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## 1. Introduction

Let $f$ be a meromorphic mapping of the $n$-dimensional complex plane $C^{n}$ into the $N$-dimensional complex projective space $\boldsymbol{P}^{N}(C)$. We denote by $T(r, f)$ the characteristic function of $f$ and by $N\left(r, f^{*} H\right)$ the counting function for a hyperplane $H \subset \boldsymbol{P}^{N}(C) .{ }^{1)}$ The purpose of this paper is to establish the following results.

THEOREM 1. Let $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping of finite order $\rho$ which is not a positive integer. Then for any $N+1$ hyperplanes $H_{\mu} \subset \boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C}), \mu=0,1, \cdots, N$, in general position

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(f)=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\mu=0}^{N} N\left(r, f^{*} H_{\mu}\right)}{T(r, f)} \geqq k(\rho), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k(\rho)$ is a positive constant depending only on $\rho$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(\rho) \geqq \frac{2 \Gamma^{4}(3 / 4)|\sin \pi \rho|}{\pi^{2} \rho+\Gamma^{4}(3 / 4)|\sin \pi \rho|} \cdot{ }^{2)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $0 \leqq \rho<1$, we shall also obtain
THEOREM 2. The positive constant $k(\rho)$ in (1.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(\rho) \geqq 1-\rho \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leqq \rho<1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. When $\rho$ takes values near 0 , the evaluation (1.3) is better than (1.2). On the other hand (1.2) is better than (1.3) when $\rho$ is close to 1 .

From these theorems we have readily

[^0]Corollary. If a meromorphic mapping $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C})$ admits $N+1$ hyperplanes in general position whose defects are equal to one, then the order of $f$ is infinite or a positive integer.

In case $n=N=1$, the existence of the positive lower bound $k(\rho)$ in (1.1) was first proved by R. Nevanlinna [7, Chap. III] and he posed the problem to determine the best possible value of $k(\rho)$. In the same case Theorem 1 was proved by Edrei-Fuchs [1] and they determined the correct value of $k(\rho)$ for $0 \leqq \rho<1$ in [2]. In case $n=1$ and $N \geqq 1$, Toda [10] obtained the evalution (1.2) and moreover Ozawa [8] obtained the correct value of $k(\rho)$ for $\rho<1$.

One notes that $k(\rho)$ may be determined independently of the dimension $n$.
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## 2. Notation

Let $\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)$ be the natural coordinate system in $C^{n}$ and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z\|^{2} & =\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} z_{z} \bar{z}_{\mu}, \quad B(r)=\{\|z\|<r\} \\
A(r) & =A \cap B(r) \quad \text { for a subset } \quad A \subset C^{n}, \\
d^{c} & =\frac{i}{4 \pi}(\bar{\partial}-\partial), \\
\chi & =\left(d d^{c} \log \|z\|^{2}\right)^{n-1}, \quad \eta=d^{c} \log \|z\|^{2} \wedge \chi
\end{aligned}
$$

For a positive divisor $D$ on $C^{n}$ not containing the origin, set

$$
n(t, D)=\int_{D(t)} \chi, \quad N(r, D)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, D)}{t} d t
$$

In case $n=1, n(t, D)$ is the number of elements of $D$ in $B(t)$ with counting multiplicities. Let $L$ denote the hyperplane bundle over $\boldsymbol{P}^{n}(C)$ and $\omega$ the positive definite curvature form of $L$ arising from an hermitian metric $h$ in $L$. For a meromorphic mapping $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C})$ which is holomorphic at the origin, the characteristic function is defined by

$$
T(r, f)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{d t}{t} \int_{B(t)} f^{*} \omega \wedge \chi
$$

It is noted that the pull-back form $f^{*} \omega$ is a differential form with coefficients belonging to $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ which is closed and positive in the sense of currents (cf. Lelong [6]) and that $T(r, f)$ is independent of the curvature form $\omega$ of $L$, up to an $O(1)$-term (cf. Griffiths-King [3]).

