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#### Abstract

We prove that in any compact symmetric space, $G / K$, there is a dense set of $a_{1}, a_{2} \in G$ such that if $\mu_{j}=m_{K} * \delta_{a_{j}} * m_{k}$ is the $K$-bi-invariant measure supported on $K a_{j} K$, then $\mu_{1} * \mu_{2}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Haar measure on $G$. Moreover, the product of double cosets, $K a_{1} K a_{2} K$, has nonempty interior in $G$.
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## 1. Introduction

In a now classical paper [4], Dunkl proved that the convolution of the surface measure of a sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with itself is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Motivated by this result, Ragozin [9] considered the analogous problem in the setting of a compact, symmetric space $G / K$ and showed that if $\mu_{j}$ are $K$-bi-invariant, continuous measures, then $\mu_{1} * \cdots * \mu_{\operatorname{dim} G / K}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure on $G$. In particular, this is true when $\mu_{j}$ are the $K$-orbital surface measures supported on the double cosets $K a_{j} K$, with $a_{j}$ not in the normalizer of $K$ in $G$. These singular measures are given by

$$
\mu_{j}=\mu_{a_{j}}=m_{K} * \delta_{a_{j}} * m_{K}
$$

where $m_{K}$ denotes the Haar measure on $K$. Equivalently, the $\operatorname{dim} G / K$-fold product of the double cosets $K a_{j} K$ has nonempty interior for all such $a_{j}$.

Recently, the authors [5] proved that for the special case of the symmetric space $S U(n) / S O(n)$ the number of convolution powers (or double cosets in the product) could be reduced from the dimension of the symmetric space to the rank +1 , and that this is sharp for particular $a_{j} \in S U(n)$.

In this paper, we prove that for any compact symmetric space there is a dense subset $D \subseteq G$ such that if $a_{1}, a_{2} \in D$, then $\mu_{a_{1}} * \mu_{a_{2}}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to
the Haar measure on $G$ and the product of double cosets, $K a_{1} K a_{2} K$, has nonempty interior. General results of Ricci and Stein [10] then imply that the convolution product actually belongs to $L^{p}(G)$ for some $p>1$.

One example of this is when $H$ is a compact, simple, connected Lie group, $G=H \times H$ and $K=\{(h, h) \mid h \in H\}$. Then $G / K$ is homeomorphic to $H$, double cosets correspond to conjugacy classes, and the $K$-bi-inviariant measures on $G / K$ can be identified with the central measures on $H$. Using the representation theory of compact Lie groups, a stronger result has been proved in this case; namely, $\mu_{a_{1}} * \mu_{a_{2}} \in L^{2}(H)$ for a dense set of elements of $H$ [6]. It would be interesting to know whether this stronger result holds for general compact symmetric spaces as well. This may require further development of the $L^{2}$ theory for symmetric spaces (see $[2,8]$ ). Smoothness properties of these orbital measures were also investigated in $[3,11]$.

## 2. Notation and basic facts

2.1. Restricted roots and root vectors Let $G$ be a compact, connected, semi-simple Lie group and suppose $\theta$ is a Cartan involution that fixes the closed Lie subgroup $K$. The quotient space $G / K$ is known as a compact symmetric space. We denote by $N_{G}(K)$ the normalizer of $K$ in $G$.

We shall write $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ for the corresponding Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G .{ }^{1}$ Thus $\mathfrak{p}$ is the -1 eigenspace of $\theta$. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal abelian subspace and extend this to a maximal abelian subalgebra, $t$, of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We write $\mathfrak{m}$ for the subspace of $\mathfrak{k}$ that commutes with $\mathfrak{a}$. For a classification of compact symmetric spaces we refer the reader to [1, p. 219] or [7, p. 518].

Let $\tau$ be the conjugation of $\mathfrak{g}$ which gives the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and extend $\theta$ by linearity to $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. More generally $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}, t^{\mathbb{C}}$, and so on, will denote the complexification of the corresponding subspace.

Suppose $\Phi$ is the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $t^{\mathbb{C}}$. We consider the roots which do not vanish identically on $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and let $\Sigma\left(\Sigma^{+}\right)$denote the corresponding set of (positive) restricted roots. We denote by $g_{\alpha}^{R}$ the restricted root space for the restricted $\operatorname{root} \alpha \in \Sigma$ :

$$
g_{\alpha}^{R}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \mid[H, X]=i \alpha(H) X \text { for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\right\}
$$

The restricted root vectors are the nonzero vectors in $g_{\alpha}^{R}$. Similarly, $g_{\alpha}$ will denote the root space of the root $\alpha \in \Phi$.

