

## Research Article

**Cite this article:** Liang N *et al* (2019). Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy cattle in Guangdong Province, South China. *Parasitology* **146**, 28–32. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182018001129>

Received: 2 February 2018  
Revised: 13 April 2018  
Accepted: 18 May 2018  
First published online: 10 July 2018

**Key words:**

*Cryptosporidium*; dairy cattle; genotype; Guangdong; prevalence; subtype

**Author for correspondence:**

Longxian Zhang, E-mail: [zhanglx8999@henau.edu.cn](mailto:zhanglx8999@henau.edu.cn) and [zhanglx8999@gmail.com](mailto:zhanglx8999@gmail.com)

# Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy cattle in Guangdong Province, South China

Nan Liang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yayun Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Mingfei Sun<sup>4</sup>, Yankai Chang<sup>1,2</sup>, Xuhui Lin<sup>4</sup>, Linzeng Yu<sup>4</sup>, Suhui Hu<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiangqian Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Shuangjian Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Zhaohui Cui<sup>1,2</sup> and Longxian Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou 450002, Henan Province, China; <sup>2</sup>International Joint Research Laboratory for Zoonotic Diseases of Henan, Zhengzhou 450002, Henan Province, China; <sup>3</sup>College of Animal Husbandry Engineering, Henan Vocational College of Agriculture, Zhongmu 451450, Henan Province, China and <sup>4</sup>Institute of Animal Health, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, Guangdong Province, China

**Abstract**

To determine the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in dairy cattle in Guangdong Province, South China, 1440 fecal samples were collected from 10 farms and screened for *Cryptosporidium* with PCR. The overall prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* was 4.38% (63/1440), and the infection rates in preweaned calves, postweaned calves, heifers and adults were 6.4% (19/297), 6.19% (33/533), 1.48% (4/271) and 2.06% (7/339), respectively. Three *Cryptosporidium* species, *Cryptosporidium andersoni* ( $n = 33$ ), *Cryptosporidium bovis* ( $n = 22$ ) and *Cryptosporidium ryanae* ( $n = 8$ ) were detected by DNA sequence analysis of the 63 positive samples, and *C. andersoni* was identified as the most common species on the dairy cattle farms. In preweaned calves, *C. bovis* was the most prevalent species (9/19, 47.4%). In contrast, *C. andersoni* was the predominant species (19/33, 57.6%) in postweaned calves and the only species found in heifers and adults. The zoonotic species *Cryptosporidium parvum* was not detected in this study. Twenty-four *C. andersoni* isolates were successfully classified into three multilocus sequence typing (MLST) subtypes. MLST subtype A4,A4,A4,A1 was the predominant subtype, and MLST subtype A2,A5,A2,A1, previously found in sheep, was detected in cattle for the first time. A linkage disequilibrium analysis showed that the *C. andersoni* isolates had a clonal genetic population structure. However, further molecular studies are required to better understand the epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* in Guangdong.

**Introduction**

*Cryptosporidium* is an important protozoan parasite, mainly causing gastrointestinal disease in humans and animals, including livestock, companion animals and wildlife (Fayer, 2010). Among domestic animals, cattle are recognized as the most common mammalian species to be infected by *Cryptosporidium*, and preweaned calves are considered an important reservoir for zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* infections (Xiao and Feng, 2008; Xiao, 2010; Imre *et al.*, 2011). Contamination of cattle manure has led to several food-borne and water-borne outbreaks of human cryptosporidiosis (Blackburn *et al.*, 2006; Baldursson and Karanis, 2011). *Cryptosporidium* infections frequently result in morbidity, weight loss and delayed growth, and sometimes mortality of young animals.

To date, 37 valid *Cryptosporidium* species and over 70 genotypes have been described (Ryan *et al.*, 2014; Kváč *et al.*, 2016; Zahedi *et al.*, 2017; Čondlová *et al.*, 2018; Kváč *et al.*, 2018). Four of them, namely *Cryptosporidium parvum*, *Cryptosporidium bovis*, *Cryptosporidium andersoni* and *Cryptosporidium ryanae* are the most common species that can infect cattle and cause bovine cryptosporidiosis. Occasionally, *Cryptosporidium felis*, *Cryptosporidium hominis*, *Cryptosporidium suis*, *Cryptosporidium scrofarum* and *C. suis*-like have also been detected in cattle (Trout and Santín, 2008). Many studies conducted in industrialized nations demonstrate that the four common species have age-related distributions. *Cryptosporidium parvum* is mostly found in preweaned calves and is a significant cause of diarrhoea. *Cryptosporidium bovis* and *C. ryanae* usually infect postweaned calves and yearlings, although *C. bovis* is more prevalent than *C. ryanae*, and neither is associated with diarrhoea (Santín *et al.*, 2008). In contrast, *C. andersoni* is commonly seen in adult cattle and has been associated with gastritis, reduced milk yield and poor weight gain (Esteban and Anderson, 1995).

