## A CLASS OF LOOPS WITH THE ISOTOPY-ISOMORPHY PROPERTY

## ERIC L. WILSON

**1.** Introduction. A loop L has the isotopy-isomorphy property provided each loop isotopic to L is isomorphic to L. A familiar problem is that of characterizing those loops having this property.

It is well known (1, p. 56) that the loop isotopes of  $(L, \cdot)$  are those loops L(a, b, \*) defined by  $x * y = x/b \cdot a \setminus y$  for some a, b in L. In this paper we first show (Corollary to Theorem 1) that a loop L with identity element 1 has the isotopy-isomorphy property if L is isomorphic to L(1, x) and to L(x, 1) for each x in L. We then determine necessary and sufficient conditions (Theorems 2 and 3) for L to be isomorphic to these isotopes under translations (i.e. permutations of the form  $x\nu = cx$  or  $x\nu = xc$  for c fixed). Finally these results, together with some from Osborn (4), are used to show that loops satisfying the identity  $c(cx)^{\rho} = (cy)[c(xy)]^{\rho}$  are isomorphic to all their isotopes (for notation see 4).

**2. Results.** Bryant and Schneider (3) have shown that if L is a loop, and if L(a, b, O) is isomorphic to L(c, d, \*) under  $\theta$ , then  $L(e, f, \Delta)$  is isomorphic to  $L((eb)\theta/d, c \setminus (af)\theta, \Box)$  under  $\theta$ . Our first theorem is a consequence of this result.

THEOREM 1. Suppose there exist a, b in L such that L(a, 1) is isomorphic to L(a, y) and L(1, b) is isomorphic to L(x, b) for all x, y in L. Then L is isomorphic to all its loop isotopes.

*Proof.* Consider an arbitrary isotope L(r, s) of L. We shall show that L(r, s) is isomorphic to L(a, b). Note that L(a, b) is isomorphic to L(a, y) and L(x, b) for all x, y in L. In particular, L(a, b) is isomorphic to L(a, s) under some isomorphism  $\theta$ , and L(a, b) is isomorphic to  $L((rs)\theta^{-1}/b, b)$ . Also note that  $(ab)\theta = (as)$ , since (ab) and (as) are the identities of L(a, b) and L(a, s). Finally, by Bryant and Schneider's theorem,  $L((rs)\theta^{-1}/b, b)$  is isomorphic under  $\theta$  to  $L(\{[(rs)\theta^{-1}]/b \cdot b\}\theta/s, a \setminus (ab)\theta) = L(r, s)$ . Thus L(a, b) is isomorphic to L(r, s) for all r, s in L.

If we let a = b = 1 in Theorem 1 we have:

COROLLARY. If a loop L is isomorphic to L(1, x) and to L(x, 1) for each x in L, then L is isomorphic to all its loop isotopes.

Received January 25, 1965.

As a result of this Corollary we can concentrate our efforts on determining conditions under which a loop L is isomorphic to these special isotopes. In particular, the following two theorems exhibit necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of such isomorphisms which are translations.

THEOREM 2. (i) L is isomorphic to L(1, c, \*) under xv = xc if and only if c is in the right nucleus of L. (ii) L is isomorphic to L(c, 1, \*) under xv = cx if and only if c is in the left nucleus of L.

*Proof.* (i) If c is in the right nucleus we have  $(xy)\nu = (xy)c = x(yc) = (xc/c)(yc) = (xc)*(yc) = x\nu * y\nu$ . Conversely if  $\nu$  is an isomorphism, then  $(xy)c = (xy)\nu = x\nu * y\nu = (xc)*(yc) = (xc/c)(yc) = x(yc)$ .

The proof of (ii) is similar.

We note that Theorem 2 provides a proof of the familiar fact that a group is isomorphic to all its isotopes.

THEOREM 3. (i) L is isomorphic to L(1, c, \*) under xv = cx if and only if

(1) 
$$(cx)/c \cdot cy = c(xy)$$
 for all x, y in L.

(ii) L is isomorphic to L(c, 1, \*) under xv = xc if and only if

(2) 
$$xc \cdot c \setminus (yc) = (xy)c$$
 for all  $x, y$  in  $L$ .

*Proof.* (i) If (1) holds, then

$$(xy)\nu = c(xy) = (cx)/c \cdot cy = (cx)*(cy) = x\nu * y\nu.$$

Conversely, if  $\nu$  is an isomorphism, then

$$c(xy) = (xy)\nu = x\nu * y\nu = (cx)*(cy) = (cx)/c \cdot cy.$$

The proof of (ii) is similar.

The following theorem is our main result.

THEOREM 4. If a loop L has the property that, for all c, x, y in L,

(3) 
$$c(cx)^{\rho} = (cy)[c(xy)]^{\rho}$$

then L is isomorphic to all its isotopes.

