This is an Accepted Manuscript for Epidemiology & Infection. Subject to change during the editing and production process. DOI: 10.1017/S0950268824000633

## 1 Characteristics and Biomarkers Associated with Mortality in COVID-19 patients presenting the 2 emergency department 3 Joon Oh Park<sup>1</sup>, Hyun Kyu Cho<sup>2</sup>, Cheon Hoo Jeon<sup>3</sup>, Si-Ho Kim<sup>3</sup>, Ik Hyun Park<sup>4</sup>, Kwang Min Kim<sup>5</sup>, 4 Junho Lee MD<sup>6</sup>, Yu Mi Wi<sup>3</sup> 5 6 7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Samsung Changwon Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of 8 Medicine, Changwon, Republic of Korea <sup>2</sup>Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, Samsung Changwon 9 Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Changwon, Republic of Korea 10 11 <sup>3</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Samsung Changwon Hospital, 12 Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Changwon, Republic of Korea <sup>4</sup>Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Changwon Hospital, Sungkyunkwan 13 University School of Medicine, Changwon, Republic of Korea 14 15 <sup>5</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Changwon Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Changwon, Republic of Korea 16 <sup>6</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, Samsung Changwon Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University 17 School of Medicine, Changwon, Republic of Korea 18 19 Address for Correspondence: Yu Mi Wi 20

21 Mailing address: Division of Infectious Diseases, Samsung Changwon Hospital, Sungkyunkwan

1

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work. 1 University, 50 Hapseong-dong, Masanhoewon-gu, Changwon-si, Gyeongsangnam-do,

- 2 Republic of Korea, 51353.
- 3 Tel: + 82-55-233-5180
- 4 Fax: +82-55-233-5189
- 5 Email: yumi.wi@samsung.com or <u>yrhg95@naver.com</u>
- 6

## 7 Summary

This study aimed to investigate the diverse clinical manifestations and simple early biomarkers 8 9 predicting mortality of COVID-19 patients admitted to the emergency room (ER). A total of 710 10 patients with COVID-19 were enrolled from 6896 patients presenting to the ER between January 2022 and March 2022. During the study period, a total of 478 patients tested positive for COVID-19, 11 among whom 222 (46.4%) presented with extrapulmonary manifestations of COVID-19; 49 (10.3%) 12 patients displayed gastrointestinal manifestations, followed by neurological (n = 41; 8.6%) and 13 cardiac manifestations (n = 31; 6.5%). In total, 54 (11.3%) patients died. A Cox proportional hazards 14 model revealed that old age, acute kidney injury at presentation, increased total leukocyte counts, low 15 16 platelet counts, decreased albumin levels, and increased LDH levels were the independent predictors of mortality. The albumin levels exhibited the highest AUC in ROC analysis, with a value of 0.860 17 (95% CI 0.796-0.875). The study showed that the diverse clinical presentations and simple-to-18 measure prognostic markers in COVID-19 patients presenting to the ER. Serum albumin levels can 19 20 serve as a novel and simple early biomarker to identify patients at high risk of death in COVID-19 21 patients. 22

Keywords: extrapulmonary; COVID-19; emergency department; biomarkers; mortality

- 23
- 24

- 26
- 27

1

## INTRODUCTION

2 Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative pathogen of 3 4 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), leads to a broad range of clinical manifestations, from mild 5 respiratory symptoms to multiple organ failure [1]. Although the most frequent clinical syndrome of 6 SARS-CoV-2 leading to hospitalization is pneumonia associated with severe hypoxemia and acute 7 respiratory distress syndrome, healthcare professionals have also observed numerous extrapulmonary manifestations of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) [1, 2]. Extrapulmonary COVID-19 8 9 encompasses various disorders involving cardiovascular, coagulation, endothelial, renal, gastrointestinal, hepatobiliary, endocrinological, and neurologic involvement [1, 2]. Therefore, these 10 diverse clinical manifestations of COVID-19 pose a diagnostic challenge, impede early detection and 11 lead to spread especially in the emergency department (ED) setting [3]. 12 13 Numerous biochemical markers, including cytokines, procalcitonin, cardiac markers, lactate, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), C-reactive protein (CRP), D-dimer, and aspartate aminotransferase (AST), 14 neuron-specific enolase (NSE), neutrophil count, neutrophils-to-lymphocytes ratio, brain natriuretic 15 peptide (BNP), and its N-terminal pro-hormone (NT-proBNP) have been associated with the severity 16 17 of COVID-19 [4, 5]. However, the limited availability of certain markers hinders their widespread clinical application. Moreover, most earlier analyses focused on general inpatient or intensive care 18 cohorts, with a paucity of dedicated investigations on ED populations. Identification of prognostic 19 20 factors in this vulnerable population is crucial for optimizing case management and allocation of healthcare resources, particularly in resource-limited settings. 21 22 Contemporary data characterizing the prevalence and spectrum of extrapulmonary manifestations 23 among COVID-19 patients visiting ED remains scarce. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate 24 clinical manifestations and predictors of mortality in patients with COVID-19 who presented to the 25 ED. Additionally, we sought to assess the correlation of commonly measured biomarkers, with mortality in adult patients affected by COVID-19. Findings from this analysis will delineate high-risk 26 27 patient attributes to guide clinical decision-making and emergency care in COVID-19. 28