In contrast to the situation for root spaces, restricted root spaces need not be onedimensional. Indeed,

$$
g_{\alpha}^{R}=\sum g_{\beta}
$$

where the sum is over all root vectors $\beta$ such that $\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha$. The complexified Lie algebra can be decomposed as

$$
\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}=t^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} g_{\alpha}=\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} g_{\alpha}^{R}
$$

[^0]If $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $\theta(H)=-H$ and $\tau(H)=H$. Thus if $X_{\alpha} \in g_{\alpha}^{R}$, then

$$
\left[H, \theta\left(X_{\alpha}\right)\right]=\theta\left[\theta(H), X_{\alpha}\right]=-\theta\left[H, X_{\alpha}\right]=-i \alpha(H) \theta\left(X_{\alpha}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left[H, \tau\left(X_{\alpha}\right)\right]=\tau\left[\tau(H), X_{\alpha}\right]=\tau\left[H, X_{\alpha}\right]=-i \alpha(H) \tau\left(X_{\alpha}\right)
$$

with the final inequality coming because $\tau$ is conjugate linear. Hence both $\theta$ and $\tau$ map $g_{\alpha}^{R}$ to $g_{-\alpha}^{R}$.
2.2. Regular elements Given a restricted root $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and $a \in \exp \mathfrak{a}$, say $a=\exp (A)$ for $A \in \mathfrak{a}$, we set $\alpha(a)=\alpha(A)$. We call the element $a \in \exp \mathfrak{a}$ regular if $\alpha(a) \neq 0$ $\bmod \pi$ for any $\alpha \in \Sigma$.

It follows from the Cartan decomposition that the double cosets, $K a K$, can be indexed by the elements in $\exp \mathfrak{a} \subseteq G$. The regular elements in $\exp \mathfrak{a}$ are dense in $\exp \mathfrak{a}$, and the elements $g \in G$ with $K g K=K a K$ for some regular $a \in \exp \mathfrak{a}$ are dense in $G$. We shall show in Corollary 2.3 that if $a$ is regular, then $a \notin N_{G}(K)$.
2.3. Preliminary results For $E_{\alpha} \in g_{\alpha}^{R}$ set

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\alpha} & =E_{\alpha}+\tau E_{\alpha}+\theta\left(E_{\alpha}+\tau E_{\alpha}\right), \\
F_{\alpha}^{\prime} & =i\left(E_{\alpha}-\tau E_{\alpha}+\theta\left(E_{\alpha}-\tau E_{\alpha}\right)\right), \\
G_{\alpha} & =E_{\alpha}+\tau E_{\alpha}-\theta\left(E_{\alpha}+\tau E_{\alpha}\right), \\
G_{\alpha}^{\prime} & =i\left(E_{\alpha}-\tau E_{\alpha}-\theta\left(E_{\alpha}-\tau E_{\alpha}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course, $F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}^{\prime} \in g_{\alpha}^{R} \oplus g_{-\alpha}^{R}$. All four vectors are fixed by $\tau$ and hence belong to $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, $F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ are fixed by $\theta$ and thus belong to $\mathfrak{k}$, while $G_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ are negated by $\theta$ and hence are in $\mathfrak{p}$. If $E_{\alpha}^{(1)}, \ldots, E_{\alpha}^{\left(m_{\alpha}\right)}$ is a basis for $g_{\alpha}^{R}$ and $F_{\alpha}^{(j)}, F_{\alpha}^{(j) \prime}$, $G_{\alpha}^{(j)}, G_{\alpha}^{(j) \prime}, j=1, \ldots, m_{\alpha}$ are the corresponding vectors, then

$$
\mathfrak{k}=\operatorname{span}\left\{F_{\alpha}^{(j)}, F_{\alpha}^{(j) \prime} \mid j=1, \ldots, m_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in \Sigma\right\} \oplus \mathfrak{m}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{p}=\operatorname{span}\left\{G_{\alpha}^{(j)}, G_{\alpha}^{(j) \prime} \mid j=1, \ldots, m_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in \Sigma\right\} \oplus \mathfrak{a} .
$$

We shall follow the usual practice of writing $\operatorname{Ad}(a)$ for the action of the group on the Lie algebra. For $E_{\alpha} \in g_{\alpha}^{R}$, we have $\operatorname{Ad}(a) E_{\alpha}=e^{i \alpha(a)} E_{\alpha}$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ad}(a) \theta E_{\alpha}=e^{-i \alpha(a)} \theta E_{\alpha} \\
& \operatorname{Ad}(a) \tau E_{\alpha}=e^{-i \alpha(a)} \tau E_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Simple calculation shows that this implies the following result.
Lemma 2.1.
(i) $\operatorname{Ad}(a) F_{\alpha}=\cos \alpha(a) F_{\alpha}+\sin \alpha(a) G_{\alpha}^{\prime}$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Ad}(a) F_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\cos \alpha(a) F_{\alpha}^{\prime}-\sin \alpha(a) G_{\alpha}$.

