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#### Abstract

In this article we examine the problem of when a left Kan extension of a finite-product-preserving functor is finiteproduct preserving. This extension property is of significance in the development of finitary universal algebra in a closed category, details of which will appear elsewhere. We give a list of closed categories with the required extension property.


## Introduction

The aim of this article is to introduce and discuss a colimit-limit commutativity property called axiom $\pi$. If $V$ is a symmetric monoidal closed category, then a $V$-category $\mathcal{C}$ is said to satisfy axiom $\pi$ (relative to $V$ ) if the left Kan $V$-extension of any finite-V-productpreserving functor into $C$ again preserves finite $V$-products. One basic use of this extension property is in the construction of free-algebra functors and, more generally, left adjoints to algebraic functors in finitary universal algebra; details of this will appear elsewhere (see Borceux and Day [1]).

In Section 1 we discuss various equivalent forms of axiom $\pi$ with a view to using it in finitary universal algebra. In Section 2 we describe some basic constructions which inherit axiom $\pi$. In Section 3 we show that cartesian closed categories satisfy axiom $\pi$, as do closed categories which are finitarily algebraic over a cartesian closed category. We also see that certain closed functor categories satisfy axiom $\pi$.

Throughout the article the symbol $V$ stands for a symmetric monoidal closed category $V=(U, I, \otimes, \ldots)$. Most of the other notations are
standard (see Mac Lane [8], and Eilenberg and Kelly [5]), or are explained in the text.

1. U-cartesian products and Kan extensions

A $V$-category is said to have finite $V$-products if it has finite products and they are preserved by the $V$-representable functors (see Day and Kelly [4], §2).

Let $A$ and $B$ be two $V$-categories. Their product in the category of $V$-categories is denoted $A \times B$. It is defined by:
(1) $\circ \mathrm{obj}(A \times B)=o b j(A) \times o b j(B)$;
(2) $(A \times B)\left((A, B),\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)\right)=A\left(A, A^{\prime}\right) \times B\left(B, B^{\prime}\right)$;
(3) $j_{(A, B)}=\left(j_{A}, j_{B}\right)$;
(4) $M_{(A, B)\left(A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)}=\left\{M_{B B^{\prime \prime}}^{B^{\prime}} \times M_{A A^{\prime \prime}}^{A^{\prime}}\right) \cdot\left(p_{2} \otimes p_{2}, p_{1} \otimes p_{1}\right)$, where $p_{i}$ denotes the $i$-th projection of a product.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let $A$ be a V-category. The diagonal functor $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \times A$ is a $V$-functor.

PROPOSITION 1.2. Let $A$ be a V-category with finite $V$-products. The cartesian product $\times: A \times A \rightarrow A$ is a $V$-functor.

The verifications are straightforward. //
We now have the following result dealing with mean tensor products in the sense of Borceux and Kelly [2]; we frequently use the contraction notation $H A \circ G A$ in place of $H * G$.

THEOREM 1.3. Let A be a V-category with finite V-products, $H, H^{\prime}: A^{O P} \rightarrow V$ be two $V$-functors, and $G: A \rightarrow C$ be a $V$-functor. Then the following isomorphism holds as soon as mean tensor products exist:

$$
\left(H(-) \times H^{\prime}(-)\right) * G(-) \cong\left(H(-) \times H^{\prime}(=)\right) * G(-\times \Rightarrow) .
$$

In alternative notation:

$$
\left(H A \times H^{\prime} A\right) \circ G A \cong\left(H A^{\prime} \times H^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime}\right) \circ G\left(A^{\prime} \times A^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

Proof. We have the following situation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(-) \times H^{\prime}(=): A^{\mathrm{op}} \times A^{\mathrm{op} \xrightarrow{H \times H^{\prime}} v \times V \xrightarrow{\times} V} \begin{array}{c}
H(-) \times H^{\prime}(-): A^{\mathrm{op}} \xrightarrow{\left(H, H^{\prime}\right)} v \times V \xrightarrow{\times} V \\
G(-\times=): A \times A \xrightarrow{\times} A \xrightarrow{G} C .
\end{array}, .
\end{gathered}
$$