In China, *Cryptosporidium* infections have been reported in dairy cattle in Xinjiang, Ningxia, Gansu, Shaanxi, Heilongjiang, Henan, Shandong, Hubei and other provinces (Liu *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2011a, 2011b; Zhang *et al.*, 2013, 2015; Zhao *et al.*, 2013, 2014; Cui *et al.*, 2014; Huang *et al.*, 2014; Ma *et al.*, 2015; Qi *et al.*, 2015a, 2015b; Fan *et al.*, 2017), but no information is available on the prevalence or genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* infections in dairy cattle in Guangdong Province. In this study, we conducted the first

**Table 1.** Infection rates and distribution of *Cryptosporidium* species in dairy cattle of different collection sites and age groups in Guangdong Province

Factors		No. positive/sample size	Prevalence (%) (95% CI)	<i>Cryptosporidium</i> species (no.)
Collection site	HZ-1	12/82	14.63 (6.82–22.45)	<i>C. andersoni</i> (12)
	HZ-2	7/67	10.45 (2.93–17.97)	<i>C. bovis</i> (4), <i>C. ryanae</i> (1), <i>C. andersoni</i> (2)
	HZ-3	11/40	27.5 (13.04–41.96)	<i>C. bovis</i> (3), <i>C. ryanae</i> (2), <i>C. andersoni</i> (6)
	HZ-4	11/111	9.91 (4.26–15.56)	<i>C. bovis</i> (7), <i>C. ryanae</i> (3), <i>C. andersoni</i> (1)
	SZ-1	8/179	4.47 (1.41–7.53)	<i>C. bovis</i> (6), <i>C. ryanae</i> (2)
	GZ-1	5/138	3.62 (0.47–6.78)	<i>C. andersoni</i> (5)
	GZ-2	4/118	3.39 (0.08–6.70)	<i>C. andersoni</i> (4)
	GZ-3	5/374	1.34 (0.17–2.51)	<i>C. bovis</i> (2), <i>C. andersoni</i> (3)
	GZ-4	0/12	0	/
	QY-1	0/319	0	/
Total	63/1440	4.38 (3.32–5.43)	<i>C. bovis</i> (22), <i>C. ryanae</i> (8), <i>C. andersoni</i> (33)	
Age group	Preweaned	19/297	6.40 (3.60–9.20)	<i>C. bovis</i> (9), <i>C. ryanae</i> (7), <i>C. andersoni</i> (3)
	Postweaned	33/533	6.19 (4.14–8.24)	<i>C. bovis</i> (13), <i>C. ryanae</i> (1), <i>C. andersoni</i> (19)
	Heifer	4/271	1.48 (0.03–2.92)	<i>C. andersoni</i> (4)
	Adult	7/339	2.06 (0.54–3.59)	<i>C. andersoni</i> (7)

molecular epidemiological survey of dairy cattle in Guangdong Province to identify the infection rates and species distribution of *Cryptosporidium*.

## Materials and methods

### Study area and specimen collection

Guangdong Province is located at the southern end of mainland China. The annual average temperature is above 20 °C and rainfall is abundant in this region. In this study, 1440 fecal specimens (approximately 20 g each) were collected from eight large-scale dairy cattle farms and two small dairy cattle farms in the cities of Huizhou, Guangzhou, Shenzhen and Qingyuan in Guangdong Province in April 2016 (Table 1). The collected specimens represented 10–15% of the total cattle on each farm. A fresh fecal specimen was collected from each animal using a sterile disposable latex glove immediately after its defecation onto the ground, and the sample was then placed individually into a disposable plastic bag. The cattle were divided into age groups: preweaned calves (<2 months old), postweaned calves (2–6 months old), heifers (7 months to 2 years old) and adult cattle (>2 years old). All the specimens were stored in 2.5% potassium dichromate at 4 °C before DNA extraction.