#### 1 METHODS

## 2 Study population and design

3 Data for this study were obtained from the COVID-19 Samsung Changwon Hospital Registry Database. 4 We included patients aged > 19 years who were admitted to the ER of a 760-bed referral center with 5 COVID-19 infection between January 1, 2022, and March 31, 2022. The age cutoff of 19 years was 6 chosen to align with the definition of adult patients at our institution. Throughout the study period, all 7 patients presenting to the ER with respiratory symptoms or fever were routinely tested for SARS-CoV-8 2 infection. Furthermore, all admitted patients, regardless of the presence or absence of respiratory 9 symptoms or fever, were screened for SARS-CoV-2 infection. The infection control department regularly monitored the results of laboratory tests for active hospital surveillance and relevant case 10 details were recorded. The medical records of patients with confirmed COVID-19 were retrospectively 11 reviewed, including demographic information, underlying comorbidities, clinical manifestations at 12 presentation, laboratory findings, vaccination status, date of vaccination, and date of confirmed 13 diagnosis of COVID-19. Thirty-day mortality was recorded as an objective marker of the severity of 14 the disease. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (SCMC 2022-10-019). The 15 need for informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study. 16

### 17 **Definition**

A confirmed COVID-19 case was defined as a positive result in a reverse transcriptase-polymerase 18 19 chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay for SARS-CoV-2 in nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swab specimens 20 or sputum. The full vaccination group included patients 14 days after the second vaccination. Blood 21 samples for complete blood cell counts and chemistry were simultaneously performed at admission and 22 the initial laboratory values upon hospital admission were used for the analysis. Albumin were measured as part of the automated chemistry analysis using a Roche Modular D2400 system (Roche 23 Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN), and the reference range of our institution are 3.1–5.2 g/dl. Complete 24 25 blood cell counts were obtained using a Sysmex XN-10 hematology analyzer. The diagnosis of acute 26 kidney injury (AKI) was made using KDIGO criteria as an increase in serum creatinine 1.5 times baseline creatinine within the previous 7 days or an increase in serum creatinine by ≥0.3 mg/dl within
 48 h. Additionally, a urine volume of ≤0.5 ml/kg/h for 6 h was considered a criterion for the diagnosis
 of AKI.

## 4 Statistical analyses

5 Discrete data were presented as frequencies and percentages, while continuous variables were 6 summarized as mean ± standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR). Before analysis, 7 the normality of the data was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test. Appropriate 8 statistical tests were used to compare the characteristics of between subgroups of survivors and non-9 survivors. The  $\chi^2$  test, Fisher's exact test, two-sample t-test, or Mann-Whitney U-test were used 10 depending on the nature of the data. To identify predictors of mortality in COVID-19 patients, a Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to control the influence of confounding variables. In 11 this analysis, variables with a P-value < 0.05 in the bivariate analysis were considered candidates for 12 the multivariate analysis, and a backward stepwise variable elimination process was performed. To 13 predict mortality, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted. The criteria used for 14 variable selection in the ROC analyses were: 1) Objective laboratory test able to be measured within 15 24 hours of hospital admission; and 2) Multivariate association with 30-day mortality at p < 0.0516 17 level. Pairwise comparisons of the area under the curve (AUC) were performed using the DeLong method with Bonferroni correction. The AUC, sensitivity, and specificity were calculated to evaluate 18 the predictive performance. A P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical 19 analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics 23.0, for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), 20 21 while the ROC curve analysis was performed with MedCalc v. 22.002 (MedCalc Software, 22 Mariakerke, Belgium).