Corollary 2.2. If a is regular, then $\operatorname{Ad}(a) \mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{g} \ominus \mathfrak{a}$.
Proof. Since $a$ is regular, $\sin \alpha(a) \neq 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and thus

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, \operatorname{Ad}(a) F_{\alpha}, \operatorname{Ad}(a) F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Since $a \in N_{G}(K)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ad}(a) \mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathfrak{k}$, similar reasoning shows the following result.
COROLLARY 2.3. An element a belongs to the $N_{G}(K)$ if and only if $\alpha(a)=0 \bmod \pi$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$.

There is a particular restricted root vector that we shall be interested in.
LEMMA 2.4. For each restricted root $\alpha$, there is a restricted root vector $E_{\alpha} \in g_{\alpha}^{R}$ such that $\left[E_{\alpha}, \theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right] \in i a$.
Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{k}+i \mathfrak{p}$. By [1, Proposition 32.5] there is a choice $E_{\alpha} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \bigcap g_{\alpha}^{R}$ with $\theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right) \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \bigcap g_{-\alpha}^{R}$. Hence $\left[E_{\alpha}, \theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right] \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Note that $\theta[X, \theta(X)]=-[X, \theta(X)]$, so $[X, \theta(X)] \in \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}}$. An application of the Jacobi identity proves that for any $H \in \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $X \in g_{\alpha}^{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[H,[X, \theta(X)]] } & =-[X,[\theta(X), H]]-[\theta(X),[H, X]] \\
& =-[X, i \alpha(H) \theta(X)]-[\theta(X), i \alpha(H) X]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $[X, \theta(X)]$ commutes with all $H \in \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}}$, it follows that $[X, \theta(X)] \in \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Consequently, $\left[E_{\alpha}, \theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right] \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}=i \mathfrak{a}$.
Let $\mathcal{P}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ denote the projection map. Here are some other elementary facts that will be of use to us later.

Lemma 2.5 .
(i) $\left[F_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]-\left[F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}\right]=-4(I-\theta) i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right]=-8 \mathcal{P}\left(i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right]\right)$.
(ii) If $E_{\alpha}$ is chosen with $\left[E_{\alpha}, \theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right] \in i \mathfrak{a}$, then $\left[F_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}\right]=\left[F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]$.

Proof. The first equality in (i) is a straightforward computation. Because $\tau\left(E_{\alpha}\right) \in$ $g_{-\alpha}^{R}$, then $i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right] \in \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$. But also $\tau\left(i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right]\right)=-i\left[\tau E_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha}\right]=$ $i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right]$ and therefore $i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right] \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since $(I-\theta) / 2$ projects from $\mathfrak{g}$ onto $\mathfrak{p}$, we obtain the second equality.

For (ii) one can first check that, for any root $\alpha$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[F_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}\right]-\left[F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]} \\
& \quad=2\left[\theta\left(E_{\alpha}+\tau\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right), E_{\alpha}+\tau\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right]+2\left[\theta\left(E_{\alpha}-\tau\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right), E_{\alpha}-\tau\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=4\left(\left[\theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right), E_{\alpha}\right]+\tau\left[\theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right), E_{\alpha}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the latter equality due to the fact that $\theta \tau=\tau \theta$. But $\left[\theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right), E_{\alpha}\right] \in i \mathfrak{a}$, so $\tau\left[\theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right), E_{\alpha}\right]=-\left[\theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right), E_{\alpha}\right]$.

We shall also make use of the following technical result which we could not find in the literature. We recall that $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ admits a Weyl basis $\left\{X_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Phi^{+}\right\}$where $X_{\beta} \in g_{\beta}$ [7, p. 421]. Such a basis has the property that $\tau\left(X_{\beta}\right)=-X_{-\beta}$ and $\left[X_{\beta}, X_{-\beta}\right]=H_{\beta}$ where $H_{\beta}$ is the linear functional on $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $H_{\beta}(t)=\beta(t)$.

