

NON-ISOMORPHIC NON-HYPERFINITE FACTORS

WAI-MEE CHING

Introduction. A von Neumann algebra is called *hyperfinite* if it is the weak closure of an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional von Neumann subalgebras. For a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space the following is known: there exist hyperfinite and non-hyperfinite factors of type II_1 (4, Theorem 16'), and of type III (8, Theorem 1); all hyperfinite factors of type II_1 are isomorphic (4, Theorem 14); there exist uncountably many non-isomorphic hyperfinite factors of type III (7, Theorem 4.8); there exist two non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors of type II_1 (10), and of type III (11). In this paper we will show that on a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space there exist three non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors of type II_1 (Theorem 2), and of type III (Theorem 3).

Section 1 contains an exposition of crossed product, which is developed mainly for the construction of factors of type III in § 3. The second half of § 1 contains a "cutting" lemma, important for our final result.

In § 2 we introduce a new algebraic property of von Neumann algebra: property C. We construct a non-hyperfinite factor of type II_1 which has properties C and Γ (4, Definition 6.1.1). Then we establish the non-isomorphism of three non-hyperfinite factors of type II_1 by showing that C does not hold (Γ does) for a non-hyperfinite factor of type II_1 used by Schwartz (10, Corollary 12).

Section 3 contains a similar but more complicated construction of three non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors of type III.

In this paper, all Hilbert spaces are complex and we use the following notation: $B(H)$ denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , I the identity operator, S' the von Neumann algebra of operators which are permutable with the elements in $S \subset B(H)$, $T_i \rightarrow T$ strong operator convergence, $\|T\|_2 = (\text{tr}(T^*T))^{1/2}$ the trace norm of an operator in a factor of type II_1 . Isomorphism (automorphism) of von Neumann algebras will mean *-isomorphism (*-automorphism). R denotes a von Neumann algebra on H , a vector x in H is called separating for R if $t \in R$, $tx = 0$ implies $t = 0$, cyclic for R (equivalently, separating for R') if the closed linear subspace generated by Rx is H . G denotes a group with identity e . G is called ICC (infinite class of conjugates) if $\{hgh^{-1} | h \in G\}$ is infinite for each $e \neq g \in G$; $H \otimes G$ the Hilbert space of all functions x on G with all $x(g) \in H$ and

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_g \|x(g)\|^2 < \infty;$$

Received April 23, 1968 and in revised form August 16, 1968. This research was supported by a Studentship of the National Research Council of Canada.

$u: G \rightarrow B(H)$ a unitary representation such that

$$u(g)Ru(g^{-1}) = R, \quad P_g: H \otimes G \rightarrow H$$

the partial isometry with $P_g x = x(g), \alpha^g$ (for any vector or operator α) the function on G with value α at g and value 0 elsewhere. Each $T \in B(H \otimes G)$ has a matrix representation: $T = (T_{g,h}), T_{g,h} = P_g T P_h \in B(H)$ for $g, h \in G$ such that

$$(Tx)(g) = \sum_h T_{g,h} x(h).$$

Sections 1 and 2 of this paper are contained in my Ph.D. thesis, submitted to the University of Toronto in May, 1968. I express my deep gratitude to my supervisor, Professor I. Halperin, for the problem he suggested, for his encouragement, and for the painstaking care with which he supervised the work of this thesis.

1. The crossed product $R \otimes u$. Suppose that H, R, G, u , and $H \otimes G$ are as described in the Introduction.

Definition 1. A bounded linear operator on $H \otimes G$, to be denoted $L(t)$, is called an *R-shifter* if it is determined by the formula

$$(L(t)x)(g) = \sum_h t(h)u(h)x(gh)$$

for some $t: G \rightarrow B(H)$ with the property that the sum $\sum_h t(h)u(h)x(gh)$ converges in the strong topology of H for all $x \in H \otimes G, g \in G$ (it is easily verified that s^g is such a function t for all $s \in R, g \in G$, and $\|L(s^g)\| = \|s\|$).

Definition 2. The set of all *R-shifters*, to be denoted $R \otimes u$, is called the *crossed product* of R by u .

LEMMA 1. $T \in B(H)$ is of the form $L(t)$ (with t necessarily unique) if and only if: $T_{g,h} = T_{e,g^{-1}h}$ and $T_{e,g}u(g^{-1}) \in R$ for all g, h (then $t(g) = T_{e,g}u(g^{-1})$).

Proof. This is easily verified.

COROLLARY 1. If $L(t)$ and $L(s)$ are *R-shifters* and c is a complex number, then $L(I^e)$ is the identity operator on $H \otimes G$ and

$$cL(t) = L(ct), \quad L(t) + L(s) = L(t + s), \\ L(t)L(s) = L(t * s), \quad (L(t))^* = L(t^*),$$

where

$$(ct)(g) = ct(g), \quad (t + s)(g) = t(g) + s(g), \\ (1) \quad (t * s)(g) = \sum_h t(h)u(h)s(h^{-1}g)u(h^{-1}), \\ (2) \quad t^*(g) = u(g)(t(g^{-1}))^*u(g^{-1}).$$

Proof. This is easily verified (use Lemma 1 and matrix representations).

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that $x \in H$ is separating for R . Then $x^e \in H \otimes G$ is separating for $R \otimes u$.

Proof. Suppose that $T \in R \otimes u$ and $Tx^e = 0$. Then we have:

$$T_{g,h}x = T_{h^{-1}g,e}x = (Tx^e)(h^{-1}g) = 0,$$

and hence $T_{g,h} = 0$ for all g, h . Thus $T = 0$.

THEOREM 1. $R \otimes u$ is a von Neumann algebra on $H \otimes G$.

Proof. $R \otimes u$ is a *-subalgebra of $B(H \otimes G)$ containing the identity operator by Corollary 1 to Lemma 1. To show that $R \otimes u$ is strongly closed, we let (T_i) be a net in $R \otimes u$ with $T_i \rightarrow T$. Then

$$(T_i)_{g,h} = P_g T_i P_h \rightarrow P_g T P_h = T_{g,h} \quad \text{and} \quad (T_i)_{e,g} u(g^{-1}) \rightarrow T_{e,g} u(g^{-1});$$

since R is strongly closed, Lemma 1 shows that $T \in R \otimes u$. Thus $R \otimes u$ is strongly closed and hence it is a von Neumann algebra.

Corollary 1 to Lemma 1 shows that $(R \otimes u)_0 = \{L(t) | t \text{ of finite support}\}$ is a *-subalgebra of $R \otimes u$. As in (3, Lemma 12.3.4),

$$(R \otimes u)' = \{L(t^g) | t \in R, g \in G\}'$$

and $(R \otimes u)_0$ is strongly (and weakly) dense in $R \otimes u$.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that R is a factor, and G is ICC. Then $R \otimes u$ is also a factor.

