

# ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE SET OF SOLUTIONS OF THE DARBOUX PROBLEM FOR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS

by F. S. DE BLASI and J. MYJAK

(Received 22nd October 1984)

## 1. Introduction and main result

Consider the Darboux problem

$$\begin{aligned} z_{xy} &= f(x, y, z) \\ z(x, 0) &= \phi(x), \quad z(0, y) = \psi(y), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\phi, \psi: I \rightarrow R^d$  ( $I = [0, 1]$ ) are given absolutely continuous functions with  $\phi(0) = \psi(0)$ , and the mapping  $f: Q \times R^d \rightarrow R^d$  ( $Q = I \times I$ ) satisfies the following hypotheses:

- (A<sub>1</sub>)  $f(., ., z)$  is measurable for every  $z \in R^d$ ;
- (A<sub>2</sub>)  $f(x, y, .)$  is continuous for a.a. (almost all)  $(x, y) \in Q$ ;
- (A<sub>3</sub>) there exists an integrable function  $\alpha: Q \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $|f(x, y, z)| \leq \alpha(x, y)$  for every  $(x, y, z) \in Q \times R^d$ .

Let  $C(Q, R^d)$  denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from  $Q$  to  $R^d$  endowed with the metric of uniform convergence.

By a *solution* of problem (1) we mean a function  $z \in C(Q, R^d)$  satisfying

$$z(x, y) = \phi(x) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(\xi, \eta, z(\xi, \eta)) d\xi d\eta,$$

for every  $(x, y) \in Q$ .

The purpose of this note is to prove the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $f: Q \times R^d \rightarrow R^d$  satisfy (A<sub>1</sub>), (A<sub>2</sub>), (A<sub>3</sub>). Let  $\phi, \psi: I \rightarrow R^d$  be absolutely continuous functions with  $\phi(0) = \psi(0)$ . Then the set  $\zeta_f$  of all solutions of the problem (1) is an  $R_\delta$ -set in  $C(Q, R^d)$ .*

Recall that a subset of a metric space is called an  $R_\delta$ -set if it is the intersection of a decreasing sequence of compact absolute retracts. It is known that an  $R_\delta$ -set is acyclic, in particular it is nonempty compact and connected.

Hukuhara [5] and Aronszajn [1] have proved that the set of solutions of the Cauchy problem  $x' = f(t, x)$ ,  $x(0) = x_0$ , where  $f: I \times R^d \rightarrow R^d$  is continuous and bounded, is an  $R_\delta$ -set in  $C(I, R^d)$ . Recently, by using topological degree arguments, Górniewicz and

Pruszko [4] have established an analogous result for the Darboux problem (1), under the main hypothesis that  $f$  be continuous with respect to all variables. In this note the set of solutions of problem (1) is shown to be an  $R_\delta$ -set also when  $f$  satisfies hypotheses of Carathéodory type. Our approach is different from that used in [4].

**Remark 1.** The statement of the theorem remains true when the condition  $(A_3)$  is replaced by the following one: there exist integrable functions  $\alpha, \beta: Q \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $|f(x, y, z)| \leq \alpha(x, y) + \beta(x, y)|z|$  for each  $(x, y, z) \in Q \times R^d$ .

**2. Preliminaries**

The following lemma can be proved as in [2, Lemma 2].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f: Q \times R^d \rightarrow R^d$  satisfy  $(A_1), (A_2), (A_3)$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a locally lipschitzian function  $g: Q \times R^d \rightarrow R^d$  such that*

$$\iint_Q \sup_{z \in R^d} |g(\xi, \eta, z) - f(\xi, \eta, z)| d\xi d\eta < \varepsilon.$$

Recall that a subset  $A$  of a metric space is called contractible if there exist a point  $x_0 \in A$  and a continuous function  $h: I \times A \rightarrow A$  such that  $h(0, x) = x_0$  and  $h(1, x) = x$  for each  $x \in A$ .