Let $S(r)$ be a real, non-negative and increasing function of $r \geqq 0$. Then $\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log S(r) / \log r$ is called the order of $S(r)$. In particular the order of $T(r, f)(N(r, D)$ resp.) is called the order of $f$ ( $D$ resp.). Let $U$ be an open set in $\boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C})$ such that $\left.L\right|_{U} \cong U \times C$. Then the restriction $\left.\sigma\right|_{U}$ of a global holomorphic section $\sigma \in H^{\circ}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C}), L\right)$ is naturally regarded as a holomorphic function in $U$ and similarly $\left.h\right|_{U}$ as a positive smooth function in $U$. The length of $\sigma$ is defined by

$$
|\sigma|=\left(\frac{\left.|\sigma|_{U}\right|^{2}}{\left.h\right|_{U}}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { in } U,
$$

which is independent of the local trivialization, $\left.L\right|_{U} \cong U \times C$. For a hyperplane $H$ in $\boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C})$, choose always a global section $\sigma \in H^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C}), L\right)$ so that the divisor ( $\sigma$ ) is equal to $H$ and $|\sigma| \leqq 1$, and set

$$
m(r, H)=\int_{\partial B(r)} \log \frac{1}{f^{*}|\sigma|} \eta
$$

Now the following is well-known (Nevanlinna's first main theorem):

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f)=N\left(r, f^{*} H\right)+m(r, H)+\log f^{*}|\sigma|(0) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $f^{*} H \nRightarrow 0$.
In case $N=1, f$ is a meromorphic function in $C^{n}$. Let $(f)_{0}$ and $(f)_{\infty}$ denote respectively the divisors of zeros and poles of $f$ and suppose that $(f)_{0} \cup(f)_{\infty} \nexists 0$. Then (2.1) yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, f) & =N\left(r,(f)_{\infty}\right)+\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}|f| \eta+O(1)  \tag{2.2}\\
& =N\left(r,(f)_{0}\right)+\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+} \frac{1}{|f|} \eta+O(1),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\log ^{+} s=\max \{0, \log s\}$ for $s \geqq 0$. We return to the general case, $N \geqq 1$. We set for a hyperplane $H$

$$
\delta(H, f)=1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, f^{*} H\right)}{T(r, f)}
$$

which is called the defect of $H$.

## 3. An estimate for canonical functions

For an entire function $F$ in $C^{n}$, we set

$$
M(r, F)=\max _{\|z\|=r}|F(z)|
$$

Lemma 1. Let $F$ be an entire function. Then for $r<R$
(3.1) $\quad T(r, F)+O(1) \leqq \log M(r, F) \leqq \frac{1-(r / R)^{2}}{(1-r / R)^{2 n}}\{T(R, F)+O(1)\}$.

Proof. The first inequality follows from (2.2). We prove the second. Let $\operatorname{Aut}(B(R))$ denote the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $B(R)$. For $z_{0} \in B(R)$, there is an element $\gamma\left(\cdot, z_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}(B(R))$ with $\gamma\left(z_{0}, z_{0}\right)=0$. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(z, z_{0}\right) & =d d^{c} \log \left\|\gamma\left(z, z_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\chi\left(z, z_{0}\right) & =\psi\left(z, z_{0}\right)^{n-1} \\
\eta\left(z, z_{0}\right) & =d^{c} \log \left\|\gamma\left(z, z_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \wedge \chi\left(z, z_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the isotropy subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(B(R))$ at the origin consists of unitary transformations of the coordinates, these differential forms are independent of the choice of $\gamma\left(\cdot, z_{0}\right)$. Note that $\chi(z, 0)=\chi(z)$ and $\eta(z, 0)=\eta(z)$. Since $\log \left|F \circ \gamma\left(\cdot, z_{0}\right)^{-1}\right|$ is plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of $\overline{B(R)}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log \left|F\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\log \left|F \circ \gamma\left(0, z_{0}\right)^{-1}\right| \\
& \quad \leqq \int_{\partial B(R)} \log \left|F \circ \gamma\left(z, z_{0}\right)^{-1}\right| \eta(z)=\int_{\partial B(R)} \log |F(z)| \eta\left(z, z_{0}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& \quad \leqq \int_{\partial B(R)} \log ^{+}|F(z)| \eta\left(z, z_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\log M(r, F)=\log \left|F\left(z_{0}\right)\right|$ with $z_{0} \in \partial B(r)$. By a unitary transformation of the coordinates, we can carry $z_{0}$ to $(r, 0, \cdots, 0)$. Therefore we may assume that $z_{0}=(r, 0, \cdots, 0)$. Let us take $\gamma\left(z, z_{0}\right)$ as follows:

$$
r\left(z, z_{0}\right)=\frac{R}{R-(r / R) z_{1}}\left(z_{1}-r, \sqrt{1-(r / R)^{2}} z_{2}, \cdots, \sqrt{1-(r / R)^{2}} z_{n}\right)
$$