Proof. Let $L(t)$ be in the centre of $R \otimes u$. Then:

$$L(t)L(I^h) = L(I^h)L(t) \quad \text{for all } h \in G;$$

$$L(t)L(s^e) = L(s^e)L(t) \quad \text{for all } s \in R.$$

By (1), we have

$$(3) \quad t(g)u(g) = u(g)t(h^{-1}gh) \quad \text{for all } h, g \in G;$$

$$(4) \quad t(g)s = st(g) \quad \text{for all } s \in R, g \in G.$$

Suppose that $t(g) \neq 0$ for some $g \neq e$. Then for every $x \in H$:

$$(5) \quad \|L(t)x^e\|^2 = \sum_h \|(L(t)_{h,e}x)\|^2 = \sum_h \|t(h^{-1})u(h^{-1})x\|^2 = \sum_h \|t(h)x\|^2.$$

In this sum there are infinitely many summands equal to $\|t(g)x\|^2 \neq 0$ since G is ICC. Hence $t(g)x = 0$ for all $x \in H$. Thus $t(g) = 0$ for all $g \neq e$, or $L(t) = t(e)^e$. Since R is a factor, (4) implies that $t(e)$, hence $L(t)$, is a scalar multiple of the identity operator.

Remark 1. In the special case that H (hence R) is the complex field, u the identity representation of G , $R \otimes u$ is just the group algebra associated

with G , which we shall denote by $A(G)$. $A(G)$ is a factor of type II₁ if G is ICC. I^e is a trace vector of $A(G)$, and $\|L(t)\|_2 = (\sum_g |t(g)|^2)^{1/2}$.

LEMMA 3. $R \otimes u$ is purely infinite if R is purely infinite (in the case that $R \otimes u$ is a factor on a separable Hilbert space, this is equivalent to $R \otimes u$ is of type III).

Proof. First we show, assuming $0 \neq L(t) \geq 0$, that $0 \neq t(e) \geq 0$. We have $L(t) = L(s)(L(s))^*$ for some s ,

$$t(e) = (s \otimes s^*)(e) = \sum_h s(h)u(h)s^*(h^{-1})u(h^{-1}) \\ = \sum_h s(h)u(h)u(h^{-1})(s(h))^*u(h)u(h^{-1}) = \sum_h s(h)(s(h))^* \geq 0$$

and $t(e) = 0$ would imply: $s(h) = 0$ for all h , $s = 0$, $L(s) = 0$, $L(t) = 0$.

Now we use an argument of Sakai (9, § 3). Suppose, if possible, that there exists a non-zero finite projection $L(p)$. Then $p(e) \in R$ and $0 \neq p(e) \geq 0$. Hence, for some non-zero projection $q \in R$: $\lambda p(e) \geq q \geq 0$ for some $\lambda > 0$, thus $q = q_1 p(e)$ for some $q_1 \in R$. To complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that q is finite. By Sakai's proposition (9, Proposition 2'), we may suppose that $t_n \in qRq$, $t_n \rightarrow 0$, and we need only to show that $t_n^* \rightarrow 0$.

Let $L_n = L(t_n^e)$. Then $L_n \rightarrow 0$ since $\sup_n \|L_n\| = \sup_n \|t_n\| < \infty$ and $L_n(x^e) = (t_n x)^e \rightarrow 0$ for all $x \in H$, $g \in G$. Hence $L_n L(p) \rightarrow 0$. Then by Sakai's proposition (9, Proposition 2): $L(p)L_n^* \rightarrow 0$, hence $p(e)t_n^* = (L(p)L_n^*)_{e,e} \rightarrow 0$. Thus $t_n^* = qt_n^* = q_1 p(e)t_n^* \rightarrow 0$ as required, and the proof is complete.

Let $u_1: K \rightarrow B(H \otimes K)$ be a unitary representation of a group K such that $u_1(k)(R \otimes u)u_1(k^{-1}) = R \otimes u$ for all $k \in K$. We make the convention that $R \otimes u \otimes u_1$, $H \otimes G \otimes K$, and $\alpha^{g,k}$ shall mean $(R \otimes u) \otimes u_1$, $(H \otimes G) \otimes K$, and $(\alpha^g)^k$, respectively. We still write $L(t)$ for an element of $R \otimes u \otimes u_1$, but where t is an R -valued function on the Cartesian product $G \times K$ such that $L(t(\cdot, k)) \in R \otimes u$ for each $k \in K$. Let x be a separating vector for R , then by Corollary 2 to Lemma 1, $\xi = x^{e,e}$ is a separating vector for $R \otimes u \otimes u_1$. Suppose that $\|Tu_1(k)x^e\| = \|Tx^e\|$ for all $T \in R \otimes u$. Then applying (5) twice, we have

$$(6) \quad \|L(t)\xi\|^2 = \sum_k \sum_g \|t(g, k)u(g)x\|^2.$$

For any function t on $G \otimes K$, let \bar{t} denote the function: $\bar{t}(e, k) = t(e, k)$, if $k \in \Delta$, $\bar{t}(g, k) = 0$ if $g \neq e$ or $k \notin \Delta$, where Δ is a subgroup of K . Let R_1 be the set of all elements of $R \otimes u \otimes u_1$ of the form $L(\bar{t})$. R_1 is certainly a vector space. Suppose, further, that $u_1(k)R^e u_1(k^{-1}) = R^e$ for all $k \in K$, where $R^e = \{L(s^e) | s \in R\}$. Then a computation based on (1) shows that R_1 is a *-subalgebra of $R \otimes u \otimes u_1$. Now suppose $L(\bar{t}_\alpha) \rightarrow L(t)$. By (6), we have

$$\|(\bar{t}_\alpha(g, k)u(t) - t(g, k)u(g))x\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for each } (g, k) \in G \times K.$$

Hence $t(g, k) = 0$ if $g \neq e$ or $k \notin \Delta$. This shows that R_1 is strongly closed, i.e. a von Neumann subalgebra of $R \otimes u \otimes u_1$. We note that the set R_F of all finite sums of $s^{e,k}$, $s \in R$, $k \in \Delta$, form a strongly dense $*$ -subalgebra of R_1 .