### DNA extraction and PCR amplification

All fecal specimens collected were washed three times with distilled water by centrifugation at 1500 × g for 10 min at room temperature. The genomic DNA was extracted from 200 mg of each specimen using the E.Z.N.A.® Stool DNA Kit (Omega Biotek Inc., Norcross, GA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The eluted DNA was stored at –20 °C until PCR analysis.

All DNA samples were screened for *Cryptosporidium* using nested PCR amplification of an approximate 830-bp fragment of the small subunit rRNA (SSU rRNA) gene, as previously described (Alves *et al.*, 2003). Positive and negative controls were included in each PCR analysis. Then, the samples positive for *C. andersoni* at the SSU rRNA locus were subtyped by amplifying the four minisatellite/microsatellite targets including MS1 (coding for hypothetical protein), MS2 (coding for 90 kDa heat

shock protein), MS3 (coding for hypothetical protein) and MS16 (coding for leucine-rich repeat family protein) according to the previously described nested PCR (polymerase chain reaction) protocols (Feng *et al.*, 2011; Zhao *et al.*, 2013). KOD-Plus amplification enzyme (Toyobo Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) was used for PCR amplification. The secondary PCR products were examined by agarose gel electrophoresis and visualized after GelRed™ staining (Biotium Inc., Hayward, CA, USA).

### DNA sequence analysis

Positive secondary PCR products were sequenced on an ABI Prism™ 3730 XL DNA Analyzer using the Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA, USA). Sequence accuracy was confirmed with bi-directional sequencing and by sequencing a new PCR product if necessary. The sequences were identified by alignment with reference sequences downloaded from GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>) using the ClustalX2.13. The *C. andersoni* subtypes were named according to the repeat characteristics of minisatellite repeats in four genetic loci by Feng *et al.* (2011).

### Statistical analysis

The *Cryptosporidium* infection rates were evaluated using Regression Analysis in Statistic Package for Social Science (SPSS) for Windows with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Probability level (*P*) of <0.05 were considered as statistically significant. The linkage disequilibrium (LD) across all four loci was assessed with the standardized index of association ( $I_A^S$ ) proposed by Haubold and Hudson (2000). LD was tested with LIAN version 3.7 (<http://guanine.evolbio.mpg.de/cgi-bin/lian/lian.cgi.pl>) using a parametric method for the four microsatellite/minisatellite loci.

## Results

### Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium*

Eight of the 10 farms tested were positive for *Cryptosporidium*, with infection rates of 1.34–27.5% (*P* < 0.01; Table 1). Among the *Cryptosporidium*-positive farms, farm HZ-3 had the highest

prevalence and farms GZ-4 and QY-1 had no *Cryptosporidium* infections. The infection rates of the different age groups were 6.4% (95% CI 3.60–9.20) in preweaned calves, 6.19% (95% CI 4.41–8.24) in postweaned calves, 1.48% (95% CI 0.03–2.92) in heifers and 2.06% (95% CI 0.54–3.59) in adult cattle ( $P < 0.01$ , Table 1).

### Distribution of *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes

Sequence analysis of the 18S rRNA gene fragment revealed the presence of three *Cryptosporidium* species: *C. andersoni* ( $n = 33$ ) on seven farms, *C. bovis* ( $n = 22$ ) on five farms and *C. ryanae* ( $n = 8$ ) on four farms (Table 1). Except for farm SZ-1, *C. andersoni* was detected on all the *Cryptosporidium*-positive farms and was the only species found on three farms.

*Cryptosporidium andersoni* was found in all age groups of dairy cattle, responsible for 52.4% (33/63) of all *Cryptosporidium* infections in this study. The prevalence of *C. andersoni* in preweaned calves, postweaned calves, heifers and adult cattle was 1.01% (95% CI 0–2.15), 3.56% (95% CI 1.99–5.14), 1.48% (95% CI 0.03–2.92) and 2.06% (95% CI 0.54–3.59), respectively. In contrast, *C. bovis* and *C. ryanae* were only found in dairy calves, with infection rates of 2.65% (95% CI 1.56–3.75) and 0.96% (95% CI 0.30–1.63), respectively (Table 1).

