

PARTITION FUNCTIONS AND SPIRALLING
IN PLANE RANDOM WALK

Z. A. Melzak

(received September 12, 1962)

1. Consider the plane symmetric random walk on a square lattice: a particle is initially at the origin in the xy -plane, it makes n consecutive steps of unit length, and each step is made with the probability $1/4$ in each one of the four directions parallel to the axes. We call the path of the particle a spiral if the following conditions are met: a) the particle never occupies the same position twice, b) the path of the particle, whenever it turns, either turns always clockwise or always counter-clockwise throughout the path, and c) for every $m > n$ the given n -step path can be continued in at least one way to give an m -step path meeting the conditions. Conditions a) and b) are natural for spirals; c) is necessary to eliminate such paths as $(0, 0)-(1, 0)-(1, 1)-(1, 2)-(0, 2)-(0, 1)$ or $(0, 0)-(1, 0)-(2, 0)-(2, 1)-(1, 1)-(0, 1)-(-1, 1)-(-1, 0)-(-1, -1)-(-1, -2)-(0, -2)-(1, -2)-(1, -1)$. We shall calculate the probability p_n that the path of the particle is a spiral; it will turn out that the answer is given in terms of the partition function $p(n)$ for unrestricted partitions, and that other partition functions also enter into the problem.

2. The total number of paths is 4^n and each one of them occurs with the probability 4^{-n} . Let us consider only the paths starting along the positive x -axis. Observing that a spiral is then either the straight segment $[0, n]$ or it has k turns, $k \geq 1$, either clockwise or counter-clockwise, and that any clockwise spiral is mirrored into a counter-clockwise one by reflexion in the x -axis, we have

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 6, no. 2, May 1963.

$$(1) \quad p_n = 4^{1-n} \left[1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N(n, k) \right]$$

where $N(n, k)$ is the number of different n -step spirals with k counter-clockwise turns, along which the first step takes the particle from $(0, 0)$ to $(1, 0)$. We use here the natural convention that $N(n, k) = 0$ if k is so large, relative to n , that there are no required spirals at all. For instance, $N(1, k) = 0$ if $k \geq 1$, $N(2, k) = 0$ if $k \geq 2$, $N(3, k) = N(4, k) = 0$ if $k \geq 3$, and so on. Under these conditions we have to count only the spirals for which the successive rectilinear displacements are due east, north, west, south, east, etc. For a spiral with k turns there must be $k + 1$ such displacements; let their lengths be $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}$. These numbers determine the spiral uniquely, and we have

Lemma 1. A sequence $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1})$ of $k + 1$ positive integers determines a spiral if and only if

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i = n, \quad a_{k+1} \geq 1,$$

and the first k integers satisfy

$$(3) \quad a_1 < a_3 < a_5 < \dots, \quad a_2 < a_4 < a_6 < \dots$$

$N(n, k)$ is therefore the number of distinct solutions of (2) and (3).

To prove the lemma we observe that (2) must obviously hold since the length of a spiral is the sum of the lengths of successive displacements, and the length of the tail (= the last displacement) can be any positive integer. (3) must hold since by the conditions a), b) and c), except for the tail, each displacement parallel to the x -axis must be longer than the previous one, and the same is true for the displacements parallel to the y -axis.

3. To calculate $N(n, k)$ we introduce two combinatorial functions: $Q(m, j)$ - the number of distinct representations of m as a sum of j increasing positive integers, and $Q(m, j, s)$,

which is defined in the same way, subject to the condition that the smallest integer is s . We have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(m, j, s) &= 0 && \text{if } m < sj + j(j-1)/2 \\ Q(m, j) &= 0 && \text{if } m < j(j+1)/2 \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \quad Q(m, j) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} Q(m, j, s).$$

We obtain next a recursion formula for $Q(m, j)$. Consider any representation

$$(6) \quad m = s + m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{j-1}$$

of m as a sum of j positive integers which are increasing and start with s . Subtracting sj from each side we have

$$(7) \quad m - sj = (m_1 - s) + (m_2 - s) + \dots + (m_{j-1} - s),$$

which is a representation of $m - sj$ as a sum of $j - 1$ increasing integers. Conversely, from any representation of the type (7) we get a representation of the type (6). Therefore

$$(8) \quad Q(m - js, j - 1) = Q(m, j, s).$$

Elimination of $Q(m, j, s)$ from (5) and (8) gives

$$Q(m, j) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} Q(m - js, j - 1)$$

or

$$(9) \quad Q(m, j + 1) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} Q(m - (j + 1)s, j).$$