**Lemma 2 [6].** *Let  $A$  be a nonempty compact subset of a metric space  $X$ . Then  $A$  is an  $R_\delta$ -set in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is the intersection of a decreasing sequence of compact contractible subsets of  $X$ .*

Let  $L_1(Q, R^d)$  be the Banach space of the (equivalence classes of) Lebesgue integrable functions  $v: Q \rightarrow R^d$ , with the norm  $\iint_Q |v(\xi, \eta)| d\xi d\eta$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose that a sequence  $\{v_n\} \subset L_1(Q, R^d)$  satisfies:*

- (i)  $|v_n(x, y)| \leq \alpha(x, y)$  for almost all  $(x, y) \in Q$  ( $\alpha \in L_1(Q, R^d)$ );
- (ii) for each  $(x, y) \in Q$  the sequence

$$\left\{ \int_0^x \int_0^y v_n(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right\} \tag{2}$$

is Cauchy.

Then  $\{v_n\}$  is weakly Cauchy in  $L_1(Q, R^d)$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $\{v_n\}$  is norm bounded in  $L_1(Q, R^d)$ . Let  $E$  be a measurable subset of  $Q$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $P \subset Q$  be an elementary set (that is a set which can be expressed as a union of a finite number of pairwise disjoint rectangles) such that  $\iint_{E \Delta P} \alpha(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta < \varepsilon/4$  ( $E \Delta P = (E \setminus P) \cup (P \setminus E)$ ). As  $P$  is an elementary set, by virtue of (ii) one can find an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\iint_P (v_m(\xi, \eta) - v_n(\xi, \eta)) d\xi d\eta| < \varepsilon/4$  if  $m, n \geq n_0$ . Then, by an easy computation,

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \iint_E (v_m(\xi, \eta) - v_n(\xi, \eta)) d\xi d\eta \right| &\leq 2 \iint_{E\Delta P} \alpha(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &+ \left| \iint_P (v_m(\xi, \eta) - v_n(\xi, \eta)) d\xi d\eta \right| < 2\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

( $m, n \geq n_0$ ), which shows that the sequence  $\{\iint v_n(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta\}$  is Cauchy. By using [3, Theorem IV.8.7] one can complete the proof.

Denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the family of all nonempty compact convex subsets of  $R^d$ . Recall that a multifunction  $G: Q \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is said to be measurable if the set  $\{(x, y) \in Q \mid G(x, y) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is (Lebesgue) measurable for every open subset  $U$  of  $R^d$ . A multifunction  $G: R^d \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is said to be upper semi-continuous (u.s.c.) if the set  $\{u \in R^d \mid G(u) \subset U\}$  is open for every open subset  $U$  of  $R^d$ .

Consider the (multivalued) Darboux problem

$$\begin{aligned} z_{xy} &\in F(x, y, z) \\ z(x, 0) &= \phi(x), \quad z(0, y) = \psi(y), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where the functions  $\phi, \psi: I \rightarrow R^d$  are as above, and the multifunction  $F: Q \times R^d \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  satisfies the following hypotheses:

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $F(\cdot, \cdot, z)$  is measurable for every  $z \in R^d$ ;
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $F(x, y, \cdot)$  is u.s.c. for a.a.  $(x, y) \in Q$ ;
- (H<sub>3</sub>) there exists an integrable function  $\alpha: Q \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $\sup\{|u| \mid u \in F(x, y, z)\} \leq \alpha(x, y)$  for every  $(x, y, z) \in Q \times R^d$ .

By a solution of (3) we mean a function  $z \in C(Q, R^d)$  such that there exists an integrable function  $v: Q \rightarrow R^d$  satisfying

$$v(x, y) \in F(x, y, z(x, y)) \quad \text{for a.a. } (x, y) \in Q,$$

and

$$z(x, y) = \phi(x) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \quad \text{for every } (x, y) \in Q.$$