By an elementary calculation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(z, z_{0}\right) & \leqq \frac{1}{(1-r / R)^{2}} \psi(z, 0), \\
d^{c} \log \left\|\gamma\left(z, z_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} & =\frac{R^{2}-r^{2}}{\left|R-(r / R) z_{1}\right|^{2}} d^{c} \log \|\gamma(z, 0)\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\eta\left(z, z_{0}\right) \leqq\left\{1-(r / R)^{2}\right\} \eta(z) /(1-r / R)^{2 n}$. Combining this with (3.2) and (2.2), we obtain the required inequality.
Q.E.D.

Let $\ell$ be a complex line in $C^{n}$ through the origin and $F_{\ell}(u)$ denote the restriction of $F$ on $\ell$. From Lemma 1 it follows that for every $\ell$,

```
order of F}\mp@subsup{F}{\ell}{}(u)\leqq\mathrm{ order of F}F(z
```

Let $D$ be a positive divisor on $C^{n}$ not containing the origin and suppose that for an integer $q$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{q+1}} d n(t, D)<\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then according to Lelong [5, Theorem 5] (see also Stoll [9]), there exists an entire function $F$ such that $(F)=D, F(0)=1$, all the partial derivatives of $\log F$ of order $\leqq q$ vanish at the origin, the order of $F$ is not greater than $\max \{q$, order of $D\}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\log |F(z)| \leqq & A(n, q)\left\{\|z\|^{q} \int_{0}^{\|z\|} \frac{n(t, D)}{t^{q+1}} d t\right. \\
& \left.+\|z\|^{q+1} \int_{\|z\|}^{\infty} \frac{n(t, D)}{t^{q+2}} d t\right\}, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A(n, q)$ is a constant depending only on $n$ and $q$. Such a function $F$ is called the canonical function of genus $q$ associated with the divisor $D$.

Let $D$ be a positive divisor on $C^{n}$ not containing the origin, whose order is less than $q+1$. Then (3.4) is satisfied. Let $F$ be the canonical function of genus $q$ associated with $D, \ell$ a complex line in $C^{n}$ through the origin and suppose that $F_{\ell}(u)$ does not vanish for all $u \in \ell \cong C$. Then by (3.3), $F_{\ell}(u)=e^{P(u)}$ where $P(u)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leqq q$. Since all the derivatives of $\log F$ of order $\leqq q$ vanish at the origin and $F(0)=1, P(u) \equiv 0$ and then $F_{\ell}(u) \equiv 1$. Regarding $\ell$ as a point of $\boldsymbol{P}^{n-1}(C)$ in the natural manner, we see

Lemma 2. Let $E=\left\{\ell \in \boldsymbol{P}^{n-1}(C): \ell \cdot D=\phi\right\},(\ell \cdot D=$ intersection of $\ell$ and $D$ with counting multiplicities). Then $E$ is an analytic subset and for $\ell \in E, F_{\ell} \equiv 1$ and for $\ell \notin E, F_{\ell}$ coincides with the Weierstrass product of genus $q$ associated with $\ell \cdot D$.

Remark. It follows from (3.3) that $\int^{\infty} d n(t, \ell \cdot D) / t^{q+1}<\infty$.

Proof. The first two assertions follow immediately from the above arguments. We show the last. Let $\Pi(u)$ denote the Weierstrass product of genus $q$ associated with $\ell \cdot D$. Noting that the orders of $\Pi(u)$ and $F_{\ell}(u)$ are less than $q+1$, we have

$$
F_{\ell}(u)=e^{P(u)} \Pi(u),
$$

where $P(u)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leqq q$. For the same reason as above, $P(u) \equiv 0$.
Q.E.D.

