\mathbf{M}'+d_1z\mathbf{N}')(d_2x\delta\mathbf{L}+d_2y\delta\mathbf{M}+d_2z\delta\mathbf{N}) \\ \qquad \qquad + (d_2x\mathbf{L}'+d_2y\mathbf{M}'+d_2z\mathbf{N}')(d_1x\delta\mathbf{L}+d_1y\delta\mathbf{M}+d_1z\delta\mathbf{N})\} \\ \textit{i.e.} \quad d_1xd_2x\frac{2\mathbf{L}'\delta\mathbf{L}}{\phi'} + \ldots + \ldots + (d_1yd_2z+d_2yd_1z)\frac{\mathbf{M}'\delta\mathbf{N}+\mathbf{N}'\delta\mathbf{M}}{\phi'} + \ldots + \ldots \end{split}$$

The other equations involving d_1xd_2x , etc., are unchanged, so that the first row of the modified determinant is

$$\delta a - 2\mathbf{A} - \frac{\mathbf{R}^2 a'}{\phi'} + \frac{2\mathbf{L}'\delta \mathbf{L}}{\phi'}, ..., ..., 2\bigg(\delta f - 2\mathbf{F} - \frac{\mathbf{R}^2 f'}{\phi'} + \frac{\mathbf{M}'\delta \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{N}'\delta \mathbf{M}}{\phi'}\bigg), ..., ...,$$

and the other rows are the same as before.

Hence the condition that $\phi(x, y, z, \lambda) = 0$ may be a family belonging to an orthogonal triad is

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A} \Big(\delta \mathbf{a} - 2\mathbf{A} - \frac{\mathbf{R}^2 \mathbf{a}'}{\phi'} + \frac{2\mathbf{L}' \delta \mathbf{L}}{\phi'} \Big) + \dots + \dots \\ + 2 \mathbf{F} \Big(\delta \mathbf{f} - 2\mathbf{F} - \frac{\mathbf{R}^2 \mathbf{f}'}{\phi'} + \frac{\mathbf{M}' \delta \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{N}' \delta \mathbf{M}}{\phi'} \Big) + \dots + \dots = 0. \end{split}$$