At the four microsatellite/minisatellite loci (MS1, MS2, MS3 and MS16), 24, 25, 24 and 25 DNA preparations were sequenced successfully, respectively, and three, two, two and one haplotypes were identified, respectively. In total, 24 of 33 *C. andersoni* isolates were successfully subtyped at all four loci, and three *C. andersoni* MLST subtypes were identified. Of these, MLST subtype A4,A4,A4,A1 was most prevalent (87.5%, 21/24) in the dairy cattle, appearing on all *C. andersoni*-positive farms, demonstrating an extensive distribution in the investigated area. However, the other two MLST subtypes, A2,A5,A2,A1 ( $n = 1$ ) and A1,A4,A4,A1 ( $n = 2$ ), were only detected on farms GZ-2 and GZ-3, respectively. MLST subtype A4,A4,A4,A1 was predominant in all age groups of dairy cattle and was the only subtype detected in dairy calves. MLST subtypes A2,A5,A2,A1 and A1,A4,A4,A1 were only found in heifers and adult cattle, respectively (Table 2).

### LD analysis of *C. andersoni*

The 24 *C. andersoni* isolates successfully subtyped at all the four loci were included in the LD analysis. The standardized index of association ( $I_A^S = 0.4109$ ) was  $>0$  and the pairwise variance ( $V_D = 0.7431$ ) was greater than the 95% confidence limit ( $L = 0.4527$ ), indicating the presence of LD and the clonal population structure of *C. andersoni* in Guangdong Province.

### Discussion

The overall *Cryptosporidium* prevalence in this study was 4.38%, which is lower than most rates reported in dairy cattle in Heilongjiang (17.33%, 257/1483), Anhui (14.9%, 52/350), Shanghai (12.5%, 55/440), Jiangsu (20.7%, 251/1215), Henan (13.0%, 276/2116), Xinjiang (16.0%, 82/514) and Shaanxi (5.24%, 122/2329) (Liu et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011a, 2011b; Chen and Huang, 2012; Zhang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2014; Qi et al., 2015a, 2015b; Zhang et al., 2015), but higher than that in Ningxia (3.76%, 115/3054) (Zhao et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014; Cui et al., 2014; Qi et al., 2015b). The infection rate of 6.4% in preweaned calves was lower than all previously reported studies, in which the prevalence was 10.22–47.68% (Wang et al., 2011b; Zhang et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014; Qi et al., 2015a, 2015b; Fan et al., 2017). However, the infection

**Table 2.** The distribution of MLST subtypes of *Cryptosporidium andersoni* in dairy cattle of different collection sites and age groups in Guangdong Province

Factors		Subtyped no./ amplified no. <sup>a</sup>	MLST subtypes (n) <sup>b</sup>
Collection site	HZ-1	9/12	A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (9)
	HZ-2	½	A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (1)
	HZ-3	6/6	A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (6)
	HZ-4	0/1	/
GZ-1	GZ-1	5/5	A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (5)
	GZ-2	¼	A <sub>2</sub> A <sub>5</sub> A <sub>2</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (1)
	GZ-3	2/3	A <sub>1</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (2)
Total	24/33	A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (21); A <sub>2</sub> A <sub>5</sub> A <sub>2</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (1); A <sub>1</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (2)	
Age group	Preweaned	3/3	A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (3)
	Postweaned	14/19	A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (14)
	Heifer	1/4	A <sub>2</sub> A <sub>5</sub> A <sub>2</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (1)
	Adult	6/7	A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (4); A <sub>1</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (2)
Total	24/33	A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (21); A <sub>2</sub> A <sub>5</sub> A <sub>2</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (1); A <sub>1</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub> (2)	

<sup>a</sup>Subtyped no. indicates the number of *C. andersoni* isolates subtyped successfully at all the four loci (MS1, MS2, MS3 and MS16) with PCR; amplified no. indicates the number of *C. andersoni* isolates analysed with PCR at all the four loci (MS1, MS2, MS3 and MS16).

<sup>b</sup>Haplotypes are arranged in the order of the gene loci amplified: MS1, MS2, MS3 and MS16.

rate in postweaned calves (6.19%) was higher than that in Heilongjiang (5.5%) (Liu et al., 2009), but lower than that in Henan (11.3%) and Xinjiang (16.2%) (Wang et al., 2011a; Qi et al., 2015a). Many factors, including specimen size, diagnostic technique, management system, season and geographic area, may be responsible for the differences in the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* observed in different areas of China. These results were also consistent with previous studies, which showed that the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* was higher in preweaned calves than in any other age group (Wang et al., 2011a, 2011b; Huang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015) (Table 1).