LEMMA 2.6. For any nonzero $E_{\alpha} \in g_{\alpha}^{R}, \mathcal{P}\left(i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right]\right)=\left.c_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}$ where $c_{\alpha}$ is a nonzero constant (depending on $E_{\alpha}$ ).
PROOF. Since $g_{\alpha}^{R}=\sum_{\beta \mid \mathrm{a}=\alpha} g_{\beta}$, we can write

$$
E_{\alpha}=\sum_{\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha} b_{\beta} X_{\beta},
$$

where $\left\{X_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \Phi\right\}$ is a Weyl basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right] } & =\left[\sum_{\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha} b_{\beta} X_{\beta}, \tau\left(\sum_{\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha} b_{\beta} X_{\beta}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\sum_{\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha} b_{\beta} X_{\beta},-\sum_{\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha} \overline{b_{\beta}} X_{-\beta}\right] \\
& =-\sum_{\beta,\left.\gamma\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha} b_{\beta} \overline{b_{\gamma}}\left[X_{\beta}, X_{-\gamma}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right]\right)=-\mathcal{P}\left(\sum_{\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{\alpha}}=\alpha} i\left|b_{\beta}\right|^{2}\left[X_{\beta}, X_{-\beta}\right]\right)-\mathcal{P}\left(\sum_{\beta \neq \gamma} i b_{\beta} \overline{b_{\gamma}}\left[X_{\beta}, X_{-\gamma}\right]\right)
$$

When $\beta \neq \gamma$, then $\left[X_{\beta}, X_{-\gamma}\right]$ either belongs to the root space $g_{\beta-\gamma}$ (if $\beta-\gamma$ is a root) or is zero. In either case, the projection onto $\mathfrak{a}$ is zero. Hence

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right]\right)=-\left.\sum_{\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha} i\left|b_{\beta}\right|^{2} H_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}
$$

Since $\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha,\left.H_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\left.H_{\alpha}\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}\left(i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right]\right)=\left.c_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}$ where

$$
c_{\alpha}=-i \sum_{\left.\beta\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}=\alpha}\left|b_{\beta}\right|^{2} \neq 0
$$

as $E_{\alpha} \neq 0$.

## 3. Main theorem

By a measure we mean a finite regular Borel measure on $G$. The measure $\mu$ is $K-$ bi-invariant if $\mu\left(k_{1} S k_{2}\right)=\mu(S)$ for all $k_{1}, k_{2} \in K$ and Borel sets $S \subseteq G$. An example of a $K$-bi-invariant measure is the $K$-orbital measure

$$
\mu_{a}=m_{K} * \delta_{a} * m_{K}
$$

where $m_{K}$ denotes the normalized Haar measure on $K$ and $\delta_{a}$ denotes the point mass measure at $a$. The $K$-orbital measure, $\mu_{a}$, is a singular probability measure which is supported on $K a K$, and is continuous (meaning nonatomic) if $a \notin N_{G}(K)$ when viewed as a measure on the symmetric space $G / K$. These measures are the extreme points of the unit ball of the space of $K$-bi-invariant, continuous measures (see [9]). Of course, if $K g K=K a K$, then $\mu_{g}=\mu_{a}$.

Ragozin proved that if $d \geq \operatorname{dim} G / K$, then $\mu_{a_{1}} * \mu_{a_{2}} * \cdots * \mu_{a_{d}}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Haar measure on $G$ and the $d$-fold product of double cosets $K a_{1} K a_{2} \cdots K a_{d} K$ has nonempty interior if $a_{j} \notin N_{G}(K)$. For special orbital measures the number of convolution powers can be reduced to two. Here is our main result.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \exp \mathfrak{a}$ are regular elements and $\mu_{a_{1}}, \mu_{a_{2}}$ are the associated $K$-orbital measures. Then $\mu_{a_{1}} * \mu_{a_{2}}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Haar measure on $G$ and $K a_{1} K a_{2} K$ has nonempty interior in $G$.

Proof. For any two elements $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \exp \mathfrak{a}$, let $f_{a_{1}, a_{2}}: K^{3} \rightarrow G$ be given by

$$
f\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=k_{0} a_{1} k_{1} a_{2} k_{2} .
$$

The proof of [9, Theorem 2.5] (an application of the implicit function theorem) shows that if the rank of $f_{a_{1}, a_{2}}$ is full, except possibly on a set of Haar measure zero, for each $a_{1}, a_{2}$ in the support of the $K$-bi-invariant measures $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$, then $\mu_{1} * \mu_{2}$ is absolutely continuous and $K a_{1} K a_{2} K$ has nonempty interior. However, an analyticity argument proves that if the rank of $f_{a_{1}, a_{2}}$ is full at one point, then it is full on a set whose complement has measure zero.