We denote by  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure in  $R^2$  and by  $B$  the unit closed ball in  $R^d$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $F: Q \times R^d \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  satisfy (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>), (H<sub>3</sub>) and let  $\phi, \psi: I \rightarrow R^d$  be absolutely continuous functions with  $\phi(0) = \psi(0)$ . In addition, suppose that there exists a locally lipschitzian function  $g: Q \times R^d \rightarrow R^d$  such that  $g(x, y, z) \in F(x, y, z)$  for each  $(x, y, z) \in (Q \setminus Q_0) \times R^d$ , where  $\mu(Q_0) = 0$ . Then the set  $\zeta_F$  of all solutions of problem (3) is a (nonempty) compact contractible subset of  $C(Q, R^d)$ .*

**Proof.** Since the solution of problem (3) (with  $g$  in the place of  $f$ ) belongs to  $\zeta_F$ , one has that  $\zeta_F \neq \emptyset$ .

Let us show that  $\zeta_F$  is compact. To this end consider any sequence  $\{z_n\} \subset \zeta_F$ . Taking into account the uniform continuity of  $\phi, \psi$  and assumption (H<sub>3</sub>) one can easily show that the functions  $z_n$  are equicontinuous and equibounded. By Ascoli–Arzelà’s Theorem, passing to a subsequence (without change of notation), we can assume that  $\{z_n\}$  converges uniformly on  $Q$ , to a function  $z_0 \in C(Q, R^d)$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$z_n(x, y) = \phi(x) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v_n(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta,$$

where  $v_n(\xi, \eta) \in F(\xi, \eta, z_n(\xi, \eta))$  for a.a.  $(\xi, \eta) \in Q$ . From (4) it follows that the sequence (2) converges for each  $(x, y) \in Q$ . Since  $L_1(Q, R^d)$  is weakly complete, by Lemma 3 there exists a  $v_0 \in L_1(Q, R^d)$  such that  $\{v_n\}$  converges weakly to  $v_0$ . By Mazur’s Theorem there exists a sequence  $\{w_n\}$  of finite convex combinations of  $v_n$ ’s

$$w_n = \sum_{i=0}^{k(n)} \alpha_i^n v_{n+i}, \quad \left( \alpha_i^n \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^{k(n)} \alpha_i^n = 1 \right),$$

such that

$$\iint_Q |w_n(\xi, \eta) - v_0(\xi, \eta)| \, d\xi \, d\eta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus, passing to a subsequence (without change of notation), we can assume that  $w_n(x, y) \rightarrow v_0(x, y)$  for each  $(x, y) \in Q \setminus Q_0$ , where  $\mu(Q_0) = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{Q} \supset Q_0$ ,  $\mu(\tilde{Q}) = 0$ , be such that for every  $(x, y) \in Q \setminus \tilde{Q}$ , the multifunction  $F(x, y, \cdot)$  is u.s.c. and, moreover,  $v_n(x, y) \in F(x, y, z_n(x, y))$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let  $(x, y) \in Q \setminus \tilde{Q}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $F(x, y, \cdot)$  is u.s.c. at  $z_0(x, y)$ , there exists  $n_0 = n_0(x, y, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $v_n(x, y) \in F(x, y, z_0(x, y)) + \varepsilon B$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ . This implies  $w_n(x, y) \in F(x, y, z_0(x, y)) + \varepsilon B$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . From this we deduce that  $v_0(x, y) \in F(x, y, z_0(x, y))$ . Since  $(x, y)$  is arbitrary in  $Q \setminus \tilde{Q}$  it is proved that  $v_0(x, y) \in F(x, y, z_0(x, y))$  for a.a.  $(x, y) \in Q$ . Moreover, from (4) for each  $(x, y) \in Q$  we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k(n)} \alpha_i^n z_{n+i}(x, y) = \phi(x) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y w_n(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta$$

and so, letting  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we get

$$z_0(x, y) = \phi(x) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v_0(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta.$$

Hence  $z_0 \in \zeta_F$  and the compactness of  $\zeta_F$  is established.