*Proof.* If we let c = 1, we see that (3) implies the weak inverse property. We next show that (3) implies its dual,

(4) 
$$(xc)^{\lambda}c = [(yx)c]^{\lambda}(yc).$$

Suppose L satisfies (3). If we replace x by  $(yz)^{\lambda}$  and use the weak inverse property to obtain  $xy = z^{\lambda}$ , we have that (3) implies  $c[c(yz)^{\lambda}]^{\rho} = (cy)(cz^{\lambda})^{\rho}$ . This is equivalent to the statement that

(5) 
$$(L(c), \lambda L(c)\rho, \lambda L(c)\rho L(c))$$

is an autotopism of L. For weak-inverse-property loops, Osborn (4, p. 296) has shown that

(6) 
$$L^{-1}(c) = \lambda R(c)\rho$$

and that  $(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$  and  $(\rho^2, \rho^2, \rho^2)$  are autotopisms. Using these results together with the fact that the autotopisms of a loop form a group, we show that each of the following is an autotopism of L:

(7) 
$$(L^{-1}(c), \lambda L^{-1}(c)\rho, L^{-1}(c)\lambda L^{-1}(c)\rho),$$

(8) 
$$(\lambda R(c)\rho, \lambda^2 R(c)\rho^2, \lambda R(c)\lambda R(c)\rho^2),$$

(9)  $(\lambda R(c)\lambda, \lambda^2 R(c), \lambda R(c)\lambda R(c)),$ 

(10) 
$$(\rho R(c)\lambda, R(c), \rho R(c)\lambda R(c)).$$

(7) is the inverse of (5). (8) is obtained by using (6) in (7). The multiplication of (8) on the right by  $(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$  yields (9), and the multiplication of (9) on the left by  $(\rho^2, \rho^2, \rho^2)$  yields (10). (10) is equivalent to  $(z^{\rho}c)^{\lambda}(yc) = [(zy)^{\rho}c]^{\lambda}c$ . If we let  $z^{\rho} = yx$  and use the weak inverse property to obtain  $x = (zy)^{\rho}$ , we have the desired dual. By a similar argument it could be shown that (4) implies (3).

If (U, V, W) is an autotopism of a weak-inverse-property loop L, then  $(\rho W\lambda, U, \rho V\lambda)$  and  $(V, \lambda W\rho, \lambda U\rho)$  are also autotopisms of L (4, p. 296). Thus if a loop has property (3), then  $(L(c), \lambda L(c)\rho, \lambda L(c)\rho L(c))$  and therefore  $(L(c)\rho L(c)\lambda, L(c), L(c))$  are autotopisms of L. The latter autotopism can be written  $(L(c)R^{-1}(c), L(c), L(c))$ , since  $R^{-1}(c) = \rho L(c)\lambda$  (4, p. 296). But this autotopism is equivalent to (1). Similarly, if L has property (3), then it has property (4). Thus  $(\rho R(c)\lambda, R(c), \rho R(c)\lambda R(c))$  and therefore

$$(R(c), R(c)\lambda R(c)\rho, R(c))$$

are autotopisms of L. The latter can be written  $(R(c), R(c)L^{-1}(c), R(c))$ . This autotopism is equivalent to (2).

The desired result now follows from Theorem 3 and the Corollary to Theorem 1.

Osborn (4, pp. 300-302) has defined a loop H and has shown that the homomorphs of H are the weak-inverse-property loops with one generator which are isomorphic to all their isotopes. It is not hard to show that H has property (3). Thus (3) is both a necessary and a sufficient condition that a one-generator weak-inverse-property loop have the isotopy-isomorphy property.

We finally prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. Let L be a Moufang loop. Then L has property (3) if and only if for each a in L,  $a^2$  is in the nucleus of L.

*Proof.* We first show that  $c \cdot xc^{-1} = cx \cdot c^{-1}$  for all x, c in L. Since L is Moufang, L has the inverse property. Therefore

$$(c \cdot xc^{-1})c = (cx)(c^{-1}c) = cx = (cx \cdot c^{-1})c;$$

thus  $c \cdot xc^{-1} = cx \cdot c^{-1}$ .

In a Moufang loop L, (3) can be written

$$(cy)((y^{-1}x^{-1})c^{-1}) = c(x^{-1}c^{-1})$$

If we let  $x^{-1} = yz$ , we obtain

(11) 
$$(cy)(zc^{-1}) = c(yz \cdot c^{-1}) = (c \cdot yz)c^{-1}.$$

(11) is equivalent to the requirement that  $(L(c), R(c^{-1}), L(c)R(c^{-1}))$  be an autotopism of L. Since L is Moufang, (L(c), R(c), R(c)L(c)) is an autotopism. The product (L(c)L(c), I, L(c)L(c)) is an autotopism of L. Thus

$$c(cx) \cdot y = c \cdot c(xy),$$

which is equivalent to the requirement that  $(cc)x \cdot y = (cc)(xy)$ , since c(cz) = (cc)z in a Moufang loop. Thus  $c^2$  is in the left nucleus of L, and, because the nuclei of a Moufang loop coincide, all squares are in the nucleus of L.

Conversely, if L is a Moufang loop all of whose squares are in the nucleus, then (L(c), R(c), R(c)L(c)) and (L(c)L(c), I, L(c)L(c)) are autotopisms of L. Multiplying the second by the inverse of the first, we obtain the autotopism  $(L(c), R(c^{-1}), L(c)R(c^{-1}))$ , which is (11).

It follows immediately from Theorems 4 and 5 that a Moufang loop all of whose squares lie in the nucleus is isomorphic to all its isotopes. This result has been mentioned by Bruck (2, p. 60), who attributes it to a correspondence from J. Tits.

## References

- 1. R. H. Bruck, A survey of binary systems (Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958).
- **2.** ——— Some theorems on Moufang loops, Math. Z., 73 (1960), 59–78.
- 3. B. F. Bryant and Hans Schneider, *Principal loop-isotopes of quasigroups*, Can. J. Math., 18 (1966), 120-125.
- 4. J. M. Osborn, Loops with the weak inverse property, Pacific J. Math., 10 (1960), 295-304.

Vanderbilt University and Wittenberg University

592