LEMMA 4. Let $R_2 = R \otimes u \otimes u_1$, $H \otimes G \otimes K$, $x, \xi, \Delta \subset K$, R_1 be as described in the preceding discussion, i.e. $\|T_0 u_1(k)x^e\| = \|T_0 x^e\|$ for all $T_0 \in R \otimes u$, $k \in K$, and $u_1(k)R^e u_1(k^{-1}) = R^e$ for all $k \in K$. Suppose that the positive linear functional $f(S) = (S\xi|\xi)$ on R_2 is such that $f(TS) = f(ST)$ for all $T \in R_2$, $S \in R_1$. Then there exists a projection P of norm one from the Banach space R_2 (with the operator norm) onto its subspace R_1 such that

$$(7) \quad P(L(t)) = L(\bar{t}) \quad \text{for all } L(t) \in R_2.$$

Proof. Let A^+ denote the positive part of an operator algebra A . For each $T \in R_2^+$, define $f_T(S) = f(TS)$, $S \in R_1$. Then f_T is a positive linear functional on R_1 satisfying: $f_T(S) \leq \|T\|f(S)$ for all $S \in R_1^+$. Also, the trace $f(S) = (S\xi|\xi)$ on R_1 is regular in the sense that if E is a projection, $f(E) = 0$ implies $E = 0$. In fact, $\|E\xi\|^2 = (E\xi|\xi) = 0$ implies $E = 0$, since ξ is a separating vector for R_2 . By (12, Lemma 14.1), there exists a unique positive operator T' in R_1 such that $f(TS) = f(T'S)$ for all $S \in R_1$. This mapping $T \mapsto T'$ of R_2^+ to R_1^+ can be uniquely extended (via the canonical decomposition of an operator) to a linear mapping $P: T \mapsto T'$ from R_2 onto R_1 such that $f(TS) = f(T'S)$ for all $S \in R_1$.

It is clear that P is a projection. Now, for any $T_1, T_2 \in R_2$,

$$f((T_1'T_2)'S) = f(T_1'T_2S) = f(T_2'ST_1') = f(T_1'T_2'S) = f((T_1T_2)')S$$

for all $S \in R_1$. Hence, $(T_1'T_2)' = T_1'T_2' = (T_1T_2)'$ for $T_1, T_2 \in R_2$. $(T^*)' = (T')^*$ since $T \geq 0$ implies $T' \geq 0$. Moreover, for any $T \in R_2$,

$$0 \leq ((T - T')^*(T - T'))' = (T^*T)' - T'^*T',$$

i.e. $T'^*T' \leq (T^*T)'$. For any $T \in R_2$, $0 \leq T^*T \leq \|T^*T\|I$. Thus we have

$$0 \leq T'^*T' \leq (T^*T)' \leq \|T^*T\|I, \\ \|T'\| = (\|T'^*T'\|)^{1/2} \leq \|T^*T\|^{1/2} = \|T\|.$$

Hence the projection P from the Banach space R_2 onto its subspace R_1 is of norm one.

We know that P satisfies (7). Let R_0 denote the dense (weakly, strongly) $*$ -subalgebra of R_2 consisting of all operators $L(t)$ with t of finite support on $G \times K$. For an arbitrary $L(t_0) \in R_0$, $L(\bar{t}_0) = \sum_{k \in \Delta} L(t_0(e, k)e^{e,k})$ is a finite sum of bounded operators, hence a well-defined element in R_1 . We have

$$(L(t_0)L(s^{e,k})\xi|\xi) = (t_0(e, k^{-1})x_0|x_0) = (L(\bar{t}_0)L(s^{e,k})\xi|\xi)$$

for all $k \in K$. Since R_F is dense in R_1 , we conclude that

$$(L(t_0)S\xi|\xi) = (L(\bar{t}_0)S\xi|\xi) \quad \text{for all } S \in R_1.$$

Hence $P(L(t)) = L(\bar{t})$ for all $L(t) \in R_0$.

Now, let $T = L(t)$ be an arbitrary operator in R_2 . And let $T_i = L(t_i) \rightarrow T$, where $T_i \in R_0$, $\|T_i\| \leq \|T\|$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ (such a sequence exists because of Kaplansky's density theorem and the metrizable of the unit ball in strong operator topology (1, § 3)). For each $S \in R_1$,

$$(T_i' \xi | S \xi) = (T_i \xi | S \xi) \rightarrow (T \xi | S \xi) = (T' \xi | S \xi).$$

Consequently, $(T_i' \xi | y) \rightarrow (T' \xi | y)$ for all $y \in H \otimes G \otimes K$. Since ξ is cyclic for R_2' , we have $(T_i' z | y) \rightarrow (T' z | y)$ for all $z, y \in H \otimes G \otimes K$. Hence P is continuous from the unit ball of R_2 with strong operator topology to the unit ball of R_1 with weak operator topology. $\|(T - T_i) \xi\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ and (6) imply that

$$\|(t(g, k)u(t) - t_i(g, k)u(g))x\| \rightarrow 0$$

for each $(g, k) \in G \times K$. Since the norms of all

$$t(g, k), \quad t_i(g, k), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (g, k) \in G \times K,$$

are bounded by $\|T\|$, this implies that $t_i(g, k)u(g) \rightarrow t(g, k)u(g)$ for each $(g, k) \in G \times K$. In particular,

$$(8) \quad t_i(e, k) \rightarrow t(e, k) \quad \text{weakly for each } k \in \Delta.$$

On the other hand, suppose that $P(T) = L(\bar{s}) \in R_1$. Then

$$L(\bar{s}) = P(T) = \text{weak lim } P(T_i) = \text{weak lim } L(\bar{t}_i);$$

$$((s(e, k) - t_i(e, k))x | s'x) = ((L(\bar{s}) - L(\bar{t}_i))\xi | (s'x)^{e, k^{-1}}) \rightarrow 0$$

for each $k \in \Delta, s' \in R'$. Hence $t_i(e, k) \rightarrow s(e, k)$ weakly for each $k \in \Delta$, since x is cyclic for R' . In view of (8), we have $s(e, k) = t(e, k)$ for all $k \in \Delta$. Thus, $P(L(t)) = L(\bar{t})$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Remark 2. For the special case that R is the complex field, $G = \{e\}$, $\Delta \subset K$, then $P: L(t) \rightarrow L(t|_\Delta)$, where $t|_\Delta(k) = t(k)$ if $k \in \Delta$, $t|_\Delta(k) = 0$, if $k \notin \Delta$. This case has already been proved in (4, Appendix).

LEMMA 5 (Pukanszky (8, Lemma 10)). *Let G be a group and B a subset of G . Suppose that there exists a subset $S \subset B$ and two elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$ such that (i) $S \cup h_1 S g_1 = B$ and (ii) the sets $S, g_2^{-1} S g_2, g_2 S g_2^{-1} \subset B$ are pairwise disjoint. Let $f(g)$ be a complex-valued function on G such that $\sum_{g \in G} |f(g)|^2 < \infty$, and*

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} |f(g_i g g_i^{-1}) - f(g)|^2 \right) < \epsilon \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Then $(\sum_{g \in B} |f(g)|^2) < 14\epsilon$.

2. Non-isomorphic factors of type II₁. The following definitions describe the properties we shall use to distinguish between factors.

Definition 3 (4, Definition 6.1.1). A factor R , of type II₁, is said to have property Γ if for any given finite set of elements $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in R$ and any

$\epsilon > 0$, there exists a unitary $U \in R$ with $\text{tr}(U) = 0$ and $\|U^*T_iU - T_i\|_2 < \epsilon$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Definition 4. A von Neumann algebra R is said to have property C, if for each sequence U_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) of unitary operators in R with the property that $\text{strong lim } U_k^*TU_k = T$ for each $T \in R$ there exists a sequence V_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) of mutually commuting operators in R such that

$$\text{strong lim}(U_k - V_k) = 0.$$

Since algebraic isomorphism between two von Neumann algebras preserves the strong convergence of sequences of operators (6), it preserves property C as well as property Γ .

