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#### Abstract

Let $C$ be a curve in a closed orientable surface $F$ of genus $g \geq 2$ that separates $F$ into subsurfaces $\widetilde{F}_{i}$ of genera $g_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. We study the set of roots in $\operatorname{Mod}(F)$ of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$. All roots arise from pairs of $C_{n_{i}}$-actions on the $\widetilde{F}_{i}$, where $n=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is the degree of the root, that satisfy a certain compatibility condition. The $C_{n_{i}}$-actions are of a kind that we call nestled actions, and we classify them using tuples that we call data sets. The compatibility condition can be expressed by a simple formula, allowing a classification of all roots of $t_{C}$ by compatible pairs of data sets. We use these data set pairs to classify all roots for $g=2$ and $g=3$. We show that there is always a root of degree at least $2 g^{2}+2 g$, while $n \leq 4 g^{2}+2 g$. We also give some additional applications.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $F$ be a closed orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and $C$ be a simple closed curve in $F$. Let $t_{C}$ denote a left-handed Dehn twist about $C$.

When $C$ is a nonseparating curve, the existence of roots of $t_{C}$ is not so apparent. In their paper [5], Margalit and Schleimer showed the existence of such roots by finding elegant examples of roots of $t_{C}$ whose degree is $2 g+1$ on a surface of genus $g+1$. This motivated an earlier collaborative work with McCullough [6] in which we derived necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a root of degree $n$. As immediate applications of the main theorem in the paper, we showed that roots of even degree cannot exist and that $n \leq 2 g+1$. The latter shows that the Margalit-Schleimer roots achieve the maximum value of $n$ among all the roots for a given genus.

Suppose that $C$ is a curve that separates $F$ into subsurfaces $\widetilde{F}_{i}$ of genera $g_{i}$ for $i=1,2$, where $g_{1} \geq g_{2}$. (For convenience, we will denote this by $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$, where the $F_{i}$ are the closed surfaces of genus $g_{i}$ obtained by coning the $\widetilde{F}_{i}$.) It is evident that roots of $t_{C}$ exist. As a simple example, we can obtain a square root of $t_{C}$ by rotating one of the subsurfaces $\widetilde{F}_{i}$ on either side of $C$ by an angle $\pi$, producing a half-twist near $C$. As in the case for nonseparating curves, a natural question is whether we can

[^0]give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a root of $t_{C}$ of degree $n$. In this paper, we derive such conditions and apply them to obtain information about the possible degrees.

We will use a special class of $C_{n}$-actions. A nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-action is defined to be an orientation-preserving $C_{n}$-action on an oriented surface $F$ for which the points fixed by at least one nontrivial element of $C_{n}$ form $\ell+1$ orbits, one of which is a distinguished point fixed by all elements. In terms of the quotient orbifold, there are $\ell+1$ cone points, one of which is a distinguished cone point of order $n$. Nestled $(n, \ell)$-actions are called equivalent if they are conjugate by a homeomorphism taking the distinguished fixed point of one to that of the other. The term nestled is motivated by the fact that in our context, these actions appear as portions of larger actions, nestled, so to speak, inside them. The equivalency of two such actions will be given by the existence of a conjugating homeomorphism that also satisfies an additional condition on their distinguished fixed points.

Two equivalence classes of actions will form a compatible pair if the turning angles of their representative actions around their distinguished fixed points add up to $2 \pi / n$. The key topological idea in our theory is defining nestled ( $n_{i}, \ell_{i}$ )-actions on the subsurfaces $\tilde{F}_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ so that they form a compatible pair, thus giving a root of degree $n=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$. Conversely, for each root of degree $n$, we reverse this argument to produce a corresponding compatible pair.

Thereom 3.4. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then the conjugacy classes in $\operatorname{Mod}(F)$ of roots of $t_{C}$ of degree $n$ correspond to the compatible pairs $\left(\left[h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]\right)$ of equivalence classes of nestled $\left(n_{i}, \ell_{i}\right)$-actions $h_{i}$ on $F_{i}$ of degree $n$.

In Section 4, we introduce the abstract notion of a data set of degree $n$. As in the case of nonseparating curves [6], a data set of degree $n$ is basically a tuple that encodes the essential algebraic information required to describe a nestled action. We show that equivalence classes of nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-actions actually correspond to data sets, that is, each class has a corresponding data set representation. We use Thurston's orbifold theory [10, Ch. 13] to prove this result. A good reference for this theory is Scott [9]. Data sets $D_{i}$ of degree $n_{i}$, for $i=1,2$, form a data set pair $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ when they satisfy the formula $\left(n / n_{1}\right) k_{1}+\left(n / n_{2}\right) k_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod n$, where the turning angles at the centers of the disks are $\left(2 \pi k_{i} / n_{i}\right) \bmod 2 \pi$ and $n=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$. In Theorem 5.2, we show that this number-theoretic condition is an algebraic equivalent of the compatibility condition for actions, thus proving that data set pairs correspond bijectively to conjugacy classes of roots. Theorem 5.2 is essentially a translation of our topological theory of roots to the algebraic language of data sets. An immediate application of Theorem 5.2 is the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. Suppose that $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$. Then there always exists a root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$ of degree $\operatorname{lcm}\left(4 g_{1}, 4 g_{2}+2\right)$.

In Section 6, we classify the roots for the closed orientable surfaces of genus 2 and 3. In Section 7, we obtain some bounds on the orders of spherical nestled
actions, that is, nestled actions whose quotient orbifolds are topologically spheres. For example, we prove that all nestled $(n, \ell)$-actions for $n \geq \frac{2}{3}(2 g-1)$ have to be spherical. In Section 8, we use the main theorem and the results obtained in Section 7 to obtain the following upper bound on $n$.

Theorem 8.6. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Suppose that $n$ denotes the degree of a root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$. Then $n \leq 4 g^{2}+2 g$.

We show in Proposition 8.9 that if we have a nestled $(n, \ell)$-action on $F$ of odd order, then $n \leq 3 g+3$. Using this result, we refine the upper bound derived in Theorem 8.6 to obtain a sharper upper bound for $n$ for $g \geq 10$. Though Theorem 8.6 gives a better upper bound for $n$ for $g \leq 13$, the bound in Theorem 8.14 seems to provide a considerable improvement for $g \geq 14$.

Theorem 8.14. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 10$. Suppose that $n$ denotes the degree of a root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$. Then $n \leq \frac{16}{5} g^{2}+$ $12 g+\frac{45}{4}$.

For $g \geq 14$, in Table 2, we provide calculations which indicate the degree of improvement of this estimate.

## 2. Nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-actions

We introduce nestled $(n, \ell)$-actions in this section and give an example of such an action. We know that an action of a group $G$ on a topological space $X$ is defined as a homomorphism $h: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$. Since we are interested only in $C_{n}$-actions on $F$, we will fix a generator $t$ for $C_{n}$ and identify the finite order homeomorphism $h(t) \in \operatorname{Homeo}(F)$ as the generating homeomorphism of the action. For the sake of notational convenience, throughout this section and later, we will use $h$ to also denote the generating homeomorphism $h(t)$ of the nestled action. As mentioned earlier, nestled actions will play a crucial role in the theory we will develop for roots of Dehn twists.

Definition 2.1. An orientation-preserving $C_{n}$-action on a surface $F$ of genus at least 1 is said to be a nestled $(n, \ell)$-action if either $n=1$, or $n>1$ and:
(i) the action has at least one fixed point;
(ii) the points fixed by some nontrivial element of $C_{n}$ form $\ell+1$ orbits, one of which is a distinguished point fixed by all elements.

This is equivalent to the condition that the quotient orbifold of the action has $\ell+1$ cone points, one of which is a distinguished cone point of order $n$.

A nestled $(n, \ell)$-action is said to be trivial if $n=1$, that is, if it is the action of the trivial group on $F$. In this case only, we allow a cone point of order one in the quotient orbifold. The distinguished cone point can then be any point in $F$, and we require $\ell=0$.


Figure 1. A nestled $(2 g+1,2)$-action for $g=1$.

Defintition 2.2. Assume that $F$ has a fixed orientation and fixed Riemannian metric. Let $h$ be a nestled $(n, \ell)$-action on $F$ with a distinguished fixed point $P$. The turning angle $\theta(h)$ for $h$ is the angle of rotation of the induced isomorphism $h_{*}$ on the tangent space $T_{P}$, in the direction of the chosen orientation.

Example 2.3 (Margalit and Schleimer [5]). Rotate a regular ( $4 g+2$ )-gon with opposite sides identified about its center $P$ through an angle $2 \pi(g+1) / 2 g+1$ as shown in Figure 1. Identifying the opposite sides of the polygon, we get a $C_{2 g+1}$-action $h$ on the closed orientable surface $S_{g}$ of genus $g$ with three fixed points denoted by $P, x$ and $y$. Since the quotient orbifold has three cone points of order $2 g+1$, this defines a nestled ( $2 g+1,2$ )-action on $S_{g}$. If we choose $P$ as the distinguished fixed point for the action $h$, then $\theta(h)=2 \pi(g+1) / 2 g+1$.