For brevity we write $T_{1}=\left(H A \times H^{\prime} A\right) \circ G A$ and
$T_{2}=\left(H A^{\prime} \times H^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime}\right) \circ G\left(A^{\prime} \times A^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Now $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are defined by the fact that there exist $V$-natural transformations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{A}: H A \times H^{\prime} A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\left(G A, T_{1}\right) \\
\rho_{A^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime}}: H A^{\prime} \times H^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\left(G\left(A^{\prime} \times A^{\prime \prime}\right), T_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

generating $V$-natural isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[X, C\left(T_{1}, C\right)\right] \cong \int_{A}\left[H A \times H^{\prime} A,[X, C(G A, C)]\right]} \\
& {\left[X, C\left(T_{2}, C\right)\right] \cong \int_{A^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime}}\left[H A^{\prime} \times H A^{\prime \prime},\left[X, C\left(G\left(A^{\prime} \times A^{\prime \prime}\right), C\right)\right]\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $X \in V$ and $C \in C$. But $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are isomorphic as soon as the two sets of $V$-natural transformations are isomorphic. This last correspondence between

$$
\alpha_{A}: H A \times H^{\prime} A \rightarrow[X, \mathcal{C}(G A, C)]
$$

and

$$
B_{A^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime}}: H A^{\prime} \times H^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow\left[X, C\left(G\left(A \times A^{\prime}\right), C\right)\right]
$$

is given by $B_{A^{\prime}} A^{\prime \prime}=\alpha_{A^{\prime} \times A^{\prime \prime}} \cdot\left(H p_{1} \times H^{\prime} P_{2}\right)$ and $\alpha_{A}=[1, C(G . \Delta, 1)] \cdot \beta_{A A}$ where $P_{i}$ denotes projection from a product. //

DEFINITION 1.4. Let $C$ be a $V$-category with finite $U$-products. Consider the following situation in U-cat : functors $H, H^{\prime}: A^{\circ p} \rightarrow V$ and $G: A \rightarrow C$ where $A$ is small and has finite $V$-products preserved by $G$. The category $C$ is said to satisfy axiom $\pi$, or to be $\pi(V)$, if, in any such situation, $H A \circ G A$ and $H^{\prime} A^{\prime} \circ G A^{\prime}$ exist and the canonical transformation:

$$
\left(H A \times H^{\prime} A^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(G A \times G A^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(H A \circ G A) \times\left(H^{\prime} A^{\prime} \circ G A^{\prime}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
We note that this canonical transformation is obtained in the following way. Consider the $V$-natural transformation

$$
H A \times H^{\prime} A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{P_{1}} H A \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A}} C(G A, H A \circ G A) \xrightarrow{C\left(P_{1}, 1\right)} C(G A \times G A, H A \circ G A),
$$

where $\alpha_{A}$ is the canonical transformation defining $H A \circ G A$. This $U$-natural transformation gives rise to the factorisation

$$
\left(H A \times H^{\prime} A^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(G A \times G A^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H A \circ G A,
$$

whic'i is the first component in the transformation we are looking for.
THEOREM 1.5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $V$-category with finite $V$-products and small $V$-colimits. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $C$ is $\pi(V)$;
(ii) in the situation of Definition 1.4 the canonical transformation

$$
\left(H A \times H^{\prime} A\right) \circ G A \rightarrow\left(H A^{\prime} \circ G A^{\prime}\right) \times\left(H^{\prime} A^{\prime \prime} \circ G A^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism;
(iii) for any $V$-category $B$, any small $V$-category $A$ with finite $V$-products, any $V$-functor $M: A \rightarrow B$, and any finite-V-product preserving $V$-functor $G: A \rightarrow C$, the left Kan $V$-extension of $G$ along $M$ exists pointwise and preserves finite $V$-products.