Let us set

$$
\phi(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta}{\left|t e^{i \theta}-1\right|}
$$

Then by Edrei-Fuchs [1, p. 303] we have for $0<\beta<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(t) t^{\beta-1} d t \leqq \frac{\pi^{2}}{\Gamma^{4}(3 / 4) \sin (\pi \beta)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. The above canonical function $F$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}|F| \eta \leqq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, D)}{t} d t+\frac{r^{q}}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} n(t, D) t^{-q-1} \phi\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) d t \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore in case $q=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}|F| \eta \leqq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, D)}{t} d t+r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{n(t, D)}{t^{2}} d t \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First we show (3.7). From Lemma 2 and Edrei-Fuchs [1, p. 302] we obtain for $u \in \ell \in \boldsymbol{P}^{n-1}(\boldsymbol{C})$ with $\|u\|=r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|F_{\ell}\left(u e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|F_{\ell}\left(u e^{i \theta}\right)\right|} d \theta \\
& \quad \leqq r^{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{n(t, \ell \cdot D)}{t^{q+1}} \phi\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Nevanlinna's first main theorem and $F_{\ell}(0)=1$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|F_{\ell}\left(u e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \leqq N(r, \ell \cdot D)+r^{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{n(t, \ell \cdot D)}{t^{q+1}} \phi\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) d t \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\lambda(\ell)$ denote the standard volume form on $\boldsymbol{P}^{n-1}(\boldsymbol{C})$ defined by $\chi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}|F| \eta=\int_{\ell \in P^{p-1}(\varphi)} \lambda(\ell) \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log ^{+}\left|F_{\ell}\left(z e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \in \ell$ and $\|z\|=r$. Since $n(t, D)=\int n(t, \ell \cdot D) \lambda(\ell)$ by definition, using Fubini's theorem we get (3.7) from Lemma 2, (3.9) and (3.10).

In case $q=0$ we have by Lemma 2 and Hayman [4, p. 28]

$$
\log \left|F_{\ell}(u)\right| \leqq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, \ell \cdot D)}{t} d t+r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{n(t, \ell \cdot D)}{t^{2}} d t
$$

for $u \in \ell \in \boldsymbol{P}^{n-1}(\boldsymbol{C})$ with $\|u\|=r$. Then the rest of the proof is similar to the above.
Q.E.D.

## 4. Representation of meromorphic mappings

In this section let us fix a homogeneous coordinate system ( $w_{0} ; \cdots ; w_{N}$ ) in $\boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C})$. Then we may take

$$
\begin{align*}
h & =\sum_{\mu=0}^{N}\left|w_{\mu}\right|^{2} /\left|w_{\nu}\right|^{2} \quad \text { if } w_{\nu} \neq 0,  \tag{4.1}\\
\omega & =d d^{c} \log \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{N}\left|w_{\mu}\right|^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

A meromorphic mapping $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C})$ is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left(f_{0} ; \cdots ; f_{N}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\mu}$ are entire functions and $\operatorname{codim}\left\{f_{0}=\cdots=f_{N}=0\right\} \geqq 2$. If $f=\left({ }^{\prime} f_{0} ; \cdots ;{ }^{\prime} f_{N}\right)$ is another representation of $f$, then there is an entire function $g$ such that ' $f_{\mu}=e^{g} f_{\mu}$ for all $\mu$. By (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f)=\int_{\partial B(r)} \log \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{N}\left|f_{\mu}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \eta-\log \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{N}\left|f_{\mu}(0)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\sum_{\mu=0}^{N}\left|f_{\mu}(0)\right|^{2} \neq 0$, i.e., $f$ is holomorphic at the origin.
LEMMA 4. Let $f: \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{N}(\boldsymbol{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping of order $<q+1$ and suppose that $f^{*}\left\{w_{\mu}=0\right\}, \mu=0, \cdots, N$ do not contain the origin. Then $f$ is represented as

$$
f=\left(F_{0} ; F_{1} e^{P_{1}} ; \cdots ; F_{N} e^{P_{N}}\right)
$$

where each $F_{\mu}$ is the canonical function of genus $q$ associated with $f^{*}\left\{w_{\mu}=0\right\}$ if $f^{*}\left\{w_{\mu}=0\right\} \neq \phi$, or $\equiv 1$ if $f^{*}\left\{w_{\mu}=0\right\}=\phi$ and $P_{\mu}$ are polynomials in $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}$ of degree $\leqq q$.

