

## SOME COMMUTATIVITY RESULTS FOR RINGS

ABRAHAM A. KLEIN, ITZHAK NADA,  
AND HOWARD E. BELL

It is proved that certain rings satisfying generalized-commutator constraints of the form  $[x^m, y^n, y^n, \dots, y^n] = 0$  must have nil commutator ideal.

Let  $R$  be an associative ring; and define generalized commutators  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k]$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , as follows:  $[x_1, x_2] = x_1x_2 - x_2x_1$ ; and for  $k > 2$ ,  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k] = [[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}], x_k]$ . For  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_k = y$ , abbreviate  $[x, y, \dots, y]$  by  $[x, y]_k$ .

A few years ago it was proved independently by Herstein [2] and by Anan'in and Zyabko [1] that  $R$  has nil commutator ideal if for each  $x_1, x_2 \in R$  there exist positive integers  $n_1 = n_1(x_1, x_2)$  and

$n_2 = n_2(x_1, x_2)$  such that  $\begin{bmatrix} n_1 & n_2 \\ x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ ; more recently Herstein [3]

has established the same conclusion under the hypothesis that for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$  there are positive integers  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  such that

$\begin{bmatrix} n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ . The following conjecture arises naturally from this work.

**CONJECTURE.** *Let  $k > 1$  and suppose that for each  $x, y \in R$ , there*

---

Received 17 April 1980. Professor Howard E. Bell was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Grant No. A3961.

exist positive integers  $m, n$  such that  $[x^m, y^n]_k = 0$ . Then the commutator ideal of  $R$  is nil.

Given the complexity of [2] and [3], it would appear that no proof of this conjecture is in sight; indeed, even the  $k = 3$  case seems difficult. Hence the following case may be of interest.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  be a fixed positive integer. Suppose that for each  $x, y \in R$  there exist positive integers  $m = m(x, y) \leq M$  and  $n = n(x, y)$  such that  $[x^m, y^n, y^n] = 0$ . Then the commutator ideal of  $R$  is nil.*

*Proof.* By proceeding as in [3], we can reduce the problem to establishing commutativity of  $R$  under the additional hypotheses that  $R$  is prime and torsion-free, and that every element of  $R$  is either regular or nilpotent - hypotheses which we henceforth assume. Moreover, in view of the result of [1] and [2], we need only show that for each  $x, y \in R$ , there exist  $m, n$  for which  $[x^m, y^n] = 0$ .

Clearly this condition holds for nilpotent  $y$ , so we assume that  $y$  is regular, and choose  $m \leq M$  and  $n_1$  for which  $[x^m, y^{n_1}, y^{n_1}] = 0$ . Taking  $x_1 = x^{2m}$ , let  $w$  and  $n_2$  be such that  $[x_1^w, y^{n_2}, y^{n_2}] = 0$ ; and note that for  $v = 2w$  and  $n = n_1 n_2$ , we have  $[x^m, y^n, y^n]$  and  $[x^{mv}, y^n, y^n] = 0$ , so that  $[x^m, y^n]$  is nilpotent by [3, Lemma 1]. Thus, if  $a$  is chosen to be an appropriate power of  $[x^m, y^n]$  and  $z = y^n$ , we have  $a^2 = [a, z] = 0$ .

For any  $u \in R$  and  $i \geq 1$ , there exist  $m_i \leq M$  and  $s_i$  such that  $[u^{m_i}, (iz+a)^{s_i}, (iz+a)^{s_i}] = 0$ . Taking  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2M+1$  and using the pigeon-hole principle, we get  $i_1, i_2, i_3$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq 2M+1$  for which  $m_{i_1} = m_{i_2} = m_{i_3}$ . Denoting this common value by  $q$  and defining  $s = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} s_{i_3}$ , we have  $[u^q, (i_j z+a)^s, (i_j z+a)^s] = 0$ ,

$j = 1, 2, 3$ ; it now follows by use of the fact that  $a^2 = [a, z] = 0$  that

$$(1) \quad i_j^{2s} v_1 + i_j^{2s-1} v_2 + i_j^{2s-2} v_3 = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  are respectively defined to be  $[u^q, z^s, z^s]$ ,

$2s[u^q, z^{s-1}a, z^s]$  and  $s^2[u^q, z^{s-1}a, z^{s-1}a]$ . The  $3 \times 3$  coefficient matrix in (1) is obtained by multiplying the rows of a Vandermonde matrix by non-zero integers, so the fact that  $R$  is torsion-free yields

$v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 0$ ; and since  $a^2 = 0 = [a, z^{s-1}]$  and  $z$  is regular, the statement  $v_3 = 0$  reduces to the result that  $au^q a = 0$ .