Remark 2.4. Every nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-action has an invariant disk around its distinguished fixed point. Indeed, let $F$ be a closed oriented surface with a fixed Riemannian metric $\rho$, and let $h$ be a nestled $(n, \ell)$-action on $F$ with a distinguished fixed point $P$. Consider the Riemannian metric $\bar{\rho}$ defined by

$$
\langle v, w\rangle_{\bar{\rho}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle h_{*}^{i}(v), h_{*}^{i}(w)\right\rangle_{\rho},
$$

where $v, w \in T_{P} F$. Under this metric $\bar{\rho}, h$ is an isometry. Since there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $\exp _{P}: B_{\epsilon}(0) \subset T_{P} F \rightarrow B_{\epsilon}(P) \subset F$ is a diffeomorphism, $h$ preserves the disk $B_{\epsilon}(P)$.

Definition 2.5. Two nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-actions $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ on $F$ with distinguished fixed points $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there exists an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $t: F \rightarrow F$ such that:
(i) $t(P)=P^{\prime}$;
(ii) $t h t^{-1}$ is isotopic to $h^{\prime}$ relative to $P^{\prime}$.

Remark 2.6. By definition, equivalent nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-actions $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ on $F$ are conjugate in $\operatorname{Mod}(F)$. Since conjugate homeomorphisms have the same fixed point data, we have that $\theta(h)=\theta\left(h^{\prime}\right)$.

## 3. Compatible pairs and roots

Suppose that $C$ is a curve that separates a surface $F$ of genus $g$ into two subsurfaces. As mentioned earlier, the central idea is defining compatible nestled actions on the subsurfaces that fit together to give a degree $n$ root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$. We will show in Theorem 3.4 that compatible pairs of equivalent actions correspond bijectively to conjugacy classes of roots of $t_{C}$.
Notation 3.1. Suppose that $C$ separates a closed orientable surface $F$ into subsurfaces of genera $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, where $g_{1} \geq g_{2}$. Let $F_{i}$ denote the closed surface obtained by coning the subsurface of genus $g_{i}$. We will think of $F$ as $\left(F_{1}, C\right) \#\left(F_{2}, C\right)$, that is, the surface obtained by taking the connected sum of the $F_{i}$ along $C$. For convenience, we will denote this by $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$.

Definition 3.2. Equivalence classes [ $h_{i}$ ] of nestled ( $n_{i}, \ell_{i}$ )-actions $h_{i}$ on closed oriented surfaces $F_{i}$, for $i=1,2$, are said to form a compatible pair $\left(\left[h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]\right)$ if $\theta\left(h_{1}\right)+\theta\left(h_{2}\right)=$ $2 \pi / n \bmod 2 \pi$.

The integer $n=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is called the degree of the compatible pair. We may treat ( $\left.\left[h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]\right)$ as an unordered pair, since $\left(\left[h_{2}\right],\left[h_{1}\right]\right)$ is a compatible pair if and only if ( $\left[h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]$ ) is.
Lemma 3.3. Let $F$ be a compact orientable surface, possibly disconnected. If $h$ : $F \rightarrow F$ is a homeomorphism such that $h^{n}$ is isotopic to $i d_{F}$, then $h$ is isotopic to a homeomorphism $j$ with $j^{n}=i d_{F}$.
Proof. When $F$ is connected, this is Nielsen's theorem [7]. Suppose that $F$ is not connected. We may assume that $h$ acts transitively on the set of components $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{\ell}$ of $F$. Choose notation so that $\left.h\right|_{F_{i}}: F_{i} \rightarrow F_{i+1}$ and $\left.h\right|_{F_{\ell-1}}: F_{\ell-1} \rightarrow$ $F_{1}$. Since $h^{n}=\left(h^{l}\right)^{n / \ell} \simeq i d_{F}$, Nielsen's theorem implies that $\left.h^{\ell}\right|_{F_{1} \simeq j_{1}}$ where $j_{1}$ is a homeomorphism on $F_{1}$ with $j_{1}^{n / \ell}=i d_{F_{1}}$. Therefore, $i d_{F_{1}} \simeq j_{1} \circ\left(\left.h^{\ell}\right|_{F_{1}}\right)^{-1}$ via an isotopy $K_{t}$. Define an isotopy $H_{t}$ of $h$ by $\left.H_{t}\right|_{F_{i}}=h$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell-2$ and $\left.H_{t}\right|_{F_{\ell-1}}=$ $\left.K_{t} \circ h\right|_{F_{t-1}}$. Then $\left.H_{1}\right|_{F_{t-1}}=K_{1} \circ h=j_{1} \circ\left(\left.h^{\ell}\right|_{F_{1}}\right)^{-1} \circ h$. We see that

$$
\left(\left.H_{1}\right|_{F_{i}}\right)^{\ell}=h^{i} \circ\left(j_{1} \circ h^{1-\ell}\right) \circ h^{\ell-1-i}=h^{i} \circ j_{1} \circ h^{-i}
$$

and

$$
\left(\left.H_{1}\right|_{F_{i}}\right)^{n}=\left(\left.H_{1}\right|_{F_{i}} ^{\ell}\right)^{n / \ell}=h^{i} \circ j_{1}^{n / \ell} \circ h^{-i}=h^{i} \circ h^{-i}=i d_{F_{i}} .
$$

The required homeomorphism is $j=H_{1}$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then the conjugacy classes in $\operatorname{Mod}(F)$ of roots of $t_{C}$ of degree $n$ correspond to the compatible pairs $\left(\left[h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]\right)$ of equivalence classes of nestled $\left(n_{i}, \ell_{i}\right)$-actions $h_{i}$ on $F_{i}$ of degree $n$.


Figure 2. The surface $F$ with the separating curve $C$ and the tubular neighborhood $N$ of $C$.

Proof. We will first prove that every root of degree $n$ yields a compatible pair of ( $\left[h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]$ ) of degree $n$.

Fix a closed annulus neighborhood $N$ of $C$. Let $\widetilde{F}_{i}$, for $i=1,2$, be the components of $\overline{G-N}$, and denote the genus of $\widetilde{F}_{i}$ by $g_{i}$. We fix coordinates on $F$ so that the subsurface $\widetilde{F}_{1}$ is to the left of $C$ as shown in Figure 2. By isotopy we may assume that $t_{C}(C)=C, t_{C}(N)=N$, and $t_{C}{\widetilde{F_{i}}}=i d_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$.

Suppose that $h$ is an $n$th root of $t_{C}$. We have $t_{C} \simeq h t_{C} h^{-1} \simeq t_{h(C)}$, which implies that $h(C)$ is isotopic to $C$. Changing $h$ by isotopy, we may assume that $h$ preserves $C$ and takes $N$ to $N$. Put $\widetilde{h_{i}}=\left.h\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$. Since $h^{n} \simeq t_{C}$ and both preserve $C$, there is an isotopy from $h^{n}$ to $t_{C}$ preserving $C$ and hence one taking $N$ to $N$ at each time. That is, $\widetilde{h}_{1}^{n}$ is isotopic to $i d_{\widetilde{F}_{1}}$ and $\widetilde{h}_{2}^{n}$ is isotopic to $i d_{\widetilde{F}_{2}}$. By Lemma 3.3, $\widetilde{h}_{i}$ is isotopic to a homeomorphism whose $n$th power is $i d_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$. So we may change $\widetilde{h}_{i}$ and hence $h$ by isotopy to assume that $\widetilde{h}_{i}^{n}=i d_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$.

Let $n_{i}$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\widetilde{h}_{i}^{n_{i}}=i d_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$ for $i=1,2$. Let $s=$ $\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$. Clearly, $s \mid n$ since $n_{i} \mid n$. Also, $h^{s}=i d_{\widetilde{F}_{1} \cup \widetilde{F}_{2}}$ which implies that $h^{s}=t_{C}{ }^{d}$ for some integer $d$. Hence, $\left(h^{s}\right)^{n / s}=\left(t_{C}{ }^{d}\right)^{n / s}$, that is, $h^{n}=t_{C}{ }^{d n / s}$. We get $t_{C}=t_{C}{ }^{d n / s}$, which implies that $d n / s=1$ since no higher power of $t_{C}$ is isotopic to $t_{C}$. Hence, $d=1$ and $n=s=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$.

Assume for now that $h$ does not interchange the sides of $C$. We fill in the boundary circles of $\widetilde{F}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{F}_{2}$ with disks to obtain the closed orientable surfaces $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ with genera $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$. We then extend $\widetilde{h_{i}}$ to a homeomorphism $h_{i}$ on $F_{i}$ by coning. Thus $h_{i}$ defines a $C_{n_{i}}$-action on $F_{i}$ where $n_{i} \mid n, C_{n_{i}}=\left\langle h_{i} \mid h_{i}^{n_{i}}=1\right\rangle$ for $i=1,2$, and $\underline{\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)}=n$. Since the homeomorphism $h_{i}$ fixes the center point $P_{i}$ of the disk $\overline{F_{i}-\widetilde{F}_{i}}$, we choose $P_{i}$ as the distinguished fixed point for $h_{i}$. So $h_{i}$ defines a nestled ( $n_{i}, \ell_{i}$ )-action on $F_{i}$ for some $\ell_{i}$.