23

### 24 **RESULTS**

## 25 Prevalence of COVID-19 and Extrapulmonary Manifestations

Among the 6896 patients who visited the ED during the study period, 710 patients (10.3%) tested

positive for COVID-19. The 232 excluded patients were those under 19 years old. Of the 478 enrolled
COVID-19 patients aged over 19 years, 222 (46.4%) presented with extrapulmonary manifestations,
with extrapulmonary manifestations, with gastrointestinal symptoms being the most common (10.3%),
followed by neurological (8.6%) and cardiac (6.5%) manifestations. Overall, 11.3% (n=54) of patients
died during the study period (Table 1).

## 6 Comparison of characteristics between non-survivors and survivors

7 Bivariate analyses revealed significant differences in clinical and laboratory characteristics between 8 patients who survived compared to those who did not (Table 1). The non-survivor group was much 9 older (mean, 71.9 years vs. 56.4 years, P < 0.001) and had a lower rate of full vaccination (53.7% vs. 68.2%, P = 0.034). Underlying chronic lung diseases (9.3% vs. 2.4%, P = 0.019), liver cirrhosis (7.4%) 10 vs. 1.9%, P = 0.036), chronic renal diseases (22.2% vs. 10.4%, P = 0.001), and acute kidney injury at 11 presentation (11.1% vs 2.4%, P = 0.005) significantly affected 30-day mortality. In terms of serologic 12 testing, the non-survivor group exhibited remarkably higher leukocyte counts, AST, creatinine, LDH, 13 CRP, and lactate levels. In addition, the non-survivor group displayed significantly lower levels of 14 15 albumin and platelet counts.

# 16 Predictors of mortality of COVID-19 patients presenting to the ED

17 Multivariate Cox proportional hazards model revealed that old age (hazard ratio (HR), 1.042; 95% CI,

18 1.012–1.074; P = 0.007), acute kidney injury at presentation (HR, 5.078; 95% CI, 1.856–13.894; P =

19 0.002), total leukocyte counts (HR, 1.080; 95% CI, 1.026-1.138; P = 0.004), platelet counts (HR,

20 0.993; 95% CI, 0.988–0.998; P = 0.007), albumin levels (HR, 0.224; 95% CI, 0.121–0.412; P <

21 0.001), and LDH levels (HR, 1.002; 95% CI, 1.001–1.002; P < 0.001) were the independent predictors

22 of mortality. ROC curve analyses revealed that albumin levels had the highest discriminative ability

- 23 (AUC 0.860, 95% CI 0.796-0.875) for mortality compared to LDH (AUC 0.664, 95% CI 0.613-
- 24 0.713), leukocyte (AUC 0.648, 95% CI 0.596–0.697) and platelet counts (AUC 0.644, 95% CI 0.592–
- 25 0.693) (Supplement Fig 1). Significant differences were observed between the AUC of albumin levels
- and those of other markers such as LDH (P = 0.003), platelet counts (P < 0.001), and total leukocyte

counts (P < 0.001), while no significant differences were founded in other pairwise comparison of</li>
 ROC curves (p > 0.05). An optimal albumin threshold of 3.7 g/dL predicted mortality with 82.5%
 (95% CI, 67.2–92.7) sensitivity and 77.4% (95% CI, 72.8–81.5) specificity (Supplement Fig 2).

4

## 5 **DISCUSSION**

6 This study provides critical insights into the diverse clinical presentations and potential prognostic 7 markers in adult COVID-19 patients admitted to the ED. Beyond the well-documented respiratory 8 symptoms, our findings highlight a wide spectrum of extrapulmonary manifestations observed in these 9 patients. The observed mortality rate of 11.3% in this cohort further emphasizes the gravity of the 10 disease, particularly among patients presenting to the ER. Our results also demonstrated that serum 11 albumin level may serve as a novel and simple early biomarker to identify patients at high risk for a 12 mortality in patients with COVID-19.

We found that 46.4% of COVID-19 patients exhibited extrapulmonary manifestations, most commonly 13 gastrointestinal, neurological and cardiac issues. This finding aligns with emerging data that SARS-14 CoV-2 can induce multi-organ dysfunction [1, 2]. Extrapulmonary presentations in COVID-19 have 15 16 garnered increasing attention, and our study aligns with previous research indicating that COVID-19 can initially manifest with non-respiratory symptoms [1, 2]. A previous cohort study involving 147 17 emergency medical services for COVID-19 in the United States found that 29.3% of patients did not 18 present with respiratory symptoms and instead had a variety of symptoms, including altered mental 19 status, chest pain, weakness, and pain or minor injury, often resulting from a fall [6]. Our study results 20 21 are consistent with those of previous studies documenting the diverse clinical presentations associated 22 with COVID-19 and highlight the importance of considering extrapulmonary symptoms in the diagnosis 23 and management of patients.