It remains to prove that  $\zeta_F$  is contractible. Let  $u_0$  be the (unique) solution of the Darboux problem  $z_{xy} = g(x, y, z)$ ,  $z(x, 0) = \phi(x)$ ,  $z(0, y) = \psi(y)$ . Let  $u \in \zeta_F$  be arbitrary. For

$t \in [0, 1)$  consider the Darboux problem

$$\begin{aligned} z_{xy} &= g(x, y, z) \\ z(x, t) &= u(x, t), \quad z(t, y) = u(t, y), \quad (x, y) \in Q_t \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $Q_t = [t, 1] \times [t, 1]$ . Denote by  $z^{(t)}: Q_t \rightarrow R^d$  the (unique) solution of problem (5).

For  $t \in [0, 1)$  define  $u^{(t)}: Q \rightarrow R^d$  by

$$u^{(t)}(x, y) = \begin{cases} z^{(t)}(x, y), & \text{if } (x, y) \in Q_t \\ u(x, y), & \text{if } (x, y) \in Q \setminus Q_t. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, set  $u^{(1)} = u$ . Observe that  $u^{(0)} = u_0$ .

We claim that for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $u^{(t)}$  is a solution of problem (3). Indeed, let  $t \in [0, 1]$ . For every  $(x, y) \in Q \setminus Q_t$  we have

$$u^{(t)}(x, y) = u(x, y) = \phi(x) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta, \tag{6}$$

where  $v(\xi, \eta) \in F(\xi, \eta, u^{(t)}(\xi, \eta))$  for a.a.  $(\xi, \eta) \in Q \setminus Q_t$ .

For  $(x, y) \in Q_t$  we have

$$u^{(t)}(x, y) = z^{(t)}(x, y) = u(x, t) + u(t, y) - u(t, t) + \int_t^x \int_t^y v^{(t)}(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta, \tag{7}$$

where  $v^{(t)}(\xi, \eta) = g(\xi, \eta, z^{(t)}(\xi, \eta)) \in F(\xi, \eta, z^{(t)}(\xi, \eta))$  and so  $v^{(t)}(\xi, \eta) \in F(\xi, \eta, u^{(t)}(\xi, \eta))$  for a.a.  $(\xi, \eta) \in Q_t$ . From (7), by virtue of (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(t)}(x, y) &= \phi(x) + \psi(t) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^t v(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta \\ &\quad + \phi(t) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^t \int_0^y v(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta \\ &\quad - \phi(t) - \psi(t) + \phi(0) - \int_0^t \int_0^t v(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta + \int_t^x \int_t^y v^{(t)}(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta \\ &= \phi(x) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y [\chi_{Q \setminus Q_t}(\xi, \eta)v(\xi, \eta) + \chi_{Q_t}(\xi, \eta)v^{(t)}(\xi, \eta)] \, d\xi \, d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of  $A$ . It follows that  $u^{(t)}$  is a solution of (3). Thus  $u^{(t)} \in \zeta_F$  for each  $u \in \zeta_F$  and  $t \in I$ .

Now define the function  $h: I \times \zeta_F \rightarrow \zeta_F$  by  $h(t, u) = u^{(t)}$ . Suppose that  $I \times \zeta_F$  is given the

metric  $\max\{|t_1 - t_2|, \|u_1 - u_2\|\}$ ,  $(t_1, u_1), (t_2, u_2) \in I \times \zeta_F$  ( $\|u_1 - u_2\| = \max_{(x,y) \in Q} |u_1(x,y) - u_2(x,y)|$ ). We are going to prove that  $h$  is continuous.

Under our assumptions  $\zeta_F$  is a bounded subset of  $C(Q, R^d)$ , thus there is a constant  $m > 0$  such that for every  $u \in \zeta_F$  one has  $u(x,y) \in mB$ ,  $(x,y) \in Q$ . Since the set  $Q \times mB$  is compact and convex, the restriction of the function  $g$  to  $Q \times mB$  is lipschitzian with some constant  $L > 0$ .

Let  $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u}) \in I \times \zeta_F$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon / (7e^L)$ . Let  $\tau > 0$  be so that  $\iint_{\Delta} \alpha(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta < \delta$ , where  $\Delta = \{(x,y) \in Q \mid x,y \in [\tilde{t} - \tau, \tilde{t} + \tau]\}$ . Let  $(t,u) \in I \times \zeta_F$  be such that  $|t - \tilde{t}| < \tau$ ,  $\|u - \tilde{u}\| < \delta$ . Let  $t > \tilde{t}$  (the proof is similar when  $t < \tilde{t}$ ).