Proof. By the assumption and (2.1) the orders of $f^{*}\left\{w_{\mu}=0\right\}$ are less than $q+1$. Thus we may take the canonical functions $F_{\mu}$ of genus $q$ associated with $f^{*}\left\{w_{\mu}=0\right\}$ (if $f^{*}\left\{w_{\mu}=0\right\}=\phi$, we take $F_{\mu} \equiv 1$ ). $f$ is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left(F_{0} ; F_{1} e^{g_{1}} ; \cdots ; F_{N} e^{g_{N}}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{\mu}$ are entire functions. Hence it suffices to show that the order of $e^{g_{\mu}}$, say $e^{g_{1}}$, is less than $q+1$. From (4.4), (4.1) and (2.1) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|\frac{F_{1}}{F_{0}} e^{g_{1}}\right| \eta \leqq T(r, f)+O(1) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\log ^{+} a b \leqq \log ^{+} a+\log ^{+} b$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|e^{g_{1}}\right| \eta \leqq & \int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2}} e^{g_{1}}\right| \eta+\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|F_{0}\right| \eta \\
& +\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|F_{1}\right|} \eta .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.2),

$$
\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|F_{1}\right|} \eta \leqq \int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|F_{1}\right| \eta+O(1)
$$

So we see that

$$
\int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|e^{g_{1}}\right| \eta \leqq T(r, f)+T\left(r, F_{0}\right)+T\left(r, F_{1}\right)+O(1)
$$

As the orders of $f, F_{0}$ and $F_{1}$ are less than $q+1$, so is that of $e^{g_{1}}$.
Q.E.D.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1

First we take a homogeneous coordinate system ( $w_{0} ; w_{1} ; \cdots ; w_{N}$ ) in $P^{N}(C)$ so that $H_{\mu}=\left\{w_{\mu}=0\right\}$. Let $q$ denote the largest integer not exceeding $\rho$. By Lemma 4, $f$ is represented as

$$
f=\left(F_{0} ; F_{1} e^{P_{1}} ; \cdots ; F_{N} e^{P_{N}}\right)
$$

By (4.3) and Lemma 4 we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & \leqq \sum_{\mu=0}^{N} \int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|F_{\mu}\right| \eta+\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|e^{P_{\mu}}\right| \eta+O(1) \\
& \leqq \sum_{\mu=0}^{N} \int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|F_{\mu}\right| \eta+O\left(r^{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we apply Lemma 3 to this. Setting $n(t)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{N} n\left(t, f^{*} H_{\mu}\right)$ and $N(r)=\int_{0}^{r} n(t) d t / t$, we get from (3.7)

$$
2 T(r, f) \leqq N(r)+r^{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} n(t) t^{-q-1} \phi\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) d t+O\left(r^{q}\right)
$$

Similarly to Edrei-Fuchs [1, §4] this inequality yields

$$
2-K(f) \leqq K(f) \rho \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\rho-q-1} \phi(t) d t
$$

From this and (3.6) we deduce that

$$
K(f) \geqq \frac{2 \Gamma^{4}(3 / 4)|\sin \pi \rho|}{\pi^{2} \rho+\Gamma^{4}(3 / 4)|\sin \pi \rho|}
$$

Hence we have (1.2).
Q.E.D.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 2

As in the previous section, $f$ may be represented as

$$
f=\left(F_{0} ; c_{1} F_{1} ; \cdots ; c_{N} F_{N}\right)
$$

where $c_{\mu}$ are non-zero constants. By (4.3) we have

$$
T(r, f) \leqq \sum_{\mu=0}^{N} \int_{\partial B(r)} \log ^{+}\left|F_{\mu}\right| \eta+O(1)
$$

Using the same notation $n(t)$ and $N(r)$ as in section 5 , we have by Lemma 3

$$
T(r, f) \leqq N(r)+r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^{2}} d t+O(1)
$$

In view of integration by parts this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f) \leqq r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{N(t)}{t^{2}} d t+O(1) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that the order of $N(r)$ is $\rho$, by Hayman [4, Lemma 4.7] we can take a sequence ${ }^{\prime} r \uparrow \infty$ for an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(t) \leqq\left(\frac{t}{\prime r}\right)^{\rho+e} N\left(\prime^{\prime} r\right) \quad \text { for } t \geqq{ }^{\prime} r \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.1) and (6.2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(r, f) & \leqq r^{\prime-\rho-\varepsilon} N\left({ }^{\prime} r\right) \int_{{ }_{r}}^{\infty} t^{\rho+\epsilon-2} d t+O(1) \\
& =\frac{N\left({ }^{\prime} r\right)}{1-\rho-\varepsilon}+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $K(f) \geqq 1-\rho-\varepsilon$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we deduce (1.3).
Q.E.D.
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    1) Throughout the present paper we only consider hyperplanes $H$ such that $f^{*} H$ do not contain the origin.
    2) As usual, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ stands for the gamma-function.