Let Π denote the group of all finite permutations on the set of all natural numbers, Φ_2 the free group with two generators, and $\Pi \times \Phi_2$ their direct product. Then it is known that $A(\Pi)$ is hyperfinite, but $A(\Phi_2)$ and $A(\Pi \times \Phi_2)$ are non-hyperfinite; $A(\Pi)$ and $A(\Pi \times \Phi_2)$ have property Γ , but $A(\Phi_2)$ does not (10).

We construct below a factor $A(\Phi \otimes \Delta)$ of type II_1 for which we shall prove the following lemmata.

LEMMA 6. $A(\Phi \otimes \Delta)$ has property Γ .

LEMMA 7. $A(\Phi \otimes \Delta)$ has property C.

LEMMA 8. Neither $A(\Pi)$ nor $A(\Pi \times \Phi_2)$ has property C.

In view of the above lemmata, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. $A(\Pi)$, $A(\Phi_2)$, $A(\Pi \times \Phi_2)$, and $A(\Phi \otimes \Delta)$ are four pairwise non-isomorphic factors of type II_1 .

Construction of $A(\Phi \otimes \Delta)$. Let Φ be a free group with an infinite system of generators $\{a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots\}$. Let ρ^i be the permutation on the set of free generators of Φ which permutes a_i with b_i , and leave all other generators fixed, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let Δ be the group of permutations on the set of free generators of Φ which is generated by $\rho_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$. Δ is abelian. It is clear that each $\lambda \in \Delta$ induces an automorphism $g \rightarrow \lambda g$ of Φ in an obvious way, i.e. via the word representation of $g \in \Phi$. Hence, Δ can be regarded as an abelian group of automorphisms of Φ .

Let $\Phi \otimes \Delta = \{(g, \lambda) \mid g \in \Phi, \lambda \in \Delta\}$. Define $(g, \lambda)(h, \mu) = (g\lambda h, \lambda\mu)$ for $(g, \lambda), (h, \mu) \in \Phi \otimes \Delta$. Then, it is easy to check that $\Phi \otimes \Delta$ under this multiplication is a countable ICC group. Therefore, $A(\Phi \otimes \Delta)$ is a factor of type II_1 on a separable Hilbert space.

Proof of Lemma 6. Let S be a finite subset of $\Phi \otimes \Delta$. Let q be the largest natural number j such that a_j or b_j appears in the reduced word representation of the first coordinate of some element in S . Then, $(e, e) \neq (e, \rho_{q+1}) \in \Phi \otimes \Delta$

clearly satisfies $(e, \rho_{q+1})k = k(e, \rho_{q+1})$ for all $k \in S$. By (4, Lemma 6.1.1), we conclude that $A(\Phi \otimes \Delta)$ has property Γ .

Proof of Lemma 7. Let $u_k = L(t_k)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of unitary operators in $A(\Phi \otimes \Delta)$ with the property that $\lim \|U_k^* T U_k - T\|_2 = 0$ for each $T \in A(\Phi \otimes \Delta)$ (this is equivalent to strong $\lim U_k^* T U_k = T$). Let Δ denote the subgroup (e, Δ) of $\Phi \otimes \Delta$. We claim that

$$V_k = L(t_k|_\Delta) \in A(\Delta) \subset A(\Phi \otimes \Delta) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

is a bounded (by Lemma 4 and Remark 2, $\|V_k\| \leq \|U_k\| = 1$) sequence of mutually commuting operators (since Δ is abelian) required for having property C.

Let $T_i = L(I^{\theta_i})$ ($i = 1, 2$), where $g_1 = (a_0, e)$, $g_2 = (b_0, e)$. Let S be the subset $\{(g, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Delta, g \in \Phi, g \text{ in reduced word representation ends in a non-zero power of } a_0\}$ of $\Phi \times \Delta$. Put $B = \Phi \otimes \Delta \setminus (e, \Delta)$. We note that $B = S \cup g_1 S g_1^{-1}$, and $S, g_2 S g_2^{-1}, g_2^{-1} S g_2$ are pairwise disjoint subsets of B . Given any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $k > N$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_k^* T_i U_k - T_i\|_2 &= \|T_i^* U_k T_i - U_k\|_2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{g \in (\Phi \otimes \Delta)} |t_k(g_i g g_i^{-1}) - t_k(g)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \epsilon \quad (i = 1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5, we have

$$\|U_k - V_k\|_2 = \|L(t_k) - L(t_k|_\Delta)\|_2 = \left(\sum_{g \in B} |t_k(g)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < 14\epsilon$$

for all $k > N$. Hence strong $\lim(U_k - V_k) = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 8. Let g_i be the element in Π which permutes i with $i + 1$ and leaves all other natural numbers fixed, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Given any operator $T = L(t)$ in $A(\Pi)$, let $T' = L(t') \in A(\Pi)$ be such that $t'(g) = 0$ for all $g \in \Pi$ except on a finite subset S of Π , and $\|T - T'\|_2 < \epsilon/2$. Let N be the largest natural number which is permuted by some element in S . It is easy to see that U_i commutes with T' for all $i > N$. Thus, $i > N$ implies

$$\|U_i^* T U_i - T\|_2 \leq \|U_i^* (T - T') U_i\|_2 + \|T - T'\|_2 < \epsilon.$$

Hence $\lim \|U_i^* T U_i - T\|_2 = 0$, or equivalently, strong $\lim U_i^* T U_i = T$ for each $T \in A(\Pi)$.

Suppose that $A(\Pi)$ has property C. Then there exists a sequence V_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) of mutually commuting operators in $A(\Pi)$ such that strong $\lim(U_i - V_i) = 0$. Now, since $g_i g_{i+1} \neq g_{i+1} g_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2} &= \|L(I^{\theta_i \theta_{i+1}} - I^{\theta_{i+1} \theta_i}) I^e\| = \|U_i U_{i+1} - U_{i+1} U_i\|_2 \\ &\leq \|(U_i - V_i) U_{i+1}\|_2 + \|V_i (U_{i+1} - V_{i+1})\|_2 + \|(V_{i+1} - U_{i+1}) V_i\|_2 \\ &\quad + \|U_{i+1} (V_i - U_i)\|_2 \leq 2\|U_i - V_i\|_2 + 2\|V_i\| \|U_{i+1} - V_{i+1}\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

the last step follows since the trace is unitary invariant and

$$|\operatorname{tr}(ST)| \leq \|S\| \cdot |\operatorname{tr}(T)|.$$

By the uniform boundedness principle, the strong convergence of $(U_i - V_i)$ implies that $\{\|V_i - U_i\|\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\{\|V_i\|\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, are bounded by some positive number M . Hence, each term in the last expression of the above inequality approaches 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$. This contradiction shows that $A(\Pi)$ does not have property C. Replace all Π by $\Pi \times \Phi_2$ and g_i by (g_i, e) in the preceding proof, we also conclude that $A(\Pi \times \Phi_2)$ does not have property C.