If  $b \in R$  and  $b^2 = 0$ , we claim that  $aba = 0$ . For if  $v \in R$ , there exists  $q \leq M$  for which  $a(avab+b)^q a = 0$ , which yields  $ab(avab)^{q-1} a = 0 = (abav)^q$ . Thus  $abaR$  is a nil right ideal of bounded index, which by the Nagata-Higman Theorem [4, p. 274] must be nilpotent; and the primeness of  $R$  forces  $aba = 0$ .

Now if  $c, d \in R$  with  $cd = 0$ ,  $(dvc)^2 = 0$  for arbitrary  $v \in R$ , and hence  $advca = 0$ . Since  $R$  is prime, we have  $ad = 0$  or  $ca = 0$ , so  $cad = 0$ . Thus, insertion of  $a$  as a factor preserves triviality of products; and from  $au^q a = 0$  we can conclude  $(au)^{q+1} = 0$ . Therefore  $(au)^{M+1} = 0$  for all  $u \in R$ , and another appeal to the Nagata-Higman Theorem gives  $a = 0$ . Thus we have that any power of the nilpotent element  $[x^m, y^n]$  whose square is 0 must also be 0, so  $[x^m, y^n] = 0$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

The following theorem, except of having its own interest, shows that the conjecture is implied by the Köthe Conjecture.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with no non-zero nil right ideals, and let  $k > 1$ . Suppose that for each  $x, y \in R$  there exist  $m, n \geq 1$  such that  $[x^m, y^n]_k = 0$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** Let  $a$  be in  $R$  with  $a^2 = 0$ , and let  $x$  be an arbitrary

element of  $R$ . Take  $m, n > 1$  such that  $[(a+ax)^m, (ax)^n]_k = 0$ . This condition reduces to  $(ax)^t a = 0$  where  $t = m - 1 + (k-1)n$ , hence  $aR$  is nil, so  $a = 0$ . Consequently,  $R$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements; and by a well-known result it is a subdirect product of domains. Our proof will be complete once we establish that each of these domains must be commutative. This is easily verified as in [3] for such a domain of prime characteristic; and such a torsion-free domain is commutative by the following lemma.

LEMMA. *Let  $R$  be a torsion-free domain, and let  $k > 1$ . Suppose that for each  $x, y \in R$ , there exist  $m, n \geq 1$  such that  $[x^m, y^n]_k = 0$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

Proof. Assume  $k \geq 3$  and let  $x, y \in R$ . Then there exist  $m, r_1$  such that  $[x^m, y^{r_1}]_k = 0$  and there exist  $m', r_2$  such that  $[x^{(2m)m'}, y^{r_2}]_k = 0$ . It can easily be verified that

$$[x^m, y^{r_1}]_k = [x^{(2m)m'}, y^{r_2}]_k = 0$$

for  $r = r_1 r_2$ . Taking  $x_0 = x^m$  and  $t = 2m'$  and letting  $\delta$  be the derivation defined by  $u\delta = [u, y^{r_1}]$ , we have  $x_0 \delta^{k-1} = \left\{ x_0^t \right\} \delta^{k-1} = 0$ . Now  $t \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 3$ , so  $t(k-2) \geq k - 1$  and therefore  $\left\{ x_0^t \right\} \delta^{t(k-2)} = 0$ .

Expanding this last equation and using the fact that  $x_0 \delta^{k-1} = 0$ , we

obtain a non-zero integer  $s$  for which  $s \left\{ x_0 \delta^{k-2} \right\}^t = 0$  and our hypotheses on  $R$  yield  $x_0 \delta^{k-2} = 0$ , which we may express as  $[x^m, y^{r_1}]_{k-1} = 0$ . Thus we work back to the  $k = 2$  case of [1] and [2].

The entire problem becomes much more tractable for rings with 1. Indeed, we can establish the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *For all  $k > 1$  the conjecture is true for rings  $R$  with 1.*

We omit the details of the proof. The computational details are similar to the ones already presented. We merely note that it suffices to establish commutativity of  $R$  under the additional hypotheses that  $R$  is prime and torsion-free. A Vandermonde argument is used to prove that if these additional hypotheses hold, then  $R$  has no non-zero nilpotent elements so it is a domain, and it is commutative by the result of the lemma.

### References

- [1] A.З. Ананьин, Е.М. Зябко [A.Z. Anan'in, E.M. Zyabko], "Об одном вопросе Фейса" [On a question due to Faith], *Algebra i Logika* 13 (1974), 125-131.
- [2] I.N. Herstein, "A commutativity theorem", *J. Algebra* 38 (1976), 112-118.
- [3] I.N. Herstein, "On rings with a particular variable identity", *J. Algebra* 62 (1980), 346-357.
- [4] Nathan Jacobson, *Structure of rings* (Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, 37. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1956. Revised edition, 1964).

Dr Abraham A. Klein and Dr Itzhak Nada,  
Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
Tel-Aviv University,  
Ramat-Aviv,  
Israel;

Professor Howard E. Bell,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Brock University,  
St Catharines,  
Ontario,  
Canada L2S 3A1.