The orientation on $F$ restricts to orientations on the $F_{i}$, so that we may speak of rotation angles $\theta\left(h_{i}\right)$ for $h_{i}$. Then the rotation angle $\theta\left(h_{i}\right)=2 \pi k_{i} / n_{i}$ for some $k_{i}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k_{i}, n_{i}\right)=1$. As seen in Figure 3, the difference in turning angles


Figure 3. The local effect of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ on disk neighborhoods of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ in $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, and the effect of $h$ on the neighborhood $N$ of $C$ in $F$. Only the boundaries of the disk neighborhoods are contained in $\widetilde{F}_{i}$, where they form the boundary of $N$. The rotation angle $\theta\left(h_{1}\right)$ is $2 \pi k_{1} / n_{1}$ and the angle $\theta\left(h_{2}\right)$ is $2 \pi k_{2} / n_{2}=2 \pi\left(1 / n-k_{1} / n_{1}\right)$.
equals $2 \pi k_{2} / n_{2}-\left(-2 \pi k_{1} / n_{1}\right)=2 \pi / n$, giving $\theta\left(h_{1}\right)+\theta\left(h_{2}\right) \equiv 2 \pi / n \bmod 2 \pi$. That is, $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$ is a compatible pair.

Suppose now that $h$ interchanges the sides of $C$. Then $h$ must be of even order, say $2 n$, and $h^{2}$ preserves the sides of $C$ and is of order $n$. Since the actions of $\left.h^{2}\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$ on the $\widetilde{F}_{i}$ are conjugate by $\left.h\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{1} \cup \widetilde{F}_{2}}$, these actions will induce conjugate $C_{n}$-actions on the coned surfaces $F_{i}$. Consequently, these induced actions will have the same turning angles at the centers $P_{i}$ of the coned disks of $F_{i}$. For this compatible pair of nestled $\left(n_{i}, \ell_{i}\right)$-actions, say $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$, associated with $h^{2}$, we must have $\theta\left(h_{1}\right)=\theta\left(h_{2}\right)=\pi / n$ and $n_{1}=n_{2}=n$. If we extend to $N$ using a simple left-handed twist, the twisting angle is $2 \pi k / n$, and consequently $h^{2 n}=t_{C}^{2 k}$. Other extensions will differ from this by full twists, giving $h^{2 n}=t_{C}^{2 k+2 j n}$ for some integer $j$. In any case, $h^{2 n}$ cannot equal $t_{C}$. This proves that $h$ cannot reverse the sides of $C$.

Suppose that we have roots $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ that are conjugate in $\operatorname{Mod}(F)$, that is, there exists $t \in \operatorname{Mod}(F)$ such that $h^{\prime}=t \circ h \circ t^{-1}$. Then $\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{n}=t \circ h^{n} \circ t^{-1}$, that is, $t_{C}=t \circ t_{C} \circ t^{-1}=t_{t(C)}$. This shows that $C$ and $t(C)$ are isotopic curves. Changing $t$ by isotopy, we may assume that $t(C)=C$ and $t(N)=N$. Let $t_{i}, h_{i}$ and $h_{i}^{\prime}$ respectively denote the extensions of $\left.t\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{i}},\left.h\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$ and $\left.h^{\prime}\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$ to $F_{i}$ by coning.

Assume for now that $t$ does not exchange the sides of $C$. Since $t, h$ and $h^{\prime}$ all preserve $N$, we may assume that the isotopy from $t \circ h \circ t^{-1}$ to $h^{\prime}$ preserves $N$, and consequently each $t_{i} \circ h_{i} \circ t_{i}^{-1}$ is isotopic to $h_{i}^{\prime}$ preserving $P_{i}$. Since $t_{i}$ takes $P_{i}$ to $P_{i}$, $h_{i}$ and $h_{i}^{\prime}$ are equivalent as nestled ( $n_{i}, \ell_{i}$ )-actions on $F_{i}$, so $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ produce the same compatible pair ([ $\left.h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]$ ).

Suppose that $t$ exchanges the sides of $C$. Then $g_{1}=g_{2}, h_{3-i}^{\prime} \simeq t_{i} \circ h_{i} \circ t_{i}^{-1}$ and $t_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)=P_{3-i}$. So the actions $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}^{\prime}$ are equivalent, as are the actions $h_{1}^{\prime}$ and $h_{2}$. Therefore, the (unordered) compatible pairs for the two roots are the same.

Conversely, given a compatible pair ( $\left[h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]$ ) of equivalence classes of nestled $\left(n_{i}, \ell_{i}\right)$-actions, we can reverse the argument to produce a root $h$. For let $P_{i}$ denote the distinguished fixed point of $h_{i}$ and let $p_{i}$ denote the corresponding cone point of


Figure 4. The orbifold $O$.
order $n_{i}$ in the quotient orbifold $O_{i}$. By Remark 2.4, there exists an invariant disk $D_{i}$ for $h_{i}$ around $p_{i}$. Removing $D_{i}$ produces the surfaces $\widetilde{F}_{i}$, and attaching an annulus $N$ produces the surface $F$ of genus $g$. Condition (ii) on compatible pairs ensures that the rotation angles work correctly to allow an extension of $\left.\left.h_{1}\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{1}} \cup h_{2}\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{2}}$ to an $h$ with $h^{n}$ being a single Dehn twist about $C$.

It remains to show that the resulting root $h$ of $t_{C}$ is determined up to conjugacy in the mapping class group of $F$. Suppose that $h_{i}^{\prime} \in\left[h_{i}\right]$. Let $P_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the distinguished fixed point for $h_{i}^{\prime}$, and let $D_{i}^{\prime}$ be an invariant disk for $h_{i}^{\prime}$ around $P_{i}^{\prime}$. Removing the $D_{i}^{\prime}$ produces surfaces $\widetilde{F}_{i}^{\prime} \cong F_{i}$, for $i=1,2$, and attaching an annulus $N^{\prime}$ with a $(1 / n)$ th twist, extends $\left.\left.h_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{1}^{\prime}} \cup h_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{2}^{\prime}}$ to a homeomorphism $h^{\prime}$ on a surface $F^{\prime} \cong F$ of genus $g$. Since $h_{i}^{\prime} \in\left[h_{i}\right]$, by definition, there exists $t_{i}$ such that $t_{i}\left(P_{i}\right)=P_{i}^{\prime}$ and $t_{i} \circ h_{i} \circ t_{i}^{-1} \simeq h_{i}^{\prime}$ rel $P_{i}^{\prime}$ via an isotopy $H_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Mod}\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $h_{i}$ and $h_{i}^{\prime}$ have finite order and are conjugate up to isotopy by $t_{i}$, we may assume that $t_{i}\left(D_{i}\right)=D_{i}^{\prime}$ and, identifying $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ using $t$, that the isotopy $H_{i}$ from $t_{i} \circ h_{i} \circ t_{i}^{-1}$ to $h_{i}^{\prime}$ is relative to $D_{i}$. With respect to this identification, we choose a $k: N \rightarrow N$ such that $\left.h^{\prime}\right|_{N}=\left.k \circ h\right|_{N} \circ k^{-1}$. Now define $t: F \rightarrow F$ by $\left.t\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}=\left.h_{i}\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$, and $\left.t\right|_{N}=k$. Then $h^{\prime} \simeq t \circ h \circ t^{-1}$ via an isotopy $H$ given by $\left.H\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}=\left.H_{i}\right|_{\widetilde{F}_{i}}$, and $\left.H\right|_{N}=i d_{N}$.

## 4. Nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-actions and data sets

In this section, we will introduce the language of data sets of degree $n$ in order to algebraically encode equivalence classes of nestled $(n, \ell)$-actions. We will prove that the equivalence classes of nestled $(n, \ell)$-actions actually correspond to data sets of length $\ell$.

Notation 4.1. For a nestled $(n, \ell)$-action $h$ on a closed orientable surface $F$ of genus $g$, we will use the following notation throughout this section. Let $O$ be the quotient orbifold for the action and let $\widetilde{g}$ be the genus of its underlying 2-manifold. Let $P$ be the distinguished fixed point of $h$ and let $p$ be the cone point in $O$ of order $n$ that is its image in $O$. Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{\ell}$ be the other possible cone points of $O$, if any.

Figure 4 shows a generator $\alpha$ of the orbifold fundamental group $\pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}(O)$ that goes around the point $p$, and generators $\gamma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq \ell$ going around $p_{i}$. Let $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$, $1 \leq j \leq \widetilde{g}$, be standard generators of the underlying surface of $O$, chosen to give the
following presentation of $\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{orb}}(O)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}(O)=\left\langle\alpha, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\ell}, a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{\widetilde{g}}, b_{\widetilde{g}}\right| \\
& \left.\quad \alpha^{n}=\gamma_{1}^{x_{1}}=\cdots=\gamma_{\ell}^{x_{\ell}}=1, \alpha \gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{\ell}=\prod_{i=1}^{\widetilde{g}}\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

With this notation, we develop a set of numerical parameters in order to classify nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-actions.
Remark 4.2. From orbifold covering space theory [10], we have the exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(F) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{orb}}(O) \xrightarrow{\rho} C_{n} \longrightarrow 1 .
$$

The homomorphism $\rho$ is obtained by lifting path representatives of elements of $\pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}(O)$-these do not pass through the cone points so the lifts are uniquely determined.