Proof. The equivalence of ( $i$ ) and ( $i i$ ) follows from Theorem 1.3. Also (i) implies (iii) because, by Theorem 1.3, if $B \times B^{\prime}$ is a $V$-product in $B$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{lan} G\left(B \times B^{\prime}\right) & \cong B\left(M A, B \times B^{\prime}\right) \circ G A \\
& \cong\left(B(M A, B) \times B\left(M A, B^{\prime}\right)\right) \circ G A \\
& \cong\left(B\left(M A^{\prime}, B\right) \times B\left(M A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime}\right)\right) \circ G\left(A^{\prime} \times A^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \cong\left(B\left(M A^{\prime}, B\right) \times B\left(M A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime}\right)\right) \circ\left(G A^{\prime} \times G A^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

while

$$
\operatorname{lan} G(B) \times \operatorname{lan} G\left(B^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(B\left(M A^{\prime}, B\right) \circ G A^{\prime}\right) \times\left(B\left(M A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \circ G A^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Finally ( $\mathrm{i} i \mathrm{i}$ ) implies ( $i$ i ) on taking $M: A \rightarrow B$ to be the Yoneda embedding $Y: A \rightarrow\left[A^{\circ p}, V\right]$. $/ /$
2. Hereditary properties of axiom $\pi$

PROPOSITION 2.1. If $C$ is a V-category with finite V-products and small V-colimits then, for any small $V$-category $A,[A, C]$ is $\pi(V)$ if $C$ is $\pi(V)$. //

Similarly, any product of $\pi(V)$ categories is $\pi(V)$.
PROPOSITION 2.2. If $C$ is a V-category with finite V-products and small $V$-colimits and $T=(T, \mu, \eta$ ) is a $U$-monad on $C$ which preserves $U$-coequalisers of reflective pairs of morphisms and finite $U$-products, then $C^{\top}$ is $\pi(V)$ if $C$ is $\pi(V)$.

Proof. By a computation analogous to that for ordinary colimits (see Linton [7]), the mean tensor product $H A \circ G A$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\top}$ is computed as the $V$-coequaliser in $C^{\top}$ of the reflective pair

where $\kappa: H A \circ T G A \rightarrow T(H A \circ G A)$ is the canonical comparison transformation for mean tensor products in $C$; we omit the underlyingobject functor $\mathcal{C}^{\top} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ from the notation. The result now follows from examination of the diagram:


## 3. Examples

EXAMPLE 3.1. If $V$ is a cartesian closed category, then it is $\pi(V)$ because the cartesian product preserves mean tensor products:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(H A \circ G A) \times\left(H^{\prime} A^{\prime} \circ G A^{\prime}\right) & \cong H A \circ\left(G A \times\left(H^{\prime} A^{\prime} \circ G A^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \cong H A \circ\left(H^{\prime} A^{\prime} \circ\left(G A \times G A^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \cong\left(H A \times H^{\prime} A^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(G A \times G A^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

EXAMPLE 3.2. If $V$ is cartesian closed and has small limits and colimits, and if $T$ is a finitary commutative $U$-theory (see Day [3], Example 4.3), then the monoidal closed category $\omega=T^{b}$ of $T$-algebras in $v$ is $\pi(W)$. In fact we shall establish a stronger result.

We first suppose that $V$ is a given symmetric monoidal closed "base" category and that all categorical algebra is relative to this $V$. Let $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ be symmetric monoidal closed categories and let $U: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ be a symmetric monoidal closed functor such that $\hat{U}: U_{\star} W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ has a left $W^{\prime}$-adjoint $F$; thus $U_{*} W$ is $W^{\prime}$-tensored by Kelly [6], 5.l. Consider $W$-functors $H: A^{O p} \rightarrow W$ and $G: A \rightarrow W$. These give $W^{\prime}$-functors $U_{*} H: U_{*} A^{O P} \rightarrow U_{*} W$ and $U_{*} G: U_{*} A \rightarrow U_{*} W$. We then have

$$
U G A \circ H A=\int^{U_{*} A} U G A \circ H A=\int^{U_{*} A} F U G A \otimes H A
$$

in $U_{*} W$.
LEMMA 3.2.1. Suppose $U: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ is a faithful symmetric monoidal closed functor. Let

$$
S^{\prime}: U_{*} A^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes U_{*} A \xrightarrow{\tilde{U}_{*}} U_{*}\left(A^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes A\right) \xrightarrow{U_{*} S} U_{*} W .
$$

Then $\int^{A} S(A A) \cong \int^{U_{\star} A} S^{\prime}(A A)$, one coend existing if and only if the other does. //

Now consider the composite

$$
\int^{U_{\star} A} H A \otimes F U G A \xrightarrow{\stackrel{-}{l \otimes E}} \int^{U_{\star} A} H A \otimes G A \xrightarrow{\kappa} \int^{A} H A \otimes C A
$$

in the original situation.
PROPOSITION 3.2.2. If $U$ preserves $\int^{U_{\star} A} U G A \circ H A$ and $U$ reflects isomorphisms, then $1 \otimes \varepsilon$ and $k$ are isomorphisms.