Thus to prove our theorem it will be enough to show that whenever $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are two regular elements in $\exp \mathfrak{a}$, then the rank $f_{a_{1}, a_{2}}$ is full at one point, and this is what we shall prove. For notational convenience we shall write $f$ for $f_{a_{1}, a_{2}}$.

The differential of $f$ at the point $\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right),\left.d f\right|_{\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)}$, is the map from $\mathfrak{k}^{3}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$
\left.d f\right|_{\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right)}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=-\left(X_{0}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{0} a_{1}\right) X_{1}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{0} a_{1} k_{1} a_{2}\right) X_{2}\right) k_{0} a_{1} k_{1} a_{2} k_{2}
$$

for $X_{i} \in k$. (This is true because of our convention of using right invariant vector fields.) Thus rank $f$ at ( $k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}$ ) is the dimension of

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{X_{0}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{0} a_{1}\right) X_{1}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{0} a_{1} k_{1} a_{2}\right) X_{2} \mid X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathfrak{k}\right\}
$$

which is equal to the dimension of

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{X_{0}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{1}\right) X_{1}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{1} k_{1} a_{2}\right) X_{2} \mid X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathfrak{k}\right\} .
$$

Hence it is enough to show that there exists a point $k_{1} \in K$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{k}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{1}\right) \mathfrak{k}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{1} k_{1} a_{2}\right) \mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{g},
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\mathfrak{k}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{1}^{-1}\right) \mathfrak{k}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{1} a_{2}\right) \mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{g} .
$$

Note that Corollary 2.2 implies that $\mathfrak{k}+\operatorname{Ad}\left(a_{1}^{-1}\right) \mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{g} \ominus \mathfrak{a}$.
As in the previous section, let $\mathcal{P}$ be the projection operator defined from $\mathfrak{g}$ onto $\mathfrak{a}$. Using this notation, it follows that to prove the theorem it suffices to show that for each $a$ regular, there exists some $k \in K$ for which $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{Ad}(k a) \mathfrak{k}))=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a})$.

According to Lemma 2.4, for each positive, restricted root $\alpha$ it is possible to choose a restricted root vector $E_{\alpha} \in g_{\alpha}^{R}$ satisfying $\left[E_{\alpha}, \theta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right] \in i \mathfrak{a}$. Define $F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ as described in the previous section with this choice of $E_{\alpha}$. Set

$$
Z=\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+}} F_{\beta}+F_{\beta}^{\prime}
$$

and for any real number $s$ put $k_{s}=\exp (s Z)$. Since $Z \in \mathfrak{k}, k_{s}$ belongs to the subgroup $K$.

Fix $a \in \exp \mathfrak{a}, a$ regular. For $\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{s} a\right)\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{s}\right)\left(\cos \alpha(a)\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)-\sin \alpha(a)\left(G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\exp (a d(s Z))\left(\cos \alpha(a)\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)-\sin \alpha(a)\left(G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\cos \alpha(a)\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)-\sin \alpha(a)\left(G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)+R+S,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
R=s\left[Z, \cos \alpha(a)\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)-\sin \alpha(a)\left(G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
S=\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{s^{l}}{l!}(a d Z)^{l}\left(\cos \alpha(a)\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)-\sin \alpha(a)\left(G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Since $Z, F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{k}$, we have $\mathcal{P}\left[Z, F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Also, $F_{\alpha}, F_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, $G_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}^{\prime} \in \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma} g_{\alpha}^{R}$, hence $\mathcal{P}\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)=0=P\left(G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{s} a\right)\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right)=-s \sin \alpha(a) \mathcal{P}\left(\left[Z, G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]+s Y_{\alpha, s}\right)
$$

where

$$
Y_{\alpha, s}=\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{s^{l-2}}{l!}(\operatorname{ad} Z)^{l}\left(G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)
$$

First, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[Z, G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right] } & =\left[\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{+}} F_{\beta}+F_{\beta}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right] \\
& =\left[F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]+\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha}\left[F_{\beta}+F_{\beta}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\beta \neq \alpha$, then also $\beta \neq-\alpha$ since $\beta$ and $\alpha$ are positive, restricted roots. Hence either $\left[F_{\beta}+F_{\beta}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right] \in \sum_{\gamma= \pm \alpha \pm \beta} g_{\gamma}^{R}$ or none of $\pm \alpha \pm \beta$ are roots, in which case $\left[F_{\beta}+F_{\beta}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]=0$ [1, Proposition 32.5]. In either case, $\mathcal{P}\left[F_{\beta}+F_{\beta}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]=0$.