For $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, the preimage of $p_{i}$ consists of $n / x_{i}$ points cyclically permuted by $h$, where $x_{i}$ is the order of the stabilizer of each point in the preimage of $p_{i}$. Each of the points has stabilizer generated by $h^{n / x_{i}}$. Its rotation angles must be the same at all points of the orbit, since its action at one point is conjugate by a power of $h$ to its action at each other point. So the rotation angle at each point is of the form $2 \pi c_{i}^{\prime} / x_{i}$, where $c_{i}^{\prime}$ is a residue class modulo $x_{i}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{i}^{\prime}, x_{i}\right)=1$. Lifting the $\gamma_{i}$, we have that $\rho_{1}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=h^{\left(n / x_{i}\right) c_{i}}$ where $c_{i} c_{i}^{\prime} \equiv 1 \bmod x_{i}$.

Since $C_{n}$ is abelian, we have that $\rho\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\tilde{g}}\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]\right)=1$, so

$$
1=\rho_{i}\left(\alpha \gamma_{1} \cdots \gamma_{\ell}\right)=t^{a+\left(n / x_{1}\right) c_{1}+\cdots+\left(n / x_{i}\right) c_{i}}
$$

giving

$$
a+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{n}{x_{i}} c_{i} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod n
$$

Thus, we obtain a collection of numerical parameters $D=\left(n, \tilde{g}, a ;\left(c_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left(c_{\ell}, x_{\ell}\right)$ ) satisfying certain number-theoretic conditions.

We call the collection of numerical parameters obtained in Remark 4.2 a data set, which we formalize in the following definition.

Defintion 4.3. A data set is a tuple $D=\left(n, \widetilde{g}, a ;\left(c_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{\ell}, x_{\ell}\right)\right)$ where $n, \widetilde{g}$ and the $x_{i}$ are integers, $a$ is a residue class modulo $n$, and each $c_{i}$ is a residue class modulo $x_{i}$, such that:
(i) $n \geq 1, \widetilde{g} \geq 0$, each $x_{i}>1$, and each $x_{i}$ divides $n$;
(ii) $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{i}, x_{i}\right)=1$;
(iii) $a+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(n / x_{i}\right) c_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod n$.

The number $n$ is called the degree of the data set and the number $\ell$ is called the length of the data set. If $n=1$, then we require that $a=1$, and the data set is $D=(1, \bar{g}, 1 ;)$. The integer $g$ defined by

$$
g=\widetilde{g} n+\frac{1}{2}(1-n)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{n}{x_{i}}\left(x_{i}-1\right)
$$

is called the genus of the data set. We consider two data sets to be the same if they differ by reordering the pairs $\left(c_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{\ell}, x_{\ell}\right)$.
Remark 4.4. For any data set $D=\left(n, \tilde{g}, a ;\left(c_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{\ell}, x_{\ell}\right)\right)$, we have $\operatorname{lcm}\left\{x_{1}\right.$, $\left.x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}=n$. To see this, put $k=\operatorname{lcm}\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{\ell}\right\}$. Since each $x_{i} \mid n$, we have $k \mid n$. So it remains to show that $n \mid k$. Condition (iii) implies that

$$
\frac{a k}{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{n\left(k / x_{i}\right)}{k} c_{i} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod n
$$

Multiplying by $k$,

$$
a k+n \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(k / x_{i}\right) c_{i} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod n
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$, we have $n \mid k$.
With this notation, we are ready to establish the key property of data sets.
Proposition 4.5. Data sets of degree n, genus $g$ and length $\ell$ correspond to equivalence classes of nestled $(n, \ell)$-actions on closed orientable surfaces of genus $g$.

Proof. Let $h$ be a nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-action. From Remark 4.2, it is apparent that $h$ yields a data set $D=\left(n, \widetilde{g}, a ;\left(c_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{\ell}, x_{\ell}\right)\right)$ of degree $n$ and length $\ell$. The fact that the data set $D$ has genus equal to $g$ follows easily from the multiplicativity of the orbifold covering $F \rightarrow O$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2-2 g}{n}=2-2 \widetilde{g}+\left(\frac{1}{n}-1\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\frac{1}{x_{i}}-1\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider another nestled $(n, \ell)$-action $h^{\prime}$ in the equivalence class of $h$ with a distinguished fixed point $P^{\prime}$. Then by definition there exists an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $t \in \operatorname{Mod}(F)$ such that $t(P)=P^{\prime}$ and $t h^{\prime} t^{-1}$ is isotopic to $h$ relative to $P$. Therefore, the two actions will have the same fixed point data and hence produce the same data set $D$.

Conversely, given a data set $D=\left(n, \widetilde{g}, a ;\left(c_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{\ell}, x_{\ell}\right)\right)$, we can reverse the argument to produce an equivalence class of a nestled $(n, \ell)$-action $h$ on a surface $F$ of genus $g$. We construct the orbifold $O$ and representation $\rho: \pi_{1}^{\mathrm{orb}}(O) \rightarrow C_{n}$. Any finite subgroup of $\pi_{1}^{\text {orb }}(O)$ is conjugate to one of the cyclic subgroups generated by $\alpha$ or a $\gamma_{i}$, so condition (ii) in the definition of the data set ensures that the kernel of $\rho$ is
torsion-free. Therefore the orbifold covering $F \rightarrow O$ corresponding to the kernel is a manifold, and calculation of the Euler characteristic shows that $F$ has genus $g$.

It remains to show that the resulting action on $F$ is determined up to our equivalence in $\operatorname{Mod}(F)$. Suppose that two actions $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ on $F$ with distinguished fixed points $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ have the same data set $D$. $D$ encodes the fixed-point data of the periodic transformations $h$. By a result of Nielsen [7] (see also Edmonds [2, Theorem 1.3]), $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ have to be conjugate by an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $t$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [6], $t$ may be chosen so that it preserves $t(P)=P^{\prime}$. Thus $D$ determines $h$ up to equivalence.

Proposition 4.5 enables us to view equivalence classes of nestled $(n, \ell)$-actions simply as data sets.
Notation 4.6. We will denote a data set of degree $n$ and genus $g$ by $D_{n, g, i}$, where $i$ is an index that can be used to distinguish data sets with the same values of $(n, \ell)$. The trivial data set $D=\{1, g, 1 ;\}$, for any $g$, will be denoted by $D_{1, g}$.

Example 4.7. The following are examples of data sets that represent nestled ( $n, 2$ )actions, for every $g \geq 1$ and $n$ equal to $2 g+1,4 g$ and $4 g+2$.
(i) $D_{2 g+1, g, 1}=(2 g+1,0,1 ;(g, 2 g+1),(g, 2 g+1))$.
(ii) $\quad D_{4 g, g, 1}=(4 g, 0,1 ;(1,2),(2 g-1,4 g))$.
(iii) $D_{4 g+2, g, 1}=(4 g+2,0,1 ;(1,2),(g, 2 g+1))$.

Remark 4.8. For the data set $D=\left(n, \widetilde{g}, a ;\left(c_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{n}, x_{\ell}\right)\right)$ associated with a nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-action, Equation (4.1) in the proof of Proposition 4.5 gives the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-2 g}{n}=-(\ell-1)-2 \widetilde{g}+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{x_{i}} \leq-(\ell-1)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{x_{i}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.9. There exists no nontrivial action with $\ell=0$. Suppose that we assume the contrary. Using Notation 4.1,

$$
\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{orb}}(O)=\left\langle\alpha, a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{\widetilde{g}}, b_{\widetilde{g}} \mid \alpha^{n}=1, \alpha=\prod_{j=1}^{\widetilde{g}}\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right]\right\rangle .
$$

Since $C_{n}$ is abelian, $\rho(\alpha)=\rho\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\widetilde{g}}\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right]\right)=1$, which is impossible since $\rho$ has torsion-free kernel.

## 5. Data set pairs and roots

By Theorem 3.4, each conjugacy class of a root of $t_{C}$ in $\operatorname{Mod}(F)$ corresponds to a compatible pair ( $\left[h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]$ ) of (equivalence classes of) nestled actions, and by Proposition 4.5 , such a pair determines a pair $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ of data sets. To determine which pairs of data sets arise, we must replace the geometric compatibility condition in Theorem 3.4 by an algebraic compatibility condition on the corresponding data sets.

Defintion 5.1. Two data sets $D_{1}=\left(n_{1}, \widetilde{g_{1}}, a_{1} ;\left(c_{11}, x_{11}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{1 \ell}, x_{1 \ell}\right)\right)$ and $D_{2}=$ $\left(n_{2}, \widetilde{g_{2}}, a_{2} ;\left(c_{21}, x_{21}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{2 m}, x_{2 m}\right)\right)$ are said to form a data set pair $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{n_{1}} k_{1}+\frac{n}{n_{2}} k_{2} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod n \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ and $a_{i} k_{i} \equiv 1 \bmod n_{i}$. Note that although the $k_{i}$ are only defined modulo $n_{i}$, the expressions $\left(n / n_{i}\right) k_{i}$ are well defined modulo $n$. The integer $n$ is called the degree of the data set pair and $g=g_{1}+g_{2}$ is called the genus of the data set pair. We consider $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ to be an unordered pair, that is, $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ and $\left(D_{2}, D_{1}\right)$ are equivalent as compatible pairs.

We can now reformulate Theorem 3.4 in terms of data sets.
Theorem 5.2. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then data set pairs $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ of degree $n$ and genus $g$, where $D_{1}$ is a data set of genus $g_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ is a data set of genus $g_{2}$, correspond to the conjugacy classes in $\operatorname{Mod}(F)$ of roots of $t_{C}$ of degree $n$.