3. Non-isomorphic factors of type III. The following algebraic property of von Neumann algebras was introduced by Pukanszky (8) to distinguish a pair of factors of type III.

Definition 5. A von Neumann algebra is said to have property L, if there exists a sequence U_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) of unitary operators in R such that $\operatorname{weak} \lim U_k = 0$ and $\operatorname{strong} \lim U_k T U_k^* = T$ for each $T \in R$.

Our construction of non-isomorphic factors of type III follows the construction in Pukanszky (8) and the construction of the new factor of type II_1 in § 2. R_1 is the factor M_1 in (8) and R_2 is the factor M_2 in (8).

Construction of R_1 . Let G be an infinite group and let $x_0 = \{0, 1\}$. Let μ_0 be the measure on X_0 with $\mu_0(\{0\}) = p$, $\mu_0(\{1\}) = q$, $p + q = 1$, $0 < p < q$. Let $X = \prod_{g \in G} X_g$ be the Cartesian product of $\{X_g\}$, $g \in G$, where all $X_g = X_0$, and let μ be the completion of the product measure $\mu' = \prod_{g \in G} \mu_g$ on X , where all $\mu_g = \mu_0$. Let $H = L^2(X, \mu)$ be the Hilbert space of all μ -square-integrable functions f on X . Let $M(X, \mu)$ be the abelian von Neumann algebra consisting of all multiplication operators on H , i.e. $M(X, \mu) = \{m_{f_0} | f_0 \text{ a bounded } \mu\text{-measurable function on } X \text{ and } (m_{f_0})(x) = f_0(x)f(x) \text{ for all } f \in H\}$. We shall simply write f_0 for m_{f_0} hereafter. The function $f(x) \equiv 1$ on X is a separating cyclic vector for $M(X, \mu)$ and we denote it by I .

Next, let K be the subset of X consisting of those elements of X which take the value 1 only at finitely many points of G . Define $(x + y)(g) = x(g) + y(g) \pmod{2}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then K is an abelian group with identity $e(g) \equiv 0$. Each $\alpha \in K$ defines a transformation $\alpha: x \rightarrow x + \alpha$ on X ; and the measure μ is quasi-invariant under K (8, Corollary to Lemma 3). Define $\mu_\alpha(E) = \mu(E + \alpha)$ for each μ -measurable subset E of X , and let $(d\mu_\alpha/d\mu)(x)$ be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of μ_α for each $\alpha \in K$. Define

$$(u(\alpha)f)(x) = \left(\frac{d\mu_\alpha}{d\mu}(x) \right)^{1/2} f(x + \alpha)$$

for all $f \in H$. Then $u: \alpha \rightarrow u(\alpha)$ is a faithful unitary representation of K on H such that $u(\alpha)f(x)u(\alpha^{-1}) = f(x + \alpha) \in M(X, \mu)$ for all $f(x) \in M(X, \mu)$. By

(8, Lemma 7), the transformation group K is (i) free, (ii) ergodic, (iii) non-measurable on X ; hence the crossed product $R_1 = M(X, \mu) \otimes u$ on $H_1 = H \otimes K$ is a factor of type III with I^e as a separating vector (5, Lemmas 3.6.5, 4.3.5). Glimm (2, § 2) has shown that R_1 is hyperfinite. An arbitrary element in R_1 is denoted by $L(f(x, \alpha))$, where for each $\alpha \in K, f(x, \alpha)$ is a bounded measurable function on X .

Construction of R_2 . Let the group G in the construction of R_1 be Φ_2 , the free group with two generators. For each $g \in \Phi_2$, define

$$(9) \quad (u_1(g)f)(x, \alpha) = f(gx, g\alpha) \quad \text{for all } f(x, \alpha) \in H_1,$$

where $gx(h) = x(hg)$ for $x \in X \supset K$. $u_1: g \rightarrow u_1(g)$ is a faithful unitary representation of Φ_2 on H_1 , and $u_i(g)R_1u_i(g^{-1}) = R_1$ for all $g \in \Phi_2$. Also, it is easily verified that for each $g \in \Phi_2$, we have

$$\|Tu_1(g)I^e\| = \left(\sum_{\alpha \in K} \int_X |f(x, \alpha)|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} = \|TI^e\|$$

for all $T = L(f(x, \alpha)) \in R_1$. Since Φ_2 is an ICC group, the crossed product $R_2 = R_1 \otimes u_1$ on the Hilbert space $H_2 = H_1 \otimes \Phi_2$ is a factor by Lemma 2. By Lemma 3, R_2 is a factor of type III since R_1 is purely infinite. Indeed, R_2 can be identified with M_2 in (8) by the isomorphism $i: R_2 \rightarrow M_2$ such that $i(f^{e,e}) = \bar{L}_f, i(I^{\alpha,e}) = \bar{U}_{(\alpha,e)}, \alpha \in K$ (Δ in (8)), $i(I^{e,g}) = \bar{U}_{(e,g)}, g \in \Phi_2$. As shown in (5, Theorem VIII), $R_2' = WR_2W$, where W is an involutory on H_2 defined by

$$(Wf)(x, \alpha, g) = \left(\frac{d\mu_{g^{-1}\alpha}}{d\mu}(x) \right)^{1/2} f(g^{-1}(x + \alpha), g^{-1}\alpha, g^{-1})$$

for all $f(x, \alpha, g) \in H_2$.

Construction of R_3 . Let Φ be a free group with an infinite system of generators $\{a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$, and let the group G in the construction of R_1 be the subgroup Φ_2 of Φ generated by a_{-1} and a_2 . Let Π be the group of all finite permutations on the set of natural numbers. Put $\pi(a_{-1}) = a_{-1}, \pi(a_0) = a_0$, and $\pi(a_i) = a_{\pi(i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots$, for each $\pi \in \Pi$. Π is a group of permutations on the set of free generators of Φ , and naturally, a group of automorphisms of Φ . Let $\Phi \otimes \Pi = \{(g, \pi) | g \in \Phi, \pi \in \Pi\}$, and define $(g, \pi)(h, \pi_1) = (g\pi(h), \pi\pi_1)$ for $(g, \pi), (h, \pi_1) \in \Phi \otimes \Pi$. It is easily seen that $\Phi \otimes \Pi$ is an ICC group under this multiplication. The mapping $\phi: a_{-1} \rightarrow a_{-1}, a_0 \rightarrow a_0, a_i \rightarrow e, i = 1, 2, \dots, \pi \rightarrow e, \pi \in \Pi$, between generators of $\Phi \otimes \Pi$ and that of Φ_2 clearly induces a homomorphism $\phi': g \rightarrow g'$ of $\Phi \otimes \Pi$ onto Φ_2 . The free group Φ_2 has a unitary representation u_1 on H_1 defined by (9) which induces a group of automorphisms of R_1 . Put $v_1 = u_1 \circ \phi'$. v_1 is obviously a unitary representation of $\Phi \otimes \Pi$ on H_1 such that $v_1(g)R_1v_1(g^{-1}) = R_1$ for all $g \in \Phi \otimes \Pi$. By Lemmas 2 and 3, the crossed product $R_3 = R_1 \otimes v_1$ on $H_3 = H_1 \otimes \Phi \otimes \Pi$ is a factor of type III