Suppose  $(x,y) \in Q_t$ . As

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(t,u)(x,y) &= u(x,t) + u(t,y) - u(t,t) + \int_t^x \int_t^y g(\xi,\eta, h(t,u)(\xi,\eta)) d\xi d\eta \\
 h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(x,y) &= \tilde{u}(x,\tilde{t}) + \tilde{u}(\tilde{t},y) - \tilde{u}(\tilde{t},\tilde{t}) + \int_{\tilde{t}}^x \int_{\tilde{t}}^y g(\xi,\eta, h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(\xi,\eta)) d\xi d\eta
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |h(t,u)(x,y) - h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(x,y)| &\leq |u(x,t) - \tilde{u}(x,\tilde{t})| + |u(t,y) - \tilde{u}(\tilde{t},y)| \\
 &\quad + |u(t,t) - \tilde{u}(\tilde{t},\tilde{t})| + \iint_{\Delta} \alpha(\xi,\eta) d\xi d\eta \\
 &\quad + \int_t^x \int_t^y |g(\xi,\eta, h(t,u)(\xi,\eta)) - g(\xi,\eta, h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(\xi,\eta))| d\xi d\eta \\
 &< 2\delta + 2\delta + 2\delta + \delta + L \int_t^x \int_t^y |h(t,u)(\xi,\eta) - h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(\xi,\eta)| d\xi d\eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

From this, using Gronwall's inequality, we obtain  $|h(t,u)(x,y) - h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(x,y)| \leq 7\delta e^L < \varepsilon$ .

Suppose  $(x,y) \in Q_t \setminus Q_{\tilde{t}}$ . As  $h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(x,y)$  is still given by (8) while

$$h(t,u)(x,y) = u(x,y) = u(x,\tilde{t}) + u(\tilde{t},y) - u(\tilde{t},\tilde{t}) + \int_{\tilde{t}}^x \int_{\tilde{t}}^y g(\xi,\eta, u(\xi,\eta)) d\xi d\eta$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |h(t,u)(x,y) - h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(x,y)| &\leq |u(x,\tilde{t}) - \tilde{u}(x,\tilde{t})| + |u(\tilde{t},y) - \tilde{u}(\tilde{t},y)| \\
 &\quad + |u(\tilde{t},\tilde{t}) - \tilde{u}(\tilde{t},\tilde{t})| + 2 \iint_{\Delta} \alpha(\xi,\eta) d\xi d\eta < \delta + \delta + \delta + 2\delta = 5\delta < \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if  $(x,y) \in Q \setminus Q_{\tilde{t}}$  we have  $|h(t,u)(x,y) - h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(x,y)| = |u(x,y) - \tilde{u}(x,y)| < \delta < \varepsilon$ . Hence  $|h(t,u)(x,y) - h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})(x,y)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $(x,y) \in Q$ , which implies  $\|h(t,u) - h(\tilde{t},\tilde{u})\| \leq \varepsilon$ . This shows that  $h$  is continuous at  $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u})$ . As  $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{u})$  is arbitrary,  $h$  is continuous on  $I \times \zeta_F$ . Moreover,  $h(0,u) = u_0$  and  $h(1,u) = u$ , for every  $u \in \zeta_F$ . Hence  $\zeta_F$  is contractible and the proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

3. Proof of the Theorem

By Lemma 1, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a locally lipschitzian function  $g_k: Q \times R^d \rightarrow R^d$  such that

$$\iint_Q \sup_{z \in R^d} |g_k(\xi, \eta, z) - f(\xi, \eta, z)| d\xi d\eta \leq \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $\tilde{\lambda}_n: Q \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n(x, y) = \sum_{k \geq n} \sup_{z \in R^d} |g_k(x, y, z) - f(x, y, z)|.$$