Proof. Let $h$ denote the conjugacy class of a root of $t_{C}$ of degree $n$ with compatible pair representation $\left(\left[h_{1}\right],\left[h_{2}\right]\right)$. From Proposition 4.5, the $h_{i}$ correspond to data sets $D_{i}=$ $\left(n_{i}, \widetilde{g}_{i}, a_{i} ;\left(c_{i 1}, x_{i 1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{i i_{i}}, x_{1 \ell_{i}}\right)\right)$. So it suffices to show that the geometric condition $\theta\left(h_{1}\right)+\theta\left(h_{2}\right)=2 \pi / n$ in Definition 3.2 is equivalent to the condition $\left(n / n_{1}\right) k_{1}+$ $\left(n / n_{2}\right) k_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ in Definition 5.1.

As in the proof of Proposition 3.4, let $P_{i}$ denote the center of the filling disk of the subsurface $\widetilde{F}_{i}$ of genus $g_{i}$. Choosing $P_{i}$ as the distinguished fixed point of $h_{i}$, we get that $\theta\left(h_{i}\right)=2 \pi k_{i} / n_{i}$, where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k_{i}, n_{i}\right)=1$ and $a_{i} k_{i} \equiv 1 \bmod n_{i}$. Since $h^{n}=t_{C}$, the left-hand twisting angle along $N$ is $2 \pi / n$, which equals $2 \pi k_{2} / n_{2}-\left(-2 \pi k_{1} / n_{1}\right)=2 \pi / n$, giving $\left(n / n_{1}\right) k_{1}+\left(n / n_{2}\right) k_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod n$. The converse is just a matter of reversing the argument.

Corollary 5.3. Suppose that $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$. Then there always exists a root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$ of degree $\operatorname{lcm}\left(4 g_{1}, 4 g_{2}+2\right)$.
Proof. As in Theorem 5.2, let $\widetilde{F}_{i}$ denote the subsurfaces obtained by cutting $F$ along $C$, and let $F_{i}$ denote the surfaces obtained by adding disks to the $F_{i}$. Let $n_{1}=4 g_{1}$ and $n_{2}=4 g_{2}+2$. From Example 4.7, for any residue class $a_{i}$ modulo $n_{i}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{i}, n_{i}\right)=$ 1 , the data set $D_{1}=\left(n_{1}, 0, a_{1} ;\left(-a_{1}, 2 g_{1}\right),\left(a_{1}, 4 g_{1}\right)\right)$ defines a nestled $\left(n_{1}, 2\right)$-action on a surface $F_{1}$ of genus $g_{1}$, and the data set $D_{2}=\left(n_{2}, 0, a_{2} ;\left(a_{2}, 2\right),\left(a_{2} g_{2}, 2 g_{2}+1\right)\right)$ defines a nestled ( $n_{2}, 2$ )-action on $F_{2}$ of genus $g_{2}$.

Let $k_{i}$ denote the inverse of $a_{i}$ modulo $n_{i}$ and let $n=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$. We will now show that the $a_{i}$ can be selected so that Equation (5.1) is satisfied. In other words, this will prove that $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ form a data set pair $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$. Since $n / n_{1}$ and $n / n_{2}$ are relatively prime, there always exist integers $p$ and $q$ such that

$$
\frac{n}{n_{1}} p+\frac{n}{n_{2}} q=1
$$

In particular, since $n / n_{1}$ and $n / n_{2}$ are not both odd, by [6, Lemma 7.1], $p$ and $q$ can be chosen so that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p, n_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n_{2}\right)=1$. Let $k_{1}$ be the residue class of $p$ modulo $n_{1}$ and let $k_{2}$ be the residue class of $q$ modulo $n_{2}$. Taking modulo $n$,

$$
\frac{n}{n_{1}} k_{1}+\frac{n}{n_{2}} k_{2} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod n
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 5.2, there exists a root of $t_{C}$ of order $1 \mathrm{~cm}\left(4 g_{1}, 4 g_{2}+2\right)$.
Corollary 5.4. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Suppose that $M$ denotes the maximum degree of a root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$. Then $2 g^{2}+2 g \leq M$.

Proof. If $g$ is even, then Corollary 5.3 with $g_{1}=g_{2}=g / 2$ gives a root of degree $\operatorname{lcm}(2 g, 2 g+1)=2 g(2 g+1)$. If $g$ is odd, then $g_{1}=(g+1) / 2$ and $g_{2}=(g-1) / 2$ gives a root of degree lcm $(2(g+1), 2 g)=2 g(g+1)$.

## 6. Classification of roots for the closed orientable surfaces of genus 2 and 3

6.1. Surface of genus 2. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be the closed orientable surface of genus 2. Up to homeomorphism, there is a unique curve $C$ that separates $F$ into two subsurfaces of genus 1 . Given a root of $t_{C}$, the process described in the proof of Theorem 3.4 produces orientation-preserving $C_{n_{i}}$-actions on the tori $F_{i}$, for $i=1,2$, with $n=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$.

If a cyclic group $C_{n}$ acts faithfully on a surface $F$ fixing a point $x_{0}$, then the map $C_{n} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\pi_{1}\left(F, x_{0}\right)\right)$ is a monomorphism [1, Theorem 2, page 43]. We also know that the group of orientation-preserving automorphisms $\operatorname{Aut}^{+}\left(\pi_{1}\left(F_{i}, x_{0}\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{Aut}^{+}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \cong$ $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4} *_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \mathbb{Z}_{6}$. Since any element of finite order of an amalgamated product $A *_{C} B$ is conjugate to one of the groups $A$ or $B$ [4], it can only be of order 2, 3, 4 or 6. Taking the least common multiple of any two of these orders gives 12 as the only other possibility for the order of a root of $t_{C}$. We summarize these inferences in the following corollary.

Corollary 6.1. Let $F$ be the closed orientable surface of genus 2 and $C$ a separating curve in $F$. Then a root of a Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$ can only be of degree 2, 3, 4, 6, or 12.

By Theorem 5.2, classifying compatible pairs of $C_{n_{i}}$-actions on $F_{i}$ is equivalent to classifying all data set pairs of genus 2 . Given below are the data set pairs that represent each conjugacy class of roots. For $n=2$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{2,1,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{2,1,1}=(2,0,1 ;(1,2),(1,2),(1,2))$.

For $n=3$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{3,1,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{3,1,1}=(3,0,1 ;(1,3),(1,3))$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{3,1,2}, D_{3,1,2}\right)$, where $D_{3,1,2}=(3,0,2 ;(2,3),(2,3))$.

For $n=4$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{4,1,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{4,1,1}=(4,0,1 ;(1,2),(1,4))$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{4,1,2}, D_{2,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{4,1,2}=(4,0,3 ;(1,2),(3,4))$.

For $n=6$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{6,1,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{6,1,1}=(6,0,1 ;(1,2),(1,3))$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{6,1,2}, D_{3,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{6,1,2}=(6,0,5 ;(1,2),(2,3))$;
(iii) $\left(D_{3,1,2}, D_{2,1,1}\right)$.

For $n=12$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{6,1,2}, D_{4,1,1}\right)$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{4,1,2}, D_{3,1,1}\right)$.

It can be shown using elementary calculations that these are the only possible roots for the various orders. For example, when $n=12$, the condition $\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=12$ would imply that the set $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\}$ can be either $\{6,4\}$ or $\{4,3\}$. When $n_{1}=6$ and $n_{2}=4$, the data set pair condition gives $2 k_{1}+3 k_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 12$. Since $k_{i}$ is a residue modulo $n_{i}$, the only possible solution to this equation is $k_{1}=5$ and $k_{2}=1$. This would imply that $a_{1}=5$ and $a_{2}=1$ since $a_{i}$ is the inverse of $k_{i}$ modulo $n_{i}$. Geometrically, this represents the root $h$ of $t_{C}$ whose twisting angle on one side is $2 \pi k_{1} / n_{1}=5 \pi / 3$ and on the other side of $C$ is $2 \pi k_{2} / n_{2}=\pi / 2$. Each data set $D_{i}$ in the data set pair $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ is then uniquely determined by condition (iii) (for data sets) and the formula for calculating the genus $g_{i}$. Similar calculations can be used to determine all the data set pairs for the surface of genus 3 .
6.2. Surface of genus 3. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be the closed orientable surface of genus 3 . Then (up to homeomorphism), $F$ has a unique curve that separates the surface into two subsurfaces of genera 2 and 1 . As in the classification of roots of in the genus 2 case, it suffices to classify pairs of compatible pairs of nestled ( $n_{i}, \ell_{i}$ )-actions on surfaces $F_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. The various nestled $\left(n_{2}, \ell_{2}\right)$-actions on the torus $F_{2}$ have already been classified in the genus 2 case. So it remains to classify all possible ( $n_{1}, \ell_{1}$ )-actions on the surface $F_{1}$ of genus 2 and then determine how many of these actions form compatible pairs with nestled $\left(n_{2}, \ell_{2}\right)$-actions on $F_{2}$. By Remark 8.1, we have that $n_{1} \leq 10$ and $n_{2} \leq 6$. Therefore, Theorem 5.2 would imply that classifying compatible nestled $\left(n_{i}, \ell_{i}\right)$-actions on the $F_{i}$ is equivalent to determining all possible data sets pairs ( $D_{n_{1}, 2, i}, D_{n_{2}, 1, j}$ ), where $n_{1} \leq 10$ and $n_{2} \leq 6$. Given below are the data set pairs that represent roots of various degrees that were determined by programming the numbertheoretic conditions for data set and their pairs in software [8] written for the GAP programming language. For $n=2$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{1,2}, D_{2,1,1}\right)$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{2,2,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{2,2,1}=(2,0,1 ;(1,2),(1,2),(1,2),(1,2),(1,2))$;
(iii) $\left(D_{2,2,2}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{2,2,2}=(2,1,1 ;(1,2))$.