The overall mortality rate was 11.3% in our cohort. Regarding predictors of mortality, our analysis identified that old age, acute kidney injury at presentation, increased total leukocyte counts, low platelet counts, decreased albumin levels, and increased LDH levels were significant factors associated with

1 30-day mortality in patients with COVID-19. Old age, underlying comorbidities like diabetes, chronic 2 lung disease, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, cancer, obese, acute kidney injury, and increased D-3 dimer are well-established risk factors for mortality in COVID-19 patients [7]. In addition, inflammatory biomarkers like procalcitonin, cardiac markers, WBC, lactate, creatinine, D-dimer, LDH, 4 5 CRP, AST, IL-6, BNP, BUN, CK, bilirubin, and ESR levels have been found to be increased in 6 severe/fatal COVID-19 and predictive of poor prognosis [4, 5]. Other lab parameters like lymphopenia, 7 coagulation abnormalities, and decreased levels of albumin have also predicted COVID-19 mortality. The objective of our research was to identify a simple and readily obtainable biomarker measured early 8 9 during hospitalization that could predict mortality. Therefore, we additionally conducted ROC analysis to assess the diagnostic sensitivity of independent predictors for 30-day mortality in patients with 10 COVID-19. Total leukocyte counts, low platelet counts, albumin levels, and LDH levels were included 11 in the ROC analysis. Notably, serum albumin levels exhibited a remarkably high AUC in ROC analysis, 12 indicating its strong discriminatory power in predicting mortality. This outperformed other markers 13 14 such as LDH levels, platelet counts, and total leukocyte counts. The diagnostic sensitivity of albumin levels for predicting mortality in patients with COVID-19 at a cut-off value of 3.7 g/dl was 82.5% (95% 15 16 CI 67.2-92.7), the specificity was 77.4% (95% CI 72.8-81.5). The serum albumin level serves as a frequently evaluated biomarker in hospitalized patients. Diminished levels of serum albumin are evident 17 across various disease states and are linked to heightened in-hospital mortality and prolonged duration 18 of hospitalization [8, 9]. They have been posited as a dependable prognostic indicator for outcomes in 19 20 critically ill patients affected by infectious diseases [8, 9]. Malnutrition, diminished hepatic synthesis, 21 renal losses, and inflammation are identified as primary contributors to hypoalbuminemia; nevertheless, 22 in critically ill patients, the chief mechanism leading to a reduction in serum albumin is primarily 23 attributed to increased capillary permeability and redistribution from plasma to the interstitium [10]. In our investigation, while the correlation between albumin levels and the severity of the underlying 24 condition or the acuteness of illness was not definitively established, our study underscores the potential 25 value of albumin levels as a conveniently accessible marker for risk stratification in individuals with 26 27 COVID-19.

1 Although our study offers valuable information on the characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 2 patients presenting to the ER, it is essential to recognize and consider several limitations when 3 interpreting the results. First, this study was conducted in a single center, which could restrict the generalizability of the findings to other healthcare settings or diverse populations. Regional variations 4 5 in patient demographics, vaccination rates, and healthcare resources could have affected the observed 6 results. Therefore, caution should be exercised when extrapolating these findings to broader populations. 7 Second, we did not incorporate other markers that have been associated with the outcomes of infectious diseases including cytokines (e.g., IL-6). Third, the study focused on patients who presented to the ER, 8 9 which may have introduced a selection bias. Patients with mild symptoms who did not seek emergency care or were managed in outpatient settings were excluded from the analysis. Consequently, the study 10 population may be skewed toward individuals with more severe diseases or specific clinical 11 presentations, potentially influencing the observed results. Fourth, the sample size of the study, 12 particularly within certain subgroups (e.g., underlying diseases), may have limited statistical power to 13 detect significant differences or associations. A larger sample size would enhance the robustness and 14 reliability of the findings, allowing for more comprehensive subgroup analyses and adjustments for 15 16 potential confounders. Fifth, we conducted correlation analyses between age, liver cirrhosis, and serum albumin levels prior to proceeding with the multivariate analysis model, and found significant 17 associations. However, the variation inflation factor (VIF) values of age and liver cirrhosis were 1.452 18 and 1.028, respectively. Consequently, we can conclude that multicollinearity was not present in our 19 20 model. Nonetheless, there is the potential for residual confounding arising from factors, which may 21 influence both albumin levels and mortality risk. Lastly, the optimal albumin threshold of >3.5g/dL for 22 predicting higher 30-day mortality was higher than the typically referenced normal range. A potential 23 explanation is that even mildly decreased albumin levels may signify increased vulnerability and 24 mortality risk when precipitated by an acute illness requiring hospitalization, especially in an elderly population. However, this higher than expected threshold is an interesting finding that warrants further 25 study to better understand if it represents a population-specific normal range or highlights albumin's 26 27 role as a biosensor of overall health and resiliency.