By a simple calculation we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(m, 1) &= 1 \text{ for } m \geq 1, \quad Q(m, 2) = -1 + m/2 \text{ for even } \\ & \quad m \geq 4. \quad Q(m, 2) = (m - 1)/2 \text{ for odd } m \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the generating function

$$f_j(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Q(m, j) x^m ;$$

then from (10)

$$f_1(x) = x/(1 - x) , \quad f_2(x) = x^3/(1 - x)(1 - x^2) .$$

The recurrence relation (9) is equivalent to

$$f_{j+1}(x) = f_j(x) x^{j+1} / (1 - x^{j+1}) ;$$

this allows us to determine $f_j(x)$:

$$(11) \quad f_j(x) = x^{j(j+1)/2} / \prod_{i=1}^j (1 - x^i) .$$

The above formula shows that $Q(m, j)$, as could be expected, is related to some partition functions, and we remark further that by a theorem of Euler, [1] p. 275, we have

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Q(m, j) x^m z^j = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + z x^n) .$$

4. We proceed now to the evaluation of $N(n, k)$. Suppose first that $k = 2p$ is even, that the length of the tail is t , and that

$$(12) \quad a_1 + a_3 + \dots + a_{2p-1} = A$$

so that

$$(13) \quad a_2 + a_4 + \dots + a_{2p} = n - t - A .$$

By Lemma 1 $N(n, k)$ is the number of distinct solutions of (2) and (3). In the terminology of the previous section the

numbers of distinct solutions of (12) and (13) are $Q(A, p)$ and $Q(n-t-A, p)$ respectively. Therefore the number of distinct solutions of (12) and (13) together is $Q(A, p) Q(n-t-A, p)$. Since $t \geq 1$ is arbitrary, we get

$$(14) \quad N(n, k) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{A=1}^{\infty} Q(A, k/2) Q(n-t-A, k/2) .$$

When k is odd an entirely similar procedure gives

$$(15) \quad N(n, k) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{A=1}^{\infty} Q(A, (k+1)/2) Q(n-t-A, (k-1)/2) .$$

We obtain now the generating function of $N(n, k)$. Consider the convolution

$$C(u, j) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} Q(q, j) Q(u-q, j) .$$

Its generating function is obtained by squaring $f_j(x)$ in (11):

$$(16) \quad f_j^2(x) = \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} C(u, j) x^u = x^{j(j+1)} / \prod_{i=1}^j (1-x^i)^2 .$$

The inner sum in (14) is $C(n-t, k/2)$ and

$$N(n, k) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} C(n-t, k/2) ;$$

multiplying (16) by $x/(1-x)$ and putting $j = k/2$ we get the generating function of $N(n, k)$ for k even:

$$(17) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N(n, 2k) x^n = x^{k(k+1)+1} / (1-x) \prod_{i=1}^k (1-x^i)^2 .$$

To get the generating function of $N(n, k)$ for k odd we consider first the convolution

$$D(u, k) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} Q(q, (k+1)/2) Q(u-q, (k-1)/2).$$

Its generating function is obtained by multiplying $f_{(k+1)/2}$ and $f_{(k-1)/2}$: $f_{(k+1)/2}(x) f_{(k-1)/2}(x) = \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} D(u, k) x^u = x^{(k+1)^2/4} / (1 - x^{(k+1)/2}) \prod_{i=1}^{(k-1)/2} (1 - x^i)^2$.

Since the inner sum in (15) is $D(n-t, k)$, multiplying the above formula by $x/(1-x)$ we get the generating function of $N(n, k)$ for k odd:

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N(n, 2k-1) x^n = x^{k^2+1} / (1-x)(1-x^k) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1-x^i)^2.$$

To obtain the generating function for $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N(n, k)$ we simply add the generating functions in (17) and (18):

$$(19) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N(n, k) \right] x^n = x / (1-x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k^2} / \prod_{i=1}^k (1-x^i)^2.$$

5. Recalling (1) we get from (19) the generating function of p_n

$$(20) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n x^n = 4x \left[1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^k x^{k^2} / \prod_{i=1}^k (4^i - x^i)^2 \right] / (4-x).$$

We develop now the connection between p_n and the unrestricted partition function $p(n)$. The generating function of $p(n)$ is

$$F(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(n) x^n = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^i)^{-1};$$

by a theorem of Euler, [1] p.278,

$$F(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k^2} / \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^i)^2 .$$

Comparing this with (19) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n x^n = 8x [F(x/4) - 1/2]/(4 - x)$$

and therefore

$$(21) \quad p_n = 4^{1-n} [1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p(i)] ,$$

$$(22) \quad p(n) = 2^{2n-3} (4 p_{n+1} - p_n) .$$

Formula (22) suggests a theoretical possibility of calculating $p(n)$ by a Monte-Carlo type of a method. We imagine that our plane random walk is simulated on an automatic computer and run off N times for n steps, and also N times for $n + 1$ steps. Let f_n and f_{n+1} be the frequencies of the occurrence of spirals in these two runs. Then as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$2^{2n-3} (4 f_{n+1} - f_n) \rightarrow p(n)$$

with probability 1. However, the required number N of runs for a close approximation is by far too large for any practical application, even for only moderately large n .

REFERENCE

1. G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, *The Theory of Numbers*, 2nd edition, Oxford 1945.

McGill University