For $n=3$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{1,2}, D_{3,1,1}\right)$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{3,2,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{3,2,1}=(3,0,1 ;(2,3),(2,3),(1,3))$;
(iii) $\left(D_{3,2,2}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{3,2,2}=(3,0,2 ;(1,3),(1,3),(2,3))$.

For $n=4$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{1,2}, D_{4,1,1}\right)$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{4,2,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{4,2,1}=(4,0,1 ;(1,2),(1,2),(3,4))$;
(iii) $\left(D_{4,2,2}, D_{4,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{4,2,2}=(4,0,3 ;(1,2),(1,2),(2,4))$.

For $n=5$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{5,2,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,1}=(5,0,1 ;(1,5),(3,5))$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{5,2,2}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,2}=(5,0,1 ;(2,5),(2,5))$.

For $n=6$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{1,2}, D_{6,1,2}\right)$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{6,2,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{6,2,1}=(6,0,1 ;(2,3),(1,6))$;
(iii) $\left(D_{2,2,1}, D_{3,1,2}\right)$;
(iv) $\left(D_{2,2,2}, D_{3,1,2}\right)$;
(v) $\left(D_{3,2,2}, D_{2,1,1}\right)$;
(vi) $\left(D_{3,2,1}, D_{6,1,2}\right)$;
(vii) $\left(D_{6,2,2}, D_{3,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{6,2,2}=(6,0,5 ;(1,3),(5,6))$.

For $n=8$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{8,2,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{8,2,1}=(8,0,1 ;(1,2),(3,8))$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{8,2,2}, D_{2,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{8,2,2}=(8,0,5 ;(1,2),(7,8))$;
(iii) $\left(D_{8,2,3}, D_{4,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{8,2,3}=(8,0,7 ;(1,2),(5,8))$;
(iv) $\left(D_{8,2,4}, D_{4,1,2}\right)$, where $D_{8,2,4}=(8,0,3 ;(1,2),(1,8))$.

For $n=10$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{10,2,1}, D_{1,1}\right)$, where $D_{10,2,1}=(10,0,1 ;(1,2),(2,5))$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{5,2,3}, D_{2,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,3}=(5,0,3 ;(1,5),(1,5))$;
(iii) $\left(D_{5,2,4}, D_{2,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,4}=(5,0,3 ;(3,5),(4,5))$.

For $n=12$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{4,2,2}, D_{3,1,1}\right)$;
(ii) $\left(D_{3,2,1}, D_{4,1,2}\right)$;
(iii) $\left(D_{4,2,1}, D_{6,1,2}\right)$;
(iv) $\left(D_{6,2,2}, D_{4,1,1}\right)$.

For $n=15$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{5,2,5}, D_{3,1,2}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,5}=(5,0,3 ;(1,5),(1,5))$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{5,2,6}, D_{3,1,2}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,6}=(5,0,3 ;(3,5),(4,5))$.

For $n=20$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{5,2,5}, D_{4,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,5}=(5,0,4 ;(4,5),(2,5))$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{5,2,6}, D_{4,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,6}=(5,0,4 ;(3,5),(3,5))$;
(iii) $\left(D_{10,2,1}, D_{4,1,2}\right)$, where $D_{10,2,1}=(10,0,7 ;(1,2),(4,5))$.

For $n=24$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{8,2,4}, D_{3,1,2}\right)$;
(ii) $\left(D_{8,2,3}, D_{6,1,1}\right)$.

For $n=30$ :
(i) $\quad\left(D_{10,2,2}, D_{3,1,1}\right)$, where $D_{10,2,2}=(10,0,9 ;(1,2),(3,5))$;
(ii) $\quad\left(D_{5,2,7}, D_{6,1,2}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,7}=(5,0,1 ;(1,5),(3,5))$;
(iii) $\quad\left(D_{5,2,8}, D_{6,1,2}\right)$, where $D_{5,2,8}=(5,0,1 ;(2,5),(2,5))$.

As in the earlier genus 2 case, it can be shown using elementary calculations that these are the only possible roots up to conjugacy for the various orders. For example, when $n=15$, since $n_{1} \leq 10$ and $n_{2} \leq 6$, we would have that $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\}=\{3,5\}$. Since there is no $C_{5}$-action on the torus, we have that $n_{1}=5$. When $n_{1}=5$ and $n_{2}=3$, the data set pair condition gives $3 k_{1}+5 k_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 15$, where $k_{i}$ is a residue modulo $n_{i}$. The only solution to this equivalence is $k_{1}=k_{2}=2$, which would imply that $a_{1}=3$ and $a_{2}=2$. The data set pairs satisfying these conditions are ( $D_{5,2,5}, D_{3,1,2}$ ) and ( $D_{5,2,6}, D_{3,1,2}$ ). Using similar calculations, we can determine all the other possible data set pairs.

## 7. Spherical nestled actions

A spherical action is simply a nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-action whose quotient orbifold is topologically a sphere. We will show in Proposition 7.3 that nestled $(n, \ell)$-actions must be spherical when $n$ is sufficiently large relative to $g$. This means that in order to derive bounds on $n$, it suffices to restrict attention to spherical actions. We will also derive several other results on spherical actions which we will use in later sections.

Definition 7.1. A nontrivial nestled $(n, \ell)$-action is said to be spherical if the underlying manifold of its quotient orbifold is topologically a sphere.

Example 7.2. The actions in Examples 2.3 and 4.7 are spherical actions.
Proposition 7.3. If $n>\frac{2}{3}(2 g-1)$, then every nestled $(n, \ell)$-action on $F$ is spherical.
Proof. Let $D=\left(n, \widetilde{g}, a ;\left(c_{1}, x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(c_{n}, x_{\ell}\right)\right)$ be the data set associated with a nestled ( $n, \ell$ )-action on $F$. Equation (4.2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{g}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 g-1}{2 n}-\frac{\ell}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{x_{i}} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $x_{i} \geq 2$, and by Remark 4.9, we must have $\ell \geq 1$, so this becomes

$$
\widetilde{g} \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 g-1}{2 n}-\frac{\ell}{4} \leq \frac{1}{4}+\frac{2 g-1}{2 n} .
$$

That is, $\widetilde{g} \geq 1$ can hold only when $n \leq(4 g-2) / 3$.
Remark 7.4. There exists no spherical nestled ( $n, 1$ )-action on the surface of genus $g \geq 1$. Suppose we assume to the contrary that $\ell=1$. Then Equation (4.1) would
imply that

$$
\frac{1-2 g}{n}=\frac{1}{x_{1}} .
$$

This is impossible since $x_{1}>0$ and $g \geq 1$.
Proposition 7.5. Suppose that a surface $F$ of genus $g$ has a spherical nestled $(n, \ell)$ action. Write the prime factorization of $n$ as $n=p^{a} q_{1}{ }^{a_{1}} \cdots q_{k}{ }^{a_{k}}$ where $p^{a}>q_{i}{ }^{a_{i}}$ for each $i \geq 1$, and write $q$ for $\min \left\{p, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$. If

$$
n>\frac{2 g-1}{2-\frac{2}{q}-\frac{1}{p^{a}}},
$$

then $\ell=2$.
Proof. Each $x_{i} \geq q$, and by Proposition 4.4, at least one $x_{i} \geq p^{a}$. Using Equation (7.1),

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 g-1}{2 n}-\frac{\ell}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{x_{i}} \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 p^{a}}+\frac{2 g-1}{2 n}-\frac{\ell}{2}+\frac{\ell-1}{2 q} \\
\ell \leq 1+\frac{q}{(q-1) p^{a}}+\frac{q}{q-1}\left(\frac{2 g-1}{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The right-hand side of the latter inequality is less than 3 when the inequality in the proposition holds. Therefore, by Remark 7.4, $\ell=2$.

Corollary 7.6. Suppose that a surface $F$ of genus $g$ has a spherical nestled $(n, \ell)$ action, $\ell \geq 2$.
(i) If $n=2$, then $\ell=2 g+1$. In particular, there does not exist a spherical nestled (2, 2)-action.
(ii) If $n=3$, then $\ell=g+1$. There exists a spherical nestled $(3,2)$-action if and only if $g=1$.
(iii) If $n$ is even, $n \geq 4$, and $n>\frac{4}{3}(2 g-1)$, then $\ell=2$.
(iv) If $n$ is odd, $n \geq 5$, and $n>\frac{15}{17}(2 g-1)$, then $\ell=2$.

Proof. For (i), an Euler characteristic calculation shows that $\ell=2 g+1$ when $n=2$. These are exactly the hyperelliptic actions.

For (ii), when $n=3$, an Euler characteristic calculation shows that $\ell=g+1$, and as seen in Section 6, there is a nestled (3,2)-action on the torus.