1 In conclusion, our study sheds light on the diverse clinical presentations and critical prognostic markers in COVID-19 patients presenting to the ER. Extrapulmonary manifestations were prevalent, 2 3 emphasizing the need for a comprehensive approach to assessment and monitoring. Old age, acute kidney injury at presentation, increased total leukocyte counts, low platelet counts, decreased albumin 4 5 levels, and increased LDH levels emerged as pivotal factors influencing mortality, with albumin 6 exhibiting particularly strong predictive power. Attending physicians should maintain a vigilant 7 approach to recognize the potential extrapulmonary manifestations of COVID-19 patients in the ER, 8 and serum albumin levels could help enhance situational awareness and rational resource allotment 9 amidst the dynamically evolving COVID-19 situation.

10 **Data availability statement.** The data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable

11 request.

## 12 Author Contributions.

Conceptualization; JOP, YMW. Data curation; JOP, HKJ, CHJ, SHK, IHP, KMK, JL, YMW.
Formal analysis; JOP, YMW. Investigation; JOP, HKJ, CHJ, SHK, IHP, KMK, JL, YMW.
Methodology; JOP, HKJ, CHJ, SHK, IHP, KMK, JL, YMW. Project administration; JOP, HKJ,
CHJ, SHK, IHP, KMK, JL, YMW. Resources; Software; JOP, HKJ, CHJ, SHK, IHP, KMK, JL,
YMW. Supervision; YMW. Validation; JOP, HKJ, CHJ, SHK, IHP, KMK, JL, YMW.
Visualization; JOP, YMW. Roles/Writing - original draft; JOP, YMW. and Writing - review &
editing; JOP, HKJ, CHJ, SHK, IHP, KMK, JL, YMW.

Funding statement. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or
 not-for-profit sectors.

22 Conflicts of Interest. None.

Ethical standard. This study was conducted in agreement with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board (SCMC 2022-10-019). Informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board of Samsung Changwon Hospital because of the retrospective nature of the study.

#### 1 **REFERENCES**

- Gupta A, et al. (2020) Extrapulmonary manifestations of COVID-19. *Nature Medicine*; 26: 1017–
   1032.
- 4 2. Lai CC, et al. (2020) Extra-respiratory manifestations of COVID-19. International Journal of
- 5 Antimicrobial Agents; **56**: 106024.
- 6 3. Leblanc J, et al; DEPIST-COVID group; FHU IMPEC (Improving Emergency Care) group. (2023)
- 7 Intensified screening for SARS-CoV-2 in 18 emergency departments in the Paris metropolitan area,
- 8 France (DEPIST-COVID): A cluster-randomized, two-period, crossover trial. *PLoS Medicine*; 20:
- 9 e1004317.
- 10 4. Izcovich A, et al. (2020) Prognostic factors for severity and mortality in patients infected with
- 11 COVID-19: A systematic review. *PLoS One*; **15**: e0241955.
- 12 5. Battaglini D, et al. (2022) Laboratory Biomarkers for Diagnosis and Prognosis in COVID-19.
- 13 Frontiers in Immunology; **13**: 857573.
- 14 6. Yang BY, et al. (2020) Clinical characteristics of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-
- 15 19) receiving emergency medical services in King County, Washington. JAMA Network Open; 3:
- 16 e2014549.
- 17 7. Dessie ZG, Zewotir T. (2021) Mortality-related risk factors of COVID-19: a systematic review and
- 18 meta-analysis of 42 studies and 423,117 patients. *BMC Infectious Diseases*; 21: 855.
- 19 8. Artero A, et al. (2010) Prognostic factors of mortality in patients with community-acquired
- 20 bloodstream infection with severe sepsis and septic shock. *Journal of Critical Care*; **25**: 2762–2781.
- 21 9. Wi YM, Kim JM, Peck KR. (2014) Serum albumin level as a predictor of intensive respiratory or
- 22 vasopressor support in influenza A (H1N1) virus infection. International Journal of Clinical Practice;
- **68**: 222-229.
- 24 10. Foley EF, et al. (1990) Albumin supplementation in the critically ill. A prospective, randomized
- 25 trial. *Archives of Surgery*; **125**: 739–742.
- 26
- 27