*Cryptosporidium andersoni* is the predominant species in postweaned calves and adult cattle, which had been confirmed in China, Mongolia, Egypt and some European countries (Burenbaatar et al., 2008; Ondráčková et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011a; Amer et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Qi et al., 2015a, 2015b). In contrast, in other countries, *C. bovis* was considered the predominant species in postweaned calves (Enemark et al., 2002; Feng et al., 2007). *Cryptosporidium andersoni* was the only species detected in heifers and adult cattle in this study, which was identical to previous studies conducted in Heilongjiang, Shaanxi and Henan Provinces (Liu et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011a; Zhao et al., 2013). The potential zoonotic transmission of *C. andersoni* is unknown, but the species has been isolated from humans with diarrhoea (Leoni et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2014).

Several studies have reported that zoonotic *C. parvum* is responsible for the majority of *Cryptosporidium* infections in preweaned calves (Santín et al., 2004; Fayer et al., 2006; Santín et al., 2008; Trout and Santín, 2008; Amer et al., 2013). However, other studies have shown that *C. bovis* is the species most commonly found in preweaned calves (Feng et al., 2007; Silverlas et al., 2010; Rieux et al., 2013). In the present study, *C. bovis*, rather

than *C. parvum*, was the most abundant species in preweaned calves, which was in concordance with the results from Shaanxi, Heilongjiang, Henan and Hubei Provinces, as well as Shanghai (Wang *et al.*, 2011b; Zhang *et al.*, 2013; Qi *et al.*, 2015b; Fan *et al.*, 2017), but differed from Xinjiang and Ningxia (Cui *et al.*, 2014; Huang *et al.*, 2014; Qi *et al.*, 2015a, 2015b).

However, *C. parvum* was not detected in the present study, which was also reported in early studies from China and abroad (Maikai *et al.*, 2011; Feng *et al.*, 2012; Murakoshi *et al.*, 2012; Nguyen *et al.*, 2012; Abeywardena *et al.*, 2014; Wegayehu *et al.*, 2016; Fan *et al.*, 2017). The reason for the absence of *C. parvum* remains unclear. The failure to detect *C. parvum* in preweaned calves in Guangdong Province suggests that the dairy cattle in this province have low zoonotic potential for the transmission of *Cryptosporidium* to humans. However, larger sample of dairy cattle from this province should be analysed by PCR to confirm the findings of the present study.

To date, 21 MLST subtypes have been identified in *C. andersoni* isolates from animals, 17 of which occur in cattle (Feng *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2012; Zhao *et al.*, 2013; Qi *et al.*, 2016). In the present study, the MLST subtype A4,A4,A4,A1 was the most prevalent subtype in dairy cattle in Guangdong, which was in agreement with the finding in Heilongjiang and other areas of China (Wang *et al.*, 2012; Zhao *et al.*, 2014), whereas subtype A2,A4,A2,A1 was predominant in dairy cattle in Xinjiang and A1,A4,A4,A1 in Shaanxi (Zhao *et al.*, 2013; Qi *et al.*, 2016). These differences may be related to the number of samples examined and geographic segregation. MLST subtype A2,A5,A2,A1 was found for the first time in cattle in this study, which was also identified in sheep, and further suggested that *C. andersoni* might circulate between cattle and sheep (Wang *et al.*, 2012).

In this study, the samples successfully amplified at all four loci were included in the LD analysis, which showed that *C. andersoni* isolated from dairy cattle in Guangdong Province had a clonal genetic population structure. The result differed from previous findings that *C. andersoni* population in cattle from Xinjiang and other geographical regions of China had an epidemic genetic structure (Wang *et al.*, 2012; Qi *et al.*, 2016). However, it was consistent with *C. andersoni* isolates in cattle from Shaanxi and Heilongjiang Provinces (Zhao *et al.*, 2013; Zhao *et al.*, 2014). A clonal genetic population structure indicated that the prevalence of *C. andersoni* in cattle in Guangdong Province was not attributable to the introduction of cattle.