Combined with Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, this observation implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}\left(\left[Z,\left(G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) & =\mathcal{P}\left(\left[F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]\right) \\
& =\mathcal{P}\left(\left[F_{\alpha}^{\prime}, G_{\alpha}\right]-\left[F_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right]\right) \\
& =-8 \mathcal{P} i\left[E_{\alpha}, \tau E_{\alpha}\right]=\left.c_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some nonzero constant $c_{\alpha}$. Hence

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{s} a\right)\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right)=-s \sin \alpha(a)\left(\left.c_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}+s \mathcal{P}\left(Y_{\alpha, s}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus to show that $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{Ad}(k a) \mathfrak{k}))=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a})$ it is enough to prove that for suitably small $s$, the set

$$
\left\{\mathcal{P}\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(k_{s} a\right)\left(F_{\alpha}+F_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)\right) \mid \alpha \in \Sigma\right\}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\left\{c_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}\left|\mathfrak{a}+s \mathcal{P}\left(Y_{\alpha, s}\right)\right| \alpha \in \Sigma\right\}
$$

contains a linearly independent set of size $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a} \equiv r$. To see that this is true, choose positive, restricted roots, $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$, such that $\left\{H_{\alpha_{j}}|\mathfrak{a}| j=1, \ldots, r\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. We claim that the set of vectors

$$
\left\{\left.c_{\alpha_{j}} H_{\alpha_{j}}\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}+s \mathcal{P}\left(Y_{\alpha_{j}, s}\right) \mid j=1, \ldots, r\right\}
$$

is linearly independent for sufficiently small $s$.
Assume otherwise, say,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{r} d_{j}\left(\left.c_{\alpha_{j}} H_{\alpha_{j}}\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}+s \mathcal{P}\left(Y_{\alpha_{j}, s}\right)\right)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with not all $d_{j}=0$. Since all norms are comparable on a finite-dimensional space, there exists a positive constant $C_{0}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r} d_{j} c_{\alpha_{j}} H_{\alpha_{j}}\left|\mathfrak{a} \| \geq C_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{r}\right| d_{j} c_{\alpha_{j}}\left|\geq C_{0} \min \right| c_{\alpha_{j}}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{r}\right| d_{j} \mid .\right.
$$

For any $0<s<1$,

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P} Y_{\alpha, s}\right\| \leq\left\|Y_{\alpha, s}\right\| \leq \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{\|a d Z\|^{l} \max _{\alpha \in \Sigma} \|\left(G_{\alpha}-G_{\alpha}^{\prime} \|\right.}{l!} \equiv C_{Z}
$$

where $C_{Z}$ is independent of $\alpha$ and $s$. Hence

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r} d_{j} s \mathcal{P}\left(Y_{\alpha_{j}, s}\right)\right\| \leq s \sum_{j=1}^{r}\left|d_{j}\right| C_{Z}
$$

If we take $s<C_{0} \min \left|c_{\alpha_{j}}\right| / C_{Z}$ we clearly cannot satisfy (3.1) and therefore $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{Ad}(k a) \mathfrak{k}))=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{a})$. This completes the proof that $f$ has full rank at one point.

Corollary 3.2. Suppose $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ are $K$-bi-invariant measures, compactly supported on $\bigcup_{a \in D} K a K$ where $D$ is the dense set of regular elements. Then $\mu_{1} * \mu_{2}$ is absolutely continuous.

Proof. This can also be deduced from the same proof, as per the remarks in the first paragraph.

Corollary 3.3. Suppose $G / K$ is a compact symmetric space which admits only one positive restricted root. Then for any $a_{1}, a_{2} \notin N_{G}(K), \mu_{a_{1}} * \mu_{a_{2}}$ is absolutely continuous.

Proof. When there is only one positive restricted root any element of $\exp \mathfrak{a}$ is either in the normalizer or regular.

REMARK. Many of the rank-one symmetric spaces, including $S U(2) / S O(2)$ and $S O(p+1) / O(p)$, have only one positive restricted root. It would be interesting to know if the conclusion of the corollary holds for all rank-one spaces.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Following Ragozin, we define our Lie algebras as right-invariant vector fields.