For (iii), suppose first that $n=6$. In Proposition 7.5 we have $q=2$ and $p^{a}=3$, giving the conclusion that if $6>\frac{3}{2}(2 g-1)$, then $\ell=2$. The condition $6>\frac{3}{2}(2 g-1)$ holds exactly when $g \leq 2$, so (iii) is true in this case. One can check that there exist nestled $(6,2)$-actions exactly when $g \leq 2$. For the cases of (iii) other than $n=6$, we have $q=2$ and $p^{a} \geq 4$, and Proposition 7.5 gives the result.

For (iv), we have $q \geq 3$ and $p^{a} \geq 5$. Again Proposition 7.5 gives the result.

## 8. Bounds on the degree of a root

In this section, we use Theorem 5.2 and the results derived in Section 7 to derive some results on the degree $n$ of a root. Among the results obtained are a general upper bound for $n$ in Theorem 8.6, which is later refined in Theorem 8.14 to obtain a sharper upper bound which is stable in the sense that it applies once the genus is sufficiently large. In Table 2, we give data which indicate the degree of improvement of the stable upper bound for $g \geq 14$. However, it is worth mentioning here that Theorem 8.6 does provide a better bound for $g \leq 13$. As in Notation 3.1, we will assume throughout this section that $g_{1} \geq g_{2}$ whenever $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$.
Remark 8.1. It is a well-known fact [3] that the maximum order for an automorphism of a surface of genus $g$ is $4 g+2$. In Example 4.7, we showed that a nestled action of order $4 g+2$ always exists.
Proposition 8.2. There exists no nestled $(4 g+1, \ell)$-action.
Proof. By Proposition 7.3, a nestled $(4 g+1, \ell)$-action must be spherical, and by Proposition 7.5, $\ell=2$. Therefore, Equation (4.1) from the proof of Proposition 4.5 simplifies to give

$$
\frac{2 g+2}{4 g+1}=\frac{1}{x_{1}}+\frac{1}{x_{2}} .
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{1} \leq x_{2}$. Since $x_{i} \mid 4 g+1, x_{i} \geq 3$. If $x_{1}=3$, then

$$
x_{2}=\frac{3(4 g+1)}{2 g+5}=3\left(2-\frac{9}{2 g+5}\right) .
$$

Since $x_{2}=3$ is the only integer solution for $x_{2}$, Proposition 4.4 would imply that $n=3$, which contradicts the fact that $n=4 g+1$. If $x_{1} \geq 4$, then we would have that

$$
\frac{1}{2}<\frac{2+2 g}{4 g+1}=\frac{1}{x_{1}}+\frac{1}{x_{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2},
$$

which is not possible.
Proposition 8.3. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ be a data set pair corresponding to a root of $t_{C}$ of degree $n$, and let $n_{i}$ be the degree of $D_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then the $n_{i}$ cannot both satisfy $n_{i} \equiv 2 \bmod 4$.
Proof. Suppose for contradiction that both $n_{i}$ satisfy $n_{i} \equiv 2 \bmod 4$. Let $a_{i}$ denote the $a$-value of $D_{i}$, and let $k_{i}$ denote the inverse of $a_{i}$ modulo $n_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k_{i}, n_{i}\right)=1$, the $k_{i}$ must be odd. Also the fact that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=2 k$ for some odd integer $k$ implies that $n / n_{i}$ is odd. From Equation (5.1) for the data set pair ( $D_{1}, D_{2}$ ), we must have that

$$
\frac{n}{n_{1}} k_{1}+\frac{n}{n_{2}} k_{2} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod n
$$

which is impossible since $\left(n / n_{1}\right) k_{1}+\left(n / n_{2}\right) k_{2}$ and $n$ are even.

Proposition 8.4. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Suppose that $M\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ denotes the maximum degree of a root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$. Then $M\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \leq 16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-g_{2}\right)-2$.

Proof. Let $n$ be the order of a root of $t_{C}$, given by a data set pair $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$. We have $n=\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$, where $n_{i}$ is the degree of $D_{i}$. By Remark 8.1, each $n_{i} \leq 4 g_{i}+2$. By Proposition 8.2, neither $n_{i}=4 g_{i}+1$ nor, by Proposition 8.3, can we have both $n_{1}=4 g_{1}+2$ and $n_{2}=4 g_{2}+2$. If both $n_{1}=4 g_{1}$ and $n_{2}=4 g_{2}$, then

$$
\operatorname{lcm}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=4 \operatorname{lcm}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \leq 4 g_{1} g_{2} \leq 16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-g_{2}\right)-2
$$

In general, since $g_{1} \geq g_{2}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) & \leq \max \left\{\left(4 g_{1}+2\right)\left(4 g_{2}-1\right),\left(4 g_{1}-1\right)\left(4 g_{2}+2\right)\right\} \\
& =16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-g_{2}\right)-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notation 8.5. We will denote the upper bound $16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-g_{2}\right)-2$ derived in Proposition 8.4 by $U\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$.
Theorem 8.6. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Suppose that $n$ denotes the degree of a root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$. Then $n \leq 4 g^{2}+2 g$.

Proof. Since $g_{2}=g-g_{1}$, we have that

$$
16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-g_{2}\right)-2=-16 g_{1}^{2}+g_{1}(16 g+12)-(4 g+2)
$$

which has its maximum when $g_{1}=\frac{1}{8}(4 g+3)$. The fact that $g_{1}$ is an integer implies that when $g$ is even, $g_{1}=g_{2}=g / 2$, and when $g$ is odd, $g_{1}=(g+1) / 2$ and $g_{2}=(g-1) / 2$. So Proposition 8.4 tells us that when $g$ is even, $n \leq M(g / 2, g / 2) \leq 4 g^{2}+2 g-2$, and when $g$ is odd, $n \leq M((g+1) / 2,(g-1) / 2) \leq 4 g^{2}+2 g$.
Notation 8.7. We will denote the upper bound $4 g^{2}+2 g$ derived in Theorem 8.6 by $U(g)$.

Notation 8.8. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. We will denote the realizable maximum degree of a root coming from compatible pairs of spherical nestled ( $n, 2$ )-actions on the $F_{i}$ by $m\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$, and the maximum over all such pairs of genera $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ (that is, $\left.\max \left\{m\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \mid g_{1}+g_{2}=g\right\}\right)$ by $m(g)$.

For $14 \leq g \leq 35$, Table 1 shows the genus pairs $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ for which $m\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=m(g)$ and the upper bound $U(g)$. The last column gives the ratio $m(g) / U(g)$. These computations were made using software [8] written for the GAP programming language.

The following proposition and its subsequent corollary will be used later in Proposition 8.11 to derive a sharper upper bound for $M\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ than the $U\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ obtained in Proposition 8.4. Finally, in Theorem 8.14, we will use Proposition 8.4 and some elementary calculus to derive an upper bound for $n$ that is significantly sharper than $U(g)$.

Table 1. The data seems to indicate that for large genera the ratio $m(g) / U(g)$ stabilizes to the range 0.79-0.82.

| $g$ | $m\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=m(g)$ | $U\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ | $m\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) / U\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ | $U(g)$ | $m(g) / U(g)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $m(8,6)=714$ | 806 | 0.89 | 812 | 0.88 |
| 15 | $m(9,6)=798$ | 910 | 0.88 | 930 | 0.86 |
| 16 | $m(10,6)=858$ | 1014 | 0.85 | 1056 | 0.81 |
| 17 | $m(11,6)=966$ | 1118 | 0.86 | 1190 | 0.81 |
| 18 | $m(10,8)=1122$ | 1326 | 0.85 | 1332 | 0.84 |
| 19 | $m(10,9)=1254$ | 1482 | 0.85 | 1482 | 0.85 |
| 20 | $m(12,8)=1326$ | 1598 | 0.83 | 1640 | 0.81 |
| 21 | $m(11,10)=1518$ | 1806 | 0.84 | 1806 | 0.84 |
| 22 | $m(12,10)=1650$ | 1974 | 0.84 | 1980 | 0.83 |
| 23 | $m(12,11)=1794$ | 2162 | 0.83 | 2162 | 0.83 |
| 24 | $m(12,12)=1950$ | 2350 | 0.83 | 2352 | 0.83 |
| 25 | $m(15,10)=2046$ | 2478 | 0.83 | 2550 | 0.80 |
| 26 | $m(14,12)=2262$ | 2750 | 0.82 | 2756 | 0.82 |
| 27 | $m(15,12)=2418$ | 2950 | 0.82 | 2970 | 0.81 |
| 28 | $m(16,12)=2550$ | 3150 | 0.81 | 3192 | 0.80 |
| 29 | $m(17,12)=2730$ | 3350 | 0.81 | 3422 | 0.80 |
| 30 | $m(16,14)=2958$ | 3654 | 0.81 | 3660 | 0.81 |
| 31 | $m(16,15)=3162$ | 3906 | 0.81 | 3906 | 0.81 |
| 32 | $m(18,14)=3306$ | 4118 | 0.80 | 4160 | 0.79 |
| 33 | $m(17,16)=3570$ | 4422 | 0.81 | 4422 | 0.81 |
| 34 | $m(18,16)=3774$ | 4686 | 0.81 | 4692 | 0.80 |
| 35 | $m(18,17)=3990$ | 4970 | 0.80 | 4970 | 0.80 |

Proposition 8.9. Suppose that we have a nestled $(n, \ell)$-action on a surface $F$ of genus $g$, where $n$ is a positive odd integer. Then $n \leq 3 g+3$.