In conclusion, *Cryptosporidium* is common in dairy cattle in Guangdong province. Sequence analysis revealed the presence of *C. andersoni*, *C. bovis* and *C. ryanae* infection, with *C. andersoni* as the most prevalent species. Three MLST subtypes of *C. andersoni* were identified, and subtype A2,A5,A2,A1 was found for the first time in cattle. *Cryptosporidium andersoni* in dairy cattle in Guangdong presented a clonal genetic structure. The findings in this study provided valuable basic data for developing strategies and measures to control *Cryptosporidium* infection in dairy cattle and evaluate the risk of *Cryptosporidium* infection to humans.

**Financial support.** This study was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31330079, 31672548), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0501305, 2016YFD0500707) and the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (162300410129).

**Conflict of interest.** None.

**Ethical standards.** This study was performed in accordance with the Chinese Laboratory Animal Administration Act of 1988. Before the experiments, the protocol of the study was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics

Committee of Henan Agricultural University. All the fecal samples were collected from animals with the permission of the farm owners.

## References

- Abeywardena H, Jex AR, Koehler AV, Rajapakse RP, Udayawarna K, Haydon SR, Stevens MA and Gasser RB (2014) First molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* from bovines (*Bos taurus* and *Bubalus bubalis*) in Sri Lanka: unexpected absence of *C. parvum* from pre-weaned calves. *Parasites & Vectors* **7**, 75.
- Alves M, Xiao L, Sulaiman I, Lal AA, Matos O and Antunes F (2003) Subgenotype analysis of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from humans, cattle, and zoo ruminants in Portugal. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **41**, 2744–2747.
- Amer S, Zidan S, Adamu H, Ye J, Roellig D, Xiao L and Feng Y (2013) Prevalence and characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy cattle in Nile River delta Provinces, Egypt. *Experimental Parasitology* **135**, 518–523.
- Baldursson S and Karanis P (2011) Waterborne transmission of protozoan parasites: review of worldwide outbreaks – an update 2004–2010. *Water Research* **45**, 6603–6614.
- Blackburn BG, Mazurek JM, Hlavsa M, Park J and Tillapaw M (2006) Cryptosporidiosis associated with ozonated apple cider. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **12**, 684–686.
- Burenbaatar B, Bakheit M, Plutzer J, Suzuki N, Igarashi I, Ongert J and Karanis P (2008) Prevalence and genotyping of *Cryptosporidium* species from animals in Mongolia. *Parasitology Research* **102**, 901–905.
- Chen F and Huang KH (2012) Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy cattle from farms in China. *Journal of Veterinary Science* **13**, 15–22.
- Čondlová Š, Horčíčková M, Sak B, Květoňová D, Hlásková L, Konečný R, Stanko M, McEvoy J and Kváč M (2018) *Cryptosporidium apodemi* sp. n. and *Cryptosporidium ditrichi* sp. n. (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae) in *Apodemus* spp. *European Journal of Protistology* **63**, 1–12.
- Cui Z, Wang R, Huang J, Wang H, Zhao J and Luo N (2014) Cryptosporidiosis caused by *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtype IIdA15G1 at a dairy farm in northwestern China. *Parasites & Vectors* **7**, 1–4.
- Enemark HL, Ahrens P, Lowery CJ, Thamsborg SM and Enemark JM (2002) *Cryptosporidium andersoni* from a Danish cattle herd: identification and preliminary characterisation. *Veterinary Parasitology* **107**, 37–49.
- Esteban E and Anderson BC (1995) *Cryptosporidium muris*: prevalence, persistence, and detrimental effect on milk production in a drylot dairy. *Journal of Dairy Science* **78**, 1068–1072.
- Fan Y, Wang T, Koehler AV, Hu M and Gasser RB (2017) Molecular investigation of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in pre- and post-weaned calves in Hubei Province, China. *Parasites & Vectors* **10**, 519.
- Fayer R (2010) Taxonomy and species delimitation in *Cryptosporidium*. *Experimental Parasitology* **124**, 90–97.
- Fayer R, Santín M, Trout JM and Greiner E (2006) Prevalence of species and genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* found in 1–2-year-old dairy cattle in the eastern United States. *Veterinary Parasitology* **135**, 105–112.
- Feng Y, Ortega Y, He G, Das P, Xu M, Zhang X, Fayer R, Gate W, Cama V and Xiao L (2007) Wide geographic distribution of *Cryptosporidium bovis* and the deer-like genotype in bovines. *Veterinary Parasitology* **144**, 1–9.
- Feng Y, Yang W, Ryan U, Zhang L, Kváč M, Koudela B, Modrý D, Li N, Fayer R and Xiao L (2011) Development of a multilocus sequence tool for typing *Cryptosporidium muris* and *Cryptosporidium andersoni*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **49**, 34–41.
- Feng Y, Karna SR, Dearen TK, Singh DK, Adhikari LN, Shrestha A and Xiao L (2012) Common occurrence of a unique *Cryptosporidium ryanae* variant in zebu cattle and water buffaloes in the buffer zone of the Chitwan National Park, Nepal. *Veterinary Parasitology* **185**, 309–314.
- Haubold B and Hudson RR (2000) LIAN 3.0: detecting linkage disequilibrium in multilocus data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **16**, 847–848.
- Huang J, Yue D, Qi M, Wang R, Zhao J, Li J, Shi K, Wang M and Zhang L (2014) Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia duodenalis* in dairy cattle in Ningxia, northwestern China. *BMC Veterinary Research* **10**, 292.
- Imre K, Lobo LM, Matos O, Popescu C, Genchi C and Darabus G (2011) Molecular characterisation of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from pre-weaned calves in Romania: is there an actual risk of zoonotic infections? *Veterinary Parasitology* **181**, 321–324.