# 1 Table 1. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients presenting to the emergency room

	Total (n = 478)	Non-survivor	Survivor	P value
		(n = 54)	(n = 424)	
Age (years), mean ± SD	58.1 ± 19.4	71.9 ± 12.6	56.4 ± 19.5	< 0.001
Male sex	248 (51.9)	34 (63.0)	214 (50.5)	0.084
Diagnosis to ER presentation (days),	0 (0–11.0)	0 (0-3)	0 (0-12.8)	0.067
nedian (IQR)				
Fully vaccination status	318 (66.5)	29 (53.7)	289 (68.2)	0.034
Inderlying disease				
Diabetes	65 (13.6)	11 (20.4)	54 (12.7)	0.123
Chronic lung disease	15 (3.1)	5 (9.3)	10 (2.4)	0.019
Liver cirrhosis	12 (2.5)	4 (7.4)	8 (1.9)	0.036
Cancer	66 (13.8)	12 (22.2)	54 (12.7)	0.057
Chronic heart disease	54 (11.3)	8 (14.8)	46 (10.8)	0.386
Cerebrovascular disease	42 (8.8)	7 (13.0)	35 (8.3)	0.302
Chronic renal disease	56 (11.7)	12 (22.2)	44 (10.4)	0.011
Clinical manifestation		NO-		
Extrapulmonary	182 (38.1)	22 (40.7)	160 (37.7)	0.002
nanifestations				
Neurologic manifestations	41 (8.6)	5 (9.3)	36 (8.5)	0.798
Gastrointestinal	49 (10.3)	2 (3.7)	47 (11.1)	0.092
nanifestations				
General weakness causing	28 (5.9)	2 (3.7)	26 (6.1)	0.757
rauma	5			
Cardiac manifestations	31 (6.5)	4 (7.4)	27 (6.4)	0.798
Acute kidney injury	16 (3.3)	6 (11.1)	10 (2.4)	0.005
Psychiatric disorder	7 (1.5)	2 (3.7)	5 (1.2)	0.182
Endocrine disorder	5 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (1.2)	0.548
aboratory manifestation				
Total leucocyte count (x	7.8 (5.3–11.1)	10.5 (7.1-13.0)	7.6 (5.2-10.7)	0.003
0 <sup>3</sup> cells/mm3), median (IQR) (n=354)				
Platelet (x 10 <sup>3</sup> cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ),	221.9 ± 97.4	187.6 ± 97.9	226.3 ± 96.6	0.018
nedian (IQR) (n=354)				
Albumin (g/dl), mean ± SD	3.99 ± 0.70	3.23 ± 0.72	4.09 ± 0.63	<0.001
(n=350)				

AST (IU/L), median (IQR)	18.0 (12.0–31.0)	25.0 (14.1-52.5)	18.0 (12.0-29.0)	0.028
(n=350)				
Total bilirubin (mg/dl), median	0.5 (0.3–0.7)	0.5 (0.3–0.7)	0.5 (0.3–0.6)	0.492
(IQR) (n=350)				
Creatinine (mg/dl), median	0.80 (0.60–1.30)	1.5 (1.1-2.2)	0.8 (0.6-1.13)	<0.001
(IQR) (n=350)				
LDH (IU/L), median (IQR)	228.1 (182.0-325.0)	396.0 (263.0-686.0)	219.0 (178.0-307.5)	<0.001
(n=316)				
CRP (mg/l), median (IQR)	$43.4\pm 64.5$	95.2 ± 87.0	36.6 ± 57.7	<0.001
(n=346)				
Lactate, median (IQR) (n=320)	1.2 (0.9-1.8)	2.4 (1.1-13.7)	1.2 (0.8-1.7)	< 0.001

1 Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; ER, emergency room; IQR, interquartile range; AST, aspartate

2 aminotransferase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; CRP, C-reactive protein;

3 Data are n (%) unless otherwise stated.

Certer