with a separating vector $\xi = I^{e,e}$. As shown in (5, Theorem VIII), $R_3' = W_1 R_3 W_1$, where W is an involutory on H_3 defined by

$$(W_1 f)(x, \alpha, g) = \left(\frac{d\mu_{g^{-1}\alpha}}{d\mu}(x) \right)^{1/2} f((g')^{-1}(x + \alpha), (g')^{-1}\alpha, g^{-1})$$

for all $f(x, \alpha, g) \in H_3$.

Construction of R_4 . Let $\Phi \otimes \Delta$ be the group constructed in § 2, and let the group G in the construction of R_1 be the subgroup Φ_2 of $\Phi \otimes \Delta$ generated by (a_0, e) and (b_0, e) . The free group Φ_2 has a unitary representation u_1 on H defined by (9). Now, the mapping $\phi_1: (a_0, e) \rightarrow (a_0, e), (b_0, e) \rightarrow (b_0, e), (a_i, e) \rightarrow (e, e), (b_i, e) \rightarrow (e, e), i = 1, 2, \dots, (e, \lambda) \rightarrow (e, e), \lambda \in \Delta$, clearly induces a homomorphism $\phi_1': g \rightarrow g'$ of $\Phi \otimes \Delta$ onto Φ_2 . Then $v = u_1 \circ \phi_1'$ is a unitary representation of $\Phi \otimes \Delta$ on H_1 such that $v(g)R_1v(g^{-1}) = R_1$ and $\|Tv(g)I^e\| = \|TI^e\|$ for all $g \in \Phi \otimes \Delta, T \in R_1$. By Lemmas 2 and 3, the crossed product $R_4 = R_1 \otimes v = M(x, \mu) \otimes u \otimes v$ on $H_4 = H_1 \otimes \Phi \otimes \Delta$ is a factor of type III with a separating vector $\xi = I^{e,e}$. As in (5, Theorem VIII), it can be verified that $R_4' = W_2 R_4 W_2$, where W_2 is an involutory on H_4 defined by

$$(W_2 f)(x, \alpha, g) = \left(\frac{d\mu_{(g')^{-1}\alpha}}{d\mu}(x) \right)^{1/2} f((g')^{-1}(x + \alpha), (g')^{-1}\alpha, g^{-1})$$

for all $f(x, \alpha, g) \in H_4$.

We shall prove the following lemmata for the factors of type III we constructed on separable Hilbert spaces $H_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

LEMMA 9. R_2, R_3, R_4 are non-hyperfinite.

LEMMA 10. Both R_3 and R_4 have property L.

LEMMA 11. R_3 does not have property C.

LEMMA 12. R_4 has property C.

Since R_2 is just the factor M_2 in (8) which does not have property L (8, Lemma 13), the above lemmata imply the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. R_2, R_3, R_4 are three pairwise non-isomorphic non-hyperfinite factors of type III on a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.

Proof of Lemma 9. Suppose that R_4 is hyperfinite. Since R_4' and R_4 are isomorphic by an involutory W_2 , R_4' is also hyperfinite. Let

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_n \subset \dots \subset R_4'$$

be an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional von Neumann subalgebras of R_4' which generates it weakly. For any $x, y \in H_4$ and $T \in B(H_4)$, define

$$(\theta_n(T)x|y) = \int_{U_n} (UTU^*x|y)_{\mu_n}(dU),$$

where U_n is the compact group of all unitary operators in M_n , and μ_n is the normalized Haar measure on U_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let

$$(\theta(T)x|y) = \text{Banach lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\theta_n(T)x|y).$$

Then $\theta: T \rightarrow \theta(T)$ is a linear mapping from $B(H_4)$ onto $(R_4)^\prime = R_4$ such that (i) $\theta(T^*) = \theta(T)^*$, (ii) $\theta(I) = I$, (iii) $\theta(AT) = A\theta(T)$, $\theta(TA) = \theta(T)A$ for all $A \in R_4$, and (iv) $T \geq 0$ implies $\theta(T) \geq 0$ (see **10**).

The Hilbert space H_4 is the space of all complex functions $F(x, \alpha, g)$ on $X \times K \times \Phi \otimes \Delta$ such that

$$\sum_{\theta \in \Phi \otimes \Delta} \sum_{\alpha \in K} \int_X |F(x, \alpha, g)|^2 d\mu < +\infty.$$

Put $\tau(T) = (T\xi|\xi)$ for $T \in R_4$. We shall prove that for each $h \in \ker v$ (kernel of v) $\subset \Phi \otimes \Delta$,

$$(10) \quad \tau(L(I^{e,h})^*TL(I^{e,h})) = \tau(T) \quad \text{for all } T \in R_4.$$

Since the linear span of all operators of the form $L(f^{\alpha,\theta})$ is weakly dense in R_4 , we only need to verify that

$$(L(I^{e,h})^*L(f^{\alpha,\theta})L(I^{e,h})\xi|\xi) = (L(f^{\alpha,\theta})\xi|\xi),$$

where $f \in M(x, \mu)$, $\alpha \in K$, $g \in \Phi \otimes \Delta$, for each $h \in \ker v$. In fact, if $g \neq e$, both sides equal 0; if $g = e$, both sides equal $(L(f^{\alpha,e})\xi|\xi)$ since $L(I^{e,h})$ commutes with $L(f^{\alpha,e})$ when $h \in \ker v$.