- Jiang Y, Ren J, Yuan Z, Liu A, Zhao H, Liu H, Chu L, Pan W, Cao J, Lin Y and Shen Y (2014) *Cryptosporidium andersoni* as a novel predominant *Cryptosporidium* species in outpatients with diarrhea in Jiangsu Province, China. *BMC Infectious Diseases* **14**, 555.
- Kváč M, Havrdová N, Hlásková L, Daňová T, Kanděra J, Ježková J, Vítovec J, Sak B, Ortega Y, Xiao L, Modrý D, Chelladurai JR, Prantlová V and McEvoy J (2016) *Cryptosporidium proliferans* n. sp. (Apicomplexa: cryptosporidiidae): molecular and biological evidence of cryptic species within gastric *Cryptosporidium* of mammals. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0147090.
- Kváč M, Vlnatá G, Ježková J, Horčíčková M, Konečný R, Hlásková L, McEvoy J and Sak B (2018) *Cryptosporidium occultus* sp. n. (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae) in rats. *European Journal of Protistology* **63**, 96–104.
- Leoni F, Amar C, Nichols G, Pedraza-Díaz S and McLaughlin J (2006) Genetic analysis of *Cryptosporidium* from 2414 humans with diarrhoea in England between 1985 and 2000. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **55**, 703–707.
- Liu A, Wang R, Li Y, Zhang L, Shu J, Zhang W, Feng Y, Xiao L and Ling H (2009) Prevalence and distribution of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy cattle in Heilongjiang Province, China. *Parasitology Research* **105**, 797–802.
- Ma J, Li P, Zhao X, Xu H, Wu W, Wang Y, Guo Y, Wang L, Feng Y and Xiao L (2015) Occurrence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in dairy cattle, beef cattle and water buffaloes in China. *Veterinary Parasitology* **209**, 220–207.
- Maikai BV, Umoh JU, Kwaga JK, Lawal IA, Maikai VA, Cama V and Xiao L (2011) Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in native breeds of cattle in Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Veterinary Parasitology* **178**, 241–245.
- Murakoshi F, Xiao L, Matsubara R, Sato R, Kato Y, Sasaki T, Fukuda Y, Tada C and Nakai Y (2012) Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in grazing beef cattle in Japan. *Veterinary Parasitology* **187**, 123–128.
- Nguyen ST, Fukuda Y, Tada C, Sato R, Duong B, Nguyen DT and Nakai Y (2012) Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* in native beef calves in central Vietnam. *Parasitology Research* **111**, 1817–1820.
- Ondráčková Z, Kváč M, Sak B, Květoňová D and Rost M (2009) Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy cattle in south Bohemia, the Czech Republic. *Veterinary Parasitology* **165**, 141–144.
- Qi M, Wang H, Jing B, Wang D, Wang R and Zhang L (2015a) Occurrence and molecular identification of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy calves in Xinjiang, northwestern China. *Veterinary Parasitology* **212**, 404.
- Qi M, Wang R, Jing B, Jian F, Ning C and Zhang L (2016) Prevalence and multilocus genotyping of *Cryptosporidium andersoni* in dairy cattle and He cattle in Xinjiang, China. *Infection Genetics and Evolution* **44**, 313–317.
- Qi MZ, Fang YQ, Wang XT, Zhang LX, Wang RJ, Du SZ, Guo YZ, Jia YQ, Yao L, Liu QD and Zhao GH (2015b) Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in pre-weaned calves in Shaanxi Province, northwestern China. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **64**, 111–116.
- Rieux A, Chartier C, Pors I and Paraud C (2013) Dynamics of excretion and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates in pre-weaned French beef calves. *Veterinary Parasitology* **195**, 169–172.