Proof. The map $k$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.2.1 and faithfulness of $U$. Moreover $H A \cong \int^{A} \mathrm{~A}(A B) \otimes H B \cong \int^{U_{\star} A} \mathrm{~A}(A B) \otimes H B \quad$ by the $\omega$-representation theorem. So it suffices to consider $H$ representable. But $U\left\{\int^{U_{\star} A} G U A \circ A(A B)\right\} \cong \int^{U_{\star} A} U G A \otimes U A(A B) \cong U G B$ by the W'-representation theorem, as required. //

COROLLARY 3.2.3. If $W^{\prime}$ is $\pi\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ and $U$ reflects isomorphisms and preserves $\int^{U_{\star} A} U G A \circ H A$ whenever $G$ preserves finite $V$-products, then $W$ is $\pi(w)$. //

In order to establish our original assertion regarding $\omega=T^{b}$ we let $V$ be $\pi(V)$ and let $P$ be a small $V$-category together with a selected set $\Lambda$ of finite $V$-products. Suppose $W=[P, V]_{\Lambda}$ has a symmetric monoidal closed structure, denoting the basic functor by $U: W \rightarrow V$.

Consider $W$-functors $H: A^{O p} \rightarrow W$ and $G: A \rightarrow W$ where $A$ has finite $W$-products and they are preserved by $G$. We form $\int^{U_{\star} A} H A(B) \otimes U G A$ in $V$ for each $B \quad P$ and obtain a functor of $B$; because $V$ is $\pi(V)$, this functor lies in $W$, by Theorem 1.5 (ii). It is clearly $U G A \circ H A=\int^{U_{*}^{A}} U G A \circ H A$ in $U_{*} W$. It is also $\int^{U_{*}^{A}} H A \otimes F U G A$ in $U_{*} W$. But, by construction, it is $U G A \circ H A$ in $[P, V]$ and so is preserved by $U$ if $U$ has a right $V$-adjoint. Thus, if $U$ restricted to $W$ reflects isomorphisms then $W$ is $\pi(W)$ by the preceding corollary.

EXAMPLE 3.3. The preceding example raises the problem of when a closed functor category of the form $W=[P, V]$ is $\pi(W)$. The authors have not yet obtained a general solution to this problem although there are simple cases of interest.

PROPOSITION 3.3.1. If $V$ is $\pi(V)$ and $X$ is a discrete set then $\omega=v^{X}$ is $\pi(w)$.

Proof. Each W-category $A$ gives rise to a family $\left\{A_{x} ; x \in X\right\}$ of $V$-categories with obj $A_{x}=\operatorname{obj} A$ and $A_{x}\left(A A^{\prime}\right)=A\left(A A^{\prime}\right){ }_{x}$. Similarly, each $W$-functor $H: A \rightarrow B$ yields a family of $V$-functors $H_{x}: A_{x} \rightarrow B_{x}$. Moreover,

$$
\left.\int^{A} H A \otimes G A=\iint^{A} H_{x} A \otimes G_{x} A\right)_{x \in X}
$$

from which it follows that $W$ is $\pi(W)$ if $V$ is $\pi(V)$. //
Another case which admits a simple solution is that in which $P$ is comonoidal (see Day [3]) and $J \cong P(I,-)$. If the ground functor $U:[P, V] \rightarrow V$ is $V$-faithful, then $W=[P, V]$ is $\pi(W)$ if $V$ is $\pi(V)$.

EXAMPLE 3.4. The closed category $W$ of Banach spaces with the greatest cross-norm tensor product is $\pi(W)$. The proof of this fact will appear elsewhere.
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