The mapping $\eta': (a_0, e) \rightarrow e, (b_0, e) \rightarrow e, (a_i, \lambda) \rightarrow (a_i, \lambda), (b_i, \lambda) \rightarrow (b_i, \lambda), i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda \in \Delta$, obviously induces a homomorphism η of $\Phi \otimes \Delta$ onto $\ker v \subset \Phi \otimes \Delta$. Now, for each subset σ of $\ker v$, let T_σ be the non-negative operator on the Hilbert space H_4 defined by

$$(T_\sigma F)(x, \alpha, g) = \begin{cases} F(x, \alpha, g) & \text{if } g \in \eta(\sigma), \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin \eta(\sigma). \end{cases}$$

$\sigma \rightarrow T_\sigma$ is a finitely additive operator-valued function of all subsets of $\ker v$, and $T_{\ker v} = I$. Put $\nu(\sigma) = \tau(\theta(T_\sigma))$. Then $\nu(\sigma)$ is a non-negative finitely additive function defined for all subsets of $\ker v$ with $\nu(\ker v) = 1$ by (ii) and (iv) of the mapping θ . An elementary computation shows that

$$L(I^{e,\theta})^*T_\sigma L(I^{e,\theta}) = T_{\sigma^{-1}\sigma}$$

for each $g \in \ker v$. Then it follows from (iii) and (10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(g^{-1}\sigma) &= \tau(\theta(L(I^{e,\theta})^*T_\sigma L(I^{e,\theta}))) = \tau(L(I^{e,\theta})^*\theta(T_\sigma)L(I^{e,\theta})) \\ &= \tau(\theta(T_\sigma)) = \nu(\sigma) \quad \text{for each } g \in \ker v. \end{aligned}$$

Hence ν is a Banach mean on the group $\ker v$. But $\ker v$ obviously contains a subgroup isomorphism to the free group with two generators, consequently,

$\ker v$ cannot be amenable. This contradiction shows that R_4' , and hence R_4 , are non-hyperfinite. The proof for R_3 or R_2 is exactly the same. We omit the repetition here.

Proof of Lemma 10. We first note that $\text{strong } \lim U_k T U_k^* = T$ is equivalent to $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(U_k T U_k^* - T)\xi\| = 0$ for each $T \in R_3$ (R_4), and $\text{weak } \lim U_k = 0$ is equivalent to $\lim |(U_k \xi | \xi)| = 0$ for any sequence of unitary operators U_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) since ξ is a cyclic vector for R_3' (R_4'). Let λ_k be the element of Π which permutes k with $k + 1$ and leaves all others fixed ($\lambda_k = \rho_k \in \Delta$) and let $U_k = L(I^{(\epsilon, \lambda_k)})$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. U_k is unitary and $(U_k \xi | \xi) = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence $\text{weak } \lim U_k = 0$.

For any given operator $T = L(t)$ in $R_3 = R_1 \otimes v_1$ ($R_4 = R_1 \otimes v$), where t is an R_1 -valued function on $\Phi \otimes \Pi$ ($\Phi \otimes \Delta$), and $\epsilon > 0$, let $T' = L(t') \in R_3$ (R_4) be such that $t'(g) = 0$ for all g in $\Phi \otimes \Pi$ ($\Phi \otimes \Delta$) except on a finite subset S , and $\|(T - T')\| < \epsilon/2$. Let p denote the largest natural number j for which there is a $(g, \pi) \in S$ with $\pi(j) \neq j$, q denote the largest natural number j such that a_j (a_j or b_j) appears in the reduced word representation of the first coordinate of some element in S . Let $N = \max(p, q)$. At this point, we note that $L(s^\epsilon)L(I^h) = L(I^h)L(s^\epsilon)$ for all $h \in (e, \Pi)$ ((e, Δ)), $s \in R_1$. Clearly, for all $k > N$, U_k commutes with $L(I^g)$ if $g \in S$. In short, $T' U_k = U_k T'$ for all $k > N$. Hence $k > N$ implies

$$\|(U_k T U_k^* - T)\xi\| \leq \|U_k(T - T')U_k^*\xi\| + \|(T - T')\xi\| = 2\|(T - T')\xi\| < \epsilon.$$

The last step in the above expression is justified since for each $h \in (e, \Pi)$ ((e, Δ)) we have:

$$(11) \quad (L(I^h)TL(I^h)^*\xi | \xi) = (T\xi | \xi) \quad \text{for all } T \in R_3 \text{ } (R_4).$$

To verify this, we only need to show that

$$(L(I^{\epsilon, h})L(f^{\alpha, \theta})L(I^{\epsilon, h})\xi | \xi) = (L(f^{\alpha, \theta})\xi | \xi)$$

for arbitrary $f \in M(x, \mu)$, $\alpha \in K$, $g \in \Phi \otimes \Pi$ ($\Phi \otimes \Delta$). In fact, both sides are equal to zero if $g \neq e$ or $\alpha \neq e$, and equal to $\int_X f(x) d\mu$ if $g = e$, $\alpha = e$. Hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(U_k T U_k^* - T)\xi\| = 0$, i.e. $\text{strong } \lim U_k T U_k^* = T$. Therefore, R_3 and R_4 have property L.

Proof of Lemma 11. Assume, on the contrary, that R_3 has property C. Then, for the unitary sequence U_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) in the proof of Lemma 10, there exists a sequence V_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) of mutually commuting operators in R_3 such that $\text{strong } \lim (U_k - V_k) = 0$. Since $\lambda_{k+1}\lambda_k \neq \lambda_k\lambda_{k+1}$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2} &= \|(U_{k+1}U_k - U_kU_{k+1})\xi\| \leq \|(U_{k+1} - V_k)U_k\xi\| + \|V_{k+1}(U_k - V_k)\xi\| \\ &\quad + \|(V_k - U_k)(V_{k+1} - U_{k+1})\xi\| + \|(V_k - U_k)U_{k+1}\xi\| \\ &+ \|U_k(V_{k+1} - U_{k+1})\xi\| \leq 2\|(U_{k+1} - V_{k+1})\xi\| + \|(V_k - U_k)\xi\| \\ &\quad + \|V_{k+1}\| \|(U_k - V_k)\xi\| + \|V_k - U_k\| \|(V_{k+1} - U_{k+1})\xi\|, \end{aligned}$$

by (11). Since $\text{strong lim}(U_k - V_k)$ exists, $\{\|U_k - V_k\|\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\{\|V_k\|\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are bounded by some positive number M by the uniform boundedness principle. Therefore, each term in the last expression in the above inequality approaches 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This contradiction proves that R_3 does not have property C.

Proof of Lemma 12. Let $U_k = L(f_k(x, \alpha, g))$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) be a sequence of unitary operators in $R_4 = M(x, \mu) \otimes u \otimes v$ such that $\text{strong lim } U_k^* T U_k = T$ for each $T \in R_4$, where for each $(\alpha, g) \in K \times \Phi \otimes \Delta$, $f_k(x, \alpha, g)$ is a bounded μ -measurable function on X . Let R_{41} denote the von Neumann subalgebra of R_4 consisting of all $L(f(x, \alpha, g))$ with $f(x, \alpha, g) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq e$ or $g \notin \Delta = (e, \Delta)$. Note that $L(I^{e,h})L(f^{e,e}) = L(f^{e,e})L(I^{e,h})$ for all $h \in \Delta \subset \ker v$, $f \in M(x, \mu)$. Since $M(X, \mu)$ and Δ are abelian, R_{41} is an abelian von Neumann subalgebra of R_4 . By (11), $(L(I^{e,h})T\xi|\xi) = (TL(I^{e,h})\xi|\xi)$ for all $h \in \Delta$, $T \in R_4$. Also, for each $f_0 \in M(X, \mu)$, we have:

$$(L(f_0^{e,e})T\xi|\xi) = (TL(f_0^{e,e})\xi|\xi) \quad \text{for all } T \in R_4.$$