- Ryan U, Fayer R and Xiao L (2014) *Cryptosporidium* species in humans and animals: current understanding and research needs. *Parasitology* **141**, 1667–1685.
- Santín M, Trout JM, Xiao L, Zhou L, Greiner E and Fayer R (2004) Prevalence and age-related variation of *Cryptosporidium* species and genotypes in dairy calves. *Veterinary Parasitology* **122**, 103–117.
- Santín M, Trout JM and Fayer R (2008) A longitudinal study of cryptosporidiosis in dairy cattle from birth to 2 years of age. *Veterinary Parasitology* **155**, 15–23.
- Silverlas C, Naslund K, Bjorkman C and Mattsson JG (2010) Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from Swedish dairy cattle in relation to age, diarrhoea and region. *Veterinary Parasitology* **169**, 289–295.
- Trout JM and Santín M (2008) Livestock. In Fayer R and Xiao L (eds), *Cryptosporidium and Cryptosporidiosis*. 2nd Edn. Boca Raton: CRC Press and IWA Publishing, pp. 451–483.
- Wang R, Ma G, Zhao J, Lu Q, Wang H, Zhang L, Jian F, Ning C and Xiao L (2011a) *Cryptosporidium andersoni* is the predominant species in post-weaned and adult dairy cattle in China. *Parasitology International* **60**, 1–4.
- Wang R, Wang H, Sun Y, Zhang L, Jian F, Qi M, Ning C and Xiao L (2011b) Characteristics of *Cryptosporidium* transmission in preweaned dairy cattle in Henan, China. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **49**, 1077–1082.
- Wang R, Jian F, Zhang L, Ning C, Liu A, Zhao J, Feng Y, Qi M, Wang H, Lv C, Zhao G and Xiao L (2012) Multilocus sequence subtyping and genetic structure of *Cryptosporidium muris* and *Cryptosporidium andersoni*. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e43782.
- Wegayehu T, Karim MR, Anberber M, Adamu H, Erko B, Zhang L and Tilahun G (2016) Prevalence and genetic characterization of *Cryptosporidium* species in dairy calves in Central Ethiopia. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0154647.
- Xiao L (2010) Molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis: an update. *Experimental Parasitology* **124**, 80–89.
- Xiao L and Feng Y (2008) Zoonotic cryptosporidiosis. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* **52**, 309–323.
- Zahedi A, Durmic Z, Gofton AW, Kueh S, Austen J, Lawson M, Callahan L, Jardine J and Ryan U (2017) *Cryptosporidium homai* n.sp. (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae) from the guinea pig (*Cavia porcellus*). *Veterinary Parasitology* **245**, 92–101.
- Zhang W, Wang R, Yang F, Zhang L, Cao J, Zhang X, Hong L, Liu A and Shen Y (2013) Distribution and genetic characterizations of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in pre-weaned dairy calves in northeastern China's Heilongjiang Province. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e54857.
- Zhang XX, Tan QD, Zhou DH, Ni XT, Liu GX, Yang YC and Zhu XQ (2015) Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy cattle, northwest China. *Parasitology Research* **114**, 2781–2787.
- Zhao GH, Ren WX, Gao M, Bian QQ, Hu B, Cong MM, Lin Q, Wang RJ, Qi M, Qi MZ, Zhu XQ and Zhang LX (2013) Genotyping *Cryptosporidium andersoni* in cattle in Shaanxi Province, Northwestern China. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e60112.
- Zhao W, Wang R, Zhang W, Liu A, Cao J, Shen Y, Yang F and Zhang L (2014) MLST subtypes and population genetic structure of *Cryptosporidium andersoni* from dairy cattle and beef cattle in northeastern China's Heilongjiang Province. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e102006.