Note that each  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  is integrable on  $Q$ . Consequently there is a null set  $Q_0 \subset Q$  such that  $\tilde{\lambda}_n(x, y)$  is finite for every  $(x, y) \in Q \setminus Q_0$ , and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\lambda_n: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\lambda_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\lambda}_n(x, y), & \text{if } (x, y) \in Q \setminus Q_0 \\ 0, & \text{if } (x, y) \in Q_0. \end{cases}$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define the multifunction  $G_n: Q \times R^d \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by

$$G_n(x, y, z) = f(x, y, z) + \lambda_n(x, y)B.$$

Clearly  $G_n$  satisfies hypotheses  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$ ,  $(H_3)$  (the latter with  $\alpha(x, y) + \lambda_n(x, y)$  in the place of  $\alpha(x, y)$ ). Moreover  $g_n(x, y, z) \in G_n(x, y, z)$  for each  $(x, y, z) \in (Q \setminus Q_0) \times R^d$ .

Consider the problem

$$z_{xy} \in G_n(x, y, z)$$

(9)

$$z(x, 0) = \phi(x), \quad z(0, y) = \psi(y).$$

Let  $\zeta_{G_n}$  denote the set of all solutions  $z: Q \rightarrow R^d$  of problem (9). By virtue of Lemma 4 (with  $g = g_n$ ,  $F = G_n$ ) the set  $\zeta_{G_n}$  is nonempty, compact and contractible. Clearly  $\zeta_{G_1} \supset \zeta_{G_2} \supset \dots$ , for  $G_1(x, y, z) \supset G_2(x, y, z) \supset \dots$  for each  $(x, y, z) \in Q \times R^d$ . By Lemma 2,  $\zeta = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta_{G_n}$  is an  $R_\delta$ -set in  $C(Q, R^d)$ . To finish the proof it suffices to show that  $\zeta_f = \zeta$ .

It is obvious that  $\zeta_f \subset \zeta$ . To see the reverse inclusion suppose that  $z \in \zeta$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $(x, y) \in Q$  we have

$$z(x, y) = \phi(x) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y v_n(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta,$$

where  $v_n(\xi, \eta) \in G_n(\xi, \eta, z(\xi, \eta))$  for a.a.  $(\xi, \eta) \in Q$ . Hence  $v_n(\xi, \eta) = f(\xi, \eta, z(\xi, \eta)) + w_n(\xi, \eta)$ , where  $w_n$  is a measurable function satisfying  $w_n(\xi, \eta) \in \lambda_n(\xi, \eta)B$  for a.a.  $(\xi, \eta) \in Q$ .

Consequently we have

$$\left| z(x, y) - \phi(x) - \psi(y) + \phi(0) - \int_0^x \int_0^y f(\xi, \eta, z(\xi, \eta)) \, d\xi \, d\eta \right| \\ \leq \int_0^x \int_0^y \lambda_n(\xi, \eta) \, d\xi \, d\eta \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Since  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $z \in \zeta_f$ . This completes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. ARONSZAJN, Le correspondant topologique de l'unicité dans la théorie des équations différentielles, *Ann. Math.* **43** (1942), 730–738.
2. F. S. DE BLASI and J. MYJAK, Orlicz type category results for differential equations in Banach spaces, *Comm. Math.* **23** (1983), 193–197.
3. N. DUNFORD and J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators, Part I* (Interscience, New York and London, 1958).
4. L. GÓRNIOWICZ and T. PRUSZKO, On the set of solutions of the Darboux problem for some hyperbolic equations, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **38** (1980), 279–285.
5. M. HUKUHARA, Sur les systèmes des équations différentielles ordinaires, *Jap. J. Math.* **5** (1928), 345–350.
6. D. M. HYMAN, On decreasing sequence of compact absolute retracts, *Fund. Math.* **64** (1969), 91–97.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA  
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA II  
VIA O. RAIMONDO  
00173 ROMA  
ITALY

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI AGH  
AL. MICKIEWICZA 30  
30-059 KRAKÓW  
POLAND