Proof. From Remark 7.4, we have that $\ell \neq 1$. When $\ell \geq 2$, the proposition follows from Corollary 7.6. Let $D=\left(n, \widetilde{g}, a ;\left(c_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(c_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ be a data set for the nestled ( $n, 2$ )-action on $F$. Since $n$ is odd and $x_{i} \mid n$, we have that $x_{i} \geq 3$. If $x_{1} \geq 3$, then Remark 4.4 implies that $x_{2} \geq \frac{n}{3}$. So Equation (4.2) gives the inequality

$$
\frac{1-2 g}{n} \leq-1+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{3}{n},
$$

which upon simplification gives $n \leq 3 g+3$.
Corollary 8.10. Suppose that we have a spherical nestled $(4 g-N, 2)$-action on an $F$ of genus $g$, where $N$ is a positive odd integer. Then $g \leq N+3$.

Proposition 8.11. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Suppose that $M\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ denotes the maximum order of a root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$. Then given a positive odd integer $N$, we have that $M\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \leq 16 g_{1} g_{2}+$ $4\left(2 g_{1}-N g_{2}\right)-2 N$ whenever both $g_{i}>N+3$.

Proof. By Remark 8.1, each $n_{i} \leq 4 g_{i}+2$. From Propositions 8.2 and 8.3, we know that $n_{i} \neq 4 g_{i}+1$ and that $n_{i}$ cannot both be $4 g_{i}+2$. Suppose that the $n_{i}$ are not both even. If $\ell_{i}>2$, then from Corollary 7.6 we have that $n_{i} \leq \frac{15}{17}\left(2 g_{i}-1\right)$. If $\ell_{i}=2$, then Corollary 8.10 tells us that for all $g_{i}>N+3$, there exists no spherical nestled $\left(4 g_{i}-N, 2\right)$-action on $F$. In particular, if $g_{i}>N+3$, then from Proposition 7.3, $n_{i} \leq \frac{2}{3}\left(2 g_{i}-1\right) \leq \frac{15}{17}\left(2 g_{i}-1\right)$. So for all $\ell$, if $g_{i}>N+3$, then $n_{i} \leq \frac{15}{17}\left(2 g_{i}-1\right)$. We can see that $\frac{15}{17}\left(2 g_{i}-1\right) \leq 4 g_{i}-N$ whenever $g_{i} \geq \frac{1}{38}(17 N-15)$. Therefore, if $g_{i}>$ $\max \left\{N+3, \frac{1}{38}(17 N-15)\right\}=N+3$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) & \leq \max \left\{\left(4 g_{1}-N\right)\left(4 g_{2}+2\right),\left(4 g_{1}+2\right)\left(4 g_{2}-N\right)\right\} \\
& =16 g_{1} g_{2}+4 \max \left\{\left(2 g_{1}-N g_{2}\right),\left(2 g_{2}-N g_{1}\right)\right\}-2 N \\
& =16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-N g_{2}\right)-2 N .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that both the $n_{i}$ are even. Then from Propositions 8.2 and 8.3,

$$
M\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{lcm}\left(4 g_{1}+2,4 g_{2}\right) \leq 8 g_{1} g_{2}+4 g_{2}
$$

We need to show that

$$
8 g_{1} g_{2}+4 g_{2} \leq 16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-N g_{2}\right)-2 N .
$$

Since $g_{1}>N+3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-N g_{2}\right)-2 N\right)-\left(8 g_{1} g_{2}+4 g_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=8 g_{1} g_{2}+8 g_{1}-4(N+1) g_{2}-2 N>8 g_{1} g_{2}+8 g_{1}+4\left(g_{1}-2\right) g_{2}+2\left(g_{1}-3\right) \\
& \quad=12 g_{1} g_{2}+10 g_{1}-8 g_{2}-6>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 8.12. Since $g_{1} \geq g_{2}$ by assumption, the condition $g_{i}>N+3$ in the hypothesis of Proposition 8.11 can be replaced by $g_{2}>N+3$. The fact that $N$ is an odd integer would imply that both $g_{i} \geq 5$ and consequently $g \geq 10$.

Notation 8.13. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. We will denote the upper bound $16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-N g_{2}\right)-2 N$ derived in Proposition 8.11 by $\operatorname{SU}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, N\right)$. From Remark 8.12, we have that $g_{i} \geq 5$ and $N<g_{2}-3$. Hence $\min \left\{\mathrm{SU}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, N\right) \mid N\right.$ odd, $g_{i} \geq 5$, and $\left.1 \leq N<g_{2}-3\right\}$ is a well-defined positive integer and we denote this by $\mathrm{SU}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 8.14. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 10$. Suppose that $n$ denotes the degree of a root of the Dehn twist $t_{C}$ about $C$. Then $n \leq \frac{16}{5} g^{2}+$ $12 g+\frac{45}{4}$.
Proof. From Theorem 8.11, given a positive odd integer $N$, we have that $M\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \leq$ $16 g_{1} g_{2}+4\left(2 g_{1}-N g_{2}\right)-2 N$ whenever both $g_{i}>N+3$. Since $g_{1} \geq g_{2}$, it suffices to assume that $g_{2}>N+3$, that is, $N<g_{2}-3$. Consequently, $N \leq g_{2}-5$ when $N$ is odd, and $N \leq g_{2}-4$ when $N$ is even. Therefore, for any $g_{2}$,

$$
\mathrm{SU}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \leq \mathrm{SU}\left(g-g_{2}, g_{2}, g_{2}-5\right)=-20 g_{2}^{2}+2(8 g+5) g_{2}+8 g+10 .
$$

Table 2. This data illustrates that the stable bound $\operatorname{SU}(g)$ is significantly closer to $m(g)$ when compared with $U(g)$. The data seems to indicate that for large genera the ratio $m(g) / \mathrm{SU}(g)$ stabilizes to the range 0.90-0.92.

| $g$ | $m\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=m(g)$ | $\mathrm{SU}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ | $m\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) / \mathrm{SU}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{SU}(g)$ | $m(g) / \mathrm{SU}(g)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | $m(8,6)=714$ | 806 | 0.89 | 806 | 0.89 |
| 15 | $m(9,6)=798$ | 910 | 0.88 | 911 | 0.88 |
| 16 | $m(10,6)=858$ | 1014 | 0.85 | 1022 | 0.84 |
| 17 | $m(11,6)=966$ | 1118 | 0.86 | 1140 | 0.85 |
| 18 | $m(10,8)=1122$ | 1258 | 0.89 | 1264 | 0.89 |
| 19 | $m(10,9)=1254$ | 1330 | 0.94 | 1394 | 0.90 |
| 20 | $m(12,8)=1326$ | 1530 | 0.87 | 1531 | 0.87 |
| 21 | $m(11,10)=1518$ | 1638 | 0.93 | 1674 | 0.91 |
| 22 | $m(12,10)=1650$ | 1806 | 0.91 | 1824 | 0.90 |
| 23 | $m(12,11)=1794$ | 1886 | 0.95 | 1980 | 0.91 |
| 24 | $m(12,12)=1950$ | 2050 | 0.95 | 2142 | 0.91 |
| 25 | $m(15,10)=2046$ | 2310 | 0.89 | 2311 | 0.89 |
| 26 | $m(14,12)=2262$ | 2450 | 0.92 | 2486 | 0.91 |
| 27 | $m(15,12)=2418$ | 2650 | 0.91 | 2668 | 0.91 |
| 28 | $m(16,12)=2550$ | 2850 | 0.89 | 2856 | 0.89 |
| 29 | $m(17,12)=2730$ | 3050 | 0.90 | 3050 | 0.90 |
| 30 | $m(16,14)=2958$ | 3190 | 0.93 | 3251 | 0.91 |
| 31 | $m(16,15)=3162$ | 3286 | 0.96 | 3458 | 0.91 |
| 32 | $m(18,14)=3306$ | 3654 | 0.90 | 3672 | 0.90 |
| 33 | $m(17,16)=3570$ | 3762 | 0.95 | 3892 | 0.92 |
| 34 | $m(18,16)=3774$ | 4026 | 0.94 | 4118 | 0.92 |
| 35 | $m(18,17)=3990$ | 4130 | 0.97 | 4351 | 0.92 |

Since $-20 g_{2}^{2}+2(8 g+5) g_{2}+8 g+10$ has its maximum when $g_{2}=\frac{2}{5} g+\frac{1}{4}$, from Proposition 8.11, we have that

$$
n \leq M\left(\frac{3}{5} g-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{5} g+\frac{1}{4}\right) \leq \frac{16}{5} g^{2}+12 g+\frac{45}{4} .
$$

Notation 8.15. We will denote the upper bound $\frac{16}{5} g^{2}+12 g+\frac{45}{4}$ derived in Theorem 8.14 by $\mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{g})$.

For $14 \leq g \leq 35$, Table 2 gives $\mathrm{SU}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), m\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) / \mathrm{SU}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$, and the ratio $m(g) / \mathrm{SU}(g)$.

Based on the observable data in Tables 1 and 2, we make the following conjecture.
Conjecture 8.16. Let $F=F_{1} \#_{C} F_{2}$ be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then for sufficiently large values of $g$ the ratio $m(g) / U(g)$ stabilizes to the range $0.79-0.82$, while the ratio $m(g) / \mathrm{SU}(g)$ stabilizes to the range $0.90-0.92$.
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