To verify this, we only need to show that

$$(L(f_0^{e,e})L(f^{\alpha,\theta})\xi|\xi) = (L(f^{\alpha,\theta})L(f_0^{e,e})\xi|\xi)$$

for any $f \in M(X, \mu)$, $\alpha \in K$, $g \in \Phi \otimes \Delta$. In fact, both sides are non-zero only if $\alpha = e$, $g = e$, and in this case both sides are equal to $\int_X f_0(x)f(x) \, d\mu$. Hence, for any $T \in R_4$, $S \in R_{41}$, we have $(TS\xi|\xi) = (ST\xi|\xi)$, since the linear span of $L(f_0^{e,e})L(I^{e,h})$, $f_0 \in M(X, \mu)$, $h \in \Delta$, is weakly dense in R_{41} . Now, by Lemma 4, there exists a projection P of norm one from R_4 into R_{41} . We claim that $V_k = P(U_k) = L(\tilde{f}_k(x, \alpha, g))$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) (where $\tilde{f}_k(x, e, g) = f_k(x, e, g)$ if $g \in \Delta$, $\tilde{f}_k(x, \alpha, g) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq e$ or $g \notin \Delta$) is a sequence of mutually commuting (since R_{41} is abelian) operators required for having property C.

Let $G = \Phi \otimes \Delta$, g_1, g_2, S, B as described in the proof of Lemma 7. Let $T_i = L(I^{e,g_i})$, $i = 1, 2$. Note that $v(g_i) = I$ ($i = 1, 2$). For given $\epsilon > 0$, suppose that $N = N(\epsilon)$ is such that for $i = 1, 2$, $k > N$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &> \|(U_k^* T_i U_k - T_i)\xi\| \\ &= \|(L(I^{e,g_i})L(f_k(x, \alpha, g)) - L(f_k(x, \alpha, g))L(I^{e,g_i}))\xi\| \\ &= \left(\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{\alpha \in K} \int_X |f_k(g_i x, g_i \alpha, g) - f_k(x, \alpha, g_i g g_i^{-1})|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $F(\alpha, g) = (\int_X |f_k(x, \alpha, g)|^2 \, d\mu)^{1/2}$, where k is an arbitrary integer greater than N . We observe that $x \rightarrow g_i x$ ($i = 1, 2$) is a measure-preserving transformation on X ; thus by an application of the triangle inequality, for $i = 1, 2$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{\alpha \in K} |F(\alpha, g_i g g_i^{-1}) - F(\alpha, g)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{\alpha \in K} \left| \left(\int_X |f_k(x, \alpha, g_i g g_i^{-1})|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{1/2} - \left(\int_X |f_k(g_i x, g_i \alpha, g)|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{1/2} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \|(T_i U_k - U_k T_i)\xi\|^2 < \epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5, we have:

$$(12) \quad \sum_{g \in B} \sum_{\alpha \in K} \int_X |f_k(x, \alpha, g)|^2 = \sum_{g \in B} \sum_{\alpha \in K} |F(\alpha, g)|^2 < 196\epsilon^2.$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in K$, we write $\alpha \sim \beta$ if there exists a $g \in \Phi_2$ such that $g\alpha = \beta$, where Φ_2 is the subgroup of G generated by g_1, g_2 . It is easy to see that in this way we obtain an equivalence relation on K . We denote by Ω the totality of the equivalence classes not containing the identity e of K . In each $\omega \in \Omega$, choose an element α_ω . Then every element of K can be written uniquely in the form $g\alpha_\omega$ ($g \in \Phi_2$). We introduce the function

$$f_\omega(g) = \left(\sum_{h \in \Delta} |F(g\alpha_\omega, h)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

on Φ_2 for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Let

$$c_\omega = \left(\sum_{g \in \Phi_2} |f_\omega(g)|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad b_\omega = \sup_{i=1,2} \left(\sum_{g \in \Phi_2} |f_\omega(gg_i) - f_\omega(g)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We remark that by (8, Lemma 11), we have $c_\omega \leq 20 d_\omega$. Hence

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in K; \\ \alpha \neq e}} \sum_{h \in \Delta} \int_X |f_k(x, \alpha, h)|^2 d\mu &= \sum_{g \in \Phi_2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{h \in \Delta} |F(g\alpha_\omega, h)|^2 = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} c_\omega^2 \leq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} 400 d_\omega^2 \\ &= 400 \sup_{i=1,2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{g \in \Phi_2} \sum_{h \in \Delta} |F(gg_i\alpha_\omega, h) - F(g\alpha_\omega, h)|^2 \\ &\leq 400 \sup_{i=1,2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta; \\ \alpha \neq e}} \sum_{h \in \Delta} \int_X |f_k(g_i x, g_i \alpha, h) - f_k(x, \alpha, g)|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq 400 \sup_{i=1,2} \|(T_i U_k - U_k T_i)\xi\|^2 \leq 400\epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (12) and (13), we have, for $k > N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(U_k - V_k)\xi\|^2 &= \sum_{g \in B} \sum_{\alpha \in K} \int_X |f_k(x, \alpha, g)|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in K; \\ \alpha \neq e}} \sum_{h \in \Delta} \int_X |f_k(x, \alpha, h)|^2 d\mu < (196 + 400)\epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|(U_k - V_k)\xi\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\|V_k\| = \|P(U_k)\| \leq \|U_k\| = 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $\{\|V_k - U_k\|\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, is also bounded. Thus, $\text{strong lim } (U_k - V_k) = 0$, since ξ is a cyclic vector for R_4' . This completes the proof that R_4 has property C.

REFERENCES

1. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien* (Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1957).

2. J. Glimm, *Type I C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) 73 (1960), 572–612.
3. F. Murray and J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators*, Ann. of Math. (2) 37 (1936), 116–229.
4. ——— *On rings of operators. IV*, Ann. of Math. (2) 44 (1943), 716–808.
5. J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators. III*, Ann. of Math. (2) 41 (1940), 94–161.
6. ——— *On some algebraical properties of operator rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) 44 (1943), 709–715.
7. R. Powers, *Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their von Neumann rings*, Ann. of Math. (2) 86 (1967), 138–171.
8. L. Pukanszky, *Some examples of factors*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 4 (1956), 135–156.
9. S. Sakai, *On topological properties of W^* -algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad. 33 (1957), 439–444.
10. J. Schwartz, *Two finite, non-hyperfinite non-isomorphic factors*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (1963), 19–26.
11. ——— *Non-isomorphism of a pair of factors of type III*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (1963), 111–120.
12. I. Segal, *Non-commutative integration theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) 57 (1953), 401–457.

*Louisiana State University,
Baton Rouge, Louisiana;
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences,
New York, New York*